

Ain Shams University Faculty of Science Botany Department

SOME PHYSIOLOGICAL STUDIES ON MODERN BIOTECHNOLOGY OF WHEAT PLANT

A thesis

Submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Science (Botany, Plant Physiology)

To
Botany Department, Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University

By

Ebtesam Ahmed Abdo Qaid

(B. Sc. 2001) (M. Sc. 2010)

(2015)



SOME PHYSIOLOGICAL STUDIES ON MODERN BIOTECHNOLOGY OF WHEAT PLANT

A thesis

Submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Science (Botany, Plant Physiology)

By

Ebtesam Ahmed Abdo Oaid

(B. Sc. 2001) (M. Sc. 2010)

Supervisors

Dr. Raifa Ahmed Hassanein

Prof. of Plant Physiology, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University

Dr. Hanan Ahmed Hashem

Associate Prof. of Plant Physiology, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University

Dr. Osama Mohamed El-Shihy

Prof. of Plant Physiology and Plant Biotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University

Dr. Ashraf Hussien Fahmy

Deputy Director for Training and Extension, Agricultural Genetic Engineering Research Institute (AGERI), ARC, Giza.

Dr. Ahmed Shawky Ibrahim

Associate Prof. of Plant Physiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University

Botany Department Faculty of Science Ain Shams University (2015) Name: Ebtesam Ahmed Abdo Qaid

Title: Some Physiological Studies on Modern

Biotechnology of Wheat Plant

Scientific Degree: Doctor of Philosophy in Botany

(Pant Physiology)

Supervisors

Signature

Dr. Raifa Ahmed Hassanein

Prof. of Plant Physiology, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Hanan Ahmed Hashem

Associate Prof. of Plant Physiology, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Osama Mohamed El-Shihy

Prof. of Plant Physiology and Plant Biotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University.

Dr. Ashraf Hussien Fahmy

Deputy Director for Training and Extension, Agricultural Genetic Engineering Research Institute (AGERI), ARC, Giza.

Dr. Ahmed Shawky Ibrahim

Associate Prof. of Plant Physiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University.

Head of Botany Department **Prof. Dr. Maher Mohamed Shehata**

Approval Sheet

Some Physiological Studies on Modern Biotechnology of Wheat Plant

By

Ebtesam Ahmed Abdo Qaid

This Thesis for the Ph.D degree has been approved by:

Dr. Elhusseiny Abdel Rahman Youssef

Prof. of Plant Physiology, Faculty of Science, Cairo University

Dr.

Dr. Raifa Ahmed Hassanein

Prof. of Plant Physiology, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University

Dr. Osama Mohamed El-Shihy

Prof. of Plant Physiology and Plant Biotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University.

Head of the Botany Department **Prof. Dr. Maher Mohamed Shehata**

This thesis has not been previously submitted for any degree at this or any university

Signature Ebtesam Ahmed Abdo Qaid

DEDICATION

To my love My Mother who gave me her deep affection, love and encouragement, also for her prays all day and night to enable me to get such success and honor by completing this work.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to express my deepest thanks to "ALLAH" who gave me the power, knowledge and helping me to carry out and finish this work.

I wish to express my sincere thanks to **Prof. Dr.**Raifa Ahmed Hassanein, Prof. of Plant Physiology,
Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University; **Dr. Hanan**Ahmed Hashem, Associate Prof. of Plant Physiology,
Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University; **Prof. Dr.**Osama Mohamed El-Shihy, Prof. of Plant Physiology and
Plant Biotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo
University; **Prof. Dr. Ashraf Hussien Fahmy**, Peputy
Director for Training and Extension, Agricultural Genetic
Engineering Research Institute (AGERI) and **Dr. Ahmed**Shawky Ibrahim, Associate Prof. of Plant Physiology,
Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University for suggesting the
point, supervision, continuous help and scientific advices
throughout this work.

I want to express my gratitude to **Prof. Khaled Sabery and Dr. Mohammed Abdallah** for their scientific advice and help during this work.

