



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

**BEHAVIOR AND ANALYSIS OF
RC COLUMN/MASONRY WALL REINFORCED
INTERFACES**

A Thesis
Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

by

SHADY MOHAMED HUSSIEN GOMAA

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Fathy Abd El-Reheem Saad
Professor of Reinforced Concrete Structures
Ain Shams University

Dr. Hussien Ossama Okail
Associate Professor of Reinforced Concrete Structures
Ain Shams University

Cairo – 2016



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

BEHAVIOR AND ANALYSIS OF RC COLUMN/MASONRY WALL REINFORCED INTERFACES

By
SHADY MOHAMED HUSSEIN GOMAA
B.Sc. (2004)
Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering – Ain Shams University

EXAMINERS COMMITTEE

Signature

Prof. Dr. Fathy Abd ELReheem Saad

Professor of Reinforced Concrete Structures
Faculty of Engineering – Ain Shams University

.....

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Abd ELFattah Mohamoud

Professor of Reinforced Concrete Structures
Faculty of Engineering – Shoubra University

.....

Prof. Dr. Tarek Kamal Hassan

Professor of Reinforced Concrete Structures
Faculty of Engineering – Ain Shams University

.....

Date: October 2016

STATEMENT

This thesis is submitted as partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master in Civil Engineering (Structures), Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis, and no part of it has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other scientific entity.

Date : October 2016

Name : Shady Mohamed Hussien Gomaa

Signature :

AUTHOR

Name : Shady Mohamed Hussien Gomaa
Date of birth : 11 September 1982
Place of birth : Giza, Egypt
Academic Degree : B.Sc. in Civil Engineering
University : Ain Shams University
Date : June 2004
Current job : Structural Engineer

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, thanks to **GOD** and May His peace and blessings be upon all his prophets for granting me the chance and the ability to complete this study successfully.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to **Prof. Fathy Saad**, Professor of Reinforced Concrete Structures, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, for his genuine support, valuable advice, sincere comments and deep encouragement through all phases of work that helped me to finish this study in appropriate shape. I'm also extremely thankful to **Dr. Hussien Okail**, Assistant Professor of Reinforced Concrete Structures, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, for his guidance and valuable suggestions.

I would like to thank my Family members for their support and encouragement in the days prior to submitting this study helped me in the accomplishment of this work.

Finally, I am particularly grateful to my wife, **Noha Khattab**, for helping and assisting me in all the stages of this work. Without her sleepless nights and extreme care, this study would never have been possible.

This work is dedicated specially to my parents, to whom I owe so much.

BEHAVIOR AND ANALYSIS OF RC COLUMN/MASONRY WALL REINFORCED INTERFACES

SUMMARY

The primary objective of this research is to investigate the influence of the interface details between RC column/masonry wall on the response to vertical and lateral loads. The specific tasks include behavior evaluation of RC column/masonry wall different reinforced interfaces under vertical and lateral loads, development and validation of numerical models to simulate the response of the tested specimens, evaluation of untested design configurations using the developed models and finally will include proposal of a set of design guidelines based on the experimental and analytical programs results.

The research program evaluates the structural behavior of different reinforced interfaces between RC column & masonry wall tested under constant monotonically increasing lateral loads with constant vertical load.

Tested Specimens are having different types of RC columns/Masonry wall interfaces, firstly Straight interfaces with variable bed joint reinforcement ratios and toothed interfaces with variable bed joint reinforcement ratios and finally toothed interfaces with variable shear dowels reinforcement ratios.

Test results include the evaluation of the steel strains, top lateral wall displacement, panel distribution and monitoring of crack location and width.

A numerical study is conducted using non-linear finite element models to simulate the behavior of the tested specimens. The models are validated in light of the experimental results. The results

of both phases of the research, experimental and analytical, are used to propose a set of design guidelines for confined masonry walls.

Keywords: Confined Masonry, Straight/Toothed/Reinforced Interfaces and shear failure.

