The Role of Mycoplasma Infection, IL-1 Polymorphism, and CD4⁺CD25⁺ Regulatory T-Lymphocytes in Rheumatoid Arthritis

THESIS

Submitted in the Partial Fulfillment of the MD Degree in Clinical and Chemical Pathology

By

BOTROS GERGES MORCOS

M.B.B.CH., MSc. Clinical and Chemical Pathology (Cairo Univ.)
Assistant Researcher, Immunogenetics Department
National Research Centre

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. TAGHRID MOHAMED GAAFAR

Professor of Clinical and Chemical Pathology Faculty of Medicine Cairo University

Prof. Dr. FATEN SAYED BAYOUMI

Professor of Microbiolgy National Research Centre

Dr. MAI MAHMOUD SHERIF

Ass. Professor of Clinical and Chemical Pathology Faculty of Medicine Cairo University

> Faculty of Medicine Cairo University 2012

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Potential autoantigens in rheumatoid arthritis	23
Table 2: Level of production of synovial cytokines in RA:	32
Table 3: Reaction mixture of both IL-1β and IL-1RN PCR:	89
Table 4: Reaction mixture of Mycoplasma fermentans PCR:	91
Table 5: Demographic data of the study groups	100
Table 6: Activity and severity indices of RA patients.	102
Table 7: Laboratory investigations.	102
Table 8: Groups of RA patients according to Disease Activity Score.	103
Table 9: CD4 ⁺ CD25 ⁺ T cells in control and RA groups	104
Table 10: Comparison of CD4 ⁺ CD25 ⁺ T cell count between control and RA groups	107
Table 11: Comparison of CD4 ⁺ CD25 ⁺ T cells level between RA groups.	107
Table 12: IL-1B +3954 & IL-1RN +2018 genotypes in controls and in patients groups	110
Table 13: Significance of gentypic distribution of IL-1B +3954 gene between control	
and patients groups	113
Table 14: Allele and genotype frequency and carriage rate of IL-1B +3954 gene in	
control and patients groups.	114
Table 15: Allele and genotype frequency and carriage rate of IL-1B +3954 gene in	
patients with RA grouped according to age of onset, Rheumatoid factor	
and Extra-articular manifestations.	114
Table 16: Significance of allelic distribution of IL-1RN +2018 gene between control	
and patients groups	115
Table 17: Allele and genotype frequency of IL-1RN +2018 gene in control and patients	
groups	116
Table 18: Allele and genotype frequency and carriage rate of IL-1RN +2018 gene in	
patients with RA grouped according to age of onset, Rheumatoid factor and	
Extra-articular manifestations.	116
Index Table I: Data of patients and control cases.	160

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 1:	Molecular mimicry, Superantigen and Heat shock protein	16
Fig. 2:	The IL-1 system: the molecules and signal transduction	39
Fig. 3:	Activation of the acquired immune system with IL-1	42
Fig. 4:	Involvement of IL-1 in the development of autoimmunity and arthritis	43
Fig. 5:	Allele description of the three IL-1 family gene polymorphisms	47
Fig. 6:	Cytokine and transcription factor interactions in the development of effector and regulatory immune responses	60
Fig. 7:	Sex Distribution of Patients (A) and Control (B) Groups	.101
Fig. 8:	Classification of patients according to Diasease Activity Score (DAS)	.103
Fig. 9:	Representative Flow cytometry histograms of CD4 ⁺ CD25 ⁺ cell analyses in two patients (A&B)	104
Fig. 10:	(A) Mean CD4 ⁺ CD25 ⁺ T cell percentage in the control and patient groups (B) Mean absolute count of CD4 ⁺ CD25 ⁺ T cell per cmm in the control and patient groups	105
Fig. 11:	Correlation between Disease Activity Score and %CD4 ⁺ CD25 ⁺ T-cells	107
Fig. 12:	CD4 ⁺ CD25 ⁺ T-cell count in Control and different levels of Disease Acitivity	
	Score (DAS) groups.	.107
Fig. 13:	RFLP of IL-1B +3954 gene cut by Taq I.	.109
Fig. 14:	RFLP of IL-1RN +2018 gene cut by Alu I	.110
Fig. 15:	Genotypic frequencies of IL-1B +3954 gene in different study groups	111
Fig. 16:	Genotypic frequencies of IL-1RN +2018 gene in different study groups	.111
Fig. 17:	Percentage genotypic distribution of IL-1B +3954 gene in control and patients	
	groups	112
Fig. 18:	Percentage genotypic distribution of IL-1RN +2018 gene in control and patients	
	groups	112

