



Self –Consolidating Grout for Masonry Construction

A Thesis
Submitted to the Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shames University for the Fulfillment
of the Requirement of M.Sc. Degree
In Civil Engineering

Prepared by
ENG. Mohammed Elsayed Ibrahim Elmasry
B.Sc. in Civil Engineering, June 2008
Higher Institute of Engineering – El Shorouk Academy

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Gouda Mohamed Ghanem

Professor of Properties and Strength of Materials
Faculty of Engineering, Helwan University, Cairo, EGYPT
Dean of The Higher Institute of Engineering ElShorouk Academy

Asc.Prof. Dr. Hany Mohamed Elshafie

Associate Professor of Structural Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering, Ain shams University, Cairo, EGYPT

2015



Self –Consolidating Grout for Masonry Construction

A Thesis For
The M.Sc. Degree in Civil Engineering
(STRUCTURE ENGINEERING)

By
ENG. Mohammed Elsayed Ibrahim Elmasry
B.Sc. in Civil Engineering, June 2008
Higher Institute of Engineering – El Shorouk Academy

THESIS APPROVAL

EXAMINERS COMMITTEE

SIGNATURE

Prof. Dr. Elsayed Abd Elraouf Nasr

Professor of Properties & Strength of Materials
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Gouda Mohamed Ghanem

Professor of Properties & Strength of Materials
Faculty of Engineering – Helwan University
Dean of the higher institute of Engineering at Elshorouk

Prof. Dr. Sayed Mohamed Abd Elbaky

Professor of Properties & Strength of Materials
National Center for Research on housing and construction

Asc.Prof. Dr. Hany Mohamed Elshafie

Associate Professor of Structural Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering, Ain shams University

STATEMENT

This thesis is submitted to Ain Shams University, Faculty of Engineering for the degree of M.Sc. in Civil Engineering.

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author in the department of Structure Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, from November 2009 to May 2015.

No part of the thesis has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other University or Institution.

The candidate confirms that the work submitted is his own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others

Date: - 25/8 /2015

Signature: - -----

Name: - *Mohammed Elsayed Elmasry*

Acknowledgments

The Author would like to thank ALLAH for blessings that ALLAH granted to him all the way till he finished this research, and all through his life.

The Author wants to express this profound gratitude and deep appreciation to the supervisors and thank them for their great effort for solving all problems during the research and for direct supervision and valuable advice and encouragement during the course.

Prof.Dr\ Gouda Mohamed Ghanem.
Dr\ Hany Mohamed Elshafie.

His special thanks are due to his father, his mother, his mother's father, his brother, his sisters and his wife's mother

Also, His special thanks are due to his lovely WIFE **FATMA** and his son **OMAR**, for their moral support and encouragement, which made this research possible.

ABSTRACT OF M. Sc. THESIS

NAME: - *MOHMED ELSAYED IBRAHIM ELMASRY*

Title: “Self –Consolidating Grout for Masonry Construction”

Faculty : Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

Specialty: Civil Eng., Structural Engineering Dept.

Abstract:

This thesis presents a study on developing self-consolidating grout (SCG) for masonry construction using locally available materials. It presents a brief review on the previous studies carried out on the production of self-consolidating grout (SCG) for masonry applications and the effect of using different materials on the fresh and hardened properties of SCG and its durability performance is reviewed as well.

An experimental program is designed to achieve the objectives of the study and divided into two phases. Phase 1, to develop SCG mixes using different available local materials including Portland cement, natural sand, crushed dolomite as coarse aggregate, silica fume and chemical admixture. The variables considered in this phase include cement content, silica fume dosage, and water to cement ratio. A mix design approach has been developed for SCG mixes for both cases of fine and coarse grouts. The fresh and hardened properties of the developed SCG mixes are presented. Two of the successfully developed SCG mixes (one fine and the other is coarse) are selected and used to grout five masonry walls that are investigated in phase 2. The behavior and performance of these five masonry walls grouted using the selected SCG mixes are investigated throughout the height of 3 m wall assemblies through physical evaluation. Following the mix design approach introduced in the current study, grout mixes that satisfy acceptance criteria for SCG for masonry construction are successfully developed having wide range of strengths that suit different masonry applications.

Keywords:

Self-consolidating grout, conventional grout, masonry walls, masonry construction , fine grout, coarse grout and slump flow.

