EFFECT OF LOCAL LASER VERSUS LASER ACU-PUNCTUTRE IN TREATMENT OF SHOULDER IMPINGEMENT SYNDROME

Thesis

Submitted For the Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement For Master Degree in Physical Therapy

BY

Noha Soliman Abd EL Hafeez

B.Sc. in Physical Therapy Department of Basic Science

Supervisors

Prof.Dr. Maher EL Keblawy

Prof. Dr. Samir ELSayed

Prof. of Physical Therapy Basic Science Department Faculty of Physical Therapy Cairo University Consultant and chairman of orthopedic Surgery department EL Sahel Teaching Hospital

Dr. Abeer Abd EL Rahman

Lecturer in Basic Science Department Faculty of Physical Therapy Cairo University

Faculty of Physical Therapy

Cairo University

2009

تأثير الليزر الموضعي مقابل الليزر على نقاط الوخز بالأبر الصينية في علاج متلازمة إنحشار الكتف

توطئة للحصول على درجة الماجيستير في العلاج الطبيعي رسالة مقدمة من

الباحثة نهى سليمان عبد الحفيظ بقسم العلوم الأساسية كلية العلاج الطبيعي

أ.د / سمير السيد سليم إستشاري جراحة العظام رئيس قسم العظام مستشفى الساحل التعليمي أ.د / ماهر القبلاوي
 أستاذ العلاج الطبيعي
 قسم العلوم الأساسية
 كلية العلاج الطبيعي
 جامعة القاهرة

د / عبير عبد الرحمن مدرس العلاج الطبيعي قسم العلوم الأساسية كلية العلاج الطبيعي

جامعة القاهرة كلية العلاج الطبيعي جامعة القاهرة ٢٠٠٩

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to kneel thanking our God who provided me with essential power and patience for completion of this work

I wish to express my deepest gratitude to Prof. Dr. Maher EL Keblawy, Prof. of Physical Therapy, Basic Science Department, Faculty of Physical Therapy, Cairo University for kind supervision, generous support, unlimited helpful consultation, integration of ideas and continuous generous guidance through the preparation and conduction of this work

I would like to appreciate special thanks for Prof. Dr. Samir ELsayed, consultant and chairman of orthopedic department, El Sahel Teaching Hospital for kind supervision and encouragement to conduct this study

I would like to appreciate special thanks for Dr. Abeer Abd EL Rahman, Lecturer of Physical Therapy Basic Science Department, Faculty of Physical Therapy, Cairo University for kind supervision,

Special thanks to my family, my supervisors and my colleagues in EL Sahel Teaching Hospital who helped me so much to finish this work

Effect of local laser versus laser acupuncture in treatment of shoulder impingement syndrome, Noha Soliman Abd EL Hafeez, supervisors, Prof. Dr. Maher El Keblawy. Cairo University, Prof. Dr. Samir EL Sayed Selim. EL Sahel Teaching Hospital, Dr. Abeer Abd EL Rahman. Cairo University, 2009 (Master Degree). 168 pages.

Abstract

Background: Shoulder impingement syndrome had a very high occurrence. **Purpose:** compare between local laser and laser acupuncture in the treatment of shoulder impingement. **Methodology:** Thirty patients aged between 25 to 40 years were assigned into three equal groups. Group (A) received laser over acupuncture points 3J/point, group (B) received laser over trigger points 3J/point and group (C) received sham laser. The measurements are VAS, SPADI, and electrogoniometer. **Result:** laser acupuncture was more effective than local laser in treatment of shoulder impingement syndrome. **Conclusion:** Using laser acupuncture is effective treatment for shoulder impingement syndrome.

Key Words: Shoulder impingement, Laser, Acupuncture.

Contents

	Page
Chapter (I) Introduction	1
Statement of the problem	5
Purpose of the study	6
Significance of the study	6
Delimitation of the study	8
Limitation of the study	9
Hypothesis	9
Basic assumption	10
Chapter (II) Literature Review	12
(A)Functional anatomy of shoulder complex	12
(B) Biomechanics of shoulder joint	23
(C) Shoulder impingement syndrome	29
(D) Low level laser	43
(E) Acupuncture	63
(F) Laser acupuncture	76
Chapter (III) Materials and Methods	80

(I) Subject selection	80
(II) Instrumentation	82
(III) Design of the study	87
(IV) Procedure	87
(V) Data collection and data analysis	96
Chapter (IV) Results	98
Chapter (V) Discussion	132
Chapter (VI) Discussion	132
•	132 142
Chapter (VI) Summary, Conclusions, finding and	
Chapter (VI) Summary, Conclusions, finding and Recommendation	142

