



Ain Shams University

Faculty of Science

Physics Department

Radiobiological approach for evaluating the outcome of radiotherapy treatment planning

Thesis Submitted for Ph.D. degree in (Biophysics)

By

Somaia Metwally El-Sayed

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Abdelsattar Mohamed Sallam

Professor of Biophysics, Physics Dept. Faculty of science, Ain shams university

Prof. Dr. El-Sayed Mahmoud El-Sayed

Professor of Biophysics, Physics Dept. Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University.

Prof. Dr. Mona Salah El-Din H. Talaat

Professor of Biophysics, Physics Dept. Faculty of science, Ain shams university

Prof. Dr. Amin El-Sayed Amin

Professor of Radiation Physics, Radiation Oncology Dept.
Faculty of Medicine, Ain-Shams University.

Faculty of Science
Ain-Shams University

2016



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Science
Physics Department

Radiobiological approach for evaluating the outcome of radiotherapy treatment planning

Presented By

Somaia Metwally El-Sayed

Senior Medical physicist, Radiation Oncology Department
Faculty of Medicine, Ain-Shams University.
M.Sc. (Biophysics) (2008)
Faculty of Science, Ain-Shams University.

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Abdelsattar Mohamed Sallam

Professor of Biophysics. Physics Dept. Faculty of science, Ain
shams university

Prof. Dr. El-Sayed Mahmoud El-Sayed

Professor of Biophysics, Physics Dept. Faculty of Science, Ain
Shams University.

Prof. Dr. Mona Salah El-Din H. Talaat

Professor of Biophysics Physics Dept. Faculty of science, Ain
shams university

Prof. Dr. Amin El-Sayed Amin

Professor of Radiation Physics, Radiation Oncology Dept.
Faculty of Medicine, Ain-Shams University.

Faculty of Science
Ain-Shams University

2016



جامعة عين شمس
كلية العلوم
قسم الفيزياء

" طريقة بيولوجية إشعاعية لتقييم نتائج التخطيط العلاجى بالأشعاع"

رسالة لمنح درجة الدكتوراة فى فلسفة العلوم فى الفيزياء الحيوية

مقدمة من

سمية متولى السيد متولى

ماجستير الفيزياء الحيوية - (2008)

كلية العلوم - جامعة عين شمس

/إشراف

أ.د. عبد الستار محمد مرسى سلام

أستاذ الفيزياء الحيوية - قسم الفيزياء - كلية العلوم - جامعة عين شمس

أ.د. السيد محمود السيد

أستاذ الفيزياء الحيوية - قسم الفيزياء - كلية العلوم - جامعة عين شمس

أ.د. منى صلاح الدين حسن طلعت

أستاذ الفيزياء الحيوية - قسم الفيزياء - كلية العلوم - جامعة عين شمس

أ.د. أمين السيد أمين

أستاذ الفيزياء الإشعاعية - قسم علاج الأورام - كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

كلية العلوم
جامعة عين شمس

2016

Acknowledgement

First and foremost thanks to God, The Most Beneficial and Merciful

*I would like to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to **Prof.Dr. Abdelsattar Mohamed Sallam**, for his supervision, helpful, useful advises before this seminar.*

*Also I would like to express my sincere thanks and appreciation to **Prof .Dr.El-Sayed Mahmoud El-Sayed** for great help, advice, supervision and continuous support and encouragement.*

*I am very thankful to **Prof. Dr. Mona Salah Hassan** for her supervision support and excellent guidance on the research work*

*Sincerest gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Amin El-Sayed Amin** for suggesting the point of research, valuable guidance all through this work, and his Persistent efforts in improving my abilities to work in that research. I will never be able to express my feelings towards him with simple words, and I wish to be able one day to return to him a part of what he had offered to me.*

*Finally, I thank my family, specially my daughter **Mariam** for her perpetual support during the past five years, in taking care her young brother and sister and all our family. She has been very helpful to me, I will never forget what she did and doing for all of us*



Abstract

Radiation therapy (RT) plays a critical role in the management of cancer patients. The goal of radiotherapy is to achieve tumor control without causing complications. The use of the modern linear accelerator has become a very precise tool, capable of depositing a defined dose to a specific volume of tissue. This has been made possible by rapid advances in technology, including intensity modulation and image guidance in real time. These developments have been particularly useful in allowing sparing of normal tissues lying in close proximity to tumors.

