

Retrospective study of the colonoscopic examination of patients presented to the Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Unit of Kasr El-Aini Hospital.

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of The Requirements of M.Sc
Degree in Tropical Medicine

By

Sameh Kamal Moursy

M.B.B.Ch

Cairo University

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Mahasen Abdel-Rahman Mabrouk

Professor of Tropical Medicine

Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

Dr. Naglaa Ali Zayed

Lecturer of Tropical Medicine

Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

Dr. Wafaa Ahmed El Akel

Fellow of Tropical Medicine

Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

Faculty of Medicine

Cairo University

2009

Acknowledgement

First of all, Thanks to **GOD**, without his will, nothing could have been achieved.

My gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mahasen Abdel Rahman** Professor of Tropical Medicine, Cairo University, for her support and endless advices and help. I wish one day to have her way of thinking and part of her perfectionism and part of her knowledge.

I would like to thank **Prof. Dr. Sameh Labib**, The head of endoscopy unit, Cairo University, for the facilities he gave to me to perform this work.

I owe special thanks to **Dr. Naglaa Zayed**, Lecturer of Tropical Medicine, Cairo University, for her patience, unfailing help, advice and strict supervision. Without her guidance, this work would have never come to light.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to **Dr. Wafaa El-Akel**, fellow of Tropical Medicine, Cairo University, for her strict supervision and revision of this work, her valuable comments, efforts and collaboration

were the causes to complete this work properly, so no words can express my gratitude to her.

I would like to thank my friends **Dr. Mohammed Abdel Hafez, Dr. Mahmoud Magdy, Dr. Mahmoud Abdu and Dr. Sherin Abdel Fattah** for their help and advice.

I would like to thank my family for their endless support and care for my whole life. They were always helping and encouraging me to continue and finish this work. I really owe to them so much.

Last, but certainly not least, I owe to the patients included in this study. May God alleviate their sufferings and may all our efforts be just for their own benefit.

Table of contents

Abstract	1
Introduction	2
Aim of the work	5
Review of literature	6
Chapter (1) Antomy and diseases of the colon	6
Chapter (2) Colonoscopy	13
Chapter (3) Inflammatory bowel disease	34
Chapter (4) Diverticular disease of the colon	49
Chapter (5) Colorectal polyps	57
Chapter (6) Colorectal cancer	71
Chapter (7) Lower gastrointestinal bleeding	89
Patients and methods	104
Results	107
Discussion	145
Summary and recommendations	154
References	157
Arabic summary	193

List of tables

Table 1	Routine indications for diagnostic colonoscopy	14
Table 2	Routine indications for therapeutic colonoscopy	16
Table 3	Situations in which colonoscopy is not indicated or has a low diagnostic yield	17
Table 4	Potential complications of colonoscopy and bowel preparations	23
Table 5	Checklist of items to include in colonoscopy report	27
Table 6	Post polypectomy surveillance guidelines	68
Table 7	Modified Astler-Coller (MAC) and Duke's staging systems for colorectal cancer	80
Table 8	Summary of surveillance recommendations for individuals with significant personal history of colorectal neoplasia	87
Table 9	Causes of lower-GI hemorrhage	90
Table 10	Recommended treatment of hemorrhoids based on degree of prolapse	99
Table 11	Age and sex distribution of all cases	107
Table 12	Colonoscopic examination regarding preparation, extent and completeness of the procedure	109
Table 13	Demographic and examination related data in the study	111
Table 14	Indications of colonoscopy	112
Table 15	Age distribution in relation to colonoscopic indication	114
Table 16	Comparison of age according to indication of colonoscopic examination	116
Table 17	Sex distribution in relation to indication of colonoscopy	117
Table 18	Colonoscopic findings among the study	119
Table 19	Colonoscopic findings in relation to age	121
Table 20	Demographic data and completeness of examination in patients presented by anemia	123
Table 21	Colonoscopic findings in relation to anemia	124
Table 22	Demographic data and completeness of examination in patients presented by bleeding per rectum (B/R)	127
Table 23	Colonoscopic findings in relation to B/R	128

Table 24	Demographic data and completeness of examination in patients presented by constipation	130
Table 25	Colonoscopic findings in relation to constipation	131
Table 26	Demographic data and completeness of examination in patients presented by diarrhea	133
Table 27	Colonoscopic findings in relation to diarrhea	133
Table 28	Demographic data and completeness of examination in patients presented by abdominal pain	135
Table 29	Colonoscopic findings in relation to pain	135
Table 30	Demographic data and completeness of examination in patients presented by screening for malignancy	137
Table 31	Colonoscopic findings in relation to screening for malignancy	138
Table 32	Demographic data and completeness of examination in patients presented by investigation for malignancy	140
Table 33	Colonoscopic findings in relation to malignancy FI	141
Table 34	Indications for colonoscopy and diagnostic yield	143

