

Ain Shams University
Faculty of Science
Department of Chemistry



"Synthesis of Environmentally Friendly Nano-Marine Antifouling Paints"

A THESIS

Submitted for the Ph.D. degree of science

"Organic Chemistry"

By

Mohamed Sayed Abdel-Halim Selim

B.Sc., Chemistry (2006)

M.Sc., Organic Chemistry (2012)

2015

Ain Shams University
Faculty of Science
Department of Chemistry



APPROVAL SHEET FOR SUBMISSION

Title of thesis: "Synthesis of Environmentally Friendly Nano-Marine Antifouling Paints"

Name of the Candidate: **Mohamed Sayed Abdel-Halim Selim**

This has been approved for submission by the supervisors:

Signature

Prof. Dr. / **Ahmed Ismail Hashem**

Prof. Dr. / **Maher Abbas El-Sockary**

Prof. Dr. / **Sherif Abdullah El-Safty**

Prof. Dr. / **Ossama Mahmoud Abo Elenien**

Dr. / **Ashraf Mohamed El-Saeed**

Head of Chemistry Department
Faculty of Science – Ain shams University

Hamed A.Y. Derbala

CONTENTS

CONTENTS

Page

ACKNOWLEDGMENT
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	I
ABSTARCT	IV
SUMMARY	V
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1.Importance and scope of study	7
1.2.Objectives of the work	9
1.3.Dissertation outline	10
CHAPTER II: BACKGROUND	11
2.1. Fouling	11
2.1.1. Biofilm formation	13
2.1.2. Biofilm characteristics	15
2.1.3. Fouling mechanism	17
2.1.4. Quorum sensing	21
2.1.5. Factors influence fouling formation	23
2.2. Fouling costs	27
2.3. Friction and drag resistance of ship hull	30
2.4. Fouling prevention	33
2.4.1. Antifouling (AF) non coating techniques	34
2.4.2. AF coatings techniques	36
2.5. Historical development of AF systems	36
2.6. Future directions for AF paints and the management of their impact	43
2.6.1. Natural marine compounds in AF coating technology	46
2.6.2. Fouling release (FR) coating technology	47
2.6.3. Silicon FR coatings	50
2.6.3.1. Surface characteristics	54
2.6.3.1.1. Surface energy and related parameters	54
2.6.3.1.2. Elastic modulus	56
2.6.3.1.3. Thickness	56
2.7. Silicon nanocomposites	57

CONTENTS

CHAPTER III. EXPERIMENTAL AND METHODS	60
3.1. Chemicals	60
3.2. Experimental Procedure	61
3.2.1.1. Preparation of vinyl terminated PDMS.	61
3.2.1.2. Curing of vinyl terminated PDMS	61
3.2.2. Synthesis of desired nanoparticles (NPs)	62
3.2.2.1. Synthesis of cuprous oxide nanocubes	62
3.2.2.2. Synthesis of spherical silver NPs	62
3.2.2.3. Synthesis of TiO ₂ NPs	63
3.2.3. Tailored design of the prepared nanocomposites	63
3.2.3.1. Tailored design of PDMS / Cu ₂ O Nanocomposites	63
3.2.3.2. Tailored design of PDMS/Ag nanocomposites	63
3.2.3.3. Tailored design of PDMS/TiO ₂ Nanocomposites	63
3.2.4. AF paint preparation (PDMS nano-coatings formulations)	64
3.3. Instrumentation	65
3.3.1. Fourier transforms infrared absorption spectroscopy (FT-IR)	65
3.3.2. Gel permeation chromatography (GPC)	66
3.3.3. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR)	66
3.3.4. Thermal analysis:	66
3.3.4.1. Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA)	66
3.3.4.2. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)	67
3.3.5. Dynamic light scattering (DLS)	67
3.3.6. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)	68
3.3.7. Energy dispersive X-ray (EDX)	70
3.3.8. X-Ray diffraction (XRD)	70
3.3.9. Polarized optical microscope (POM)	71
3.3.10. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)	71
3.3.11. Photocatalytic studies	72
3.4. Test methods of the cured polymer and its Nanocomposites	72
3.4.1. Tensile modulus	72
3.4.2. Contact angle measurements	73
3.4.3. Roughness test measurements	74
3.4.4. Wetting behavior and surface tension measurements	75