Grateful acknowledgement is also expressed to the head and staff members of Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University and to head and staff members of Agricultural Genetic Engineering Research Institute (AGERI) for their continuous help and cooperation.

I would like to express my deepest thanks to my country (**Republic of Yemen**), special my University (**Taiz University**) for giving me the opportunity to carry out this Ph.D thesis and financial support for me during this work.

And last but not least, with special thanks to **my family** for their patience and moral support. I could never complete this study without their confidence, encouragement.

CONTENTS	Page
List of Tables	i
List oFigures	iv
List of Plates	vii
Abstract	viii
List of Abbreviation	X
Introduction	1
1.Review of Literature	
- The wheat plant	5
- Genetic and cytogenetic characteristics of wheat	6
- Fusarium head blight	8
- Disease management	8
- Infection conditions	9
- Antioxidants	10
Peroxidase (POX)	13
• Catalase (CAT)	14
	15
Ascorbate peroxidase (APX) Phonylelening ammonia layaga (PAL)	16
Phenylalanine ammonia layase (PAL)	10 17
Flavonoids	17
Proline	20
Proteins	21
Plant transformation	22
- Wheat tissue culture	22
- Wheat transformation	28
- Particle bombardment transformation studies in wheat	29
- Report marker gene	35
- Pathogenesis related (PR) proteins	38
- Chitinase	39
- Rice chitinase gene	40
Selectable marker gene	45
Plant regeneration	49
Gene integration analysis	52
Chitinase activity.	54
Materials and Methods	
Wheat regeneration	57 57
- Sterilization of immature caryopses	57

	Page
- Isolation and culturing of explant	57
- Plant regeneration	58
Fusarium framinearum	59
- Fungus maintenance medium	59
- Culture of fungus inoculum	59
- Fungus extratct	60
- Inoculation and incubation	60
Protein extraction	61
Quantification of proteins using the Bradford assay	61
Antioxidant enzymes	62
Enzymes assay	62
- POX	62
- CAT	63
- APX	63
Assay of phenylalnine ammonia lyase	63
Measurement of proline content	64
Phenol content	
- Prepartion plant extract	65
- Determination of free phenol content	65
Flavonoids	
- Extraction of flavonoids	66
- Determination of flavonoid content	67
SDS- polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)	67
Protein staining	69
Wheat transformation for Eygptian wheat cultivars (Giza 164,	69
Sids 1 and Bani Suef 6)	
- Transformation of plasmid DNA into <i>E.coli</i> competent cells	70
- Prepartion of bacterial cell	71
- Plasmid transformation	72
- Plasmid purification	73
- Miniprep of plasmid DNA	74
- Prepartion of agarose and gel eleterophoresis	75
- Visulization and photography of the gel	76
- Magaprep of plasmid DNA purification system	77
Genetic transformation of plants	78
- Theory of Biolistic operation	78
- Prepartion and coating of gold particles with plasmid DNA	80
- Production of putatively transgenic plants	82

	Page
- Selection and regeneration of transformed calli	82
- Acclimatization	82
Histochemical analysis	
- GUS assay solution	83
- Prepartion of buffer stock solutions	83
- Prepartion of GUS assay solution	83
- Assay of β-glucuronidase (<i>gus</i>) activity	84
Assay of <i>bar</i> expression analysis	
- Liberty leaf painting	84
Verification of gene integration	
- Isolation and preparation of plant genomic DNA	85
- Prepartion of DNA samples	87
Detection of transformed DNA in genetically modified samples	88
- Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)	88
- Dot Blot analysis	92
- DNA labeling with Biotin-11-dUTP	93
- Hybridization	93
- Biotin chromogenic detection kit	95
Chitinase	98
- Tissue extraction	98
- Chitinase colorimetric assay	98
RESULTS	
Experiment I: Effect of different concentrations of Fusarium	100
graminearum culture filtrate on some physiological parameters	
of regenerated wheat (Triticum aestivum L.), cv. Giza 164 and cv.	
Sids 1 and (Triticum durum L.), cv. Bani Suef 6:	
Physiological results	102
- Total soluble proteins	102
- Antioxidant enzymes	106
- Catalase	106
- Guaiacol peroxidase	107
- Ascorbate peroxidase	113
Phenylalanine ammonia lyase	114
Proline content	120
Phenol content	123
Flavonoid conte.	126
Protein banding patterns at 15 days after inoculation	127
Experiment II: Co-transformation of immature embryo derived	139
calli of bread wheat (cv. Giza 164 and cv. Sids 1) and durum	-
wheat (cv. Bani Suef 6) with pAHCht-2 harboring rice chitinase	
gene and pAB6 harboring bar and gus genes	