List of figures

Figure 1	Age and sex distribution of all cases	108
Figure 2	Extent of colonoscopy in the studied group	110
Figure 3	Indications of colonoscopy	113
Figure 4	Age distribution in relation to colonoscopic indication	115
Figure 5	Sex distribution in relation to indication of colonoscopy	118
Figure 6	Colonoscopic findings among the study	120
Figure 7	Colonoscopic findings in relation to age	121
Figure 8	Colonoscopic findings in relation to anemia	125
Figure 9	Colonoscopic findings in relation to B/R	128
Figure 10	Colonoscopic findings in relation to constipation	131
Figure 11	Colonoscopic findings in relation to diarrhea	134
Figure 12	Colonoscopic findings in relation to pain	136
Figure 13	Colonoscopic findings in relation to screening for malignancy	138
Figure 14	Colonoscopic findings in relation to malignancy for investigation	142
Figure 15	Diagnostic yield in relation to indications for colonoscopy	144

List of pictures

Picture 1	Chromoendoscopy with magnification.	32
Picture 2	Narrow band imaging with magnification	32
Picture 3	Confocal endomicroscopy	33
Picture 4	pancolitis with irregular surface due to extensive ulcerations, spontaneous haemorrhage, and pseudopolyps	40
Picture 5	Mucosal biopsy: active ulcerative colitis	40
Picture 6	Sigmoid colon: linear and serpiginous ulcerations and patchy inflammation	44
Picture 7	Crohn's disease of the rectum with several ulcers	44
Picture 8	Mucosal biopsy: loosely formed granuloma with giant cells and surrounding lymphoid cuff in case of active Crohn's disease	44
Picture 9	Endoscopic pictures of diverticulum	56
Picture 10	Endoscopic view of colonic polyps in a patient with juvenile polyposis coli	70
Picture 11	Large colon carcinoma with stenosis of the lumen	88
Picture 12	Endoscopic picture of (A) Adenoma and (B) Carcinoma	88
Picture 13	Capsule endoscopy image of angiodysplasia.	97

List of abbreviations

AAPC	Attenuated adenomatous polyposis coli
ACG	American College of Gastroenterology
ACS-MSTF	American Cancer Society- Multi-Society Task Force
AJCC	American Joint Committee on Cancer
ANCA	Antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies
APC	Adenomatosis polyposis coli
ASA	American Society of Anesthesiologists
ASCA	Anti-Saccharomyces cerevisiae antibodies
ASGE	American Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy
AVM	Arteriovenous Malformation
CCDs	Charge Coupled Devices
CD	Crohn's disease
CEA	Carcinoembryonic antigen
CRC	Colorectal carcinoma
CT	Computed Tomography
CTC	Computed tomographic colonography
DCBE	Double-contrast barium enema
EGD	Esophagogastroduodenoscopy
ERCP	Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography
EUS	Endoscopic Ultrasonography
FAP	Familial adenomatous polyposis
FIT	Fecal immunochemical test
FJP	Familial juvenile polyposis
FOBT	Fecal occult blood tests

GGT	Gama Glutamyl Transferase
GI	Gastrointestinal
HNPCC	Hereditary Non-Polyposis Colorectal Cancer
HPS	Hyperplastic polyposis syndrome
IBD	Inflammatory bowel disease
IFN	Interferon
IL	Interleukin
LGIB	Lower gastrointestinal bleeding
MMR	Mismatch repair
MRI	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
NBI	Narrow Band Imaging
NG	Nasogastric
NSAIDs	Nonsteroidal Anti inflammatory Drugs
PET	Positron emission tomography
PJS	Peutz-Jeghers syndrome
RLQ	Right Lower Quadrant
TIPS	Transarterial intrahepatic portosystemic shunt
TNF	Tumour necrosis factor
UC	Ulcerative colitis
US	Ultrasound
WHO	World health organization

Abstract

Aim: The aim of this retrospective study is to analyze the colonoscopic findings and to identify the yield of the major indications for colonoscopy and the pattern of colorectal diseases in our community.