CONTENTS

3.4.2. Swelling test measurements	76
3.5. Mechanical tests	76
3.5.1. Cross cut adhesion test:	77
3.5.2. The resistance of the coating during bending on a cylindrical spindle (T bend test)	77
3.5.3. Impact resistance measurements:	77
3.6. Corrosion resistance test (Salt spray test)	78
3.7. Biological assays:	79
3.7.1. Laboratory tests:	79
3.7.2. Microorganisms details	79
3.7.3. Weight loss measurements:	80
3.7.4. Biodegradability test:	80
3.8. Field tests measurements:	81
CHAPTER IV: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	83
4.1.Design of PDMS structure	85
4.1.1. Synthesis of vinyl terminated PDMS	86
4.1.2. Characterization of the prepared silicon polymer	90
4.1.3. Curing of vinyl terminated PDMS	95
4.2. Design of Cu₂O nanofillers for applying in a new model FR coating:	97
4.2.1. Synthesis of Cu ₂ O NPs with controlled size and morphology	98
4.2.2. Cu ₂ O NPs morphology and characterization	100
4.3.Silicone/cubic Cu₂O nanocomposites design and physico-mechanical characterization:	106
4.3.1. Silicone/cubic Cu ₂ O nanocomposites design	106
4.3.2. PDMS/cubic Cu ₂ O nanocomposites characterization	106
4.3.3. Surface and AF investigation of the prepared unfilled and filled nanocomposite films	112
4.3.4. Biological tests measurements	118
4.3.5. Field tests at immersion sites	125
4.4. Design of Ag NPs for applying as a novel model FR coating.	129

CONTENTS

4.4.1. Synthesis of Ag NPs with controlled size and morphology	130
4.4.2. Characterization of the prepared Ag NPs	133
4.5. Silicone/Ag nanocomposites design and physico-mechanical characterization	139
4.5.1. Silicone/Ag nanocomposites design	139
4.5.2. PDMS/Ag nanocomposites characterization	142
4.5.3. Surface and AF investigation of the nanocomposite films:	148
4.5.4. Biological test measurements:	152
4.5.5. Field test measurements	159
4.6. Design of TiO₂ NPs for applying in a new model FR coating	162
4.6.1. Synthesis of TiO ₂ NPs with controlled size and morphology	164
4.6.2. TiO ₂ nanofillers morphology and characterization	166
4.7. Silicone / TiO₂ nanocomposites design and physico-mechanical characterization:	170
4.7.1. Silicone / TiO ₂ nanocomposites design	170
4.7.2. Characterization of the prepared PDMS/TiO ₂ nanocomposites	172
4.7.3. Surface and AF investigation of the nanocomposite films:	178
4.7.4. Biological test measurements	181
4.7.5. Field test measurements	186
4.8. Comparison between the prepared FR nanocomposite series and the commercial competitors	189
4.9. Tailored design of AF formulations of the prepared PDMS nanocomposites for using as FR coating	191
4.9.1: Tailored design of complete AF formulations	191
4.9.2. Field test measurements	193
4.10. Mechanical tests investigation of the tailored design nanocomposites and the coating formulation	196
4.11. Salt spray tests:	198
CONCLUSION	200
REFERENCES	201
ARABIC SUMMARY	i
ARABIC ABSTACT	iii

CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table II.1	Silicon and carbon rotational barriers	52
Table III.1	Details of silicone top coating formulations	65
Table III.2	VOCG surface tension parameters of liquids used in this study.	75
Table IV.1	Table IV.1: Determination of d-spacing for the reflection of the prepared Cu ₂ O at 110 plane and crystallite size.	102
Table IV.2	Comparison of T _{max} and T _i of the unfilled and filled PDMS/Cu ₂ O nanocomposites.	110
Table IV.3	Determination of dynamic stress and tan delta values of unfilled and filled PDMS/Cu ₂ O nanocomposites	112
Table IV.4	Contact angle (θ) measurements for various solvents (water, ethylene glycol and diiodomethane) of the chemically synthesized PDMS and filled PDMS/Cu ₂ O nanocomposites controls before (dry) and after (wet) immersion in distilled water for 7 days in various solvents.	115
Table IV.5	Surface tensions for the prepared virgin PDMS and its nanocomposites with Cu ₂ O NPs before and after wet immersion in distilled water for 7 days.	116
Table IV.6	SD% of unfilled and filled PDMS/Cu ₂ O nanocomposites.	117
Table IV.7	Field test of the fabricated silicone/Cu ₂ O nanocomposites for 12 months of immersion.	128
Table IV.8	Determination of d-spacing for crystallite size determination of Ag nanoparticles	135
Table IV.9	Comparison of T _{max} and T _i of the unfilled and filled PDMS/Ag nanocomposites.	147
Table IV.10	Determination of dynamic stress and tan delta values of unfilled and filled PDMS/Ag nanocomposites	148
Table IV.11	Average roughness and roughness ratio measurements of unfilled and filled PDMS/Ag nanocomposites	150
Table IV.12	Contact angle (θ) measurements for various solvents (water, ethylene glycol and diiodomethane) of the chemically synthesized PDMS and filled PDMS/Ag nanocomposites controls before (dry)	151

