

**PHYSIOLOGICAL GENETIC STUDIES ON  
SOME EGYPTIAN CULTIVARS OF COTTON  
(*Gossypium barbadense* L.)**

**BY**

**AHMED MAHMOUD ABDELHAMEID HOSSAIN**  
B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Genetics), Ain Shams Univ., 1993

**Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment  
of  
the requirements for the degree of**

**MASTER OF SCIENCE  
in  
AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE  
(Genetics)**

**Department of Genetics  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Ain Shams University**

**2000**

**PHYSIOLOGICAL GENETIC STUDIES ON  
SOME EGYPTIAN CULTIVARS OF COTTON**  
*(Gossypium barbadense L.)*

**BY**

**AHMED MAHMOUD ABDELHAMEID HOSSAIN**  
B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Genetics), Ain Shams Univ., 1993

Under the Supervision of:

**Prof. Dr. F.M. ABDEL-TAWAB**

Prof. of Molecular Genetics,  
Dept. of Genetics, Fac. of Agric.,  
Ain Shams University.

**Dr. A.A. EL-GOHARY**

Ex. Director of Cotton Research Institute,  
Agric. Res. Center,  
Giza, Egypt.

**Dr. F.M. ELDOMYATI**

Assoc. Prof. of Molecular Genetics,  
Dept. of Genetics, Fac. of Agric.,  
Ain Shams University.

## APPROVAL SHEET

### PHYSIOLOGICAL GENETIC STUDIES ON SOME EGYPTIAN CULTIVARS OF COTTON (*Gossypium barbadense* L.)

BY

**AHMED MAHMOUD ABDELHAMEID HOSSAIN**  
B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Genetics), Ain Shams Univ., 1993

This thesis for M.Sc. degree has been approved by:

**Prof. Dr. M.O. ISMAIL**

Prof. and Head, Cotton Maintenance Dept.,  
Cotton Research Institute.  
ARC, Giza, Egypt

\_\_\_\_\_

**Prof. Dr. ALIA A. EL-SEOUDY**

Prof. of Genetics,  
Fac. of Agric.,  
Ain Shams University

\_\_\_\_\_

**Prof. Dr. F. M. ABDEL-TAWAB**

Prof. of Molecular Genetics,  
Fac. of Agric.,  
Ain shams University (Supervisor)

\_\_\_\_\_

Date of examination 14 / 3 /2000

## ABSTRACT

Ahmed Mahmoud Abdelhamid, Physiological Genetic Studies on some Egyptian Cultivars of Cotton (*Gossypium barbadense* L.), Unpublished Master of Science, Genetics Dept., Fac. of Agric, Ain Shams Univ., 2000.

The present study aimed to studying some yield-related traits and determines some molecular markers linked with some fiber characters, i.e. lint length, fineness and strength; to assist selection in Egyptian cotton (*Gossypium barbadense* L.). From eighteen cultivars, two were chosen to represent the contrasting genotypes for fiber length, fineness and strength where Giza 45 represented the highest cultivar while Dendera represented the lowest one. They were evaluated along with their F1 and F2 for their fiber length, strength and fineness. Bulk segregant analysis developed five RAPD markers with five primers i.e. B11, A11, B06, E08 and E06 which were considered as markers for fiber length. Two RAPD markers were developed with one primer B10 which was characteristic for fiber strength, while two markers were exhibited for fiber fineness using primer A11.

**Key words:** *Gossypium barbadense* L. fiber length, fineness, strength and quality, PCR, RAPD, bulk segregant analysis (BSA) and Marker-assisted selection (MAS).

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

**First and foremost, I'm indebted to ALLAH forever.**

I would like to express my gratitude and deep thanks to Prof. Dr. Fatthy Mohamed Abdel-Tawab, Professor of Genetics, Genetics Dept., Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ. for his kind supervision, suggesting the problem, fruitful help, patriotic patience, energetic guidance and conclusive instructions throughout the course of this study.

Thanks are due to Prof. Dr. Ahmed Abd El-Rahman El-Gohary, Ex. Director of Cotton Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, for his kind supervision and his continuous encouragement.