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AcP Accessory protein

ACPA Anti-citrullinated protein antibodies ACR American College of Rheumatology

anti-CCP Anti-cyclic citrullinated protein antibodies

APC Antigen-presenting cell aTreg Adaptive regulatory T

BiP Immunoglobulin heavy-chain binding protein

cDNA Complementary DNA

COX Cyclooxygenase

CSF Colony-stimulating factor

CTLA Cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen

DAF Decay activating factor
DAS Disease activity score

DC Dendritic cell

EAM Extra-articular manifestations

EBV Epstein-Barr virus

FGF Fibroblast Growth Factor FoxP3 Forkhead box protein 3

GATA A family of transcription factors that bind to DNA

gc g-Chain

GM-CSF Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor

GPI Glucose-6-phosphate isomerase

HLA Human leukocyte antigen

hnRNP-A2 Heterogeneous nuclear ribonuceloprotein-A2

HSP Heat shock proteins

IBD Inflammatory bowel disease

ICAM-1 Intercellular Adhesion Molecule 1

ICE IL-1 β -converting enzyme

IgG Immunoglobulin G

IL-1 Interleukin-1IL-1F IL-1 familyIL-1R IL-1 receptor

IL-1Ra IL-1 receptor antagonist

IRAK IL-1 receptor-associated kinase

LFA-1 Lymphocyte function-associated antigen 1
MCP-1 macrophage chemoattractant protein-1
MHC Major histocompatibility complex

MM Molecular mimicry

MMPs Matrix metalloproteinases

MS Multiple sclerosis
NK Natural killer
NKT Natural killer T

nTreg Naturally occurring regulatory T

OA Osteoarthritis

PADI4 Peptidyl arginine deiminase type IV

PBS Phosphate buffered saline
PDGF Platelet-Derived Growth Factor
PTPN22 Protein Tyrosine Phosphatase

QKRAA Glutamine-leucine-arginine-alanine

RA Rheumatoid arthritis
RAI Ritchie articular index

RANTES Regulated upon Activation Normal T cell Expressed, Secreted

RF Rheumatoid factor ROG Repressor of GATA

RUNX1 Runt-related transcription factor 1

SA Superantigens

SLC22A4 Solute carrier family 22 member 4 SNPs Single nucleotide polymorphisms

STAT Signal transducer and activator of transcription

TCR T cell receptor
Teff Effector T cell
TF Transcription factor

TGF-β Transforming Growth Factor-β

T_H Helper T cell

TLR Toll-like receptor

TNF- α Tumor Necrosis Factor- α Tr1 Type 1 regulatory T cell

Treg Regulatory T cell

Vaa Variable adherence-associated VCAM-1 Vascular cell adhesion molecule1

INTRODUCTION

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a debilitating autoimmune disease characterized by systemic inflammation of the joints and the ensuing destruction of cartilage and bone leading to progressive joint damage and disability (Choy & Panayi, 2001). Its prevalence is 0.5–1% in Caucasians, being somewhat lower but still important in developing countries (Mody & Cardiel, 2008). Although the exact mechanisms that are responsible for the disease remain unclear, it is accepted that different factors contribute to the etiology and pathogenesis of RA. Among these factors proinflammatory cytokines, regulatory T cells and also infectious agents like bacteria and viruses (Bystry et al., 2001; Roelofs et al., 2005; Goronzy & Weyand, 2005).

