EFFECT OF USING SOILCONDITIONERS ON WATER USE EFFICIENCY AND PRODUCTIVITY OF TOMATO PLANT

By

MOHAMED RASHAD MOSTAFA ELDOLIFY

B. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Horticulture), Ain Shams University, 1999

M. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Horticulture), Ain Shams University, 2005

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment

Of

The requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

In Agricultural Science (Vegetable Crops)

Department of Horticulture Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

Approval Sheet

EFFECT OF USING SOILCONDITIONERS ON WATER USE EFFICIENCY AND PRODUCTIVITY OF TOMATO PLANT

By

MOHAMED RASHAD MOSTAFA ELDOLIFY

B. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Horticulture), Ain Shams University, 1999M. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Horticulture), Ain Shams University, 2005

This thesis for Ph. D. degree has been approved by:

Dr. Sayed Mahmoud Singer
Research Prof. Emeritus of Vegetable Crops, National Research
Center
Dr. Ayman Farid Abou-Hadid
Prof. Emeritus of Vegetable Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain
Shams University
Dr. Ahmed Abou- El-Yazied Abd El- Hafize
Prof. of Vegetable Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams
University
Dr. Mohamed Hashim Mohamed El-Deeb
Prof. Emeritus of Vegetable Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain
Shams University
Date of Examination: 22 / 3 / 2016

Mohamed R. El Dolify, (2016), Ph.D. Fac. of Agric., Ain shams Univ.

EFFECT OF USING SOILCONDITIONERS ON WATER USE EFFICIENCY AND PRODUCTIVITY OF TOMATO PLANT

By

MOHAMED RASHAD MOSTAFA ELDOLIFY

B. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Horticulture), Ain Shams University, 1999

M. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Horticulture), Ain Shams University, 2005

Under the supervision of:

Dr. Mohamed Hashim Mohamed El-Deeb

Prof. Emeritus of Vegetable Crops, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Principal supervisor)

Dr. Ahmed Abou- El-Yazied Abd El- Hafize

Prof. of Vegetable Crops, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohamed Abdrabbo Ahmed

Head Research of Agriculture Climate, Central Laboratory for Agriculture Climate, Agricultural Research Center.

ABSTRACT

Mohamed Rashad Mostafa El Dolify: Effect of Using Soil Conditioners on Water Use Efficiency and Productivity of Tomato Plant. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2016.

The current study was performed to investigate the effect of three water regimes as well as, three different soil conditioners on the yield and the quality of tomato fruit. The studied water regimes were namely; 60, 80 and 100% of class A pan. The tested soil conditioners were "Hundz soil", "Oligo plus" and "Bioconditioner". Impact of the two investigated factors was studied separately, as well as, the interaction. The experiment was carried out at El-Dolify Farm, Khatatba, Monofia Governorate during two seasons (2012/2013 and 2013/2014). Tomato hybrid Yara F1 was used in this investigation. The obtained results confirmed that, using the "Hundz soil" or "Oligo plus" lead to the significant increments in all tested parameters related to the yield and the fruit quality. It also enhanced all vegetative growth measurements (plant height, leaves number per plant, fresh and dry weight of leaves). The treatments increased all assayed a chemical constituent of plant leaves i.e. chlorophyll, total nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium as well as total acidity and L.Ascorbic acid content. Moreover; interaction between "Hundz soil" or "Oligo plus" and any tested water regimes were significantly better than Bioconditioer and control in number of fruits per plant, average fruit weight, early, marketable and total yield. In addition, the results show that the chemical properties of tomato fruits were improved by applying the "Hundz soil" or "Oligo plus". Concerning the water use efficiency, the tested soil conditioners had a significant effect compared to control treatment (untreated). Whereas, irrigating the tomato plants under this experimental condition confirmed the validation of using the 80% irrigation regime without significant losses in the crop yield.

Key words:

Tomat, Water regimes, Soil conditioners, Hundz soil, Oligo plus , Bioconditioner, Yield, Water use efficiency

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First of all, I would like to express my deepest thanks to **Allah** who gave me the power, knowledge and patience to finish this work.

My supreme gratitude and appreciation to my family, especially the soul of my father **Mostafa Eldolify** for their help, encourage, support, understand and repeated continues prayers through working of this thesis.

I would like to express great thanks and deep gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Hashim Mohamed El-Deeb,** Professor Emeritus of Vegetable Crops, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for suggesting of current study, supervision and help during the course of this study and during preparing and reviewing the manuscript.

Deep gratitude and thanks is also due to **Prof. Dr. Ahmed Abou- El-Yazied Abd El-Hafize,** Professor of Vegetable Crops, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his kind supervision, advice, valuable assistance, during the preparation of this thesis.

