EVALUATION OF CHENOPODIUM QUINOA WILLD AS A NEW FORAGE CROP UNDER EGYPTIAN CONDITION

By

ABDALLAH MASOUD ELSAYED MASOUD

B.Sc. Agric. Sc (Animal production), Ain Shams University, 2011

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
Of
The requirements for the degree of

MASTER IN AGRICULTURE SCIENCE

(Animal Nutrition)

Department of Animal production Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

Approval Sheet

EVALUATION OF CHENOPODIUM QUINOA WILLD AS A NEW FORAGE CROP UNDER EGYPTIAN CONDITION

$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$

ABDALLAH MASOUD ELSAYED MASOUD

B.Sc. Agric. Sc (Animal production), Ain Shams University, 2011

This thesis for M.Sc degree has been approved by:		
Dr. Mohamed Ahmed Hanafy		
Prof. Emeritus of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo		
University		
Dr. Hamdy Mohammad Khattab		
Prof. Emeritus of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain		
Shams University		
Dr. Etab Ramadan Abd El-Galil		
Associate Prof. of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain		
Shams University.		
Dr. Nasr El -Sayed Yehia El-Bordeny		
Associate Prof. of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain		
Shams University		
Date of Examination: //2016		

EVALUATION OF CHENOPODIUM QUINOA WILLD AS A NEW FORAGE CROP UNDER EGYPTIAN CONDITION

By

ABDALLAH MASOUD ELSAYED MASOUD

B.Sc. Agric. Sc (Animal production), Ain Shams University, 2011

Under the supervision of:

Dr. Nasr El -Sayed Yehia El-Bordeny

Associate Prof. of Animal Nutrition, Dep. of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University. (Principal Supervisor).

Dr. Etab Ramadan Abd El-Galil

Associate Prof. of Animal Nutrition, Dep. of Animal Production Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Sayed Shaban Eisa

Prof. of Potany, Faculty of Agriculture, Dep. Of Botanay, Ain Shams University.

ABSTRACT

Abdallah Masoud El Sayed Masoud: Evaluation of *chenopodium quinoa* willd as a new fodder crop under Egyptian condition. Unpublished M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Animal production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2016.

This study aimed to evaluate the productivity, chemical composition of chenopodium quinoa willd as well as In vitro and In vivo evaluation of inclusion quinoa hay in lambs ration. Two seed types of *Chenopodium* quinoa Willd .cv. Hualhuas and CICA were cultivated at two different locations (non saline soil and saline soil). At harvest stage, plant from one square meter in the central of each plot were cut at ground level and productivity was determined. In vitro evaluation of quinoa hay was applied on two steps the first was to evaluate the feeding value of Chenopodium quinoa willd plant as a single source of feed in comparison with clover hay, where the two stage in vitro technique was applied and the second was to evaluate effect of substituting clover hay with quinoa hay in ruminant rations, where the one stage *In vitro* technique developed was applied. The best substituting level of quinoa cultivated under saline soil condition was applied in the growth trial. Which twelve growing Barqi male lambs with mean initial live body weight of 24.58 ±1.34 kg were divided into two similar groups, six animals each. The animals were randomly assigned to receive one of the two rations for 90 day. Feed intake, nutrient digestibility, rumen fermentation parameters, blood parameters, body weight gain and feed conversion were evaluated.

In general, CICA cultivar significantly produced higher forage yield than that obtained from Hualhuas .The maximum forage yield of CICA cultivar recorded under non-saline at summer season. The cultivated quinoa varieties recorded lower OM content and higher Ash, Protein, Ether Extract, natural detergent fiber (NDF) and acid detergent fiber (ADF) contents but lower OM content compared to clover hay. No significant (P>0.05) differences were

recorded for In Vitro DM and OM disappearance (IVDMD and IVOMD) for quinoa plants (CICA cultivar) cultivated under salinity condition compared to clover hay. The feed intake as dry matter intake (DMI) and total digestible nutrient intake (TDNI) either as g h-1 d-1 or g kg0.75 d-1 was recorded numerically higher value for quinoa group compared to control group. The differences were not significant (P>0.05) for both DMI and TDNI as kg^{0.75} d⁻¹. The animals fed ration containing quinoa hay recorded lower average total bacterial count, total Entodenium and total protozoa count in comparison with the animals fed alfalfa hay as single source of roughage and higher values of ammonia concentration compared to quinoa group at 0, 3 and 6 hrs post feeding as well as the mean values. No significant differences were recorded between the control and quinoa groups in all nutrients digestibility as well as the nutritive values as TDN and DCP. The quinoa group recorded significantly higher serum total protein content compared to control group. While no significant differences were recorded in Albumin Globulin, Cholesterol, ALT, AST, Alkaline phosphates, Urea and Creatinine. Insignificant differences were recorded between the control and quinoa group in final body weight, total gain and average body weight gain. Also non significant differences in the feed conversion as DM, CP and TDN for the control group were observed compared to quinoa group.

