



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering
Design & Production Engineering Department

Modelling and Simulation of Compound Bullwhip Effect

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment for the requirements of the
degree of Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering

Prepared by:

Mina Hemmat Mikhail Gergies

Supervised by:

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Fouad H. Abdin

Professor of Production Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohamed A. Awad

Assistant Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

2013

Examiners Committee

The undersigned certify that they have read and recommend to the Faculty of Engineering – Ain Shams University for acceptance a thesis entitled “Modelling and Simulation of Compound Bullwhip Effect”, submitted by Mina Hemmat Mikhail Gergies, in partial fulfillment of requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering.

Signature

Prof. Dr. Adel Zaki El Shabrawi
Professor of Production Engineering
Higher Technological Institute

Prof. Dr. Amin Kamel El Kharbotly
Professor of Production Engineering
Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Fouad Hosny Abdin
Professor of Production Engineering
Ain Shams University

Statement

This thesis is submitted in the partial fulfillment of a Master of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering, to Ain Shams University. The author carried out the work included in this thesis, and no part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or qualification at any other university.

Signature

Mina Hemmat Mikhail Gergies

List of publications

- [1] Mikhail, M. H., Abdin, M. F., Awad, M. A., 2012. Introducing order batching to compound bullwhip effect. Engineering Research Journal 135, M104-M116.
- [2] Mikhail, M. H., Abdin, M. F., Awad, M. A., 2013. Impact of order batching on compound bullwhip effect. The Journal of American Science 9 (6), 509-514.
- [3] Mikhail, M. H., Abdin, M. F., Awad, M. A., 2013. Modeling and simulation of compound bullwhip effect. LAP Lambert Academic Publishing, Germany.

Acknowledgment

I would like to express my great gratitude to Prof. Mohamed Fouad Abdin and Dr. Mohamed Awad for their guidance, understanding and constant support to me throughout this work. They guided me towards true research methods and it was a great pleasure for me to benefit from their experience and knowledge. I would like also to express my deepest appreciation to Prof. Nahed Sobhi for her help to me throughout this thesis.

Thanks go to all my professors who inspired me in my research, work colleagues and friends for their moral support to me. I'm also thankful to everyone helped me in this thesis and not mentioned.

Last but not least, I would like to thank my beloved family, my father, mother and sister for their endless support and prayers to me; this is what got me there.

Abstract

Order batching in supply chains provides economic benefit, where it is beneficial economically for a company to produce large batches, since it can reduce the number of facility set-ups and improve manufacturing efficiency. However, rounding of orders to achieve a batch size is recognized as a source of the bullwhip effect problem within supply chains. Previous researches revealed that by choosing a batch size that is multiple of the average demand, order rates could be close to the actual demand, producing little demand amplification, thus bullwhip effect is reduced.

This thesis considers a supply chain consisting of a supplier feeding more than one retailer in batches to cover demand for a number of future time units. Stochastic demand and price are described by a first order autoregressive AR(1) time series process. Aggregate bullwhip effect resulting from the synergistic effect between retailers demand is considered depending on demand and price parameters of the retailers along with the number of forecasting time units. Optimum batch size is selected based on the minimum mean square error (MMSE) demand forecasting method, such that aggregate bullwhip effect is less than the sum of separate bullwhip effect for each retailer.

Keywords: Bullwhip effect BWE, order batching, demand forecasting, separate bullwhip effect, aggregate bullwhip effect.

Summary

Bullwhip effect is defined as the increase of order variability as one move up the supply chain from customers to retailers, wholesalers, distributors, and suppliers. It is considered one of the main problems in supply chains, resulting in huge and costly disturbances at the supplier end of the chain.

This thesis considers a two-stage serial supply chain consisting of a supplier feeding more than one retailer simultaneously with stochastic demand and price described by a first-order autoregressive AR(1) time series process. Compound or aggregate BWE is introduced resulting from the interaction between the demand streams (retailers); this should be less than the sum of separate BWE of each retailer for higher system performance.