IL-1 has been implicated in RA and the ability of IL-1 to drive inflammation and joint erosion and to inhibit tissue repair processes has been clearly established in invitro systems and animal models (**Dayer**, **2003**; **Goldring**, **2003**). IL-1Ra prevents the interaction between IL-1 and its cell-surface receptors, thus acting as a naturally occurring inhibitor (**Arend**, **2002**).

Associations with polymorphisms or microsatellites have been identified for several cytokines, inflammatory mediators, and chemokines, among which interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β) and interleukin-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1Ra) (Lee *et al.*, 2004; 2009). The relative contribution of each is still poorly defined, and variations in technique, stage of disease, and patient populations result in some disagreement among various reports (**Firestein**, 2005).

Many evidences indicate that CD4⁺CD25⁺ T-regs play a key role in the maintenance of self-tolerance (Lahl et al., 2007; Sakaguchi et al., 2006). However, the number of T-regs as defined by the number of CD4⁺CD25^{+/high} or FoxP3⁺ T cells in the peripheral blood of RA patients appears to be inconclusive and contradictory across different studies (Chavele & Ehrenstein, 2011). Also, the observed increase in T-reg cell numbers in the synovial fluid of RA patients is contradictory to the assumption that lower numbers of T-regs would be present in RA patients (Cao et al., 2003). This finding leads to the possibility that T-reg cells in RA patients may be non-functional and this hypothesis currently appears correct, as T-reg cells isolated from the synovial fluid of RA patients appear to be functionally inactive in regards to their pro-inflammatory cytokine production ability suppress (Ehrenstein et al., 2004).

Mycoplasmas are a major cause of acute and chronic arthritis in animals and can induce arthritis in animal experimental models (Rivera *et al.*, 2002).

Using PCR techniques, the presence of mycoplasmas was investigated in synovial fluids of patients with RA and other chronic arthritis. Schaeverbeke *et al.* (**1996**) showed that *M. fermentans*, but not *M. penetrans*, was detectable in 20% of these patients and other types of arthritis of unknown causes, but not in patients with reactive, post-traumatic or chronic juvenile arthritis.

AIM OF THE WORK

This study is planned to:

- (1) Determine the association of IL-1 β and IL-1Ra genes polymorphism to RA susceptibility and severity.
- (2) Assess the role of CD4⁺CD25⁺ Regulatory T cells counts in RA.
- (3) Assess the role of Mycoplasma fermentans in RA.

Chapter 1

RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is the most common systemic, autoimmune rheumatological disorder, characterized by chronic inflammation of multiple joints, synovial cell proliferation and the accumulation of T lymphocytes in synovial tissues, leading to the destruction and disability of joints (**Sharma** *et al.*, 2004). Although RA is properly considered a disease of the joints, it can cause a variety of extra-articular manifestations. These manifestations clearly show that RA has features of a systemic disease capable of involving a variety of major organ systems. In some cases, rheumatoid factor (RF) production with the formation of immune complexes that fix complement contribute to these extra-articular findings (**Firestein**, 2005).

Despite intensive work, causes of RA are still not completely known. Clues have been provided by detailed studies of immunogenetics of the class II major histocompatibility complex (MHC) loci and the usage of specific RF genes, but still what causes the disease is not known (Orozco et al., 2006). It is in the area of pathogenesis that most progress has been made since the early 1990s. The role of small-molecule mediators of inflammation, cytokines, growth factors, chemokines, adhesion molecules, and matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) has been carefully defined. New appreciation of the pathogenic mechanisms evoked by these products has increased awareness that irreversible loss of articular cartilage begins relatively early in the course of RA; therapies to

suppress the synovitis must be effective early if joint destruction is to be avoided (**Firestein, 2005**).