Each patient who undergoes curative radiotherapy has an individualized treatment plan. Often the ideal plan cannot be created and the chosen clinical approach represents a trade-off between ensuring that the dose to the tumor is acceptable whilst minimizing the risk of complications to normal tissue. Understanding how this dose distribution translates into a biological effect is a key in producing successful treatment plans.

Currently, the treatment planning process is defined and evaluated only in terms of physical dose and physical volume, by dose volume histogram and dose distribution homogeneity but this is not enough because there are different factors affecting the treatment outcome, these factors are;

- Number of fractions and fraction size (dose fractionation).
- Overall treatment time.
- Type of tumor (it's radiosensitivity)
- Dose and volume of healthy tissue

These factors are considered as biological parameters. Biologic indices represent an alternative method for evaluating treatment plans to take in consideration the biological parameters. Criteria for an optimal plan include both the biological and the physical aspects of radiation oncology. By definition, an optimal plan should deliver tumoricidal dose to the entire tumor and spare all the normal tissues. These goals can be set, but are not attainable in the absolute terms. To achieve quantitative biologic endpoints, models have been developed involving biologic indices such as tumor control probability (TCP) and normal tissue complication probability (NTCP).

So the aim of this work was to estimate a radiobiological method to represent the outcome of different treatment plans, in external beam radiotherapy and to apply the new method in evaluation and comparison of different treatment plans and to use the biological dose distribution to recommend an optimal fractionation schedule as well as an optimal treatment plan.

In an attempt to launch a model to evaluate treatment plans in advanced radiotherapy, we have studied some common evaluation indices. In physical evaluation, we studied dose homogeneity indices (MHI and HI), target coverage and conformity indices (PITV, TCI, CI, and CN), dose gradient (GI and GM) and an index for overall plan quality factor (QF), in addition to the total number of monitor units. In Biological evaluation we studied TCP and NTCP for tumor and critical structures, and P+ for free complication tumor control. Evaluation has been performed for twelve plans, four rapidarc plans, seven IMRT and one 3DCRT plan.

The used rapidarc plans are;

- ❖ One 300° arc from 210° to 150° with anterior 40° avoidance sector, (1FRA).
- ❖ One full rotation single arc (SA).
- ❖ Two 130° lateral arcs (from 210° to 340° and from 20° to 150°) (2HA).
- ❖ Double Arcs with one full rotation (360°) arc and one (260°) Arc: from 230° to 130° (DA).

Seven IMRT plans with different number of beams have been studied. The number of beams range from 5 beams to 11 beams. The beam angles in all plans were optimized using Eclipse IMRT optimization module supplied with v13.5 of Varian Medical Systems Eclipse planning software on which all plans have been performed. In 3D conformal techniques, five fields have been used.

A conventional schedule with a daily dose of 2 Gy for a total dose of 76 Gy in 38 fractions over treatment time of 52 days has been used. The other schedule was a hypofractionated schedule with a daily dose of 3 Gy for a total dose of 69 Gy in 23 fractions in overall treatment time of 31 days. In all techniques and schedules, dose distribution was normalized and prescribed on mean dose.

We tried to convert the physical dose distribution of the twelve plans under study into a biological dose distribution by adding two tables representing the BED values of each dose level for the PTV and OARs.

The dose distribution and DVH's of the twelve plans for 18 patients of cancer prostate have been calculated and analyzed and they were not sufficient to rank the different plans. The analysis of

Dose statistical quantities of PTV showed a homogeneous dose distribution in all plans. The same result was obtained by calculating Seven different forms of HI. Target coverage indices and conformity indices pointed out that all plans are well covered with conformed dose. Gradient indices were almost equal in all plans. So we found that all those physical indices are not enough in comparison of different treatment plans. Therefore, we added all the physical evaluation indices in a single factor. This factor is the quality factor QF. The difference in plan quantitative quality was very clear and statistically significant between different plans. The higher values of QF were obtained in the four rapidarc plans.

