

How useful is Oral Misoprostol Prior to IUCD Insertion in Women Who Delivered by CS?

Thesis

*Submitted for partial fulfillment of the master degree of in
Obstetrics and Gynecology*

By:

Doaa Saied Mohamed

M.B., B.Ch. 2007
Ain Shams University
Resident in Electricity Hospital

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Ayman Abd El-Razek Abo El-Nour

*Professor of Obstetrics & Gynecology
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University*

Dr. Mohamed El-Mandooh Mohamed

*Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University*

Dr. Ahmed M. Bahaa El Din Ahmed

*Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Faculty of Medicine, Ain shams University*

**Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University**

2014



First of all, all gratitude is due to **God** almighty for blessing this work, until it has reached its end, as a part of his generous help, throughout my life.

Really I can hardly find the words to express my gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Ayman Abd El-Razek Abo El-Nour** Professor of Obstetrics & Gynecology, faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University, for his supervision, continuous help, encouragement throughout this work and tremendous effort he has done in the meticulous revision of the whole work. It is a great honor to work under his guidance and supervision.

I would like also to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to **Dr. Mohamed El-Mandooh Mohamed**, Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University, for his continuous directions and support throughout the whole work.

Great thanks to **Dr. Ahmed M. Bahaa El Din Ahmed** Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University. I will never be able to express my feelings and gratitude towards him with simple words, and I wish to be able one day to return apart of what he has offered to me.

I owe much to **Prof. Dr. Karim Mohammed Nabil** Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University, for his continuous guidance, encouragement during the progress of this work and direct supervision.

Last but not least, I dedicate this work to my family, whom without their sincere emotional support, pushing me forward this work would not have ever been completed.

Doaa Saied Mohamed

Contents

List of Abbreviations	i
List of Tables	ii
List of Figures	iii
Introduction and Aim of the Work	1
Review of Literature	4
* Prostaglandins.....	4
* Misoprostol.....	9
* Intrauterine contraceptive device.....	38
* Cervix	63
Patients and Methods.....	67
Results	72
Discussion.....	91
Summary	99
Conclusion.....	104
Recommendations	105
References	106
Arabic Summary	--

List of Abbreviations

IUCD	: The copper intrauterine device
IUCDs	: The copper intrauterine devices
LNG	: In addition, the levonorgestrel
NSAIDs	: Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs
LNG	: the levonorgestrel
NSAIDs	: Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs
AUC	: Area under the curve
T max	: Time to peak serum level of MPA
Cmax	: Peak serum levels of MPA
RBC	: Red blood corpuscles
GTP	: Guanosine tri phosphates
EP1&3	: Prostaglandin E receptor
MLCK	: Myosin Light Chain Kinase
PKA	: Protein kinase A
MLCP	: Myosin Light Chain phosphatase
MPA	: Misoprostol acid
CYP-450	: Cytochrome p 450
PTT	: Partial thromboplastin time
STD	: Sexual transmitted disease
FDA	: Food and drug administration
HIV	: Human immunodeficiency virus
EC	: Emergency contraceptive
WHO	: World Health Organization
D&E	: Dilatation and evacuation

List of tables

<i>Table</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page</i>
1	Misoprostol dosages for reproductive health	32
2	Demographic Data of Included Women.	73
3	Difference between Groups regarding Demographic Data	76
4	Difference between Groups regarding Uterine Position and Length	78
5	Difference between Groups regarding Easiness of IUCD Insertion	81
6	Difference between Groups regarding Pain during IUCD Insertion	86
7	Difference between Groups regarding Pain during IUCD Insertion	87
8	Difference between group I and II as regard Medication-related Side Effects	88
9	Comparison between group I and II as regard IUCD location at 6 months follow up	89
10	Comparison between group I and II as regard infection at 6 months follow up	90

