



Cairo University

MODELLING TRANSPORT IN FRACTURED MEDIA USING FRACTURE CONTINUUM APPROACH

By

Mohamed Ismaiel Mohamed Ahmed

A Thesis Submitted to
the Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE

In

IRRIGATION AND HYDRAULICS ENGINEERING

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2016

MODELLING TRANSPORT IN FRACTURED MEDIA USING FRACTURE CONTINUUM APPROACH

By
Mohamed Ismaiel Mohamed Ahmed

A Thesis Submitted to
the Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE

In
IRRIGATION AND HYDRAULICS ENGINEERING

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Ahmed Emam Ahmed Hassan

Dr. Mohamed Attia Mohamed

.....

.....

Professor of Hydrogeology
Irrigation and Hydraulics Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo
University

Assistant Professor
Irrigation and Hydraulics Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo
University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2016

MODELLING TRANSPORT IN FRACTURED MEDIA USING FRACTURE CONTINUUM APPROACH

By

Mohamed Ismaiel Mohamed Ahmed

A Thesis Submitted to
the Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE

In

IRRIGATION AND HYDRAULICS ENGINEERING

Approved by the Examining Committee:

Prof. Dr. **Ahmed Emam Ahmed Hassan**, Thesis Main Advisor
Professor of Hydrogeology
Faculty of Engineering – Cairo University

Prof. Dr. **Abdulwahab Mohamed Amer**, Internal Examiner
Professor of Hydraulics
Faculty of Engineering – Cairo University

Prof. Dr. **Ahmed Ali Hassan**, External Examiner
Professor of Hydrogeology
Faculty of Engineering – Ain Shams University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2016

Engineer's Name: Mohamed Ismaiel Mohamed Ahmed
Date of Birth: 20/04/1991
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: mohamed.ismaiel@hotmail.com
Phone: 01112253539
Address: 34 Gamal El Din Abdallah St.- Haram-Giza
Registration Date: 01/10/2012
Awarding Date: .../.../2016
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Irrigation and Hydraulics Engineering



Supervisors:

Prof. Ahmed Emam Ahmed Hassan
Dr. Mohamed Attia Mohamed Abdelmegeed

Examiners:

Prof. Ahmed Ali Hassan (External examiner)
Professor at Faculty of Engineering-Ain Shams University
Prof. Abdulwahab Mohamed Amer (Internal examiner)
Prof. Ahmed Emam Ahmed Hassan (Thesis main advisor)

Title of Thesis:

Modelling Transport in Fractured Media Using Fracture Continuum Approach

Key Words:

Fracture Network; Fracture Continuum; Grid; Mapping; Conservative Transport.

Summary:

Fracture continuum arises recently as a fast and efficient technique to model fluid flow and solute transport in fractured geologic media. This study aims at developing a 2-dimensional model to solve the contaminant transport on the discrete fracture network as a reference solution. Additionally, developing a technique to map the fracture network onto a finite difference grid to solve conservative solute transport with preserving the transport characteristics for both fine and coarse grid resolutions when compared to the reference solution. The study is performed in a stochastic framework using Monte Carlo analysis. The results provide a conductivity correction factor to preserve the transport characteristics on the mapped domain for a high densely fracture network.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my main advisor, Prof. Dr. Ahmed Hassan, for his time, dedication, continuous support, precious remarks, and guidance throughout the research. I thank Dr. Hassan who has guided this study and provided the knowledge that combined physical insight, mathematical rigor, and an engineering perspective. His invaluable inputs, proofreading, and constructive criticism have significantly contributed to a greatly improved final product.

I sincerely thank my advisor Dr. Mohamed Attia for his time, dedication, patience, continues support, and guidance throughout the research. I also thank Dr. Attia for his invaluable inputs and the enormous amount of time and effort he dedicated to the work.

I would also like to express my thankful recognition to Prof. Dr. Abdulwahab Amer and Prof. Dr. Ahmed Ali Hassan for serving on my MSc. committee. I deeply appreciate their helpful responses and suggestions regarding my dissertation.