To fulfill the previously mentioned objectives, this research is divided into the following chapters:

- Chapter (1) is an introduction to this study. This chapter discusses the importance of the research and highlights the scope of the research program.
- Chapter (2) presents the currently available theories for prediction of the horizontal behavior of confined masonry wall with different types of RC column/Masonry interfaces.
- Chapter (3) describes the experimental program conducted at the Reinforced Concrete Laboratory of Faculty of Engineering - Ain Shams University for seven masonry walls including two straight interfaces specimens, four toothed interfaces specimens and a single column specimen.
- Chapter (4) describes the experimental results for the seven walls specimens under constant vertical loading and variable lateral loading.
- Chapter (5) presents analyzing the tested walls specimens by simple Strut and tie developed models to simulate linear and non- linear behavior of specimens under constant uniform vertical load and monolithically increasing lateral load.
- Chapter (6) presents the finite element (FE) analysis used to simulate the behavior of the tested masonry walls and a comparison between the results of the FE models and the experimental results was made to verify the FE-analysis. A parametric study was conducted to simulate the response of

untested design configurations and propose set of design guidelines for interface design.

- Chapter (7) introduces conclusions of the study with recommendations for future research and developments in this subject.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	I
LIST OF FIGURES.....	IV
LIST OF TABLES.....	X
ABSTRACT.....	XI
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	- 1 -
1.1 General	- 1 -
1.2 Objectives.....	- 3 -
1.3 Scope	- 3 -
1.4 Thesis Outline.....	- 4 -
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	- 7 -
2.1 General	- 7 -
2.2 System Description.....	- 8 -
2.3 Damage Pattern And Overall Siesmic Behavior	- 10 -
2.4 Parameter Effects On Performance Of Confined Masonry at Lateral Loads....	- 13 -
2.4.1 Confining Elements.....	- 13 -
2.4.2 Opening.....	- 17 -
2.4.3 Aspect Ratio Of Panel.....	- 20 -
2.4.4 Interface Between Masonry And Concrete	- 20 -
CHAPTER 3: EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM.....	- 23 -
3.1 General	- 23 -
3.2 Objectives Of The Experimental Program	- 23 -
3.3 Design Of The Test Specimens	- 23 -
3.4 Material Properties	- 25 -
3.4.1 Concrete	- 25 -
3.4.2 Steel Reinforcement.....	- 26 -
3.4.3 Mortar.....	- 26 -
3.4.4 Concrete Masonry	- 27 -
3.5 Specimen Details.....	- 27 -
3.5.1 Wall Assembly	- 27 -

3.6 Fabrication Of The Test Specimens.....	- 31 -
3.6.1 Fabrication Of Wall Foundation.....	- 31 -
3.6.2 Fabrication Of Wall.....	- 34 -
3.6.3 Fabrication Of Confining Elements	- 37 -
3.7 Instrumentation	- 40 -
3.7.1 Steel Strains.....	- 41 -
3.8 Test Configuration	- 42 -
3.8.1 Loading.....	- 43 -
3.8.2 Supports.....	- 45 -
3.8.3 Preparation For Testing	- 45 -
3.9 Testing Procedure	- 45 -
CHAPTER 4: FINITE ELEMENT MODELING	- 47 -
4.1 Testing Procedures.....	- 47 -
4.2 Experimental Results	- 47 -
4.2.1 Specimen (C1).....	- 47 -
4.2.2 Specimen (S2T6).....	- 50 -
4.2.3 Specimen (S4T6).....	- 52 -
4.2.4 Specimen (T2T6).....	- 54 -
4.2.5 Specimen (T4T6).....	- 56 -
4.2.6 Specimen (T2T6-S)	- 59 -
4.2.7 Specimen (T4T6-S)	- 61 -
CHAPTER 5: STRUT AND TIE MODELING	- 65 -
5.1 Strut And Tie Models Analysis	- 65 -
5.2 Check Nodal Zones at Failure Locations.....	- 71 -
5.2.1 Nodal Zone Check For Specimen (S2T6)	- 71 -
5.2.2 Nodal Zone Check For Specimen (S4T6)	- 72 -
5.2.3 Nodal Zone Check For Specimen (T2T6).....	- 73 -
5.2.4 Nodal Zone Check For Specimen (T4T6).....	- 74 -
5.2.5 Nodal Zone Check For Specimen (T2T6-S)	- 75 -
5.2.6 Nodal Zone Check For Specimen (T4T6-S)	- 76 -
CHAPTER 6: FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS AND EXTENSION.....	- 79 -
6.1 Finite Element Analysis.....	- 79 -
6.2 Elements.....	- 84 -