Supplier delivers orders to retailers in batches to gain economic benefit by aggregating demand to save in production and transmission costs. However, order batching amplifies bullwhip effect depending on the batch size. Orders placed to supplier depend on past demand and demand change due to demand forecasting update. Batching is done by aggregating demand for (n) future time units to determine the batch size to be delivered based on the minimum mean square error (MMSE) forecasting method.

Formulas are reached that relates both, separate and aggregate bullwhip effect to demand and price parameters of each retailer, in addition to, the number of forecasting time units' (n). Demand parameters are defined including: demand autocorrelation, cross-correlation, and demand interaction; price parameters including: price autocorrelation, price cross-correlation with demand, and market responsiveness to price changes.

Before issuing an order, supplier should make sure that aggregate BWE is dampened over the sum of separate BWE for higher system performance and lower costs. This is done by substituting in separate and aggregate BWE formulas, knowing demand and price parameters of the retailers and the number of forecasting time units' (n) to determine the optimum batch size to be delivered.

Simulation is run for various demand and price variables. It is shown that for high demand interaction between retailers, aggregate BWE is amplified. The retailers follow each other in demand increasing or decreasing, resulting in the magnification of demand forecasting error. So, it is preferred that demand interaction between retailers is as low as possible.

For the supplier to gain economic benefit of batching, it is possible that orders are delivered to retailers in batches with large batch size depending on the number of forecasting time units' (n). It is shown that by increasing demand forecasting, aggregate BWE is increased due to the aggregation of demand forecasting error, resulting in higher costs.

Output plots show the effect of demand and price parameters of each retailer along with demand interaction between retailers on separate and aggregate BWE at different forecasting times. For each case, optimum batch size is selected, such that aggregate BWE is less than the sum of separate BWE of each retailer.

Notations

a_i	Average (mean) demand for retailer i
β_{ip}	Market responsiveness to price changes
$d_{i,t}$	Demand during time t for a single retailer
D_i	Aggregated demand forecast for retailer i
$\varepsilon_{i,t}$	Random error due to demand forecasting
λ	Price autocorrelation
M_i	Bullwhip effect of a single demand stream
\overline{M}	Aggregate (compound) BWE of the two streams
μ	Stationary demand over time
n	Number of future time units (days, weeks, months)
p_t	Product's dynamic price during time t
$q_{i,t}$	Order made during time t from a single retailer
ρ_{ii}	Autocorrelation due to demand inertia for retailer i
ρ_{ij}	Demand interaction between the two streams
σ_{ij}	Demand cross-correlation between the two streams
σ_{ip}	Cross-correlation between demand and price
$y_{i,t}$	Order up-to-level for time t
z_t	Variable demand during time t

List of figures

Fig.	Title	Page
1.1	Aggregate BWE for retailers 1&2	3
1.2	Schematic diagram for the proposed supply chain	4
2.1	Demand amplification across the supply chain	9
2.2	Bullwhip effect causes	10
2.3	Production and packaging orders from a soft drink manufacturer	11
2.4	Impact of batching on bullwhip with stochastic demand	14
4.1	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.1, \sigma_{ij} = 0.1, n = 1)$	50
4.2	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.1, \sigma_{ij} = 0.1, n = 2)$	51
4.3	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.1, \sigma_{ij} = 0.1, n = 10)$	51
4.4	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.2, \sigma_{ij} = 0.2, n = 1)$	52
4.5	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.2, \sigma_{ij} = 0.2, n = 2)$	52
4.6	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.5, \sigma_{ij} = 0.5, n = 1)$	53
4.7	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.5, \sigma_{ij} = 0.5, n = 3)$	54
4.8	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.5, \sigma_{ij} = -0.5, n = 1)$	55
4.9	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.5, \sigma_{ij} = -0.5, n = 3)$	56
4.10	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.5, \sigma_{ij} = -0.5, n = 5)$	56
4.11	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.5, \sigma_{ij} = -0.3, n = 2)$	57
4.12	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = -0.5, \sigma_{ij} = 0.5, n = 1)$	58
4.13	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = -0.5, \sigma_{ij} = 0.5, n = 3)$	59
4.14	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = -0.5, \sigma_{ij} = 0.5, n = 5)$	59
4.15	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = -0.5, \sigma_{ij} = 0.5, n = 10)$	60