1.1. Pathogenesis of Rheumatoid Arthritis:

Although the etiology of RA remains a mystery, a variety of studies suggest that a blend of environmental and genetic factors is responsible. A contribution of either one is necessary but not sufficient for full expression of the disease. One or multiple genetic factors probably predispose an individual to developing RA. However, attempts to identify specific infectious agents as the etiology have generally met with disappointment. A guess, based on available data, is that several environmental stimuli, possibly viruses or retroviruses, infect an individual with the appropriate genetic background, and through some mechanism, inflammatory response is focused in joints. After gaining a grip there, the synovitis persists—even in the absence of the offending agent—because of local autoimmunity and other influences that enable the disease to become self-perpetuating. However, the role of infectious agents in the occurrence of the disease remains unclear. Incident cases of RA do not report a history of increased number of infections, and cases of RA do not present any time or space clustering (Alamanos & Drosos, 2005).

1.1.1. Genetic factors

1.1.1.1. The role of HLA-DR:

The structure of class II surface molecules on antigenpresenting cells is of great importance in the susceptibility and severity of RA and accounts for about 40 percent of the genetic influence. Initiation of certain T cell immune responses is dependent, in part, on the presence or absence of particular MHC (in this case DR) allelic products. The role of the MHC in shaping T cell receptor (TCR) gene usage has been confirmed by intense studies that characterized specific T cell repertoires in the joint or blood of RA patients, and hence a bias in the usage of TCR genes has been assumed to be an evidence of ongoing T cell activation (Goldrath & Bevan, 1999).

The genetic link between HLA-DR and RA (described in the 1970s) revealed that HLA-DR4 occurred in 70 percent of RA patients, compared to about 30 percent of controls, giving a relative risk of having RA to those with HLA-DR4 of approximately 4 to 5. Careful study of the MHC using complementary DNA (cDNA) probes directed against specific α - and β -chains of the DR loci have revealed "susceptibility cassettes," or shared epitopes on the βchains of DR that predispose to the development of RA. The susceptibility to RA is associated with the third hypervariable region of DRβ-chains, from amino acids 70 through 74 (Nepom et al., 1989; Orozco et al., 2006). The susceptibility epitope is glutamine-leucine-arginine-alanine (QKRAA), a sequence found in DR4 and DR14 (in which RA is more prevalent), in addition to some DR1\beta-chains. Individuals with DR\beta-chains exhibiting other substitutions in this region have no increased susceptibility to RA and up to 96 percent of patients with RA exhibit the appropriate HLA-DR locus, in some populations (Weyand et al., 1992).

The QKRAA epitope might also predict the severity of established RA (Calin et al., 1989). Data from one study suggest a

"dose response" effect of the HLA genes and imply that severity, rather than susceptibility, is the major contribution of HLA-DR to the disease. However, this notion is not universally true, and the interpretation depends greatly on the inclusion of patients with transient, self-limited arthritis and varies with race and ethnic background (**Thomson** *et al.*, **1993**).

However, the dose effect of the QKRAA epitope argues against a role for binding of a specific rheumatoid antigen, because DR surface density usually does not alter T cell responses. Also other genes must be involved, because many healthy individuals carry the QKRAA motif and do not develop RA; and the association between the shared epitope and RA might have little to do with antigen recognition and might function by shaping the T cell repertoire in the thymus. A reinterpretation of the shared-epitope hypothesis suggests that disease-associated alleles are not involved with presentation of organ-specific autoantigens but rather fail to provide protection against escape from tolerance (Zanelli *et al.*, 2000).

1.1.1.2. HLA-DQ associations:

Additional associations with HLA molecules implicate the DQ locus as a key MHC protein that can display arthritogenic peptides, although certain HLA-DR genes are actually protective (**Zanelli** *et al.*, 1995). Perhaps the DQ/DR haplotype is responsible for RA predisposition in humans and the polymorphism of the HLA-DR4 allele determines the degree of protection. This observation is supported by the fact that HLA-DQB1*0302 and HLA-DQB1*0301 are in linkage disequilibrium with most DR4 haplotypes, and the majority of HLA-DR4+ RA patients express one of these alleles

(**Doherty** *et al.*, **1992**). However, a recent study failed to confirm a specific association between HLA-DQ and disease susceptibility in a cohort of Dutch patients (**de Vries** *et al.*, **2000**).