CONTENTS

	and after (wet) immersion in distilled water for 7 days in various solvents.	
Table IV.13	Surface tensions for the prepared unfilled and filled PDMS/Ag nanocomposites before and after wet immersion in distilled water for 7 days.	151
Table IV.14	SD% of unfilled and filled PDMS/Ag nanocomposites.	152
Table IV.15	Field test of the fabricated silicone/Ag nanocomposites for 12 months of immersion.	160
Table IV.16	Determination of d-spacing for crystallite size determination	167
Table IV.17	Comparison of T_{max} and T_i of the unfilled and filled PDMS/TiO ₂ nanocomposites.	175
Table IV.18	Determination of dynamic stress and tan delta values of unfilled and filled PDMS/ TiO ₂ nanocomposites	176
Table IV.19	Average roughness and roughness ratio measurements of unfilled and filled PDMS/TiO ₂ nanocomposites	179
Table IV.20	SD% of unfilled and filled PDMS/TiO ₂ nanocomposites.	180
Table IV.21	Field test of the fabricated silicone/TiO ₂ nanocomposites for 12 months of immersion.	188
Table IV.22	Field test of the fabricated silicone nanocomposite complete formulation for 12 months of immersion.	195
Table IV.23	Results of the mechanical tests applied on various nanocomposites	197

CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure I.1	Represents the mechanism proposed by Hempel for a TF biocidal AF paints	3
Figure I.2	Represent the mechanism proposed by Chugoku, for a TF biocidal AF paints	4
Figure I.3	The chemical structure of PDMS where R may be organic, hydroxyl or alkoxy group.	7
Figure II.1	Fouling and fouling Removal from ship hull.	12
Figure II.2	Steps of fouling formation	13
Figure II.3	Representation of biofilm formation	15
Figure II.4	A schematic representation of the three stages leading to biofilm formation caused by bacterial as example.	16
Figure II.5	Representation of Biofilm characteristics.	17
Figure II.6	A schematic illustration of the three common fouling layers.	17
Figure II.7	Examples of the soft macrofouling (a,b,c,d,e) and examples of hard macro-fouling species (f,g,h,I,k).	19
Figure II.8	Fouling process steps.	20
Figure II.9	(A) The cyprid larva of barnacles showing the antennular appendages by which the larva "walks" as it explores the surface before firmly adhering and metamorphosing into an adult barnacle and (B) Scanning electron microscopy of diatom slime (species of Amphora).	20
Figure II.10	Show gram-negative bacteria (A) and the gram-positive bacteria (B).	22
Figure II.11	Mechanism on quorum sensing, from literature	23
Figure II.12	Geographical location map of Fouling in Egyptian waters.	24
Figure II.13	Examples of how coating condition can influence hull roughness.	30
Figure II.14	Different types of fouling organisms have various effects on the drag resistance	32
Figure II.15	Various types of vessels need fouling prevention techniques.	34
Figure II.16	Example of underwater cleaning equipment's to remove fouling.	35
Figure II.17	Copper sheathing used in fouling prevention.	38

