



INTEGRATED GASIFICATION CYCLES FOR POWER GENERATION USING COMBINED MAGHARA COAL- RICE STRAW FEEDSTOCK

By

Amir Hegazy Mohamed

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Chemical Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2016

INTEGRATED GASIFICATION CYCLES FOR POWER
GENERATION USING COMBINED MAGHARA COAL-
RICE STRAW FEEDSTOCK

By
Amir Hegazy Mohamed

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Chemical Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Mahmoud El-Rifai
Department of Chemical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Fatma Ashour
Department of Chemical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Dr. Ayat Ossama Ghallab
Assistant Professor
Department of Chemical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Other University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2016

INTEGRATED GASIFICATION CYCLES FOR POWER
GENERATION USING COMBINED MAGHARA COAL-
RICE STRAW FEEDSTOCK

By
Amir Hegazy Mohamed

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Chemical Engineering

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Mahmoud A. El-Rifai, Thesis Main Advisor

Prof. Dr. Fatma H. Ashour, Member

Prof. Dr. Ahmad H. Gaber, Internal Examiner

Prof. Dr. Abdel Ghani G. Abou El Nour, National Research Centre, Dokki

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2016

Engineer's Name: Amir Hegazy Mohamed
Date of Birth: 16/07/1990
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: Amir.hegazy@eng1.cu.edu.eg
Phone: +201002804431
Address: 78B-Street 7-Hadabet El Ahram-Giza
Registration Date: 1/10/2012
Awarding Date: 2016
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Chemical Engineering

Supervisors:

Prof. Mahmoud El-Rifai
Prof. Fatma Ashour
Dr. Ayat Ossama Ghallab



Examiners:

Prof. Abdel Ghani G. Abou El Nour (External examiner)
(National Research Centre, Dokki)
Prof. Ahmad H. Gaber (Internal examiner)
Prof. Mahmoud A. El-Rifai (Thesis main advisor)
Prof. Fatma H. Ashour (Member)

Title of Thesis:

**Integrated Gasification Cycles for Power Generation using Combined
Maghara Coal-Rice Straw Feedstock**

Key Words:

Gasification; IGCC; Entrained Flow Gasifier; Power Generation; Pre-combustion
Carbon Capturing

Summary:

This study focuses on the production of syngas by co-gasification of Maghara coal and biomass (wood and rice straw) using commercial entrained flow gasifier technology. A parametric study on the gasifier was conducted using Aspen Plus. The aim of this work is to study the effect of changing the inputs to the gasifier on the produced gas in order to select the optimum operating conditions of the gasifier. Two scenarios were suggested for the power generation, namely, carbon capturing and non-carbon capturing scenarios. The results enabled the estimation of the optimum blend of Maghara coal, rice straw or wood, and gasification water satisfying the imposed constraints. Additionally, power generated was calculated for the suggested scenarios to determine which scenario is both technically and economically feasible.

Acknowledgments

I would first like to thank my thesis advisors Prof. Mahmoud El-Rifai, Prof. Fatma Ashour, and Dr. Ayat Ossama. The door to these professors' office was always open whenever I ran into a trouble spot or had a question about my research or writing. They consistently allowed this thesis to be my own work, but steered me in the right direction whenever they thought I needed it.

I am also indebted to Prof. Reem Ettouney for her continuous encouragement, help and support.

I would also like to acknowledge the efforts of my colleagues who are always supportive and encouraging; Dr. Ahmad Wafiq and Eng. Hadir Wahid. I am gratefully indebted to their very valuable comments on this thesis.

Finally, I must express my very profound gratitude to my parents and to my sisters for providing me with unfailing support and continuous encouragement throughout my years of study and through the process of researching and writing this thesis. This accomplishment would not have been possible without them. Thank you.

