

**PREDICTING WATER AND FERTILIZER DISTRIBUTION
UNIFORMITY UNDER GATED PIPES IRRIGATION
SYSTEM USING MATHEMATICAL MODEL**

By

HANI MOHAMED IBRAHIM MEHANNA

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agric. Eng.), Zagazig University, 1998

M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agric. Mech.), Ain Shams University, 2005

**A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of
the requirements for the degree of**

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
in
Agricultural Science
(Agricultural Mechanization)**

**Department of Agricultural Engineering
Faculty of Agriculture
Ain Shams University**

2010

Approval Sheet

**PREDICTING WATER AND FERTILIZER DISTRIBUTION
UNIFORMITY UNDER GATED PIPES IRRIGATION
SYSTEM USING MATHEMATICAL MODEL**

By

HANI MOHAMED IBRAHEM MEHANNA

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agric. Eng.), Zagazig University, 1998
M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agric. Mech.), Ain Shams University, 2005

This thesis for Ph.D. degree has been approved by:

Dr. Samir Mohamed Ismail

Prof. of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture,
Alexandria University

Dr. Mahmoud Mohamed Hegazi

Prof. Emeritus of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of
Agriculture, Ain Shams University

Dr. Abdel-Ghany Mohamed El-Gindy

Prof. Emeritus of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of
Agriculture, Ain Shams University

Date of Examination: 27 / 1 / 2010

PREDICTING WATER AND FERTILIZER DISTRIBUTION UNIFORMITY UNDER GATED PIPES IRRIGATION SYSTEM USING MATHEMATICAL MODEL

By

HANI MOHAMED IBRAHEM MEHANNA

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agric. Eng.), Zagazig University, 1998

M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agric. Mech.), Ain Shams University, 2005

Under the supervision of:

Dr. Abdel Ghany Mohamed El-Gindy

Prof. Emeritus of Agricultural Engineering, Department of
Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams
University (Principal Supervisor)

Dr. Khaled Faran Taher El-Bagoury

Associate Prof. of Agricultural Engineering, Department of
Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams
University

Dr. Mohamed Moursy Mohamed Hussein

Research Prof. Emeritus of Water Relations and Field Irrigation,
Department of Water Relations and Field Irrigation, Agricultural and
Biological Division, National Research Center

التنبؤ بانتظامية توزيع المياه والأسمدة تحت نظام الري بالأنابيب المبوبة باستخدام نموذج رياضي

رسالة مقدمه من

هاني محمد إبراهيم مهنا

بكالوريوس علوم زراعية (هندسة زراعية) ، جامعة الزقازيق ، 1998

ماجستير علوم زراعية (ميكنة زراعية) ، جامعة عين شمس ، 2005

للحصول على
درجة دكتور فلسفة في العلوم الزراعية
(ميكنة زراعية)

قسم الهندسة الزراعية
كلية الزراعة
جامعة عين شمس

2010

صفحة الموافقة علي الرسالة

**التنبؤ بانتظامية توزيع المياه والأسمدة تحت نظام الري بالأنابيب المبوبية
باستخدام نموذج رياضي**

رسالة مقدمه من

هاني محمد إبراهيم مهنا

بكالوريوس علوم زراعية (هندسة زراعية) ، جامعة الزقازيق ، 1998

ماجستير علوم زراعية (ميكنة زراعية) ، جامعة عين شمس ، 2005

**للحصول علي
درجة دكتور فلسفه في العلوم الزراعية
(ميكنة زراعية)**

وقد تمت مناقشة الرسالة والموافقة عليها

اللجنة:

..... د.سمير محمد اسماعيل
أستاذ الهندسة الزراعية، كلية الزراعة، جامعة الأسكندرية

..... د.محمود محمد حجازي
أستاذ الهندسة الزراعية المتفرغ، كلية الزراعة، جامعة عين شمس

..... د.عبد الغني محمد الجندي
أستاذ الهندسة الزراعية المتفرغ، كلية الزراعة، جامعة عين شمس