SUPERVISORS

Prof. Dr. Gouda Mohamed Ghanem

Asc.Prof. Dr. Hany Mohamed Elshafie

Table of Contents

	Page
Statement	i
Acknowledgments	ii
Abstract	iii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	ix
Chapter 1: Introduction	
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. Scope of study and experimental program	2
Chapter 2: Literature Review	
2.1. Introduction	5
2.2. History of developing SCG	6
2.3. The need for self-consolidating	9
2.4. Classification of self-consolidating concrete	16
2.4.1 Powder-based self-consolidating concrete	16
2.4.2 Viscosity agent-based Self-consolidating concrete	16
2.4.3 Combined-type self-consolidating concrete	17
2.5. coarse SCG performance criteria	17
2.6. Fine SCG performance criteria	19
2.7. Basic SCC mix design principles	20
2.8. coarse SCG mix design methodology	22
2.9. Type of grout in reinforced masonry	23
2.10. Material history	23
2.10.1. Water	24
2.10.2. Cement	24
2.10.3. Mineral admixtures	27
2.10.3.1 Silica fume	28
2.10.3.1.1. Advantages of using silica fume	32
2.10.4. Chemical admixtures	32
2.10.4.1. Introduction	32
2.10.4.2. Definition of admixtures	33
2.10.4.3. The main functions of admixtures	33
2.10.4.4. Classification of admixtures	34

	Page
2.10.4.5. Super-plasticizers high range water reducing admixtures (HRWR)	35
2.10.4.5.1. The types of ingredients used fall	37
2.10.4.5.2. Potential advantages of HRWR	39
2.10.4.5.3. Potential disadvantages of HRWR	39
2.10.5. Aggregate	39
2.10.5.1. Introduction	39
2.10.5.2. Coarse SCG aggregate size	40
2.10.5.3. Fine SCG aggregate size	43
2.11. Paste and mortar volume	44
2.11.1. Coarse SCG	44
2.11.2. Fine SCG	45
2.11.3. Mortar	45
2.11.3.1.Types of masonry mortar	46
2.12. Test methods	48
2.12.1. Introduction	48
2.12.2. Requirements for self-consolidating grout	49
2.12.3. Slump flow test, T50 and VSI test	53
2.12.4. V - Funnel test	53
2.12.5. L – Box test	54
2.12.6. Filling capacity test	55
2.12.7. Self-healing ability ‘S’ test	55
2.13. Unit/mortar/masonry strength relationship	56
2.13.1. Some effects of unit characteristics	56
2.14. Masonry prisms	58
2.14.1. Methods of prisms	58
2.14.2. Handling and curing conditions	59
2.14.3. Test method	60
2.15. Grout testing for engineered bricks masonry	61
2.15.1. Sampling and testing grout	61
2.16. Mix proportion of self –consolidating grout	63
2.16.1. Mix proportion design system	63
2.16.2 Mix proportion design methods	63
2.16.2.1 Okamura’s method	64
2.16.2.2. JSCE method	65
2.16.2.3.CBI mix design method	67

	Page
Chapter 3: Research Program	
3.1. Introduction	68
3.2. Scope of work	68
3.3. Objectives	68
3.4. Experimental study	69
3.5. properties considered	72

Chapter 4: Properties of Materials Used

4.1 Introduction	75
4.2. Cement	75
4.3. Mineral admixtures	76
4.4 Chemical admixtures	76
4.5. Aggregate	77
4.5.1. Fine aggregate	77
4.5.2. Coarse aggregate	79
4.6 Masonry concrete hollow block	80
4.7. Mortar	80

Chapter 5: Test Results and Discussion for Self –Consolidating Grout

5.1. Introduction	81
5.3. Mix design process	82
5.4 Self consolidating grout mixes	83
5.5 Tests	85
5.5.1 Fresh state tests	85
5.5.1.1 Slump flow test	85
5.5.1.2 L-Box test	86
5.5.1.3 V- Funnel test	87
5.5.2 Hardened state tests	88
5.5.2.1 Compression test	88
5.5.2.2 Indirect tension test	88
5.5.2.3 Flexural test	89
5.6 Test results	90
5.7 Analysis of test results	95
5.7.1 Fresh grout properties	95
5.7.2 Hardened grout properties	99

	Page
5.8 Discussion on test results	102
5.8.1 Fresh grout properties	102
5.8.2 Hardened grout properties	103

Chapter 6: Test Results and Discussion for Masonry Walls Using Self – Consolidating Grout