Amir Hegazy

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments.....	I
Table of Contents	II
List of Figures	V
List of Tables.....	VII
Nomenclature.....	VIII
Abstract.....	IX
Chapter 1 : Introduction.....	1
Chapter 2 : Literature Review	3
2.1. IGCC WITHOUT CARBON CAPTURING	3
2.1.1. Performance Without Carbon Capturing	4
2.1.2. Experience.....	5
2.2. IGCC WITH CARBON CAPTURING	5
2.2.1. Introduction.....	5
2.2.2. Post Combustion Carbon Capturing	6
2.2.3. Pre-Combustion Carbon Capturing.....	6
2.3. PERFORMANCE WITH CARBON CAPTURING	7
2.3.1. Experience.....	8
2.4. MAIN IGCC BLOCKS AND COMPONENTS	8
2.4.1. Gasification	8
2.4.1.1. Gasification Medium.....	10
2.4.1.2. Gasifier Types.....	11
2.4.1.2.1. Moving Bed Gasifiers	11
2.4.1.2.2. Fluidized Bed Gasifiers	14
2.4.1.2.3. Entrained Flow Gasifiers.....	16
2.4.1.2.4. Plasma Gasifier	21
2.4.2. Gas Cooling	22
2.4.2.1. Radiant And Convective Cooling System.....	22
2.4.2.2. Radiant Cooling System.....	23
2.4.2.3. Total Quench Design	24
2.4.3. Particles Removal	25
2.4.4. Water Gas Shift.....	26
2.4.4.1. Clean Shift Process	27
2.4.4.2. Sour Shift Process	28
2.4.5. Acid Gas Removal	28
2.4.5.1. Chemical Absorption	28
2.4.5.1.1. <i>Process Flow Diagram</i>	29
2.4.5.2. Physical Absorption Processes.....	31
2.4.5.2.1. <i>Selexol Process</i>	31
2.4.5.2.2. <i>Rectisol Process</i>	33
2.4.6. Air Separation Unit	33
2.4.7. Power Block.....	34
2.4.7.1. Boiler Feed Water System	34
2.4.7.2. Gas Turbine.....	34

2.4.7.2.1.	<i>Ge Turbines</i>	34
2.4.7.2.2.	<i>Siemens Turbines</i>	34
Chapter 3 : Statement of The Problem		37
Chapter 4 : Model Development		39
4.1.	GASIFICATION MODEL	39
4.1.1.	Pyrolysis Reactor	39
4.1.2.	Gasification Reactor.....	41
4.1.3.	Cooling Section.....	42
4.1.4.	Scrubbing Section	43
4.2.	POWER GENERATION MODEL	44
4.2.1.	Combustion Reactor.....	44
4.2.2.	Gas Turbine.....	45
4.2.3.	Waste Heat Steam Generation	46
4.2.4.	Steam Turbines	47
4.3.	ACID GAS REMOVAL MODEL.....	47
4.3.1.	Wgs Reactors	48
4.3.2.	Acid Gas Removal Tower.....	49
Chapter 5 : Results And Discussion.....		51
5.1.	COAL-RICE STRAW CO-GASIFICATION	51
5.1.1.	Temperature	52
5.1.2.	Lower Heating Value.....	54
5.1.3.	Syngas Components Flow Rates.....	56
5.1.3.1.	Carbon Monoxide	56
5.1.3.2.	Carbon Dioxide.....	58
5.1.3.3.	Hydrogen	60
5.1.3.4.	Water Vapor.....	62
5.1.3.5.	Methane	64
5.1.3.6.	Hydrogen Sulfide.....	66
5.1.4.	Selecting Optimum Feed Conditions	68
5.1.4.1.	Temperature Of The Produced Gas.....	68
5.1.4.2.	The Heating Value Of The Produced Gas	68
5.1.4.3.	Rice Straw To Coal Ratio In The Feed Blend	68
5.1.4.4.	Oxygen Feed To The Gasifier.....	68
5.1.4.5.	Optimum Feed Conditions	69
5.2.	COAL – WOOD CO-GASIFICATION	69
5.2.1.	Temperature	70
5.2.2.	Lower Heating Value.....	73
5.2.3.	Syngas Components Flow Rates.....	75
5.2.3.1.	Carbon Monoxide	75
5.2.3.2.	Carbon Dioxide.....	77
5.2.3.3.	Hydrogen	79
5.2.3.4.	Water Vapor.....	81
5.2.3.5.	Methane	83
5.2.3.6.	Hydrogen Sulfide.....	85
5.3.	POWER GENERATION RESULTS	87
5.3.1.	Co-Gasification Of Coal And Rice Straw	87
5.3.1.1.	Gas Turbine Power Generation	88
5.3.1.2.	Steam Turbine Power Generation	89
5.3.1.3.	Total Power Generation	90

5.3.2.	Power Generation From Co-Gasification Coal And Wood	90
5.3.2.1.	Gas Turbine Power Generation II	90
5.3.2.2.	Steam Turbine Power Generation II.....	91
5.3.2.3.	Total Power Generation II.....	92
Chapter 6 : Conclusions and Recommendations.....		93
Chapter 7 : References.....		95