تاريخ المناقشة: 27 / 1 / 2010

رسالة دكتوراه

اسم الطالب : هاني محمد إبراهيم مهنا
عنوان الرسالة : التنبؤ بانتظامية توزيع المياه والأسمدة تحت نظام الري
بالأنابيب المبوبية باستخدام نموذج رياضي
اسم الدرجة : دكتور فلسفة في العلوم الزراعية (ميكنة زراعية)

لجنة الإشراف:

د. عبد الغني محمد الجندي

أستاذ الهندسة الزراعية المتفرغ ، قسم الهندسة الزراعية ، كلية الزراعة ، جامعة عين شمس
(المشرف الرئيسي)

د. خالد فران طاهر الباجوري

أستاذ الهندسة الزراعية المساعد ، قسم الهندسة الزراعية ، كلية الزراعة ، جامعة عين شمس

د. محمد مرسي محمد حسين

أستاذ باحث العلاقات المائية والرى الحقلي المتفرغ ، قسم العلاقات المائية والرى الحقلي ،
الشعبة الزراعية والبيولوجية ، المركز القومي للبحوث

تاريخ البحث 11 / 9 / 2006

الدراسات العليا

ختم الإجازة

أجيزت الرسالة بتاريخ

1 / 27

2010/

موافقة مجلس الكلية

2010 / /

موافقة مجلس الجامعة

2010 / /

ABSTRACT

Hani Mohamed Ibrahim Mehanna: Predicting Water and Fertilizer Distribution Uniformity Under Gated Pipes Irrigation System Using Mathematical Model. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2010.

Surface irrigation is considered one of the most common and important irrigation systems, and will remain as one of the most extensive methods used for irrigation in the old valley in Egypt. Surface irrigation uses ditches and canals to carry irrigation water from the source of supply to one or more farms. For that, surface irrigation in Egypt has earned a reputation for being inefficient and wasteful for water and land. Although well designed and managed furrow irrigated systems have the potential to operate at application efficiencies above 90 %. The aim of this study was to maximize water and fertilizer efficiencies under surface irrigation system (furrow) based on simulation model techniques. Therefore, different simulation models (**G-Pipe**, **SIRMOD**, and **SALTMED**) had been used for evaluation processes. This work has been carried out at the Experimental Farm of the Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, Kalubia Governorate to represent the old alluvial soil of the Nile Delta during 2007 and 2008 soybean (Giza 22) summer growing seasons.

Generally, results could be summarized in the following points: (1) using of simulation models is a good aid tool to maximize the net return of irrigation and fertigation practices under Egyptian clay loam soil conditions. (2) using of G-Pipe, SIRMOD, and SALTMED simulation models is acceptable for predicting water distribution uniformity for gated pipes irrigation system, water distribution uniformity for furrow irrigation, and salinity distribution profile, respectively, after irrigation and fertigation practices under Egyptian clay loam soil conditions for determining the appropriate practices of irrigation to maximize irrigation water unit productivity, and (3) using of 0.2 % field slope and 75 m furrow length are the optimal field conditions for maximize soybean

growth and productivity under the Egyptian clay loam soil conditions which determined by using SIRMED simulation model for example of using simulation models to decide the optimal procedures of field management to maximize productivity.

Key Words: Simulation model - Water distribution uniformity – Soil salinity distribution - Alluvial soil – Nile Delta Valley - Soybean – G-Pipe - SIRMED - SALTMED - Gated pipes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to principal supervisor **Prof. Dr. Abdel Ghany M. El-Gindy**, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Principal Supervisor), for his support, valuable suggestions and frequent discussions throughout the study.

I wish to express my deep gratitude to co-supervisor **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Moursy Mohamed Hussein**, Water Relations and Field Irrigation Department, Agricultural and Biological Division, National Research Center, for his keen interest, encouragement and help.