6.1. Introduction	106
6.2 Construction and wall specimen preparation	106
6.2.1. Wall Construction	106
6.2.2 Test specimens	112
6.2.2.1 Standard masonry prisms	112
6.2.2.2 Prisms and cores cut from walls	113
6.2.3. Test Specimen Removal and Preparation	114
6.3 Tests	119
6.2.4.1. Compression test	119
6.2.4.2. In-direct splitting tension test for grout-masonry unit face shell assemblages	121
6.3 Test Results	122
6.4 Analysis and discussion of Test Results	128

Chapter 7: Summery, Conclusions and Recommendations

7.1. Introduction	134
7.2. Summery	134
7.2. Conclusions	135
7.3. Recommendation for future works	139

References

Appendix A Materials Datasheets

List of Tables

	page
Table (2-1) Comparison of chemical and physical properties of fine materials	32
Table (2-2) The ratio of mortar components	46
Table (2-3) properties of mortar's types	48
Table (2-4) The SCG tests	50
Table (2-5) Summary of common testing methods and limiting test values for SCG According Egyptian specification	52
Table (3-1) The matrix for test specimens	73
Table (4-1) Physical properties of ordinary portland cement (OPC)	76
Table: (4-2) Grading curve for fine aggregate sample	77
Table: (4-3) Fine aggregates characteristics	78
Table: (4-4) Grading curve for coarse aggregate sample	79
Table: (4-5) Coarse aggregates characteristics	79
Table (5-1) Fine SCG mix proportions	84
Table (5-2) Coarse SCG mixes proportions	84
Table (5-3) Fine SCG trial mixes results	92
Table (5-4) Coarse SCG trial mixes result	93
Table (6-1) Grout mix proportions	107
Table (6-2) Test results	123

List of Figures

	page
Figure (2-1) Poor consolidation of the lower part of a reinforced concrete beam. Severe honeycombing is clearly visible. Bottom reinforcement is poorly protected against corrosion	11
Figure (2-2) Consolidation of two reinforced concrete beams by typical poker vibrators. Medium consistence (workability) concrete (slump of 30–60 mm).	12
Figure (2-3) Single batch of a traditional low-medium slump concrete was used to cast the whole of this wall element. The bottom part was compacted by poker vibrators; the top part was placed without any consolidation.	14
Figure (2-4) Mechanism for achieving self-compacting	22
Figure (2-5) Microstructural development in portland cement pastes	25
Figure (2-6) comparison between grout particles	28
Figure (2-7) Relation between flow table and water content of concrete with and without plasticizers	37
Figure (2-8) Cement – HRWR interaction	38
Figure (2-9) Optimum grading curves of coarse aggregate for SCG	41
Figure (2-10) Base plate and abrams cone	53
Figure (2-11) Shapes and dimension of funnels for flow-through test	53
Figure (2-12) Detailed dimensions of the L-box test	54
Figure (2-13) Schematic of the filling capacity apparatus	55
Figure (2-14) Performing the self-healing ‘S’ test	55
Figure (2-15) Masonry prism construction for 200-mm	59
Figure (2-16) Prism filled with grout type 1 being tested	61
Figure (2-17) Grout mold using 2 ¼ in. (152.4 mm) high standard size brick	62
Figure (2-18) Mix proportion design system.	63
Figure (2-19) Okamura mix design method	65
Figure (2-20) JSCB mix design method	66
Figure (2-21) Principles of CBI mix design method	67

	page
Figure (3-1)	Fine self-consolidating grout variables 70
Figure (3-2)	Course self-consolidating grout variables 71
Figure: (4-1)	Grading curve for fine aggregate 78
Figure: (4-2)	Grading curve for coarse aggregate 79
Figure (4-3)	Masonry block dimensions 80
Figure (5-1)	Slump flow test 86
Figure (5-2)	L –Box test 87
Figure (5-3)	V-funnel test 88
Figure (5-4)	compression test of grout 89
Figure (5-5)	Indirect tension specimen 89
Figure (5-6)	Water content – slump flow diameter curve for fine grout mixes with different silica fume contents as replacement percentage for the cement used. 95
Figure (5-7)	Water content – slump flow diameter curve for coarse grout mixes with different silica fume contents as replacement percentage for the cement used. 96
Figure (5-8)	Water content – L-box ratio curve for fine grout mixes with different silica fume contents as replacement percentage for the cement used. 97
Figure (5-9)	Water content – L-box ratio curve for coarse grout mixes with different silica fume contents as replacement percentage for the cement used. 97
Figure (5-10)	Water content – V- funnel times curve for fine grout mixes with different silica fume contents as replacement percentage for the cement used. 98
Figure (5-11)	Water content – V- funnel times curve for coarse grout mixes with different silica fume contents as replacement percentage for the cement used. 99
Figure (5-12)	Water cement ratio –compressive strength after 3, 7 and 28 days curves for fine grout having silica fume of 10% as a replacement of the cement content. 100
Figure (5-13)	Water cement ratio –compressive strength after 3, 7 and 28 day’s curves for coarse grout having silica fume of 10% as a replacement of the cement content. 100
Figure (5-14)	Water cement ratio – indirect tensile strength and flexural strength after 28 day’s curves for fine grout mixes having silica fume of 10% as a replacement of the cement content. 101