List of Figures

FIGURE 2-1: IGCC WITHOUT CARBON CAPTURING	3
FIGURE 2- 2: IGCC WITH POST COMBUSTION CARBON CAPTURING	6
FIGURE 2- 3: IGCC WITH PRE COMBUSTION CARBON CAPTURING.....	7
FIGURE 2- 4: STEPS OF GASIFICATION PROCESS[8]	9
FIGURE 2- 5: GASIFICATION MEDIUM EFFECT ON SYNGAS	10
FIGURE 2- 6: TYPES OF GASIFIERS	11
FIGURE 2- 7: UPDRAFT GASIFIER	12
FIGURE 2- 8: DOWNDRAFT GASIFIER	13
FIGURE 2- 9: CROSS DRAFT GASIFIER	14
FIGURE 2- 10: BUBBLING FLUIDIZED BED GASIFIER	15
FIGURE 2- 11: CIRCULATING FLUIDIZED BED GASIFIER	16
FIGURE 2- 12: SHELL ENTRAINED FLOW GASIFICATION TECHNOLOGY	17
FIGURE 2- 13: GE GASIFIER	18
FIGURE 2- 14: SIEMENS ENTRAINED FLOW GASIFIER	19
FIGURE 2- 15: CONOCOPHILLIPS GASIFIER	20
FIGURE 2- 16: PLASMA GASIFIER	21
FIGURE 2- 17: RADIANT AND CONVECTIVE SYNGAS COOLING	22
FIGURE 2- 18: RADIANT ONLY SYNGAS COOLING	23
FIGURE 2- 19: TOTAL QUENCH DESIGN	24
FIGURE 2- 20: CANDLE FILTERS	25
FIGURE 2- 21: SCRUBBER	26
FIGURE 2- 22: CLEAN SHIFT PROCESS	27
FIGURE 2- 23: SOUR SHIFT PROCESS	28
FIGURE 2- 24: TYPICAL AMINE ABSORPTION PROCESS	29
FIGURE 2- 25: TYPICAL SELEXOL ABSORPTION PROCESS	32
FIGURE 2- 26: MULTI-STAGE SELEXOL PROCESS	33
FIGURE 4- 1: GASIFICATION BLOCK MODEL	39
FIGURE 4- 2: PYROLYSIS MODEL.....	40
FIGURE 4-3: GASIFICATION MODEL.....	42
FIGURE 4- 4: COOLING SECTION MODEL.....	42
FIGURE 4- 5: SCRUBBING MODEL.....	43
FIGURE 4- 6: POWER GENERATION MODEL	44
FIGURE 4- 7: COMBUSTION REACTOR MODEL	45
FIGURE 4- 8: GAS TURBINE MODEL	46
FIGURE 4- 9: WHSG MODEL	46
FIGURE 4- 10: STEAM TURBINE MODEL	47
FIGURE 4- 11:ACID GAS REMOVAL MODEL	48
FIGURE 4- 12: WGS REACTORS MODEL	49
FIGURE 4- 13: ACID GAS REMOVAL TOWER MODEL	50
FIGURE 5- 1: CASE A- TEMPERATURE VS. COAL%.....	53
FIGURE 5- 2: CASE B - TEMPERATURE VS. COAL%.....	53
FIGURE 5- 3: CASE C - TEMPERATURE VS. COAL%.....	53
FIGURE 5- 4: CASE A - LHV VS. COAL%.....	55
FIGURE 5- 5: CASE B - LHV VS. COAL%.....	55
FIGURE 5- 6: CASE C - LHV VS. COAL%.....	55
FIGURE 5- 7: CASE A - CO FLOW RATE VS. COAL %.....	57
FIGURE 5- 8: CASE B - CO FLOW RATE VS. COAL %.....	57
FIGURE 5- 9: CASE C - CO FLOW RATE VS. COAL %.....	57
FIGURE 5- 10: CASE A - CO ₂ FLOW RATE VS. COAL %	59
FIGURE 5- 11: CASE B - CO ₂ FLOW RATE VS. COAL %	59