Thanks are extended to Dr. Fottoh Mohamed El-Domiaty, Associate Professor of Genetics, Genetics Dept., Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ. for his kind supervision, and for his help during the course of this study.

I feel deeply thankful to Dr. A. Bahieldiin associate professor of Genetics Dept., Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ. for suggesting the problem, unlimited support on both scientific and personal levels.

Thanks also go to staff member of the Agrci. Genetic Eng. Res. Inst. for their great help.

Thanks also go to the staff member of the Dept. Genetics, Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams Univ. for their great help.

Special thanks are also extended to my family for their patience and encouragement during this work.

# CONTENTS

	Page
<b>I. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE .....</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1. Yield related traits: .....	4
2.2. Fiber characteristics: .....	11
2.3. Marker-assisted selection .....	19
<b>III. Materials and Methods .....</b>	<b>37</b>
1. Materials: .....	37
2. Methods .....	37
2.1. Main experiment: .....	38
2.2. Fiber properties: .....	38
2.2.1. Fiber fineness: .....	38
2.2.2. Fiber strength: .....	39
2.3.3. Fiber length: .....	39
2.5. Molecular markers .....	39
2.5.1. DNA isolation (CTAB method) .....	39
2.5.2. DNA purification (Agarose Gel DNA Extraction Kit, Boehringer Mannheim, Cat. No. 1696505): .....	40
2.5.3. PCR-RAPD conditions: .....	41
<b>IV. Results and discussion .....</b>	<b>43</b>
1. Field experiment .....	43
2. Main experiment .....	44
3. Formulation of F2 bulks .....	50
4. RAPD MARKERS .....	51
<b>V. Summary .....</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>VI. references .....</b>	<b>62</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table No.		Page
1	Names and origins of studied cotton cultivars.	36
2	Means of earliness, seed index, lint percent, fiber length, strength and fineness of four cultivars and four F1s.	42
3	The minimum and maximum values and means of F2 plants for five traits.	43
4	Grouping of F2 plants into 11 groups according to earliness (number of days to first flower).	44
5	Grouping of F2 plants into 11 groups according to seed index.	45
6	Grouping of F2 plants into 11 groups according to lint percent	46
7	Grouping of F2 plants into 11 groups according to fiber length (mm).	47
8	Grouping of F2 plants into 11 groups according to Fiber strength (Pressly).	48
9	Grouping of F2 plants into 11 groups according to fiber fineness (micronaire).	49
10	RAPD markers in the long cultivar (Dendera) and extra long stape cultivar (Giza 45).	55

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Page
1. .DNA polymorphism using randomly amplified polymorphic DNA for A11 primer. .....	51
2. .DNA polymorphism using randomly amplified polymorphic DNA for E19 primer. .....	52
3. .DNA polymorphism using randomly amplified polymorphic DNA for E06 primer. .....	52
4. .DNA polymorphism using randomly amplified polymorphic DNA for E08 primer. .....	53
5. .DNA polymorphism using randomly amplified polymorphic DNA for A11 primer. .....	54
6. .DNA polymorphism using randomly amplified polymorphic DNA for B10 primer. .....	55



## I. INTRODUCTION

Cotton, the most important fiber crop in the world, represents one of the major cash crops in Egypt. Egyptian cotton (*Gossypium barbadense* L.) is distinguished by its extra fine quality of lint, and about 50% of the world long staple cotton is produced in Egypt.

Since the production of Ashmouni, the oldest variety till the early 1920's, the local varieties were off-type selected by the keen eyes of the cotton growers and merchants. The variability in the mixed local populations of cotton at that time, helped greatly in producing several commercial varieties. After the first world war, the Cotton Research Board at Giza was established to investigate cotton problems concerning yield and quality. Individual plant selection was the first method to be used in improving Egyptian cotton. Later on, intervarietal hybridization was practiced in 1921 to produce new improved varieties. At the present time, one of the most important methods of developing new Egyptian cotton varieties is hybridization to create genetic variability, especially after the Egyptian cotton varieties have reached a very high degree of homozygosity, and thus became less amenable to selection methods.

Cotton textile industry has experienced increasing competition with synthetic fibers. To meet the continuous and increasing competition with synthetic fibers, cotton breeders have attempted to develop high yielding varieties with superior fiber properties. Several attributes of cotton lint are known to affect fiber quality as well as yarn characteristics. It is generally agreed that strength, fineness and length of fiber are among the most important characteristics of cotton lint.