I would like to express my appreciation to Dr. Farag E. Botros for his effort and endless support during my study period. I thank Dr. Botros for making helpful modifications to the flow code that facilitated our work. Additionally, I thank Dr. Jacques Bodin for his support and for providing his particle tracking “SOLFRAC” code for the verification process.

Finally, this research could have never been completed without the continuous support, love, and encouragement of my family. They have been a great inspiration to me throughout my life. My very special thanks and sincere gratitude goes to my wife for her help, support and encouragement. This dissertation is entirely dedicated to my family, and to the memory of my late father - may Allah have mercy on him-.

Last but not the least, to those not mentioned who supplied something by way of encouragement, discussion, and interest, I offer my sincere thanks.

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	III
LIST OF TABLES.....	V
LIST OF FIGURES.....	VI
ABSTRACT	IX
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. PREAMBLE.....	1
1.2. PROBLEM DEFINITION	1
1.3. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	3
1.4. DISSERTATION SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY	3
1.5. THESIS OUTLINE.....	4
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1. INTRODUCTION.....	5
2.2. ANALYTICAL SOLUTIONS	7
2.3. DISCRETE FRACTURE NETWORK MODELING APPROACHES	8
2.4. STOCHASTIC CONTINUUM METHODS.....	11
CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY AND MODEL SETUP	16
3.1. INTRODUCTION.....	16
3.2. DFN MODEL GENERATION	16
3.3. FLOW SOLUTION FOR THE DFN	20
3.4. DEVELOPMENT OF TRANSPORT SOLUTION FOR THE DFN	21
3.5. FLOW MAPPING.....	26
3.6. DEVELOPED TRANSPORT MAPPING	38
3.7. MODIFIED GRID BASED TRANSPORT MODEL	39
3.8. ERROR ASSESSMENT FOR TRANSPORT MAPPING.....	41

CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	42
4.1. INTRODUCTION.....	42
4.2. VERIFICATION RESULTS OF THE DFN TRANSPORT MODEL	42
4.2.1.Comparison with the Analytical Solution for a Single Fracture.....	42
4.2.2.Comparison with Numerical Solution of Fracture Network (SOLFRAC Program).....	44
4.2.3.Comparison with the Analytical Solution for Fracture Network.....	48
4.3. DFN DEVELOPED TRANSPORT MODEL CAPABILITIES.....	50
4.4. MAPPING RESULTS	53
4.4.1. Mapping Results for Single Fracture	53
4.4.2. Mapping Results for Fracture Network (Line Source)	56
4.4.3. Mapping Results of Fracture Network with Line Source (Coarse Grid Correction).....	73
4.4.4. Mapping Results of Fracture Network with an Areal Source.....	83
CHAPTER FIVE: SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS	86
5.1. SUMMARY	86
5.2. CONCLUSIONS	86
5.3. RECOMMENDATIONS	87
REFERENCES.....	88

List of Tables

Table (3-1): Values of parameters used to investigate the fracture density and orientation effect ($\Delta = 5.0$ m)	32
Table (4-1): Single fracture properties	43
Table (4-2): Single fracture properties mapped on a grid	54
Table (4-3): The percentage error in the 50% mass arrival time for a single fracture with 15° orientation angle.....	55
Table (4-4): The percentage error in the 50% mass arrival time for a single fracture with different orientation angles and grid resolutions	55
Table (4-5): Domain properties	57
Table (4-6): Percentage error in 50% arrival time for the areal contaminant source	83