FIGURE 5- 12: CASE A - CO ₂ FLOW RATE VS. COAL %.....	59
FIGURE 5- 13: CASE A - H ₂ FLOW RATE VS. COAL %.....	61
FIGURE 5- 14: CASE B - H ₂ FLOW RATE VS. COAL %.....	61
FIGURE 5- 15: CASE C - H ₂ FLOW RATE VS. COAL %.....	61
FIGURE 5- 16: CASE A - H ₂ O FLOW RATE VS. COAL %.....	63
FIGURE 5- 17: CASE B - H ₂ O FLOW RATE VS. COAL %.....	63
FIGURE 5- 18: CASE C - H ₂ O FLOW RATE VS. COAL %.....	63
FIGURE 5- 19: CASE A - CH ₄ FLOW RATE VS. COAL %.....	65
FIGURE 5- 20: CASE B - CH ₄ FLOW RATE VS. COAL %.....	65
FIGURE 5- 21: CASE C - CH ₄ FLOW RATE VS. COAL %.....	65
FIGURE 5- 22: CASE A - H ₂ S FLOW RATE VS. COAL %.....	67
FIGURE 5- 23: CASE B - H ₂ S FLOW RATE VS. COAL %.....	67
FIGURE 5- 24: CASE C - H ₂ S FLOW RATE VS. COAL %.....	67
FIGURE 5- 25: CASE A' - TEMPERATURE VS. COAL%.....	72
FIGURE 5- 26: CASE B' - TEMPERATURE VS. COAL%.....	72
FIGURE 5- 27: CASE C' - TEMPERATURE VS. COAL%.....	72
FIGURE 5- 28: CASE A' - L.H.V VS. COAL%.....	74
FIGURE 5- 29: CASE B' - L.H.V VS. COAL%.....	74
FIGURE 5- 30: CASE C' - L.H.V VS. COAL%.....	74
FIGURE 5- 31: CASE A' - CO FLOW RATE VS. COAL%.....	76
FIGURE 5- 32: CASE B' - CO FLOW RATE VS. COAL%.....	76
FIGURE 5- 33: CASE C' - CO FLOW RATE VS. COAL%.....	76
FIGURE 5- 34: CASE A' - CO ₂ FLOW RATE VS. COAL%.....	78
FIGURE 5- 35: CASE B' - CO ₂ FLOW RATE VS. COAL%.....	78
FIGURE 5- 36: CASE C' - CO ₂ FLOW RATE VS. COAL%.....	78
FIGURE 5- 37: CASE A' - H ₂ FLOW RATE VS. COAL%.....	80
FIGURE 5- 38: CASE B' - H ₂ FLOW RATE VS. COAL%.....	80
FIGURE 5- 39: CASE C' - H ₂ FLOW RATE VS. COAL%.....	80
FIGURE 5- 40: CASE A' - H ₂ O FLOW RATE VS. COAL%.....	82
FIGURE 5- 41: CASE B' - H ₂ O FLOW RATE VS. COAL%.....	82
FIGURE 5- 42: CASE C' - H ₂ O FLOW RATE VS. COAL%.....	82
FIGURE 5- 43: CASE A' - CH ₄ FLOW RATE VS. COAL%.....	84
FIGURE 5- 44: CASE B' -CH ₄ FLOW RATE VS. COAL%.....	84
FIGURE 5- 45: CASE C' - CH ₄ FLOW RATE VS. COAL%.....	84
FIGURE 5- 46: CASE A' - H ₂ S FLOW RATE VS. COAL%.....	86
FIGURE 5- 47: CASE B' - H ₂ S FLOW RATE VS. COAL%.....	86
FIGURE 5- 48: CASE C' - H ₂ S FLOW RATE VS. COAL%.....	86
FIGURE 5- 49: GAS TURBINE POWER GENERATION.....	88
FIGURE 5- 50: SPECIFIC POWER IN BOTH CASES.....	88
FIGURE 5- 51: STEAM TURBINE POWER GENERATION.....	89
FIGURE 5- 52: TOTAL POWER GENERATION.....	90
FIGURE 5- 53: GAS TURBINE POWER GENERATION II.....	90
FIGURE 5- 54: SPECIFIC POWER II.....	91
FIGURE 5- 55: STEAM TURBINE POWER GENERATION II.....	91
FIGURE 5- 56: TOTAL POWER GENERATION II.....	92