		Page
-	Immature embryos as explants	141
-	Callus induction	142
-	Bombardment	142
-	Phosphinothericin selection of callus	143
-	Regeneration of transgenic wheat plants	144
-	GUS expression analysis	148
-	Bar expression analysis	153
-	Screening of transformants	155
-	Dot Blot analysis	157
Expe	eriment III: Comparative study between non-inoculated and	162
_	lated transgenic wheat plants cv. Giza 164 with F .	
gram	inearum culture filtrate	
-	Molecular analysis	164
-	Physiological analyses	166
-	Total soluble protein content	166
-	The activities of certain antioxidant enzymes	166
-	Catalase	168
-	Peroxidase	170
-	Ascorbate peroxidase	170
-	Phenyalalnine ammonia lyase	172
-	Chitinase	175
-	Proline content	177
-	Phenol content	177
-	Flavonoid content	179
-	Protein patterns	181
DISC	CUSSION	188
SUM	IMARY	225
REF	ERENCES	234
ARA	BIC SUMMARY	263

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
No.		No.
1	Names, pedigree and origin of the three used wheat cultivars.	56
2	Specific PCR primers for <i>cht-2</i> , <i>bar</i> and <i>gus</i> genes	89
3	Effect of different concentrations of F. graminearum culture	104
	filtrate on the total soluble protein of shoots of wheat (Triticium	
	aestivium L.) cv. Giza 164, cv. Sids 1 and (Triticium durum L.)	
	cv.Bani Suef 6 at 5, 10 and 15 days after inoculation.	
4	Effect of different concentrations of F. graminearum culture	109
	filtrate on catalase activity of shoots of wheat (Triticium	
	aestivium L.) cv. Giza 164, cv. Sids 1 and (Triticium durum L.)	
	cv. Bani Suef 6 at 5, 10 and 15 days after inoculation.	
5	Effect of different concentrations of F. graminearum culture	111
	filtrate on peroxidase activity of shoots of wheat (Triticium	
	aestivium L.) cv. Giza 164, cv. Sids 1 and (Triticium durum L.)	
	cv. Bani Suef 6 at 5, 10 and 15 days after inoculation.	
6	Effect of different concentrations of F. graminearum culture	115
	filtrate on ascorbate peroxidases activity of shoots of wheat	
	(Triticium aestivium L.) cv. Giza 164, cv. Sids 1 and (Triticium	
	durum L.) cv. Bani Suef 6 at 5, 10 and 15 days after inoculation.	
7	Effect of different concentrations of F. graminearum culture	118
	filtrate on phenylalanine ammonia layase activity of shoots of	
	wheat (Triticium aestivium L.) cv. Giza 164, cv. Sids 1 and	
	(Triticium durum L.) cv. Bani Suef 6 at 5, 10 and 15 days after	
	inoculation.	
8	Effect of different concentrations of F. graminearum culture	121
	filtrate on proline content of shoots of wheat (Triticium	
	aestivium L.) cv. Giza 164, cv. Sids 1 and (Triticium durum L.)	
	cv.Bani Suef 6 at 5, 10 and 15 days after inoculation.	104
9	Effect of different concentrations of F. graminearum culture	124
	filtrate on phenol content of shoots of wheat (Triticium	
	aestivium L.) cv. Giza 164, cv. Sids 1 and (<i>Triticium durum</i> L.)	
10	cv. Bani Suef 6 at 5, 10 and 15 days after inoculation.	120
10	Effect of different concentrations of F. graminearum culture	128
	filtrate on flavonoid content of shoots of wheat (<i>Triticium</i>	
	aestivium L.) cv. Giza 164, cv. Sids 1 and (<i>Triticium durum</i> L.)	
	cv.Bani Suef 6 at 5, 10 and 15 days after inoculation.	