6.3	Material Models	- 85 -
6.3.1	Concrete and Masonry Model.....	- 86 -
6.3.2	Steel Reinforcement Model	- 87 -
6.4	Boundary Conditions.....	- 87 -
6.5	Loading.....	- 88 -
6.6	Performance Criteria	- 88 -
6.7	Verification.....	- 89 -
6.7.1	(C1) Specimen results	- 89 -
6.7.2	(S2T6) Specimen results	- 90 -
6.7.3	(S4T6) Specimen results	- 91 -
6.7.4	(T2T6) Specimen results	- 92 -
6.7.5	(T4T6) Specimen results	- 92 -
6.7.6	(T2T6-S) Specimen results	- 93 -
6.7.7	(T4T6-S) Specimen results	- 94 -
6.8	Validation Results	- 95 -
6.9	Analytical Extension Using FE Models	- 96 -
6.9.1	(S2T6) Specimen With Window Opening.....	- 96 -
6.9.2	(S2T6) Specimen With Door Opening.....	- 98 -
6.9.3	(T2T6) Specimen With Window Opening.....	- 100 -
6.9.4	(T2T6) Specimen With Door Opening	- 102 -
6.9.5	(T2T6-S) Specimen With Window Opening	- 104 -
6.9.6	(T2T6-S) Specimen With Door Opening	- 106 -
6.9.7	Double Spanned (S2T6) Specimen (W/O Opening).....	- 108 -
6.9.8	Double Spanned (S2T6) Specimen (With Window Opening).....	- 109 -
6.9.9	Double Spanned (S2T6) Specimen (With Door Opening)	- 111 -
	CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	- 115 -
7.1	Summary	- 115 -
7.2	Conclusions	- 116 -
7.3	Recommendations For Future Work	- 117 -
	REFERENCES.....	- 118 -

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1- (A) Destroyed URM Building, (B) Stable CM Building.....	- 8 -
Figure 2.2- Main Components Of CM System (Blondet, 2005)	- 9 -
Figure 2.3- CM System & RC Frames Construction Differences (Billmcewen 2005) .	- 10 -
Figure 2.4- Seismic Behavior For Post-Cracking Status	- 11 -
Figure 2.5- Behavior At Post-Peak Status (Zabala, 2004)	- 12 -
Figure 2.6- Penetration Of Cracks At Columns Ends (Zabala Et Al, 2004)	- 13 -
Figure 2.7- Confinement Effects On Response To Seismic Loads (Tomazevic1997) .	- 14 -
Figure 2.8- Damage Of Beam-Column Joint, Chile (Gomez Et Al, 2002)	- 16 -
Figure 2.9- Confinement Of Opening Constructive Effect On Post-Cracking Performance Under Seismic Loads (Leonardo Et Al, 2004).....	- 19 -
Figure 2.10- Lack Of Confinement At Openings Result Is Damage Of Masonry Piers (Gomez Et Al, 2002)	- 19 -
Figure 2.11- Separation Due To Bond Lack (Yushimura Et Al, 2004).....	- 21 -
Figure 3.1- Details Of Specimen (1): C1	- 28 -
Figure 3.2- Details Of Specimen (2): S2T6	- 28 -
Figure 3.3- Details Of Specimen (3): S4T6	- 29 -
Figure 3.4- Details Of Specimen (4): T2T6	- 29 -
Figure 3.5- Details Of Specimen (5): T4T6	- 30 -
Figure 3.6- Details Of Specimen (6): T2T6-S.....	- 30 -
Figure 3.7- Details Of Specimen (7): T4T6-S.....	- 31 -
Figure 3.8- Details Of Wall Reinforcement In The Foundation	- 32 -
Figure 3.9- Typical Reinforcement Cage Installed Into Form For Foundation	- 32 -
Figure 3.10- Reinforcement Cage Installed Into Form.	- 33 -
Figure 3.11- Casting Process Of Foundation Specimens.....	- 34 -
Figure 3.12- Details Of The Masonry In Wall.....	- 35 -
Figure 3.13- Construction Process Of Wall Specimens.....	- 36 -
Figure 3.14- Details Of Reinforcement In Confining Elements	- 38 -