List of Tables

TABLE 2- 1: EXPERIENCE WITH IGCC.....	5
TABLE 2- 2: MAIN GASIFICATION REACTIONS	9
TABLE 2- 3: TYPICAL SYNGAS CALORIFIC VALUES	10
TABLE 2- 4: DIFFERENT CHARACTERISTICS OF AMINES	30
TABLE 2- 5: EXPERIENCE WITH SIEMENS TURBINES.....	35
TABLE 4- 1: PROXIMATE ANALYSIS OF THE FEED	40
TABLE 4- 2: ULTIMATE ANALYSIS OF THE FEED	40
TABLE 4- 3: SULFUR ANALYSIS OF THE FEED	41
TABLE 4- 4: PYROLYSIS REACTOR CONDITIONS	41
TABLE 4- 5: GASIFICATION MODEL CONDITIONS	42
TABLE 4- 6: COOLING SECTION CONDITIONS	43
TABLE 4- 7: SCRUBBING MODEL CONDITIONS	44
TABLE 4- 8: COMBUSTION REACTOR CONDITIONS	45
TABLE 4- 9: AIR COMPRESSOR CONDITIONS	45
TABLE 4- 10: GAS TURBINE CONDITIONS	46
TABLE 4- 11: WASTE HEAT STEAM GENERATION CONDITIONS	47
TABLE 4- 12: STEAM TURBINES SPECIFICATIONS	47
TABLE 4- 13: WGS REACTORS CONDITIONS	49
TABLE 4- 14: ABSORPTION COLUMN SPECIFICATIONS.....	50
TABLE 5- 1: CASE A CONDITIONS.....	51
TABLE 5- 2: CASE B CONDITIONS.....	51
TABLE 5- 3: CASE C CONDITIONS.....	52
TABLE 5- 4: LOWER HEATING VALUE OF GAS COMPONENTS	54
TABLE 5- 5: OPTIMUM FEED CONDITIONS	69
TABLE 5- 6: CASE A' CONDITIONS.....	69
TABLE 5- 7: CASE B' CONDITIONS.....	70
TABLE 5- 8: CASE C' CONDITIONS.....	70
TABLE 5- 9: POWER GENERATION OPERATING CONDITIONS	87

Nomenclature

AGR	Acid Gas Removal
ASU	Air Separation Unit
BGL	British Gas Lurgi
BTU	British Thermal Unit
CC	Carbon Capturing
CCS	Carbon Capturing and Storage
CCT	Clean Coal Technology
CSC	Convective Syngas Cooling
DEA	Diethanolamine
DGA	Diglycolamine
DIPA	Diisopropanolamine
EOS	Equation of State
FBR	Fluidized Bed Reactor
FC	Fixed Carbon
GE	General Electric
GT	Gas Turbine
HHV	Higher Heating Value
HRSG	Heat Recovery Steam Generator
HTS	High Temperature Shift
HTW	High Temperature Winkler
IGCC	Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle
LHV	Lower Heating Value
LTS	Low Temperature Shift
MC	Maghara Coal
MDEA	Methyldiethanolamine
MEA	Monoethanolamine
MW	Mega Watt
NC	Non-Conventional
NGCC	Natural Gas Combined Cycle
RSC	Radiant Syngas Cooling
SG	Syngas
ST	Steam Turbine
VM	Volatile Matters
WGS	Water Gas Shift
WHSG	Waste Heat Steam Generation

Abstract

This study focuses on the production of syngas by co-gasification of Maghara coal and biomass using commercial entrained flow gasifier technology. The biomass in the study includes rice straw and wood. A parametric study on the gasifier was conducted using Aspen Plus. The aim of this work is to study the effect of changing the inputs to the gasifier on the produced gas in order to select the optimum operating conditions of the gasifier. Three different scenarios concerning the water concentration in the feed blend were suggested and analyzed for the gasifier. The studied input parameters influencing the performance of the gasifier include the percentage of coal to biomass in the blend, the fraction of added water to the blend, and the mass percent of oxygen with respect to the mass of the blend fed to the gasifier.

The study on the co-gasification of coal and wood is based on the optimum conditions selected. This enables the comparison of the results from both rice straw and wood. The compared parameters are: temperature of the produced gas, the produced gas composition, and the heating value of the gas.

Two alternative power production schemes have been investigated. The first scheme features power generation without carbon capturing, while the second scheme involves an intermediate carbon capture subsystem including gas shift reaction and acid gas removal.

The results enabled the estimation of the optimum blend of Maghara coal, rice straw or wood, and gasification water satisfying the constraints on maximum allowable gasification temperature and maximization of rice straw usage while maintaining suitable heating value of the produced gas.