The objectives of the present study were:

1. To assess the performance of some Egyptian cotton varieties for some of yield components and the major fiber qualities and, i.e. (earliness, seed index, lint percent, lint length, and fineness and strength).

2. To develop molecular markers (i.e. RAPD) linked with these traits by the use of bulked segregant analysis. This will provide a fast reliable, and cost-effective screening method to assist in molecular breeding for the most promising genotypes.

## II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

### 2.1. Yield related traits:

**Hutchinson (1959)** reported that early maturing prolific, annual forms which distinguished modern agricultural varieties from their wild or primitive ancestors, were attributed to the loss or elimination of the short day photoperiodic response and the reduction in the number of main stem nodes that occur between the cotyledonary nodes and the point of initiation of first fruiting branch.

**Richmond and Radwan (1962)** studied several methods of estimating earliness in four experimental stocks of the American Upland cotton (*G. hirsutum*) and four hybrids between them. Three crosses showed complete dominance for early flowering measured as days to first flower, while one cross showed complete dominance for late flowering. For the first boll opening date, three crosses showed partial dominance of the early parent, while the remaining cross showed overdominance of the late parent. The phenotypic correlation coefficients between days to first flower and days to first open boll were positive and highly significant in all studied crosses. The F1 showed overdominance of larger earliness index in two crosses, while partial dominance of early maturity index was manifested in the other two crosses. The phenotypic correlation coefficient between earliness index, days to first flower and days to first boll opening were negative and highly significant in the four crosses. They concluded that all the estimates for earliness studied were significantly correlated to one another.

**Gutierrez and El-Zik (1992)** evaluated four Spanish and nine American cotton cultivars for yield and fiber properties. Significant differences were observed among cultivars, locations and years,

with highest variance for location, year and location X year interaction. Genotype X environment interaction for yield, **earliness**, and fiber length, strength and micronaire was only significant when cv. Acala SJ2 was included at Ecija. Differences between cultivars for seed cotton yield, **earliness** and fiber traits were significant. There was less variability for yield than fiber traits, but more for first harvest than total yield. Fiber properties showed greater stability over environments than yield.

**Tomar *et al.* (1992)** studied coefficients of variation, heritability and genetic advance on ten yield components in 20 female and three male desi cotton parents and their 60 F1 hybrids grown during autumn 1986 at Bulandshahr, Modipuram and Nagina, Uttar Pradesh, India. Moderate to high estimates of genetic variation, heritability and genetic advance were revealed for bolls/plant, seed cotton, seed and lint yields/plant, and lint index, whereas plant height and seed index showed high estimates only for heritability.

**Turcotte *et al.* (1992)** reported that a *Gossypium barbadense* variety (PI560140), released in August 1991, compares favourably with the currently grown Pima S6, having earlier maturity, greater heat tolerance, higher yield potential at low (<450 m) and intermediate (450-750 m) altitudes and increased **earliness** at high altitudes. It has similar boll size to Pima S6, 0.9% lower lint percentage, slightly longer 2.5% span fibre length, similar uniformity ratio, somewhat longer classer's staple, 6% stronger fibre, slightly finer fibre, 3% more reflectance and 3% less yellowness.

**Opondo *et al.* (1993)** reported that the *Gossypium hirsutum* multiline variety (PI561672) synthesized from eight strains developed by single plant selection with progeny testing from the

variety UKA59/240 yielded 12% more seed cotton and 16.6% more lint than UKA59/240.

**Anandakumar and Muthuswamy (1994)** reported that released *Gossypium arboreum* variety K10 and 20 improved selections were compared for four yield components. Ginning percentage had significant positive correlation with yield and lint index, which had a positive association with seed index. Ginning percentage and seed index had a negative association. Ginning percentage had a high positive direct effect on cotton yield and the seed index had a negative correlation.