List of Figures

Figure (2-1): Staggered grid Concept.....	12
Figure (3-1): Generated DFN before trimming dead ends	19
Figure (3-2): The backbone network of DFN in Figure (3-1)	20
Figure (3-3): DFN domain boundary condition	21
Figure (3-4): Fracture formation and fracture properties	24
Figure (3-5): Particle approaching the end node of a transmitting fracture with the end node being the intersection of four fractures	25
Figure (3-6): Flow path along the grid	27
Figure (3-7): The result of the percolation algorithm (domain before and after trimming dead ends).....	30
Figure (3-8): conductivity distribution on the grid for the DFN in Figure (3-7).....	31
Figure (3-9): Log K_x and K_y for the mapped network (case 1).....	33
Figure (3-10): Log K_x and K_y for the mapped network (case 2).....	34
Figure (3-11): Log K_x and K_y for the mapped network (case 3).....	35
Figure (3-12): Log K_x and K_y for the mapped network (case 4).....	36
Figure (3-13): Log K_x and K_y for the mapped network (case 5).....	37
Figure (3-14): Particle path on both DFN and mapped grid	39
Figure (3-15): Porous media velocity field	40
Figure (3-16): Mapped fracture velocity field.....	40
Figure (4-1): BTC for single fracture (analytical vs DFN model)	44
Figure (4-2): Model setup for test case 1 (area 100 m × 100 m).....	45
Figure (4-3): Model setup for test case 2 (area 100 m × 100 m).....	46
Figure (4-4): Model setup for test case 3 (area 350 m × 350 m).....	46
Figure (4-5): The comparison of the BTC for test case 1.....	47
Figure (4-6): The comparison of the BTC for test case 2.....	47
Figure (4-7): The comparison of the BTC for test case 3.....	48
Figure (4-8): Three elementary paths through the fracture network presented in test case 3	49
Figure (4-9): The BTCs for fracture network of test case 3 using analytical, SOLFRAC (TDRW) and DFN model solutions	50
Figure (4-10): Fracture network of the test model after trimming dead ends	51

Figure (4-11): Particles' locations at different times during the simulation	52
Figure (4-12): Relative concentration values (C/C_{\max}) at 1250 day after simulation.....	52
Figure (4-13): Relative concentration values (C/C_{\max}) at 1250 day after simulation with isolating zero concentration fractures.....	53
Figure (4-14): The 50% mass arrival time for a single fracture with different orientation angles and grid resolutions (DFN vs PT grid-based model)	56
Figure (4-15): Single realization of high density fracture network	57
Figure (4-16): Log Conductivity distribution in the x and y directions of fracture network presented in Figure (4-15) mapped onto a grid ($\Delta = 1.0$ m).....	59
Figure (4-17): Mean contaminant plume movement in x -direction for DFN and the grid-based PT models for different grid resolution.....	60
Figure (4-18): Mean contaminant plume movement in y -direction for DFN and the grid-based PT models for different grid resolution.....	60
Figure (4-19): Contaminant plume variance in x -direction for DFN and grid- based PT models for different grid resolutions	61
Figure (4-20): Contaminant plume variance in y -direction for DFN and grid-based PT models for different grid resolutions	62
Figure (4-21): The BTCs at middle of the domain ($x = 500$ m) for DFN and grid-based PT models for different grid resolutions	63
Figure (4-22): The BTCs at domain right boundary ($x = 1000$ m) for DFN and grid-based PT models for different grid resolutions	64
Figure (4-23): Percentage error in the 50% mass arrival time for four different grid resolutions at different control planes	64
Figure (4-24): The 50% mass arrival time obtained from the DFN model and grid-based PT model for different grid resolutions and different realizations	65
Figure (4-25): Velocity distributions for fine grid mapped domain ($\Delta = 1.0$ m)	66
Figure (4-26): Velocity distribution for coarse grid mapped domain ($\Delta = 10$ m).....	67
Figure (4-27): Fracture network (left) mapped onto fine grid (right) with paths representing corresponding fractures with enhanced connectivity	69
Figure (4-28): Fracture network (left) mapped onto coarse grid (right) showing the loss of directionality	69
Figure (4-29): DFN concentration values mapped onto both fine and coarse grid resolutions.	71