List of Figures

<i>Fig.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page</i>
1	Biosynthesis of eicosanoids	6
2	World map of misoprostol approval	10
3	Misoprostol Description	10
4	Chemistry of misoprostol	11
5	Pharmacokinetics of vaginal, oral, sublingual administration of misoprostol	23
6	Pharmacokinetics of buccal, rectal, vaginal administration of misoprostol.	25
7	Nonhormonal copper IUCD-ParaGard	41
8	MIRENA	42
9	SKYLA	43
10	Photo of a common IUCD (Paragard T 380A)	45
11	Different shapes of IUCDs	47
12	Correctly inserted IUCD	47
13	An IUCD as seen on pelvic X ray	57
14	Faces rating scale (FRS)	70
15	Flowchart showing the enrollment of the participants to the study	72
16	Bar-Chart showing Age Distribution in Included Women	84
17	Pie-Chart showing Parity Distribution in Included Women	75
18	Box-Plot Chart showing Difference between Groups regarding Age	76
19	Box-Plot Chart showing Difference between Groups regarding Parity	77
20	Bar-Chart showing Difference between Groups regarding Uterine Position	79
21	Bar-Chart showing Difference between Groups regarding Uterine Length	79

List of Figures (Cont.)

<i>Fig.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page</i>
22	Bar-Chart showing Difference between Groups regarding Easiness of Uterine Sounding	82
23	Bar-Chart showing Difference between Groups regarding Easiness of IUCD Insertion	82
24	Bar-Chart showing Difference between Groups regarding Success or Failure of IUCD Insertion	83
25	Bar-Chart showing Difference between Groups regarding Pain during IUCD Insertion	85
26	Bar-Chart showing Difference between Groups regarding Medication-related Side Effects	88

How useful is oral misoprostol prior to IUCD insertion in women who delivered by CS?

Protocol of thesis
Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in
Obstetrics and Gynecology

BY

Doaa Saied Mohamed

M.B., B.Ch. 2007

Ain Shams University

Resident in Electricity Hospital

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Ayman Abd El-Razek Abo El-Nour

*Professor of Obstetrics & Gynecology
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University*

Dr. Mohamed El-Mandooh Mohamed

*Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University*

Dr. Ahmed M. Bahaa El Din Ahmed

*Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University*

**Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
Cairo, 2012**

Introduction

The copper intrauterine contraceptive device (IUCD) is a highly effective contraceptive method, also the ideal method for young, nulliparous women (**Guttmacher Institute Facts on Induced Abortion, 2010**).

The copper intrauterine devices (IUCDs) are safe, cost-effective in the long term and equally effective compared with tubal sterilization (**Grimes et al., 2007; Grimes and Mishell, 2008**).

In addition, the levonorgestrel (LNG)-releasing IUCDs (Mirena) provides noncontraceptive benefits, such as treatment for menorrhagia, dysmenorrhea and anemia (**Hurskainen et al., 2004; Milsom, 2007**).

However, a disadvantage in nulliparous women is that the insertion of an IUCD through a narrow cervix may be technically difficult and painful (**Farmer and Webb, 2003**).

Failed insertion, complications and side effects are significantly more common among women who have no previous vaginal delivery. The fear of painful insertion may make women to hesitate to use an IUCD (**Saav et al., 2007**).

Reported complications related to IUCD insertion are: 8.8% insertion failure, 2.8-11.5% cervical problems, 0.2% cervical perforation, 0.2% syncope and 5.8% expulsion (**Farmer and Webb, 2003**).

Insertion failures and cervical problems seem to occur more often among women who have never delivered vaginally (**Farmer and Webb, 2003; Li et al., 2005**).

By transvaginal ultrasound the cervix of women with a previous elective cesarean section may resemble the cervix of nulliparous women (**Kwee et al., 2004**).

In an attempt to facilitate IUCD insertion for nulliparous women, use of misoprostol has been promoted by several sources (**Hatcher et al., 2007; Association of Reproductive Health Professionals, 2008**).

Misoprostol is an inexpensive prostaglandin E1-analogue, which is associated with few side-effects (**Goldberg et al., 2001; Wing and Gaffney, 2006**).