I am also indebted and expressing my full thanks to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Abdrabbo Ahmed**, Head of Research, Central Laboratory for Agriculture Climate,
Agricultural Research Center, for his supervision, great support and continued help
during the preparation of this work.

I would like thank **Mr. Mofdy Morgan** Chairman of Board of Directors Hundz Soil Company for his support.

Thanks are also extended to **the staff members** of Climate modification Department research, Central Laboratory for Agricultural Climate for their encouragement and help during the course of this work.

CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES	IV
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
2.1. Soil conditioners	3
2.1.1. Effect of soil conditioners on soil characteristics	5
2.1.2. Effect of soil conditioners on water efficiency	7
2.1.3. Effect of soil conditioners on growth characteristics	8
2.1.4. Effect of soil conditioners on yield	9
2.1.5. Effect of soil conditioners on nutrient	9
2.2. Effect of irrigation regime	10
2.2.1. Evapotranspiration and irrigation water requirements	10
2.2.2. Vegetative growth characteristics	12
2.2.3. Chemical composition of plant foliage	15
2.2.3.1. Chlorophyll and photosynthetic pigments	15
2.2.3.2. Mineral constituents	15
2.2.4. Fruit yield	16
2.2.5. Fruit quality	19
2.2.5.1. Physical fruit quality	19
2.2.5.2. Chemical fruit quality	21
2.2.6. Water use efficiency (WUE)	22
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	24
3.1. Experimental layout	24
3.2. Soil samples	24
3.3. Nursery materials	25
3.4. The experimental treatments	25
3.4.1. Calculations of water regimes	26
3.4.2. Amount of used water	28
3.4.3. Water use efficiency (WUE).	28
Mohamed R. El Dolify, (2016), Ph.D. Fac. of Agric., Ain shams Univ.	

3.5. Experimental design.	28
3.6. Measurements	28
3.6.1. Vegetative growth	28
3.6.2. Chemical analysis of leaves	29
3.6.2.1. Total chlorophyll reading	29
3.6.2.2. Minerals content	29
3.6.3. Yield and its components	30
3.6.4. Fruit characters	30
3.6.5. Physical fruit characters	30
3.6.6. Chemical fruit properties	30
3.7. Statistical analysis procedure	30
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	31
4.1. Vegetative growth	31
4.1.1. Plant length and number of leaves per plant	31
4.1.2. Number of shoots per plant	35
4.1.3. Chlorophyll reading	38
4.1.4. Leaf area	38
4.1.5. Stem diameter	40
4.1.6. Total fresh weight	44
4.1.7. Total dry weight	44
4.2. Yield and its components	49
4.2.1. Number of fruits per plant	49
4.2.2. Average fruit weight	50
4.2.3. Early yield	51
4.2.4. Total yield	52
4.2.5. Marketable yield	53
4.2.6. Unmarketable yield	56
4.2.7. T.S.S. %	57
4.2.8. Fruits firmness	58
4.3.Chemical fruit properties	60
4.4. Water use efficiency	60

4.5. Chemical composition of plant	63
4.5.1. Nitrogen percentage	63
4.5.2. Phosphorus percentage	64
4.5.3. Potassium percentage	65
4.5.4. Calcium percentage	66
4.5.5. Magnesium percentage	67
5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION 6. REFERENCES	

LIST OF TABLES

No.	Title	Page
1	Chemical analysis of soil and water	24
2	Average amounts of applied water (L/plant) in each treatment of the two summer seasons	28
3	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on plant length (cm) of tomato plants after 30 days from transplanting during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	32
4	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on plant length (cm) of tomato plants after 60 days from transplanting during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014.	32
5	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on plant length (cm) of tomato plants after 90 days from transplanting during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	33
6	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on number of leaves of tomato plants after 30 days from transplanting during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	33
7	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on number of leaves of tomato plants after 60 days from transplanting during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	34

	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil	8
34	conditioners on number of leaves of tomato plants after 90 days from transplanting during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	
3	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on number of shoots of tomato plants after 30 days from transplanting during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	9
30	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on number of shoots of tomato plants after 60 days from transplanting during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	10
3'	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on number of shoots of tomato plants after 90 days from transplanting during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	11
39	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on chlorophyll reading of tomato plants after 60 days from transplanting during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	12
39	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on chlorophyll content of tomato plants after 120 days from transplanting during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	13
4	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on leaf area of tomato plants after 60 days from transplanting during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	14