Table	Title	Page
No.		No.
11	Effect of different concentrations of <i>F. graminearum</i> culture	131
	filtrate on protein banding patterns of shoots of wheat (<i>Triticium</i>	
12	aestivium L.) cv. Giza 164 at 15 days after inoculation. Effect of different concentrations of <i>F. graminearum</i> culture	135
12	filtrate on protein banding patterns of shoots of wheat (<i>Triticium</i>	133
	aestivium L.) cv. Sids 1 at 15 days after inoculation.	
13	Effect of different concentrations of <i>F. graminearum</i> culture	137
	filtrate on protein banding patterns of shoots of wheat (Triticium	
	durum L.) cv.Bani Suef 6 at 15 days after inoculation.	
14	Particle bombardment transformation of Triticum aestivum L.	145
	(cv.Giza 164).	
15	Particle bombardment transformation of Triticum aestivum L.	146
	(cv.Sids 1).	
16	Particle bombardment transformation of <i>Triticum durum</i> L.	147
1.7	(cv.Banisuef 6).	1.67
17	Total soluble protein in non-transgenic and transgenic lines of	167
	wheat (<i>Triticium aestivium</i> L.) cv. Giza 164 of non-inoculated	
	and those whom inoculated with 20% of <i>F. graminearum</i> culture filtrate for 15 days.	
18	Catalase activity in non-transgenic and transgenic lines of wheat	169
10	(Triticium aestivium L.) cv. Giza 164 of non-inoculated and	10)
	those whom inoculated with 20% of <i>F. graminearum</i> culture	
	filtrate for 15 days.	
19	Peroxidase activity in non-transgenic and transgenic lines of	171
	wheat (Triticium aestivium L.) cv. Giza 164 of non-inoculated	
	and those whom inoculated with 20% of F. graminearum culture	
	filtrate for 15 days.	
20	Ascorbate peroxidases activity in non-transgenic and transgenic	173
	lines of wheat (Triticium aestivium L.) cv. Giza 164 of non-	
	inoculated and those whom inoculated with 20% of F .	
21	graminearum culture filtrate for 15 days.	1774
21	Phenylalanine ammonia layase activity in non-transgenic and	174
	transgenic lines of wheat (<i>Triticium aestivium</i> L.) cv. Giza 164 of non-inoculated and those whom inoculated with 20% of <i>F</i> .	
	graminearum culture filtrate for 15 days	
	grammearum culture muate for 13 days	

Table	Title	Page
No.		No.
22	Chitinase activity (µmole min ⁻¹ g ⁻¹ fresh weight) in non-	176
	transgenic and transgenic lines of wheat (Triticium aestivium	
	L.) cv. Giza 164 of non-inoculated and those whom inoculated	
	with 20% of <i>F. graminearum</i> culture filtrate for 15 days.	
23	Proline content (µg g ⁻¹ fresh weight) in non-transgenic and	178
	transgenic lines of wheat (Triticium aestivium L.) cv. Giza	
	164 of non-inoculated and those whom inoculated with 20%	
	of F. graminearum culture filtrate for 15 days.	
24	Phenol content in non-transgenic and transgenic lines of wheat	180
	(Triticium aestivium L.) cv. Giza 164 of non-inoculated and	
	those whom inoculated with 20% of F. graminearum culture	
	filtrate for 15 days.	
25	Flavonoid content in non-transgenic and transgenic lines of	182
	wheat (Triticium aestivium L.) cv. Giza 164 of non-	
	inoculated and those whom inoculated with 20% of F.	
	graminearum culture filtrate for 15 days.	
26	Protein bands of non-transgenic and transgenic bread wheat	184
	plants (cv.Giza 164).	
27	Protein bands of non-transgenic and transgenic bread wheat	186
	plants (cv.Giza 164) that inoculated with 20% of F.	
	graminearum culture filtrate for 15 days.	