Misoprostol commercially widely available and used to decrease the ulcerogenic effects of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). Misoprostol is available in oral tablets and the dose used therapeutically is 400-800 microgram daily. PG analogues are used for cervical dilatation prior to surgical abortion in order to avoid damage to the cervix and uterus due to a rigid cervix and to decrease the bleeding (**Ngai et al., 1995, 1999; Lawrie et al., 1996**).

Priming with misoprostol prior to hysteroscopy and dilatation and curettage (D&C) in premenopausal women resulted in an increased cervical dilatation and a lower rate of cervical laceration (**Crane and Healey, 2006; Preutthipan and Herabutya, 2006**).

Misoprostol has been shown to be effective for cervical priming prior to IUCD insertion would reduce failure rates, complications and pain during insertion in non-pregnant women of fertile age especially in nulliparous with a narrow cervical canal (**Saav et al., 2007**).

Aim of the Work

The aim of the work is to evaluate the efficacy of the oral misoprostol to facilitate IUCD insertion in women who delivered only by cesarean section.

Research question:

Population: women with previous cesarean section and undergoing IUCD placement.

Intervention: participants will receive two tablets of 200 microgram misoprostol (total dose of 400 microgram misoprostol) orally 30 minute before IUCD insertion.

Comparison: compare with placebo.

Outcome: The primary outcome measure of this study is the proportion of difficulty of IUCD insertion.

Research hypothesis:

Null hypothesis: there is no difference in IUCD insertion before & after oral misoprostol.

Alternative hypothesis: there is difference in IUCD insertion before & after oral misoprostol.

Patients and Methods

Study Design:

Double blinded placebo randomized controlled clinical trial.

Setting:

The study will be conducted at Ain-Shams University Maternity Hospital.

Time:

June 2012 to June 2013.

Sample size calculation:

Using STATA® version 11 program, the sample size was Calculated based on the occurrence of complication following IUCD insertion, setting a type 1 error of 0.05 and a power of 0.80. We aimed at detecting a significant difference of expected complication 5 % among (misoprostol group) versus 19.1% among (placebo group). This was based on the 19.1% complication found in the retrospective study of (Dijkhuizen K et al, 2010); the calculated sample size was, therefore, 100 patients in each group.

Population of study:

Two hundred women candidate for T Cu 380A IUCD insertion will be Enrolled in the study. Half of them will receive 400 microgram of misoprostol oral and the other half will receive the placebo.

Inclusion Criteria:

- All women will be 18 to 45 years of age.
- Desires IUCD placement and able to participate.
- Negative pregnancy test.
- Willing to follow-up in 6-8 weeks for a standard IUCD follow-up visit.
- Previous delivery by cesarean section.

Exclusion Criteria:

- Active cervical infection.
- Current pregnancy.
- Uterine anomaly.
- Fibroid uterus.
- Copper allergy/Wilson's disease.
- Undiagnosed abnormal uterine bleeding.
- Cervical or uterine cancer.
- Allergy to misoprostol.
- Previous vaginal delivery.

Methodology:

All Participants will be subjected to the following:

History:

Full personal, obstetric, menstrual and medical history is taken. Data will be collected in a special form for each Participant.

Insertion of IUCD can take place from (The third day to the fifth day) during the menstrual cycle.

Ultrasound should be use prior insertion to detect uterine position (Anteverted or Retroverted) & any Intracavitary pathology (uterine anomaly & fibroid uterus)

A bimanual examination and sounding of the uterus are necessary to determine the uterine position and the depth of the uterine cavity.

Participants will randomly allocate to either the misoprostol or placebo group guided by a computer-generated randomization list.

We defined participants as women who never had a vaginal delivery and had undergone Cesarean section.

All participants will receive a numbered, blinded packet with either two tablets of 200 microgram misoprostol (total dose of 400 microgram misoprostol) or two tablets of placebo. The placebo is an adequate blind.

Participants will be instructed to administer the two tablets orally 30 minute before IUCD insertion.

The study will be conducted in a double-blind fashion: neither the clinician nor the participant knows whether placebo or misoprostol will be administered, the randomization list will