List of Abbreviation

ADL Activity of Daily Living

CSF Cerebrospinal Fluid

DNIC Diffuse Noxious Inhibitory Control

EM Electromagnetic Waves

ENK Enkephalins

Ga AL As Gallium Aluminum Arsenide

Ga As Gallium Arsenide

He Ne Helium Neon

LI Large Intestine Channel

LLLT Low Level Laser Therapy

LU Lung Channel

M Marginal Cells

NIR Near Infra Red

Nd- glass Neodymium- doped Glass

Nd: YAG Neodymium- doped Yttrium Aluminum

Garnet

NSAID Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs

NRM Nucleus Raphe Magnus

PAG Periaqueductal Grey Matter

PG Prostaglandin

RF Reticular Formation

SG Substantia Gelatinosa

SPADI Shoulder Pain and Disability Index

ST Stalked Cells

TB Triple Burner Channel

VAS Visual Analogue Scale

WDR Wide Dynamic Range

List of Figures

Figure No	Page
(1)Shoulder joint complex	13
(2) Glenohumeral joint ligaments	15
(3) Subacromial space	20
(4) Rotator cuff muscles	23
(5) The impingement area of shoulder	30
(6)a- Neer impingement test	36
b- Hawkins- Kennedy test	36
(7) Components of laser system	45
(8) Absorption and emission of photons	47
(9) Divergence	50
(10)Coherence. A- Non coherent (non laser) source	51
b- coherent source	51
(11) Segmental acupuncture	66
(12) Serotonergic mechanism of acupuncture	68
(13) Adrenergic mechanism of acupuncture	70
(14) LU 1 point	75
(15) LL15 LL14 and LI4 points	76

(16) TE 14 point	76
(17) Electrogoniometer device	83
(18) Digital algometer	84
(19) Gymna I.R laser device	86
(20) Infra Red lamp	86
(21) Flexion range of motion	88
(22) Abduction range of motion	89
(23) Stretching of inferior capsule	91
(24) Stretching of posterior capsule	91
(25) Strength of shoulder flexor	92
(26) Strength of shoulder abductor	92
(27) Laser application over acupuncture point	93
(28) LI14, LI 15 and LU 1 acupuncture points	94
(29) TE 14 acupuncture point	94
(30) LI 4 acupuncture point	95
(31) Detection of trigger point	95
(32) Laser application on trigger point	96
(33) Mean and standard deviation of age for group A, B,	C 100
(34) Mean and standard deviation of weight for group(A,	, B,
and C)	100

(35) Mean and standard deviation of height for group	
(A, B, C)	101
(36) Mean and SD of pain level pre and post treatment	
of group (A)	102
(37) Mean and SD of pain level pre and post treatment	
of group (B)	104
(38) Mean and SD of pain level pre and post treatment	
of group (C)	105
(39) Mean and SD of pain level pre treatment for	
the three groups	108
(40) Mean and SD of pain level post treatment for the	
three groups	108
(41) Mean and SD of functional disability level pre and	
post treatment of group (A)	110
(42) Mean and SD of functional disability level pre and	
post treatment of group (B)	111
(43) Mean and SD of functional disability level pre and	
post treatment Of group (C)	113
(44) Mean and SD of functional disability level pre	
treatment for the three groups	115

116
nt
117
nt
119
nt
120
123
;
123
nent
125
nent
126
nent
128
e
130

(55) Mean and SD of shoulder abduction post treatment of the		of the
three groups		131

List of tables

Table No	Page
(1) Mean and standard deviation of the age, height and	
weight of group (A, B,C)	99
(2) Mean and ±SD, t and P values of pain level pre and	
post treatment of groups (A)	102
(3) Mean and ±SD, t and P values of pain level pre and	
post treatment of groups (A)	103
(4) Mean and ±SD, t and P values of pain level pre and	
post treatment of group (C)	105
(5) Results of ANOVA among the three groups for	
pain level	106
(6) Post hoc test among the three groups for pain level	107
(7) Mean and \pm SD, t and P values of functional disability	
level pre and post treatment of group (A)	109
(8) Mean and \pm SD, t and P values of functional disability	
level pre and post treatment of group (B)	111
(9) Mean and \pm SD, t and P values of functional disability	
level pre and post treatment of group (C)	112

(10) Results of ANOVA among the three groups for	
functional disability level	114
(11) Post hoc test among the three groups for	
functional disability level	115
(12) Mean and ±SD, t and P values of shoulder flexion pre	
and post treatment of group (A)	117
(13) Mean and ±SD, t and P values of shoulder flexion pre	
and post treatment of groups (B)	118
(14) Mean and ±SD, t and P values of shoulder flexion pre	
and post treatment of group (C)	120
(15) Results of ANOVA among the three groups for shoulder	
flexion	121
(16) Post hoc test among the three groups for shoulder	
flexion	122
(17) Mean and ±SD, t and P values of shoulder abduction pre	
and post treatment of groups (A)	124
(18) Mean and ±SD, t and P values of shoulder abduction p	re
and post treatment of group (B)	126
(19) Mean and ±SD, t and P values of shoulder abduction p	re
and post treatment of group (C)	127