I thank co-supervisor **Dr. Khaled Faran Taher EL-Bagoury**, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his valuable help and advices throughout this work. I am indebted to **Prof. Dr. Abdel Salam El-Noemani**, Water Relations and Field Irrigation Department, Agricultural and Biological Division, National Research Center.

My very warm thanks go to the members of the Department of Agricultural Engineering, Fac. of Agric, Ain Shams Univ. for their support and personal encouragement, as well as the members of the Department of Water Relations and Field Irrigation, National Research Centre, which I belong.

Warm thanks are due to spirit of my mother and my family (my father, my wife, my wife's mother and father and my two children) for their continuous encouragement and support.

CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
III. MATERIALS AND METHODS	33
IV. RESULTS AND DISSCUSION	44
4.1. Validation of surface irrigation hydraulic analysis simulation models.....	44
4.1.1. Validation of G-Pipe simulation model.....	44
a. Validation of G-Pipe simulation model for 12 m length of gated pipeline (16 gates with 0.7 m spacing) under zero slope of the pipeline.....	45
b. Validation of G-Pipe simulation model for 6 m length of gated pipeline (8 gates with 0.7 m spacing) under zero slope of the pipeline.....	50
c. Validation of G-Pipe simulation model for 12 m and 6 m lengths of gated pipeline (16 and 8 gates with 0.7 m spacing) under 0.5 % slope of the pipeline.....	53
4.1.2. Validation of SIRMED simulation model.....	59
a. Validation of SIRMED simulation model under the effect of 0.2 % field slope.....	60
b. Validation of SIRMED simulation model under the effect of 0.5 % field slope.....	69
4.1.3. Validation of SALTMED model.....	73
a. Comparison between the measured and predicted soil salinity profile (EC, ds/m)	73
4.2. Soybean crop growth and yield.....	83
a. The effect of field slope treatments on plants growth..	83
b. The effect of furrow length treatments on plants	

growth.....	83
c. Effect of the interaction between field slope and furrow length treatments on soybean growth parameters.....	86
d. Effect of field slope treatments on soybean yield and its related parameters.....	86
e. Effect of furrow length treatments on soybean yield and its related parameters.....	86
f. Effect of the interaction between field slope and furrow length treatments on soybean yield and its related parameters.....	89
V. SUMMARY	91
VI. REFERENCES	97
VII. ANNEX	104
VIII. ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

Title	Page
Table (1): Some physical properties of soil profile at Shalaqan site	32
Table (2): Some chemical properties of soil profile at Shalaqan site	32
Table (3): Some chemical data of irrigation water at Shalaqan site	33
Table (4): Unit width flow cross section of furrows.....	40
Table (5): Inputs of G-Pipe Simulation Model.....	44
Table (6): Standard deviation (σ), probability of t test value at level 5 %, and significance of the relationship between measured and predicted (by using G-pipe simulation model) outflow from gates (q, l/s) and head at gates (h, m) under different slopes and lengths of the gated pipes irrigation system.....	49
Table (7): Calculated and predicted water distribution uniformities along the gated pipeline of gated pipes irrigation system.....	59
Table (8): Inputs of SIRMOD simulation model screens.....	61
Table (9-a): Standard deviation (σ), probability of t test value at level 5 %, and significance of the relationship between measured and predicted advance time (min) under different experimental treatments at the first and the third irrigations of soybean growing season.....	66
Table (9-b): Standard deviation (σ), probability of t test value at level 5 %, and significance of the relationship between measured and predicted recession time (min) under different experimental treatments at the first and the third irrigations of soybean growing season.....	67
Table (9-c): Standard deviation (σ), probability of t test value at level 5 %, and significance of the relationship between calculated and predicted infiltration depth (m) under different experimental treatments at the first and the third irrigations of soybean growing season.....	68