**El-Shaarawy et al. (1994)** evaluated 30 genotypes of cotton for genotype-environment interaction (GE) and genotypic stability parameters over seven locations in 1992. Seven traits, including lint yield and yield components, were studied. The variance for genotypes was significant for all traits. The GE mean square was highly significant for all traits except seed index. The best two strains were F5 514/90 and F6 557/90 which were stable for lint yield and yield components. Three strains (F5 475/90, F6 601/90 and F10 383/88) were stable for lint yield and most yield components, and were highly productive, producing more than 1000 lb lint/acre.

**Hanuman et al. (1994)** compared 21 American cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) genotypes in four environments for phenotypic stability for four quality traits during autumn 1989 in Haryana. Genotype X environment interaction and heterogeneity were significant for all four traits. The linear component of genotype X environment interaction was more important than the non-linear component. Of the 21 genotypes, 12 were stable for halo length and seed index, 10 for lint index and nine for ginning outturn. Genotype LH511 and its hybrid LH511 X H974 were stable for all four traits.

**Keim (1994)** reported that DP5409 is an early maturing, premium-fiber Upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) variety, particularly adapted to the Mid-South and the Rio Grande Valley areas of Texas. In test comparisons to Deltapine 50 across four years, DP5409 gave a similar lint yield, higher lint percentage, higher fiber strength and lower micronaire. DP2156 is a very early, stripper-type variety adapted to the northern growing areas of the Texas High Plains.

**Godoy et al. (1995)** determined the performance of new cotton cultivars planted on *V. dahliae* infested soils. Mexican cultivars Cian Precoz, Cian 95, and Laguna 89 were compared with commercial cv. Deltapine 80. Data were recorded on *V. dahliae* infestation, lint yield, earliness and fiber quality characteristics. Results showed that Deltapine 80 had 43% of diseased plants and this value was significantly higher than the value obtained for the other genotypes. Cian Precoz was the earliest cultivar and Cian 95 had the best fiber characteristics.

**Gomaa and Shaheen (1995)** estimated heterosis, inbreeding depression, heritability and type of gene action for five earliness characters in interspecific cotton cross between the family 8/87 (*Gossypium barbadense*) and *Tamcot* sp. 21 (*G. hirsutum*). Six populations, P1, P2, F1, F2, BC1 and BC2, for cross were cultivated in an experiment with three replications. Analysis of gene action showed that additive gene action had a greater effect on the earliness characters. On the other hand, the earliness indices were controlled by all types of gene effects except dominance X dominance. Earliness index (% first pick) showed the highest broad and narrow sense heritabilities (71.55 and 71.55%). Medium broad and narrow sense heritabilities were shown for position of the first fruiting node (56.25 and 56.25%).

**Kerby *et al.* (1995)** observed that early maturing varieties initiate fruiting branches at a lower node, faster, and are more prone to premature cut out if stress conditions exist. Results from 40 locations from North Carolina to Texas with a wide maturity range in Deltapine varieties indicated that management practice influenced **earliness** far more than the variety selected.

**Mustafa *et al.* (1995)** compared 41 long staple cotton strains descending from 10 Egyptian cotton [*Gossypium barbadense*] crosses (Trial A) and 28 genotypes descending from nine crosses (Trial B). The control varieties used were Giza 80 for Middle Egypt and Dendera for Upper Egypt. Trial A was carried out at Sids, and Trial B at five locations in Middle and Upper Egypt. The results obtained from Trial A showed that none of the crosses exceeded the control variety Giza 80 in both yield and its contributing variables, while four crosses were promising for yield and its contributing variables in Trial B. These crosses were Giza 83 X Dendera, Giza 83 X (Giza 72 X Dendera), radiated Ashmouni 302 X Giza 83 and Giza 83 X Giza 80. Heritability values and highly significant genotype X location interactions indicated that the studied crosses were affected by the environment, and should be evaluated for several years at different locations.

**Siwach and Lather (1995)** evaluated 24 *Gossypium hirsutum* genotypes for nine yield-related traits at Hissar over 2 seasons. Only HHH81 and F846 significantly outyielded the standards. Promising genotypes were *Ganganagar Ageti* for plant height, SH131 for total number of fruiting points, F286 for percentage of effective bolls, GC182 for boll weight and seed index, H777 for lint per boll and HHH81 for number of seeds per pod. Percentage of effective bolls per plant was the main contributing character towards increased yields.