Fig.	Title	Page
4.16	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = -0.5, \sigma_{ij} = -0.5, n = 1)$	61
4.17	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = -0.5, \sigma_{ij} = -0.5, n = 3)$	62
4.18	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = -0.5, \sigma_{ij} = -0.5, n = 5)$	62
4.19	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = -0.5, \sigma_{ij} = -0.5, n = 10)$	63
4.20	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.5, \sigma_{ij} = 0.5, \sigma_{ip} = 0.5, \lambda = 0.5, n = 1)$	66
4.21	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.5, \sigma_{ij} = 0.5, \sigma_{ip} = 0.5, \lambda = 0.5, n = 5)$	67
4.22	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.5, \sigma_{ij} = 0.5, \sigma_{ip} = -0.5, \lambda = 0.5, n = 1)$	67
4.23	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.5, \sigma_{ij} = 0.5, \sigma_{ip} = -0.5, \lambda = 0.5, n = 2)$	68
4.24	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.5, \sigma_{ij} = 0.5, \sigma_{ip} = 0.5, \lambda = -0.5, n = 1)$	69
4.25	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.5, \sigma_{ij} = 0.5, \sigma_{ip} = 0.5, \lambda = -0.5, n = 5)$	69
4.26	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.5, \sigma_{ij} = 0.5, \sigma_{ip} = -0.5, \lambda = -0.5, n = 1)$	70
4.27	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.5, \sigma_{ij} = 0.5, \sigma_{ip} = -0.5, \lambda = -0.5, n = 5)$	71
4.28	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.5, \sigma_{ij} = -0.5, \sigma_{ip} = 0.5, \lambda = 0.5, n = 1)$	72
4.29	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.5, \sigma_{ij} = -0.5, \sigma_{ip} = 0.5, \lambda = 0.5, n = 5)$	73
4.30	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.5, \sigma_{ij} = -0.5, \sigma_{ip} = -0.5, \lambda = 0.5, n = 1)$	73
4.31	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.5, \sigma_{ij} = -0.5, \sigma_{ip} = -0.5, \lambda = 0.5, n = 3)$	74
4.32	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.5, \sigma_{ij} = -0.5, \sigma_{ip} = 0.5, \lambda = -0.5, n = 1)$	75
4.33	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.5, \sigma_{ij} = -0.5, \sigma_{ip} = 0.5, \lambda = -0.5, n = 5)$	75
4.34	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.5, \sigma_{ij} = -0.5, \sigma_{ip} = -0.5, \lambda = -0.5, n = 1)$	76
4.35	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = 0.5, \sigma_{ij} = -0.5, \sigma_{ip} = -0.5, \lambda = -0.5, n = 5)$	77
4.36	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = -0.5, \sigma_{ij} = 0.5, \sigma_{ip} = 0.5, \lambda = 0.5, n = 1)$	78
4.37	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = -0.5, \sigma_{ij} = 0.5, \sigma_{ip} = 0.5, \lambda = 0.5, n = 5)$	79
4.38	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = -0.5, \sigma_{ij} = 0.5, \sigma_{ip} = -0.5, \lambda = 0.5, n = 1)$	79