Table (10): Inputs of SALTMed model.....	74
Table (11): Standard deviation (σ) of the relationship between measured and predicted soil salinity (EC, ds/m) under different experimental treatments at two days after the second and the third irrigations of soybean growing season.....	79
Table (12-a): Effect of furrow lengths treatments on the growth parameters of soybean plants. (As a combined analysis between the two growing seasons).....	84
Table (12-b): Effect of furrow lengths treatments on the growth parameters of soybean plants. (As a combined analysis between the two growing seasons).....	85
Table (13-a): Effect of the interaction between field slope and furrow lengths treatments on the growth parameters of soybean plants (as a combined analysis between the two growing seasons).....	87
Table (13-b): Effect of the interaction between field slope and furrow lengths treatments on the growth parameters of soybean plants (as a combined analysis between the two growing seasons).....	88
Table (14): Effect of furrow length on soybean crop yield and its related parameters. (As a combined analysis of the two growing seasons).....	89
Table (15): Effect of the interaction between field slope and furrow length on soybean crop yield and its related parameters. (As a combined analysis of the two growing seasons).....	90

LIST OF FIGURES

Title	Page
Fig.1: Idealized energy diagram at an outlet.....	11
Fig. 2: Kc of soybean crop all over the growing season.....	29
Fig. 3: The layout of the experiment treatments.....	34
Fig. 4: Flow chart components of G-Pipe simulation model program for simulating outflow and pressure at gates along the pipeline of gated pipes irrigation system.....	37
Fig. 5: Calibration tank for gated pipe.....	38
Fig. 6: Locally manufactured furrow profile meter.....	39
Fig. 7: Flow chart components of SIRMOD simulation model program for simulating the hydraulics of surface irrigation (furrow) at field level.....	42
Fig. 8: Flow chart components of SALTMED simulation model program for simulating moisture and salinity distributions in soil profile affected by irrigation system and soil type.....	43
Fig. 9: Measured and predicted discharge from every gate (q, lit/sec) along gated pipeline (12 m length and 16 gates) under zero slope of pipeline by using two different G-pipe simulation model as a primary conditions (total outflow or head after valve inputs).....	46
Fig. 10: Relationship between measured and predicted outflow from gates (q, lit/sec) along gated pipeline (12 m length and 16 gates) under zero slope of pipeline by using two different G-pipe simulation models as primary conditions (total outflow (a) or head after valve (b) inputs).....	46
Fig. 11: Measured and predicted head at every gate (h, m) along gated pipeline (12 m length and 16 gates) under zero slope of pipeline by using two different G-pipe simulation model as a primary conditions (total outflow or head after	

valve inputs).....	47
Fig. 12: Relationship between measured and predicted head at every gate (h, m) along gated pipeline (12 m length and 16 gates) under zero slope of pipeline by using two different G-pipe simulation model as a primary conditions (total outflow (a) or head after valve (b) inputs).....	47
Fig. 13: Measured and predicted outflow from gates (q, lit/sec) along gated pipeline (6 m length and 8 gate) under zero slope of pipeline by using two different G-pipe simulation model as a primary conditions (total outflow or head after valve inputs).....	51
Fig. 14: Relationship between measured and predicted outflow from gates (q, lit/sec) along gated pipeline (6 m length and 8 gate) under zero slope of pipeline by using two different G-pipe simulation model as a primary conditions (total outflow (a) or head after valve (b) inputs).....	51
Fig. 15: Measured and predicted head at every gate (h, m) along gated pipeline (6 m length and 8 gate) under zero slope of pipeline by using two different G-pipe simulation model as a primary conditions (total outflow or head after valve inputs).....	52
Fig. 16: Relationship between measured and predicted head at every gate (h, m) along gated pipeline (6 m length and 8 gate) under zero slope of pipeline by using two different G-pipe simulation model as a primary conditions (total outflow (a) or head after valve (b) inputs).....	52
Fig. 17: Measured and predicted outflow from gates (q, lit/sec) along gated pipeline (12 m length and 16 gate) under 0.5% slope of pipeline by using two different G-pipe simulation model as a primary conditions (total outflow or head after valve inputs).....	55
Fig. 18: Relationship between measured and predicted	