15	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil	
	conditioners on leaf area of tomato plants during after 120 days from transplanting the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	41
	2013/2014	41
16	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on stem diameter of tomato plants after 30 days from transplanting during the two seasons of	
	2012/2013 and 2013/2014	42
17	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on stem diameter of tomato plants after 60 days from transplanting during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	42
18	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on stem diameter (cm) of tomato plants after 90 days from transplanting during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	43
19	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on total fresh weight (g) of tomato plants after 90 days from transplanting during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	45
20	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on total fresh weight (g) of tomato plants after 180 days from transplanting during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	45
21	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on total dry weight (g) of tomato plants after 90 days from transplanting during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	46
	4014/4015 aliu 4015/4014	40

22	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on total dry weight (g) of tomato plants after 180 days from transplanting during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	46
23	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on fruits number /plant of tomato plants in the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	50
24	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on average fruit weight (g) of tomato plants in the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	51
25	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on early yield/plant (Kg) of tomato plants in the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	52
26	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on total yield/plant (Kg) of tomato plants in the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	53
27	Effect of soil conditioners on Marketable yield (Kg) of tomato plants during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	54
28	Effect of soil conditioners on Unmarketable yield (Kg) of tomato plants during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	56
29	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on T.S.S. % fruit of tomato plants in the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	58
30	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on firmness (g/cm) of tomato plants in the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	59

31	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on Ascorbic acid content (mg/100g) on fruits	
	of tomato plants during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014.	61
32	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on total acidity on fruits of tomato plants during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	61
33	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on water use efficiency (Kg/m³) of tomato plants during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014.	62
34	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on nitrogen (%) of tomato plants during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	64
35	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on phosphorus (%) of tomato plants during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	65
36	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on potassium (%) of tomato plants during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	66
37	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on calcium (%) of tomato plants during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	67
38	Effect of different irrigation treatments and soil conditioners on magnesium (%) of tomato plants during the two seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014	68

INTRODUCTION

Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.) is an important vegetable crop grown worldwide and Egypt for both fresh and processing markets. Egypt produces 3853341 ton during summer cultivation from the area of 238376 feddan, and the average of production is 16.165 ton/feddan (MALR **2013**). Researchers acknowledge that tomato has a higher acreage of any vegetable crop in the world (Ho et al., 1999), and it also requires a high water requirement for both, optimal vegetative and reproductive development (Arturo et al., 1995; Jones, 1999). Water plays a crucial role in determining the yield of tomato. However, it is most likely that a water scarcity period will have to be faced in the not too distant future. The unpredictable rainfall and increasing competition for water resources will compel the adoption of irrigation strategies in Africa. Deficit irrigation could allow saving water and in the same time it maintains satisfactory yields or production levels (Topcu et al., 2007). Under this strategy, crops are deliberately allowed to sustain some degree of water deficit and yield reduction. Deficit irrigation is irrigating the root-zone with less water than required for evapotranspiration (Zegbe-Dominguez et al., 2003). However, deficit irrigation for most vegetables such as tomato has been extensively studied, but with contrasting results (Dorji et al., 2005). For example, Zegbe-Dominguez et al (2003) revealed that tomato dry mass yield did not decrease under deficit irrigation compared to full irrigation, besides making a 50% saving in water and approximately 200% increase in irrigation water use efficiency and relevant fruit quality attributes improved. In this respect, many investigations were carried out to study the effect of irrigation regime on vegetative growth and productivity of tomato plants. In this connection, El-Beltagy et al. (1984), Fattahallah (1992), Merghaney (1997), Navarrete and Jeannequin (2000), all reported negative effects of water stress on tomato growth, chemical constituents and fruit yield and quality of plant foliage. Under Egyptian conditions, irrigation water is a limited agricultural resource. This study addresses the rationalized of using water in the intensive tomato growing technology. The principal resource of water in Egypt is the Nile River which provides us yearly with about 55.5 billion cubic meters. The second source is the underground water. Agriculture share of the water budget was about 81% and increased to 85% in 2006 **El-Beltagy and Abou-Hadid (2008)**. Irrigation water is gradually becoming scare especially in arid and semi-arid regions. Therefore, water saving and conservation now in Egypt is essential to support agricultural development needed. Also, the efficient use of water by irrigation is becoming increasingly important. Crop water consumption is thermal dependent, therefore, it is expected that crop water requirement may be improved by using soil conditioners during growth season. Such treatment contributes to save water and improve water use efficiencies and the quality of fruits (**Lorenzo** *et al.*, **2003**).

The aim of this investigation is to perform a study on the effect of adding three soil conditioners and three irrigation levels as well as their interaction on vegetative growth, chemical constituents of plant foliage, yield quantity and quality, and water use efficiency of tomato plants to reveal the best combination between irrigation level and soil conditioner that can be recommended to increase yield and save water consumption of tomato plants grown during summer season under sandy soil conditions.