Figure 3.15- Typical Reinforcement Cage For Confining Elements.....	- 38 -
Figure 3.16- Reinforcement Cage Installed Into Form.....	- 39 -
Figure 3.17- Measurement Devices Used In The Tests.....	- 40 -
Figure 3.18- Position Of LVDT Instrumentation Scheme.....	- 41 -
Figure 3.19- Position Of Strain Gauges Instrumentation Scheme.....	- 41 -
Figure 3.20- Strain Gauge Attached To A Steel Bar, Glued And Water-Proofed.....	- 42 -
Figure 3.21- Load Cells, LVDT's And Strain Gauges.....	- 42 -
Figure 3.22- Axial Distributed Load Of The Wall Specimen.....	- 43 -
Figure 3.23- Concentrated Lateral Load Applied With Axis Of Bond Beam	- 44 -
Figure 3.24- Two Supports To Avoid Wall Sliding And Wall Uplift.....	- 45 -
 Figure 4.1- Load Displacement Curve For Specimen (C1)	 - 48 -
Figure 4.2- Load-Strain Curve For Specimen (C1).....	- 48 -
Figure 4.3- Crack Pattern For Specimen (C1) Before Failure	- 48 -
Figure 4.4- Crack Pattern For Specimen (C1) At Failure	- 49 -
Figure 4.5- Crack Pattern For Specimen (C1) At Lower Right Part.....	- 49 -
Figure 4.6- Load Displacement Curve Specimen (S2T6).....	- 50 -
Figure 4.7- Load-Strain Curve For Specimen (S2T6).....	- 50 -
Figure 4.8- Crack Pattern For Specimen (S2T6) At Failure	- 51 -
Figure 4.9- Crack Pattern For Specimen (S2T6) At Lower Right Part.....	- 51 -
Figure 4.10- Debonding Of Lower Left Part For Specimen (S2T6).....	- 52 -
Figure 4.11- Load Displacement Curve For Specimen (S4T6)	- 52 -
Figure 4.12- Load-Strain Curve For Specimen (S4T6).....	- 53 -
Figure 4.13- Instrumentation For Specimen (S4T6)	- 53 -
Figure 4.14- Crack Pattern For Specimen (S4T6) At Lower Right Part.....	- 54 -
Figure 4.15- Debonding Of Lower Left Part For Specimen (S4T6).....	- 54 -
Figure 4.16- Load Displacement Curve For Specimen (T2T6)	- 55 -
Figure 4.17- Load-Strain Curve For Specimen (T2T6).....	- 55 -
Figure 4.18- Crack Pattern For Specimen (T2T6) At Failure	- 55 -