Figure (4-30): Concentration values calculated from DFN solution and grid-based PT solution for cell size = 1.0 m.	72
Figure (4-31): Particles' locations for both DFN and grid-based PT models (cell size = 1.0 m) at 3000 days.	73
Figure (4-32): Mean contaminant plume movement in x -direction showing the effect of the coarse grid correction factor.	74
Figure (4-33): Mean contaminant plume movement in y -direction for coarse grid with and without correction.....	75
Figure (4-34): The variance of particles' locations in the x -direction with and without coarse grid correction factor.....	76
Figure (4-35): The variance of particles' locations in the y -direction with and without coarse grid correction factor.....	77
Figure (4-36): BTCs at $x = 500$ m resulting from the DFN and the coarse grid PT models with and without correction factor.....	78
Figure (4-37): BTCs at $x = 1000$ m resulting from the DFN and the coarse grid PT models with and without correction factor.....	78
Figure (4-38): Percentage error in the 50% mass arrival time for coarse grid with and without correction.....	79
Figure (4-39): Comparison between 50% particle arrival time for DFN model and PT coarse grid model with and without correction.....	80
Figure (4-40): Comparison between concentration results for DFN model and PT coarse grid model after using correction factor.....	81
Figure (4-41): Particles locations for DFN model and PT coarse grid model after using correction factor.....	82
Figure (4-42): Mass profiles along the x -direction for DFN, fine grid, and coarse grid models.....	82
Figure (4-43): Normalized BTCs at different control planes (areal source).....	84
Figure (4-44): Particle location after 2500 days for fine grid resolution and coarse grid resolution after applying correction factor.....	85

Abstract

Fractured geological media are usually modelled using one of two approaches. The first is called Discrete Fracture Network (DFN) and the second is the Stochastic Continuum (SC) approach. DFN models are computationally demanding and require large input parameters, whereas SC approaches are computationally efficient and require fewer parameters for solving coupled flow and transport problems. Unfortunately, SC techniques do not preserve network details and properties.

Fracture continuum approaches (FC) combine the advantages of both the DFN and SC approaches. Fracture network details are preserved and a finite difference grid is then utilized for solving fluid flow by assigning a conductivity contrast between the grid cells representing the rock matrix and those representing fractures. Recent studies of FC focused on preserving flow properties on the mapped grid with less attention to transport properties. The main objective of this study is to develop an approach based on particle motion for solving transport on DFN, and to develop a mapping technique to preserve transport characteristics between DFN and mapped continuum. A two-dimensional particle tracking model is developed to simulate conservative contaminant transport through DFN. The model results are verified against an analytical solution for a single fracture and both analytical and numerical (SOLFRAC) solutions for a network of fractures.

The fracture network is randomly generated in a stochastic Monte Carlo framework, and the flow problem is solved using mass conservation at the fracture junctions. The transport problem is then solved via the developed particle tracking model on the DFN. The obtained flow and transport solutions are used as reference solutions. The fracture network is mapped onto a finite difference grid for four different grid cell sizes: (1m x 1m), (2m x 2m), (5m x 5m), and (10m x 10m), and the flow problem is solved via MODFLOW. The transport problem is solved on the grid using particle tracking method. Comparisons between the transport characteristics for both approaches (DFN and FC) are performed and the percentage error in each case is quantified. It is found that a new correction factor is needed to preserve conservative transport characteristics on the coarse grid. The correction factor could be developed using a small number of realizations, and subsequently applied for other realizations. The developed correction factor improves the ability of the FC technique to preserve transport on both fine grid and coarse grid for the case of conservative contaminant transport. Further efforts are needed to extend the work to more general, reactive contaminants.

Chapter One: Introduction

1.1. Preamble

In the last two decades, stochastic modeling of subsurface coupled flow and contaminant transport has become a topic of wide interest and intensive research. This approach recognizes that hydrological variables are affected by the large degree of uncertainty due to the limited field data and consider them to follow statistical distribution based on the how each distribution fits these data. This randomness leads to defining models of flow and transport in a stochastic context, and predictions for both flow and transport are made in terms of probabilities rather than in the traditional deterministic framework.

This approach was adopted in many disciplines in water related field a long time ago. The closest field is of surface hydrology, which relies traditionally on time series analysis in predicting floods and other extreme events. However, subsurface modeling deals mainly with spatial variability, the uncertainty of which is of a more complex nature than many other fields. In addition, the physics of the phenomena is accounted for through the differential equations which is used to predict for flow and transport and various constitutive equations. These equations, regarded as stochastic, are intended to provide a general theoretical framework, rather than particular, empirical, statistical procedures. In this respect the subject is closer in outlook and methodology to the advanced statistical theories of continuum mechanics and of solid state physics.