1.1.1.3. Role of other genes:

Although HLA-DRB1 is the main RA gene, it accounts for only part of the familial risk for RA. HLA-DRB1 alleles are neither necessary nor sufficient to cause the development of RA in a given individual. Several genome scans conducted in populations from France, Japan, North America and UK have confirmed the role of the HLA region and suggested several other susceptibility loci. Association studies support a role for several genes, including Tumor Necrosis Factor-α (TNFR2), peptidyl arginine deiminase type IV (PADI4), Solute carrier family 22 member 4 (SLC22A4), Runt-related transcription factor 1 (RUNX1), and Protein Tyrosine Phosphatase (PTPN22) (**Dieudé & Cornélis, 2005**).

1.1.1.4. Immunoglobulins' genes:

A particular immunoglobulin kappa genotype appears to confer a risk of RA. Although it cannot be considered an immunogenetic determinant, deficient galactosylation of immunoglobulin might also be a risk factor for the development of autoimmune diseases, including RA. The immunoglobulin G (IgG) glycosylation defect is present before the onset of RA and is especially prominent on the IgG₁, IgG₂, and IgG₄ isotypes of RF (**Tsuchiya** *et al.*, **1994**; **Axford**, **1999**). The cause might be reduced galactosyl transferase activity in RA B cells. Deficient galactosylation is also thought to be predictive, in some settings, for patients with early synovitis who

will progress ultimately to full-blown RA (Rademacher et al., 1994; Axford, 1999).

1.1.1.5. Cytokine polymorphisms:

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in promoter regions or coding regions have been extensively investigated in RA. SNPs in promoter regions could lead to altered gene regulation due to variable binding of transcription factors to promoters, whereas SNPs in coding regions directly changes the amino acid sequence of the encoded protein. A second method for assessing genetic associations involves the evaluation of microsatellite sequences near key genes that are implicated in the disease. Microsatellites are tandem repeated sequences in the DNA that are primarily (but not regions. exclusively) located in noncoding Considerable heterogeneity exists in the length of each microsatellite, which can indirectly alter gene expression or be in linkage disequilibrium with undefined genetic polymorphisms. Many SNPs other microsatellites have been studied with RA. The relative contribution of each is still poorly defined, and variations in technique, stage of disease, and patient populations result in some disagreement among various reports (Firestein, 2005).

Associations with polymorphisms or microsatellites have been identified for several cytokines, inflammatory mediators, and chemokines, including interleukin-1 (IL-1), tumor necrosis factoralpha (TNF-α), CCR5, RANTES (Regulated upon Activation, Normal T cell Expressed and Secreted) and CTLA4 (Cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen) (Rodriguez *et al.*, 2002; Prahalad 2006; Yao *et al.*, 2009; Lee *et al.*, 2009). One SNP for the T cell

costimulatory molecule CTLA4 is also associated with susceptibility (**Rodriguez** *et al.*, **2002**). The contribution of each gene is relatively small compared to class II MHC, but combinations might provide an appropriate genetic background to influence the course of arthritis.

1.1.1.6. Gender:

RA is one of many chronic inflammatory diseases that predominates in women with a ratio of female to male patients 2:1 to 4:1. The basis of the gender differences is not known but presumably is related to effects of the hormonal milieu on immune function. Pregnancy is often associated with remission of the disease in the last trimester. More than three quarters of pregnant patients with RA improve, starting in the first or second trimester; but 90 percent of these experience a flare of disease associated with a rise in RF titers in the weeks or months after delivery. The mechanism of protection is not defined but might be due to the expression of suppressive cytokines such as IL-10 during pregnancy or alterations in cell-mediated immunity. A possible relationship between the alleviation of RA symptoms during the last trimester of pregnancy and immunogenetics may be supported by the observation that during pregnancy, alloantibodies in the maternal circulation develop against paternal HLA antigens (Nelson et al., 1993). Therefore, suppression of maternal immune responses to paternal HLA haplotypes might be protective. This question remains unsettled because a recent study failed to find a correlation between the HLA disparity and clinical improvement during pregnancy (Brennan et al., 2000).