It could be used quinoa as a feed for sheep replaced by 50% forage portion in sheep rations.

Key words:

Chenopodium quinoa, productivity, in vitro, growth and digestibility.

CONTENTS

	page
LIST OF TABLES	IV
LIST OF FIGURES	VIII
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
1. Egypt Agro-ecological Zones	3
1. The Nile Valley and Delta	3
2. North Coastal Zone	4
3. The Inland Sinai and Eastern Desert	4
4. The Western Desert	4
2. Livestock and fodder sowing Statistics at salt affected zones	5
3. Halophytes plants as animal feed	5
4. Chenopodium Quinoa plant	8
4.1. Chenopodium Quinoa plant chemical composition	9
4.2. Quinoa as feed ingredient	9
MATERIALS AND METHODS	13
1. Chinopodium quinoa willd cultivation and productivity	
evaluation	13
2. Quinoa hay processing	14
3. In Vitro evaluation of chenopodium quinoa willd plant	14
3.1. In Vitro DM and OM disappearance	14

3.2. <i>In Vitro</i> evaluation of substituting clover hay with <i>chenopodium quinoa</i> plant in ruminant ration	15
3.3. Determination of basic rumen fermentation parameters	16
4. Growth trial	17
4.1. The experimental animals	17
4.2. The experimental rations	17
4.3. Digestibility trials	18
4.4. Feed conversion	18
4.5. Ruminal activity	18
4.6. Blood samples	19
5. Analytical methods	19
5.1. Feedstuffs and fecal analysis	19
5.2. Phytochemical component	19
5.3. Determination of microbial population	20
5.4. Determination of basic rumen fermentation parameters	20
5.5. Biochemical analysis of blood serum	20
6. Statistical analysis	21
RESULTES AND DISCUSSIONS	23
1. Productivity traits	23
1.1. Forage productivity	23
1.2. Chemical composition and feeding values	28
2. In vitro evaluation of Ouinoa hay	30

2.1. <i>In Vitro</i> DM and OM disappearance compared to clover hay	30
2.2. In vitro evaluation of clover hay substitution with quinoa	31
3. Growth trial	33
3.1. Rations formulation and chemical composition	33
3.2. Feed intake	36
3.3. Rumen liquor characteristics	37
3.4. Nutrient digestibility and feeding value	46
3.5. Blood parameters	47
3.6. Growth performance	49
3.7. Feed conversion	50
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	53
REFERENCES	58
Arabic summary	

LIST OF TABLES

NO		Page
1.	Statistics of Livestock number at salt affected zones at 2014	5
2.	Statistics of the main sown fodder area at in the salt affected governorate during 2013-2014	5
3.	Chemical composition of quinoa seeds, corn and barley grains	9
4.	Chemical composition of quinoa plant	10
5.	In Vitro experimental rations formulation	15
6.	Forage productivity, chemical composition, feeding values and mineral contents of different quinoa cultivars sawed at winter and summer season	24
7.	In Vitro dry matter and organic matter disappearance of quinoa cultivars	30
8.	In vitro evaluation of replacing clover hay by <i>chinopodium quinoa</i> willd has (CICA cultivar)	32
9.	Chemical composition of feed ingredients and experimental rations.	34
10.	Effect of replacing 50% of alfalfa hay by quinoa hay on feed intake	37
11.	Effect of replacing 50% of alfalfa hay by quinoa hay on rumen microbial populations	38
12.	Effect of replacing 50% of alfalfa hay by quinoa hay on some rumen liquor parameters	42
13.	Effect of replacing 50% of alfalfa hay by quinoa hay on nutrients digestibility	45

14.	Effect of replacing 50% of alfalfa hay by quinoa hay on some blood parameters	47
15.	Effect of replacing 50% of alfalfa hay by quinoa hay on nutrients digestibility	48