Dose delivered to OARs were estimated and compared for different plans. Both rapidarc and IMRT have lower doses to bladder, rectum and heads of femur in comparison with 3DCRT. rapidarc plan with avoidance sectors (2HA) is demonstrated to deliver the lowest doses to all OAR's.

In biological evaluation we pointed that, all plans have almost similar TCP values. On the other hand, there is significantly difference in the NTCP values and accordingly in P^+ values. The lowest value of P^+ was obtained in the rapidarc technique with avoidance sectors.

Both rapidarc and IMRT have lower doses to bladder, rectum and heads of femur in comparison with 3DCRT. The reason of that finding is the usage of inverse planning of both IMRT and rapidarc. In IMRT technique we pointed out that the directions of the beams is more critical in OARs dosimetry than the number of beams. IMRT plans with beams facing any OAR produce a higher dose to that organ regardless the number of beams. In rapidarc plans the reason of

The low dose to OARs is the using of avoidance sectors in front of the OARs.

In applying avoidance sectors in rapidarc treatment planning, it is very important to notice that the starting and ending angels of the treating arcs will affect the dose to OARs.

In order to evaluate treatment plans created in different fractionation schemes BED distribution has been calculated. The BED values of the PTV were higher in conventional dose fractionation schedule than that in hypofractionation. In the same time the BED values of OARs were lower in conventional dose fractionation schedule than that in hypofractionation because of its low α/β value (3 Gy). This method of presenting the planning outcome allowed us to judge both of the physical treatment planning quality and the effectiveness of the fractionation schedule.

According to the results obtained in this study we concluded that BED distribution is essential in physical and biological evaluation of treatment planning and dose fractionation.

Contents	
Subject	Pag. no.
Acknowledgement	
Contents	
List of abbreviations	
List of Figures	
List of Tables	
Abstract	i
Chapter 1 Introduction and Review of Literature	
1.1 Introduction	3
1.2 Review of literature	6
1.2.1 Advanced radiotherapy techniques	6
1.2.1.1 Three-dimensional conformal radiation therapy	9
1.2.1.2 Intensity modulated radiation therapy	10
1.2.1.3 Volumetric-modulated arc therapy	15
1.2.2 Outcome of treatment planning & process evaluation	19
1.2.3 The role of radiobiology in radiotherapy	21
1.2.4 The Importance of radiation biology in radiotherapy development	23
1.3 Different studies in physical and biological evaluation	24
1.4 Aim of the work	28
Chapter 2 Theoretical Aspects	
2.1 Basics of radiobiology	31
2.1.1 Dose-response curves	31
2.1.2 Shape and position of the dose-response curves	32
2.1.3 Factors affecting dose response curves	32

2.1.4 Radiation response of normal tissues	33
2.2. Linear quadratic cell survival curve model	34
2.2.1 Fractionation sensitivity	38
2.3 Radiation effects on normal tissues	40
2.4 The five (Rs) of radiotherapy' (Other factors affecting tissue response to radiation)	41
2.5 Treatment parameters that affect tissue response to radiation	43
2.6 Response of malignant tissues to radiation	45
2.7 Treatment planning in radiotherapy	46
2.7.1 Volume definitions in radiation therapy treatments	48
2.8 Radiobiological modeling	51
2.8.1 The therapeutic index	53
2.9. The objective of Biologically Effective Dose (BED)	54
2.10. Biological evaluation of treatment plans	58
2.10.1. Generalized Equivalent Uniform Dose (gEUD)	58
2.10.2. Equivalent Uniform Dose (EUD)	59
2.10.3. Tumor Control Probability (TCP)	60
2.10.4. Normal Tissue Complication Probability (NTCP)	63
2.10.5. Complication-free Tumor Control Probability (P+)	64
2.11. Physical evaluation indices	65
2.11.1. Homogeneity Index (HI)	65
2.11.2. Target Coverage Index (TCI)	70
2.11.3. Prescription isodose to target volume (PITV) ratio	70
2.11.4. Conformity Index (CI)	71
2.11.5. Conformity number (CN)	71
2.11.6. Gradient Index (GI)	72
2.11.7. Gradient Measure (GM)	73
2.11.8. Quality factor (QF)	73