Fig.	Title	Page
4.39	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = -0.5, \sigma_{ij} = 0.5, \sigma_{ip} = -0.5, \lambda = 0.5, n = 5)$	80
4.40	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = -0.5, \sigma_{ij} = 0.5, \sigma_{ip} = 0.5, \lambda = -0.5, n = 1)$	81
4.41	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = -0.5, \sigma_{ij} = 0.5, \sigma_{ip} = 0.5, \lambda = -0.5, n = 5)$	81
4.42	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = -0.5, \sigma_{ij} = 0.5, \sigma_{ip} = -0.5, \lambda = -0.5, n = 1)$	82
4.43	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = -0.5, \sigma_{ij} = 0.5, \sigma_{ip} = -0.5, \lambda = -0.5, n = 5)$	83
4.44	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = -0.5, \sigma_{ij} = -0.5, \sigma_{ip} = 0.5, \lambda = 0.5, n = 1)$	84
4.45	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = -0.5, \sigma_{ij} = -0.5, \sigma_{ip} = 0.5, \lambda = 0.5, n = 5)$	85
4.46	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = -0.5, \sigma_{ij} = -0.5, \sigma_{ip} = -0.5, \lambda = 0.5, n = 1)$	85
4.47	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = -0.5, \sigma_{ij} = -0.5, \sigma_{ip} = -0.5, \lambda = 0.5, n = 5)$	86
4.48	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = -0.5, \sigma_{ij} = -0.5, \sigma_{ip} = 0.5, \lambda = -0.5, n = 1)$	87
4.49	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = -0.5, \sigma_{ij} = -0.5, \sigma_{ip} = 0.5, \lambda = -0.5, n = 5)$	87
4.50	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = -0.5, \sigma_{ij} = -0.5, \sigma_{ip} = -0.5, \lambda = -0.5, n = 1)$	88
4.51	Separate and aggregate BWE for $(\rho_{ii} = -0.5, \sigma_{ij} = -0.5, \sigma_{ip} = -0.5, \lambda = -0.5, n = 5)$	89

Table of contents

Statement	i
List of publications	ii
Acknowledgment.....	iii
Abstract.....	iv
Summary.....	v
Notations.....	vii
List of figures	viii
Table of contents	xi

Chapter 1: Introduction..... 1

1-1 Problem definition.....	2
1-2 Scope of the work	3
1-3 Organization of the thesis	5

Chapter 2: Literature review..... 6

2-1 Bullwhip phenomenon in supply chains	7
2-2 Bullwhip effect causes	9
2-3 Bullwhip effect and order batching.....	10
2-4 Effect of order batch size on BWE	12
2-5 Demand sharing and forecasting.....	14
2-6 Compound bullwhip effect	17
2-7 Proposed research	18

Chapter 3: Mathematical model 20

3-1 Order model	21
-----------------------	----

3-2 Bullwhip effect measure	23
3-3 Demand model	25
3-4 Eliminating price changes	27
3-4-1 Bullwhip effect calculation.....	28
3-4-2 Bullwhip Effect equations	35
3-5 Considering demand and price changes.....	36
3-5-1 Bullwhip effect calculation.....	39
3-5-2 Bullwhip Effect equations	45
Chapter 4: Results and discussion	47
4-1 Simulating model with demand variations	48
4-1-1 Positive demand autocorrelation and demand cross-correlation	50
4-1-2 Positive demand autocorrelation and negative demand cross-correlation	55
4-1-3 Negative demand autocorrelation and positive demand cross- correlation	58
4-1-4 Negative demand autocorrelation and demand cross-correlation	61
4-2 Simulating model with demand and price variations	64
4-2-1 Positive demand autocorrelation and demand cross-correlation	66
4-2-2 Positive demand autocorrelation and negative demand cross-correlation	72
4-2-3 Negative demand autocorrelation and positive demand cross- correlation	78
4-2-4 Negative demand autocorrelation and demand cross-correlation	84

Chapter 5: Conclusion and future work	90
5-1 Research conclusion.....	91
5-2 Area of future work.....	93
References	94
Appendix A: Matlab code	98