Figure 4.19- Crack Pattern For Specimen (T2T6) At Right Lower Part.....	- 56 -
Figure 4.20- Debonding Of Lower Left Part For Specimen (T2T6).....	- 56 -
Figure 4.21- Load Displacement Curve For Specimen (T4T6).....	- 57 -
Figure 4.22- Load-Strain Curve For Specimen (T4T6).....	- 57 -
Figure 4.23- Crack Pattern For Specimen (T4T6) At Failure	- 58 -
Figure 4.24- Crack Pattern For Specimen (T4T6) At Lower Right Part.....	- 58 -
Figure 4.25- Debonding Of Lower Left Of Specimen (T4T6).....	- 59 -
Figure 4.26- Load Displacement Curve For Specimen (T2T6-S).....	- 59 -
Figure 4.27- Load-Strain Curve For Specimen (T2T6-S)	- 60 -
Figure 4.28- Crack Pattern For Specimen (T2T6-S) At Failure.....	- 60 -
Figure 4.29- Crack Pattern For Specimen (T2T6-S) At Lower Right Part	- 60 -
Figure 4.30- Debonding Of Lower Left Part Of Specimen (T2T6-S).....	- 61 -
Figure 4.31- Load Displacement Curve For Specimen (T4T6-S)	- 61 -
Figure 4.32- Load-Strain Curve For Specimen (T4T6-S).....	- 62 -
Figure 4.33- Crack Pattern For Specimen (T4T6-S) At Failure.....	- 62 -
Figure 4.34- Crack Pattern For Specimen (T4T6-S) At Lower Right Corner.....	- 62 -
 Figure 5.1- Strut And Tie Model Under Uniform Vertical Load.	- 65 -
Figure 5.2- Strut And Tie Model At Transition Stage From Compression To Tension At The Left Part Of Tested Specimen.	- 66 -
Figure 5.3- Debonding Cracks Observed At The Lower Left Part Of Specimen.	- 67 -
Figure 5.4- Strut And Tie Model After Debonding Occurs At Lower Left Part.....	- 67 -
Figure 5.5- Crack Pattern At Failure Load.....	- 68 -
Figure 5.6- Strut And Tie Model At Failure Load For Straight And Unreinforced Toothed Interface Specimens	- 69 -
Figure 5.7- Crack Pattern At Failure Load For Reinforced Interface Specimens	- 70 -
Figure 5.8- Strut And Tie Mode At Failure Load For Reinforced Toothed Interface Specimens.....	- 70 -
Figure 5.9- Nodal Zone Struts ID For S2T6.....	- 71 -
Figure 5.10- Nodal Zone Check For S2T6.....	- 72 -

Figure 5.11- Nodal Zone Struts ID For S4T6	- 72 -
Figure 5.12- Nodal Zone Check For S4T6.....	- 73 -
Figure 5.13- Nodal Zone Struts ID For T2T6	- 73 -
Figure 5.14- Nodal Zone Check For T2T6	- 74 -
Figure 5.15- Nodal Zone Struts ID For T4T6	- 74 -
Figure 5.16- Nodal Zone Check For T4T6.....	- 75 -
Figure 5.17- Nodal Zone Struts ID For S2T6-S.....	- 75 -
Figure 5.18- Nodal Zone Check For S2T6-S	- 76 -
Figure 5.19- Nodal Zone Struts ID For T4T6-S	- 76 -
Figure 5.20- Nodal Zone Check For T4T6-S.....	- 77 -
 Figure 6.1-3D Model Geometry And Elements Types.....	- 85 -
Figure 6.2- Concrete And Masonry Parameters.....	- 86 -
Figure 6.3- Boundary Condition For Tested Specimens : Plastic Curve	- 87 -
Figure 6.4- Boundary Condition For Specimens:Bilinear Asymmetric Curve.....	- 87 -
Figure 6.5- Loading Scheme For FE Model.	- 88 -
Figure 6.6- Finite Element (FE) Load Vs. Displacement Relationship Compared To Corresponding Experimental (Exp.) For (C1)	- 90 -
Figure 6.7-(FE) Corresponding (Exp.) For (S2T6).....	- 90 -
Figure 6.8- Cracks Propagation Comparison Between Exp.&FE.....	- 91 -
Figure 6.9-(FE) Compared To Corresponding (Exp.) For (S4T6)	- 91 -
Figure 6.10- Cracks Propagation Comparison Between Exp.&FE Results.	- 91 -
Figure 6.11-(FE) Compared To Corresponding (Exp.) For (T2T6)	- 92 -
Figure 6.12- Cracks Propagation Comparison Between Exp.&FE Results.	- 92 -
Figure 6.13-(FE) Compared To Corresponding (Exp.) For (T4T6)	- 93 -
Figure 6.14- Cracks Propagation Comparison Between Exp.&FE Results	- 93 -
Figure 6.15- Finite Element (FE) Load Vs. Displacement Relationship Compared To Corresponding Experimental (Exp.) For (T2T6-S).....	- 94 -
Figure 6.16- Cracks Propagation Comparison Between Exp.&FE Results..	- 94 -
Figure 6.17-(FE) Compared To Corresponding (Exp.) For (T4T6-S).....	- 95 -