CONTENTS

Figure II.18	(A) Chemical formula of TBT methacrylate (TBTM) and methyl methacrylate (MMA) copolymer, (B) Controlled release mechanism of TBT copolymer by hydrolysis.	40
Figure II.19	IMO building and dolphins death as a result of TBT AF paints.	41
Figure II.20	Historical development of AF systems.	42
Figure II.21	Advantages of FR coating technology.	48
Figure II.22	Molecular structure of cross-linked PDMS and picture of their paint application	49
Figure II.23	Foul release coatings are easy to clean and remove fouling organisms.	50
Figure II.24	PDMS chains indicating bond angles of the siloxane backbone.	52
Figure II.25	Overview of silicone applications.	53
Figure II.26	The Baier curve demonstrates the relative amount of biofouling versus critical surface tension of the substrate. Reprinted from literature	55
Figure II.27	Relative Adhesion as a function of the square root of the product of γ_c and E	56
Figure III.1:	A schematic of the layers arrangement on the steel surface for adhesion achievement of silicon top layer to the epoxy primer layer.	65
Figure III.2	Schematic diagram of TEM apparatus	68
Figure III.3	A simplified sketch for the set-up of the JKR apparatus	71
Figure III.4	(A) Contact angle meter using sessile drop technique and (B) Contact angle of a hydrophobic and hydrophilic surface.	73
Figure III.5	Contact angle length and height measurements.	74
Figure III.6	Biological tests measurements of the prepared silicone nanocomposites.	80
Figure III.7	Field test at the arsenal marine Suez Canal, Suez, Egypt.	82
Figure IV.1	FT-IR Spectrum of the prepared vinyl terminated PDMS	90
Figure IV.2	¹ HNMR Spectrum of vinyl terminated PDMS	91
Figure IV.3	TGA Spectrum of the prepared vinyl terminated PDMS	92
Figure IV.4	DSC Spectrum of the prepared vinyl terminated PDMS	93
Figure IV.5	GPC curve of the prepared PDMS polymer.	94
Figure IV.6	Viscosity vs. shear rate for the prepared vinyl terminated PDMS.	94

CONTENTS

Figure IV.7	FT-IR spectrum of the prepared Cu ₂ O nanoparticles.	101
Figure IV.8	XRD pattern of the as-synthesized Cu ₂ O nanocubes.	102
Figure IV.9	DLS of the as synthesized Cu ₂ O nanocubes	103
Figure IV.10	(a), (b) and (c) are TEM images of the prepared Cu ₂ O nanocubes at low and high magnification, (d) corresponding electron diffraction patterns of as-synthesized nanocubic Cu ₂ O and (e) and (f) corresponding to their crystal lattice which in agreement with XRD results.	104
Figure IV.11	(a), (b), (c), (d), (e) and (f) are SEM images of the prepared Cu ₂ O nanoparticles at low and high magnification.	106
Figure IV.12	(a) and (b) are TEM images of the prepared PDMS/Cu ₂ O (0.1% nanofillers) nanocomposites at low and high magnification. While (c) and (d) are TEM images of the prepared PDMS/Cu ₂ O (5% nanofillers) nanocomposites at low and high magnification.	107
Figure IV.13	(a) and (b) Comparison of the FTIR plots of unfilled and filled PDMS/Cu ₂ O nanocomposites.	108
Figure IV.14	Comparison of TGA curves of the unfilled and filled PDMS/Cu ₂ O nanocomposites.	110
Figure IV.15	Tensile modulus of the prepared unfilled and filled PDMS/ Cu ₂ O nanocomposites at different loading concentrations.	111
Figure IV.16	(a) Schematic of a liquid droplet on the surface of coated glass slide (b) a liquid droplet in contact with a smooth solid surface (contact angle, θ), (c) contact angle for a rough surface (Θ) as a function of the roughness factor	112
Figure IV.17	Water contact angle of unfilled PDMS and filled PDMS/Cu ₂ O nanocomposites.	114
Figure IV.18	Average roughness of unfilled PDMS and filled PDMS/Cu ₂ O nanocomposites	115
Figure IV.19	(a) Glass slides designed to undergo FR coating and then testing technique measurements (b) the swelling test of unfilled and filled PDMS where the swelling panels immersed in swelling solvent (c) Swelled panel.	117
Figure IV.20	Weight loss of unfilled and filled PDMS with different micro-organisms.	119
Figure IV.21	Biodegradability percentages of unfilled and filled PDMS/Cu ₂ O	120