However, the problem of modeling flow and transport in fractured geologic media continues to be a challenge. Fractures and fracture networks are conduits for migration of water and contaminants in groundwater systems. They also control movement of oil and gas in petroleum reservoirs. Fractures are also the principal pathways, through otherwise impermeable or low permeability rocks (Berkowitz 2002).

1.2. Problem Definition

Fractured media are more challenging than the case of traditional porous media. Fractures have the main contribution towards the flow and transport phenomena. These two coupled processes are controlled by the main characteristics of the transmitting fractures. These characteristics include fracture orientation, length, aperture size, permeability, density and connectivity of fractures (Berkowitz 2002).

Two different techniques were developed over the past four decades for modeling flow and transport in the fractured media (Svensson (2001a), Svensson (2001b), Neuman (1987, 1988)). These techniques are Discrete Fracture Network (DFN) and Stochastic Continuum (SC) techniques. The DFN technique is based on modeling the fracture network as 1-D interconnected pipe network where flow and transport occur in this modeled pipe network. On the other hand, the SC approach is built on converting the whole domain to an equivalent porous medium with equivalent properties where the fracture network is discretized into grid cells and each cell is assigned a conductivity value based on the intersecting fractures.

Each technique has its own merits and drawbacks. The DFN is considered as the most accurate technique for solving and predicting flow and transport in the fractured media. However, it is computationally intensive as it requires a large number of input parameters to solve the domain depending on both domain size and density which cause this technique to be limited to small scale problems. SC is a computationally fast technique as it requires a fewer input parameters than the DFN needs. However, problem in defining the Representative Elementary Volume (REV) leads to unexpected results in both flow and transport. SC technique tends to over-homogenize the domain of study, and therefore, the flow and transport behavior differs from the expected real conditions.

Fracture Continuum (FC) technique arises as a new technique which combines the advantages of both DFN and SC and overcomes the disadvantages (Svensson 2001; Botros et al. 2008; Reeves et al. 2008). It is a fast and accurate technique, in which the domain of interest is mapped onto a finite difference grid with any desired cell size. Each cell is assigned an equivalent conductivity value based on the intersecting fractures with a correction factor to preserve the total flux along the whole area of study.

In recent studies, the focus was on mapping fracture network onto continuum such that the flow characteristics are preserved. Little attention was given to preserving transport characteristics in both fine grid (small cell size) and coarse grid (large cell size) compared to the original fracture network transport.

1.3. Research Objectives

The main goal of this study is to develop an approach to map fracture networks representing fractured geologic media into finite difference grid with different cell sizes in such a way that preserves conservative transport characteristics.

This can be achieved by addressing the following specific objectives:

1. Developing a technique for solving contaminant transport on DFN as a reference solution
2. Adopting a recently proposed flow-based FC technique to preserve transport on the mapped domain
3. Modifying grid transport technique to efficiently work with the mapped domain
4. Quantifying the error resulting from mapping and upscaling processes

1.4. Dissertation Scope and Methodology

A number of research tasks have been undertaken to achieve these operational objectives. The objectives are studied through the following general tasks:

1. Synthetic model generation with domain boundaries and internal fracture network generation based on fracture statistics
2. Trimming dead ends (eliminating zero flow segments from the domain of study)
3. Solving the flow and transport problems using the DFN technique as a reference solution.
4. Mapping fracture network onto a finite difference grid with the new proposed FC correction factor to preserve transport on the mapped domain.
5. Solving the mapped domain using the modified grid based transport model.
6. Quantifying the error resulting from the mapping and upscaling processes.
7. Applying a second correction factor to the mapping technique to preserve the transport properties for the coarse grid cell size only.

The study objectives are addressed in a stochastic framework using a Monte Carlo analysis. Various realizations are simulated with varying the controlling properties of the fracture network (fracture length, orientation, transmissivity, aperture size, density and connectivity).