Patients and Methods: A retrospective study conducted during the period from May **2004** to December **2007** to analyze the colonoscopic findings of patients presented with lower gastrointestinal manifestations to the Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Unit of Kasr El-Aini Hospital. It included 3698 cases, 2129 were males (57.6%) and 1569 females (42.4%). Their ages ranged from 1 to 90 years with a mean age of 40.9. Of whom 90% aged above 18 years. Complete examination up to the cecum or terminal ileum was possible in 2331cases (63.5%).

Results: Bleeding per rectum was the commonest presentation representing 47.6%, followed by constipation (14.6%), abdominal pain (12.6%) and diarrhea (9.5%). Pathological findings were identified in 2054 patients (55.5%). Polyps, colitis, ulcers, piles and mass were the commonest findings followed by angiodysplasia, diverticulosis and stricture. The highest diagnostic yield was found in patients presented by bleeding per rectum (65%) followed by anaemia (55%), follow up of malignancy (51%), constipation and screening for malignancy (45%) for each, abdominal pain (43%) and diarrhea (42%).

Conclusion: Colonic diseases are not uncommon in our part of the world. Colonoscopy is a rewarding procedure in those patients referred with lower gastrointestinal manifestations.

Key words: Colonoscopy, diagnostic yield, abdominal pain, bleeding per rectum, diarrhea, anemia, polyps, mass, colitis.

Introduction

By the turn of the millennium, colonoscopy had emerged as the first line imaging investigation of the colon. The procedure is more sensitive than radiological imaging and offers a range of therapeutic options. The procedure is often painful for the patient. Over sedation, perforation, bleeding and procedure related death remain much feared complications (*Bowles et al, 2004*).

Experienced endoscopists are able to reach the cecum in more than 90% of all cases. When complicating factors are controlled intubation rate of 98% can be achieved however, both time and success rate to reach the cecum are depending on the endoscopist's expertise (*Harewood, 2005*).

Colorectal lesions are classified as neoplastic and non neoplastic and endoscopically, classified as polypoid or nonpolypoid, the polypoid type consists of the pedunculated or semipedunculated and sessile morphology. The nonpolypoid type is composed of slightly elevated, completely flat, and slightly depressed lesions (*Matsui et al, 2000*).

Cancer, adenomatous polyp, inflammatory bowel disease, and angiodysplasia were considered relevant endoscopic findings, as were less-common conditions such as non-neoplastic stenosis, ischemic colitis, and pseudomembranous colitis (*Morini et al, 2001*).

Colorectal lesions could be diagnosed by colonoscopy, proctoscopy, sigmoidoscopy, barium enema, double-contrast studies, plain abdominal radiography, ultrasonography, endo-anal ultrasonography, virtual colonoscopy, CT scan, MRI and blood cell scans- radiolabelled WBC scans using inditum-111 or technetium-99. Colonoscopy is the most important investigation, because it examines the

entire colon and has both diagnostic and therapeutic capabilities (*Brain, 2007*).

In a study done by *Zakaria et al (2001)* on **1500** Egyptians subjected to colonoscopy, 25.1% showed inflammatory and ulcerative colonic lesions presented mainly by bloody diarrhea and less commonly by abdominal pain and rectal prolapse. Colorectal polyps were detected in 19.7% commonly presented by bloody diarrhea and less commonly by abdominal pain, constipation and anaemia. Colonic mass was detected in 10.2% commonly presented by blood diarrhea and less commonly by abdominal mass, weight loss or occult blood in stool. Colonic diverticulosis was detected in 3% of cases mostly presented by bloody diarrhoea, constipation and abdominal pain. Normal colonic mucosa was found in 25% of cases, bleeding per rectum was the commonest clinical presentation followed by chronic diarrhea, abdominal pain and constipation. Primary piles was detected in 17%, angiodysplasia in 0.73% of cases.

According to the year **2000** guidelines of the American Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ASGI) there are several established indications for colonoscopy: they include evaluation of abnormalities on barium enema or other imaging studies, evaluation of unexplained gastrointestinal bleeding or iron deficiency anemia., screening and surveillance of colonic neoplasia, chronic inflammatory bowel disease and significant diarrhea of unexplained origin. Other therapeutic indications include interventions like hemostasis, polypectomy, foreign body removal, ballon dilation and palliative treatment of neoplasms, among others (*H''afner, 2007*).

Colonoscopic surveillance is recommended for patients with adenomas because the risks of new (metachronous) adenomas and colorectal cancer among these patients are greater than they are among persons without adenomas (*Winawer et al, 2003*).

Aim of the study

The aim of this work is to analyze the colonoscopic findings of patients presented with lower gastrointestinal symptoms to the Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Unit of Kasr El-Aini Hospital during the period from May **2004** to December **2007**.