The results indicate that the optimum feed conditions are: 40% coal in the feed blend, 35 % water concentration in the feed slurry, and 80% oxygen with respect to the dry feed blend to the gasifier. The power generation results indicate that in the case of non-carbon capturing, the co-gasification of coal and rice straw generates 283 MW per 100 ton of feed blend (40% coal-60% biomass), while, the co-gasification of coal and wood generates 293 MW per 100 ton of feed blend. This means that wood is more advantageous than rice straw from the power generation point of view. Moreover, the application of carbon capturing technologies results in power generation of 270 MW per 100 ton of feed blend in the case of co-gasification of coal and rice straw, and 279 MW in the case of co-gasification of coal and wood. These results suggest that the application of carbon capturing technologies is associated with a loss in power produced by 4.8%. This however means using smaller gas turbines, and more environment friendly emissions. Moreover, the huge amounts of captured carbon dioxide gas can be sold to other industries to compensate for the increased plant cost.

Chapter 1 : Introduction

To date, the production of electricity in most countries is based mainly on oil products mainly diesel, natural gas and coal. It is known that oil and gas reserves are limited to 50-60 years[1]. On the other hand, coal reserves are proven for 150 years. Biomass is a renewable energy source that could be integrated and used as fuel for power generation [1], providing for a secure and sustainable energy supply. It also provides for the possibility of decreasing greenhouse gases by carbon capturing techniques. Converting coal into liquid fuels and chemicals and its direct use for generating electricity is also a proven technology.

There are two methods for power generation from coal; direct burning, or its conversion into syngas. Direct burning of coal has been used for decades, it is based on converting the heat energy into mechanical energy. However, this method has many drawbacks, for instance, it causes air pollution where burning coal causes smog, soot, acid rain, global warming, and toxic air emissions. Moreover, it generates toxic wastes, sludge, and ash. Converting coal into syngas for electricity generation is performed in a process known as Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle (IGCC). It is a recent approach based on converting stored chemical energy within the coal into mechanical energy. It has many advantages over direct burning of coal; it is considered as a clean source of energy with less pollutant emissions, it has high conversion efficiency, and it can be used directly in the established infrastructure as a substitute for natural gas in power stations. Coal and biomass are converted into syngas by thermo-chemical gasification and successive gas cleaning. It was found that increasing the H_2/CO in syngas, causes the increase in power efficiency, this can be achieved by effective carbon dioxide removal. Operational IGCC plants are built worldwide for example the Baggenum plant in Netherlands with a capacity of 253 MW, Tampa Electric Polk power station in the United States with a capacity of 250 MW, and many other power station plants with capacities up to 500 MW[2].

Biomass such as rice straw and rice husks can also be converted into syngas by gasification. Such wastes represent a renewable source which has a lower sulfur content compared to coal, thus lower pollutant emissions. However, they have a lower energy content compared to coal. Recent studies focus on co-gasification of coal and biomass for power generation. This process enhances the H_2/CO ratio in the produced gas. Also the inorganic matters found in biomass catalyzes the coal gasification.

Although co-gasification presents many advantages, it is associated with additional technical implementation requirements. For instance, the particle size of coal and biomass should be uniform, the selection of the gasifier type should be studied carefully as it affects the composition and yield of the produced syngas. Biomass decomposition occurs at a lower temperature than that of coal so different reactors are suitable for different feedstock mixtures. Fluidized bed reactor and Entrained flow reactor have been used in Japan to gasify mixtures of bituminous coal and rice straw [37]. It has been proven that the co-gasification of rice straw and coal is applicable in IGCC plants for power generation and it is continuously developed to increase the efficiency and decrease the carbon emissions of the process [38].

The present work addresses the gasification of mixtures of locally available coal from El-Maghara coal mine and locally available biomass wastes namely rice straw and wood sawdust.

Chapter 2 focuses on the literature review associated with the IGCC process development. It describes the process units in details. It also reviews the different types of gasifiers, feedstock materials, and conditions of gasification.

Chapter 3 presents a brief outline of the scope of work and a statement of the relevant performance parameters targeted by the study.

Chapter 4 is devoted to the description of the model developed for analyzing IGCC gasification process and the software used for generating the effect of different process variables on the performance of the process.

Chapter 5 presents the results obtained for different sets of parameters and discussion of their effect in the light of physicochemical constraints and energy recovery. It also discusses the effect of including a carbon capture unit on the additional equipment requirements, calorific value of the produced syngas, and electric power generated.

Chapter 6 summarizes the conclusions and recommendations of the work.