CONTENTS

	nanocomposites with various microorganisms.	
Figure IV.22	Optical microscope images (A), (B), (C), and (D) of the unfilled PDMS; (E), (F), (G), and (H) of the 0.01% nanofillers in the PDMS/Cu ₂ O nanocomposites; (I), (J), (K), and (L) of the 0.05% nanofillers in the PDMS/Cu ₂ O nanocomposites; (M), (N), (O), and (P) of the 0.1% nanofillers in the PDMS/Cu ₂ O nanocomposites; (Q), (R), (S), and (T) of the 0.5% nanofillers in the PDMS/Cu ₂ O nanocomposites; (U), (V), (W), and (X) of the 1% nanofillers in the PDMS/Cu ₂ O nanocomposites; (Y), (Z), (AA), and (AB) of the 3% nanofillers in the PDMS/Cu ₂ O nanocomposites; (AC), (AD), (AE), and (AF) of the 5% nanofillers in the PDMS/Cu ₂ O nanocomposites; all before and after immersion in microorganisms for 30 days.	123
Figure IV.23	SEM image of (A) unfilled PDMS, (B) 0.01%, (C) 0.05%, (D) 0.1%, (E) 0.5%, (F) 1%, (G) 3%, and (H) 5% PDMS/Cu ₂ O nanocomposites.	124
Figure IV.24	Raft design used for the field test.	125
Figure IV.25	Panels' design of the used steel.	126
Figure IV.26	(A) anticorrosive layer applied on the sand blasted steel panels, (B) tie coat which secondly applied (C,D and E) PDMS/Cu ₂ O nanocomposites antifouling paint (F) pointed to the aforementioned sample between the total samples (G) pointed to the aforementioned sample hanged on the raft before immersion in sea water and (H) the immersion process of the raft and panels in sea water.	127
Figure IV.27	Field test of PDMS/Cu ₂ O nanocomposites for 12 months of immersion.	128
Figure IV.28	The flow chart of Ag NPs preparation using silver acetate as Ag source.	133
Figure IV.29	FT-IR Spectra of (a) DDA (b) Ag-DDA NPs	134
Figure IV.30	XRD report of the synthesized Ag NPs.	135
Figure IV.31	DLS of the synthesized Ag NPs.	136
Figure IV.32	(A), (B) and (C) are TEM images of the prepared Ag NPs at low and high magnification, (D) corresponding to SAED patterns of as-synthesized Ag NPs and (E and F) corresponding to their crystal lattice which in agreement with XRD results.	137
Figure IV.33	EDX images of the prepared Ag NPs.	138
Figure IV.34	SEM images of the prepared Ag NPs at low and high magnification.	139

CONTENTS

Figure IV.35	Comparison of the FTIR plots of unfilled and filled PDMS/Ag NPs and Ag filled PDMS nanocomposites.	142
Figure IV.36	(A-E) are TEM images of the prepared PDMS/Ag nanocomposites (0.1% nanofillers) at different magnification powers and (F) corresponding to SAED patterns of as-synthesized Ag nanocomposites.	144
Figure IV.37	(A-E) are TEM images of PDMS/Ag nanocomposites (5% nanofillers) at different magnification powers. (F) corresponding to SAED patterns of as-synthesized Ag nanocomposites.	145
Figure IV.38	Comparison of the TGA curves of the unfilled and filled PDMS/Ag nanocomposites.	146
Figure IV.39	Tensile modulus of unfilled and filled PDMS/Ag nanocomposites with various filler concentration.	147
Figure IV.40	Water contact angle of unfilled and filled PDMS/Ag nanocomposites	149
Figure IV.41	Weight loss of unfilled and filled PDMS/Ag nanocomposites with different microorganisms	153
Figure IV.42	Biodegradability test of unfilled and filled PDMS/Ag nanocomposites with different micro-organisms.	154
Figure IV.43	Optical microscope images of (A), (B), (C) and (D) are the unfilled PDMS before and after immersion in microorganisms for 30 day. Images (E), (F), (G) and (H) are those of 0.1% nanofillers in the PDMS/Ag nanocomposites before and after immersion in microorganisms for 30 day. Images (I), (J), (K) and (L) are those of 5% nanofillers in the PDMS/ Ag nanocomposites before and after immersion in microorganisms for 30 day.	156
Figure IV.44	SEM image of (a) unfilled PDMS, (b) 0.01%, (c) 0.05%, (d) 0.1%, (e) 0.5%, (f) 1%, (g) 3%, and (h) 5% PDMS/Ag nanocomposites.	158
Figure IV.45	(A) anticorrosive layer applied on the sand blasted steel panels, (B) tie coat which secondly applied (C,D and E) PDMS/Ag nanocomposites antifouling paint (F) pointed to the aforementioned sample between the total samples (G) pointed to the aforementioned sample hanged on the raft before immersion in sea water and (H) the immersion process of the raft and panels in sea water.	160