LIST OF FIGURES

NO		Page
1.	Egypt Agro-ecological Zones.	3
2.	Cultivation of quinoa (CICA) under Sahl El-Tina region at summer season	27
3.	Cultivation of_ Egyptian clover under Sahl El-Tina region winter season	27
4.	Effect of replacing 50% of alfalfa hay with quinoa hay on rumen liquor total bacteria count	40
5.	Effect of replacing 50% of alfalfa hay by quinoa hay on rumen liquor ciliated protozoa count (holotrichs)	40
6.	Effect of replacing 50% of alfalfa hay by quinoa hay on rumen liquor total non ciliated protozoa count (entodeneium)	41
7.	Effect of replacing 50% of alfalfa hay by quinoa hay on rumen liquor total protozoa count	41
8.	Effect of replacing 50% of alfalfa hay by quinoa hay on rumen liquor ciliated protozoa percent of total protozoa	42
9.	Effect of replacing 50% of alfalfa hay by quinoa hay on rumen liquor ammonia concentration	45
10.	Effect of replacing 50% of alfalfa hay by quinoa hay on rumen liquor TVFA's concentration	45
11.	Effect of replacing 50% of alfalfa hay by quinoa hay on rumen liquor pH	46

12.	Effect of replacing 50% of alfalfa hay by quinoa hay on animal growth	50
13.	Effect of replacing 50% of alfalfa hay by quinoa hay on Dry matter conversion	51
14.	Effect of replacing 50% of alfalfa hay by quinoa hay on Total digestible nutrient conversion	51
15.	Effect of replacing 50% of alfalfa hay by quinoa hay on crude protein conversion	52

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

ADF Acid Detergent Fiber

CF Crude Fiber

CFM Concentrate Feed Mixture

CICA Variety of quinoa

CP Crude Protein

DCP Digestible crude Protein

dDM degraded Dry Matter

DE Digestible Energy

DM Dry Matter

DMI Dry Matter Intake

EE Ether Extract

FM Fresh Matter

GE Gross Energy

IVDMD In Vitro Dry Matter Disappearance

IVOMD In Vitro Organic Matter Disappearance

ME Metabolizable Energy

NDF Natural Detergent Fiber

OA Total soluble oxalic acid

OM Organic Matter

TDN Total Digestible Nutrient

TDNI Total Digestible Nutrient Intake

TVF's Total Volatile Fatty acids

INTRODUCTION

Expansion of livestock production in Egypt is restricted due to the environmental pressure which; Egypt is characterized as an arid and hyper arid region. Uncultivated land in Egypt desert occupied 96% of the total area and characterized with high evaporation (1500-2400 mm/year) and very low rainfall (5-200 mm/year). Parts of this desert are millions of hectares of coastal sites and salinized farmlands. Under such condition, poor quality and inadequate feed supply have been occurred. However and conventional crops misplace their advantages to reduce the gap between food and feed production and requirements.

Therefore, more efforts have been directed to find alternative food and feed resources under drought and saline condition. An alternative approach has studied to utilize the natural salt-tolerance halophytes for sustainable crop production, particularly for economic interests (food, fodder and fuel) or ecological reasons (soil desalinization, phytoremidation, dune fixation, CO₂ sequestration) (**Reddy** *et al.*, **2008**; **Eid and Eisa, 2010**; **Koyro** *et al.*, **2011** and **Ladeiro**, **2012**).

Chenopodium quinoa Willd is a Pseudocereal native to the Andean region of South America. Quinoa grain is an important crop in Latin-American region from Colombia (2°N) to central Chile (40°S) (**Risi and Galwey, 1984 and Jacobsen** et al., 2003). Quinoa has recently gained worldwide attention because of its ability to grow under various conditions. Quinoa as a halophyte species has the ability to cope with salinity levels as high as those present in sea water (**Koyro and Eisa, 2008; Eisa** et al., 2012; **Shabala** et al., 2012; **Shabala** et al., 2013 and Panuccio et al., 2014). Also quinoa plant is highly resistance to water scarcity (**Gonzalez** et al., 2012). As well as the Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (FAO) has officially declared that the year 2013 be recognized as "The International Year of the Quinoa. The nutritional value of the quinoa grains is high and the whole plant has been used as a fodder for animals (**Galwey, 1989**). The crop residues are also used to feed animals and poultry (**FAO, 1994**).

Only few research publications were only found about the evaluation and using quinoa plant in ruminant nutrition. So the objective of the present study is evaluation effect of using quinoa plant in lambs ration on their productive performance and *In vitro* evaluation of Quinoa plants.