	page
Figure (5-15) Water cement ratio – indirect tensile strength and flexural strength after 28 days curves for coarse grout mixes having silica fume of 10% as a replacement of the cement content.	102
Figure (5-16) Water cement ratio –compressive, indirect tensile and flexural strength after 28 days curves for fine and coarse grouts having silica fume of 10% as a replacement of the cement content.	105
Figure(6-1) Geometry, dimensions and locations of reinforcement for the test walls	109
Figure (6-2) The test walls	110
Figure (6-3) Preparation of masonry blocks by partially cutting the web to allow grout to flow horizontally in wall “SR”	111
Figure (6-4) Process of constructing the test walls	112
Figure (6-5) standard masonry prism consider in the current study	113
Figure (6-6) Specimen Locations in Each Wall	114
Figure (6-7) Numbering Test Specimens	115
Figure (6-8) Cutting the test walls into smaller slabs to facilitate specimen removal	116
Figure (6-9) Prisms cut from walls	117
Figure (6-10) Prisms leveling	117
Figure (6-11) Prisms capping	118
Figure (6-12) Core cut from walls	118
Figure (6-13) Core cut from test walls	119
Figure (6-14) core capping	120
Figure (6-15) Compression test for grout cores	120
Figure (6-16) Compression test for masonry prisms	121
Figure (6-17) In-direct splitting tension test for grout-masonry unit face shell assemblages	122
Figure (6-18) Grout Cores Compressive Strength for different core location in different test wall.	129
Figure (6-19) Grout cores compressive strength for different core locations in case of right column injection (wall "SR")	130
Figure (6-20) Bond Strength between masonry and grout for different core location in different test wall.	131
Figure (6-21) Prism Compressive Strength for different prism location in different test wall.	133

	page
Figure (6-22) Prism Compressive strength for different prism location in case of right column injection (wall "SR")	133
Figure (7-1) Water cement ratio –compressive, indirect tensile and flexural strength after 28 days curves for fine and coarse grouts having silica fume of 10% as a replacement of the cement content.	137

CHAPTER 1

Introduction

Grout is one of the primary components that are used in masonry construction. Conventional grout has different shortcomings since it is difficult to cast and consolidate leading to increase in cost and time of construction. Self-consolidating grout (SCG) is a new concept in the masonry industry where it combines the technology of self-consolidating concrete (SCC) with conventional grout. The SCG availability will make concrete masonry more economical and reduce construction time.

In reinforced masonry construction, conventional grout is one of the primary components that are used to bond reinforcement to masonry, protect reinforcement from corrosion with different exposure conditions, and increase wall ability to carry different loads. Conventional grout has been used in masonry construction for several years, during this period; it has been proved that conventional grout for masonry construction has different shortcomings. Conventional grout is a labor-intensive and time consuming portion of reinforced masonry construction. It is difficult to cast and consolidate in narrow cell voids of hollow block masonry walls where reinforcement exists. Lack of consolidation results in unfilled (hollow) portions of reinforced masonry walls with reinforcement uncovered leading to reduced load capacity and service life for the walls. These shortcomings trigger the need to explore the feasibility of developing self-consolidating grout (SCG) for masonry construction following the successful development, production, and application of self-consolidating concrete (SCC). SCG is a brand new concept in the masonry industry where it combines the technology of SCC with conventional grout. SCG is to be highly flowing, non-segregating grout that has the ability to fill the long, narrow and sometimes highly congested cores of reinforced walls without the need for consolidation and