Chapter 3 Materials and Methods	
3.1 Materials	74
3.1.1-Computed Tomography (CT)	74
3.1.2 -Treatment Planning System (TPS)	75
3.2 Method	76
3.2.1. Cases and plans	76
3.2.2 CT imaging	76
3.2.3 Contouring	77
3.2.4. Treatment techniques	78
3.2.4.1. Rapida arc planning	78
3.2.4.2. IMRT	81
3.2.4.3. 3-DCRT	81
3.2.5. Dose prescription	85
3.2.6. Data analysis	85
3.2.6.1. Physical evaluation	85
3.2.6.1.1 Dose Distribution	86
3.2.6.1.2 Target Dose Statistics	87
3.2.6.1.3 physical evaluation indices	88
3.2.6.1.4. Number of Mus	88
3.2.6.1.5. Dose to organs at risk	88
3.2.6.2.Biological evaluation	89
3.2.6.2.2. BED distribution	89
3.2.6.3. BED volume histograms	90
3.2.7. Statistical analysis	90

Chapter 4	
Results and discussion	
4.1 Physical evaluation	91
4.1.1 Dose distribution	92
4.1.2 Dose Volume Histograms(DVHs)	98
4.1.3 PTV dose statistics	103
4.1.4 Calculation of the physical indices	107
4.1.4.1. Homogeneity Index (HI)	107
4.1.4.2. Target Coverage Index (TCI)	112
4.1.4. 3. Prescription isodose to target volume ratio (PITV)	113
4.1.4.4. Dose conformity	114
4.1.4. 5. Dose gradient	115
4.1.4. 6. Total number of Mus	117
4.1.4.7. Quality factor (QF)	118
4.1.4.8. Dose to organs at risk	120
4.2. Biological evaluation of treatment plans	124
4.2.1. Tumor control probability and normal tissue complication probability	124
4.3. BED distribution	130
4.4. Conclusion	137
4.5. Recommendations	139
References	140
Publication	
Arabic abstract	

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Meaning
BED	Biological Effective Dose
2D	Two dimension
3D	Three dimation
2-DRT	Two-Dimensional Radiation Therapy
3DCRT	Three Dimensional Conformal Radiation Therapy
cGy	centi-Gray, the unit of absorbed dose
CI	Conformity Index
CN	Conformity Number
CT	Computed Tomography
CTV	Clinical Target Volume
CRT	Conformal Radiation Therapy
CRE model	Current Radiobiological model
D	Dose
DA	Double Arc
DICOM	Digital Imaging and Communication in Medicine
$d_{\max}$	Depth of maximum dose
$D_{\max}$	Maximum dose
D_{ref}	The total dose normalized to a reference fraction
d_{ref}	The reference dose per fraction used
DMLC	Dynamic Multi-Leaf Collimator
DMPO	Direct Machine Parameter Optimization
DRR	Digitally Reconstructed Radiography
DV	Dose volume
DVH	Dose Volume Histogram
EBRT	External Beam Radiation Therapy

EQD	Equivalent conventional Dose
EPID	Electronic Portal Imaging Device
EUD	Equivalent Uniform Dose
gEUD	Generalized Equivalent uniform dose
ERP	External Reference Point
fMRI	Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging
GTV	Gross Target Volume
GI	Gradient Index
GM	Gradient Measure
Gy	Gray
HT	Helical Tomotherapy
HI	Homogeneity Index
ICRU	International Committee of Radiation Unit
IGRT	Image Guided Radiation Therapy
IM	Internal Margin
IMAT	Intensity-Modulated Arc Therapy
IMRT	Intensity Modulated radiation therapy
ITV	Internal Target Volume
IRP	Internal Reference Point
IRV	Irradiated Volume
LET	Linear Energy Transfer
Linac.	Linear Accelerator
LQ	Linear Quadratic
MeV	Million electron Volt
MLCs	Multi-Leaf Collimators
MUs	Monitor Units
NTCP	Normal Tissue Complication Probability