



Cairo University

NESTING AND DISCRETIZATION TRANSITION IN GROUNDWATER FLOW MODELING

By
Ahmed Tarek Fawzy Elsayed

A Thesis Submitted to
the Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE

In
IRRIGATION AND HYDRAULICS ENGINEERING

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2017

NESTING AND DISCRETIZATION TRANSITION IN GROUNDWATER FLOW MODELING

By
Ahmed Tarek Fawzy Elsayed

A Thesis Submitted to
the Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE

In
IRRIGATION AND HYDRAULICS ENGINEERING

Under the Supervision of
Prof. Ahmed Emam Ahmed Hassan Dr. Hesham Bekhit Mohamed

.....
Professor of Hydrogeology
Irrigation and Hydraulics Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo
University

.....
Associate Professor
Irrigation and Hydraulics Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo
University

**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2017**

NESTING AND DISCRETIZATION TRANSITION IN GROUNDWATER FLOW MODELING

By
Ahmed Tarek Fawzy Elsayed

A Thesis Submitted to
the Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE

In
IRRIGATION AND HYDRAULICS ENGINEERING

Approved by the Examining Committee:

Prof. Dr. **Ahmed Emam Ahmed Hassan**, Thesis Main Advisor
Professor of Hydrogeology
Faculty of Engineering – Cairo University

Dr. **Hesham Bekhit Mohamed**, Member
Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering – Cairo University

Prof. Dr. **Ahmad Wagdy Abdeldayem**, Internal Examiner
Professor of Hydrology
Faculty of Engineering – Cairo University

Prof. Dr. **Ahmed Aly Hassan**, External Examiner
Professor of Hydrology
Faculty of Engineering – Ain Shams University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2017

Engineer's Name: Ahmed Tarek Fawzy Elsayed
Date of Birth: 18/01/1993
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: eng_ahmedtarek93@cu.edu.eg
Phone: 002-01007948876
Address: 94 St. Khatem Al Mowrslen, Al-Haram, Giza.
Registration Date: 01/10/2014
Awarding Date: 2017
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Irrigation and Hydraulics Engineering



Supervisors:

Prof. Ahmed Emam Ahmed Hassan
Dr. Hesham Bekhit Mohamed Bekhit

Examiners:

Prof. Ahmed Emam Ahmed Hassan
Dr. Hesham Bekhit Mohamed
Prof. Ahmad Wagdy Abdeldayem
Prof. Ahmed Aly Hassan
(Professor in Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams Uni.)

Title of Thesis:

Nesting and Discretization Transition In Groundwater Flow Modeling.

Key Words:

Regional Models; Local Models; Groundwater flow modeling; Nesting; High Resolution Models.

Summary:

Regional models are commonly constructed such that they reach out to well-defined boundaries and then they are used as reference models for detailed studied for local area. For such detailed study, it becomes essential to use a high resolution numerical model to simulate the local features that may have significant impact on the system dynamics. This high resolution level in groundwater model is not feasible as the regional model area is very large to reach out to well-defined boundaries. So, in regional models coarse grid size will be sufficient; however, local models may require more detailed three dimensional modeling with fine grid size. The main objective of this research is to link the two different discretization models and transfer boundary conditions from the coarse regional model to the fine local one. Two approaches for mapping the regional information over the local domains are developed. The two approaches are the tri-linear interpolation approach (TIA) and artificial neural networks (ANN) approach. Both of them are tested to assess the efficiency of each one in transferring the information from coarse grid model to the fine grid one. The overarching conclusion is that it is better to use ANN technique with generating several scenarios than relying on the TIA in linking the two different discretization models.

ACKNOWLEDEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my main advisor, Prof. Ahmed Emam Hassan, for his continuous support, precious remarks, patience, inspiration and guidance throughout the research. I thank Prof. Hassan who has guided this study and provided the knowledge that combined physical insight, mathematical rigor, and an engineering perspective. His invaluable proofreading and constructive criticism have significantly contributed to a greatly improved the final product.

I also would like to thank my advisor, Dr. Hesham Bekhit, who kept an eye on the progress of my work and was always available when I needed his advice. I could not imagine having a better advisor and mentor for my master degree. Dr. Bekhit has made a deep impression on me and I owe him lots of gratitude for showing me this way of research. I am really appreciating his time, dedication, inspiration, patience, enthusiasm, persistence, continuous support and guidance throughout the research.

I sincerely thank Prof. Ahmed Wagdy and Prof. Ahmed Aly Hassan for serving on my master committee. I deeply appreciate their helpful responses and suggestions regarding my dissertation.

I can't end without thanking my family for their continuous support and the love they gave to me throughout my life. I feel a deep sense of gratitude to my father, my mother and my sister who taught me all good things that really matter in life. This dissertation is entirely dedicated to them. Thanks for your support, motivation and encouragement when I started to lose enthusiasm.

DEDICATION

I would like to express my gratitude to the Irrigation and Hydraulics Department at Cairo University for providing me with the time and resources needed to carry out this work as one of the earliest studies in the department concerning Groundwater Flow Modeling and allowing me to be one of the youngest teaching assistants who obtained the master of science degree over the history of the department.

I also would like to thank the professors and doctors of the Irrigation and Hydraulics Department for their continuous support and motivation during the short period I have spent in the department. My sincere gratitude goes to Prof. Ahmed Emam Hassan, Prof. Ahmed Wagdy, Prof. Ashraf Ghaneem, Prof. Abdallah Bazaraa, Dr. Hesham Bekhit, Dr. Soha Al-Ayouty, Dr. Mostafa Ghaith, Dr. Yasmine Nassar, Dr. Mohamed Hamdy Nour, Dr. Mostafa Tawfik and Dr. Ahmed Hussien Soliman for their splendid support, inspiration. I would like to thank and express my gratitude to Dr. Yehya Emad Imam for his support, inspiration, non-stopping encouragement and guidance through my future career. His remarkable recommendations and friendly attitude have a great influence on my life and career. Thank you for being my role model and elder friend in this critical stage of my life.

I can't ignore the role of my colleagues in the department through their motivation and continuous encouragement. My very special thanks go to Eng. Ahmed Mohamed Yosri, Eng. Maysara Mostafa Ghaith, Eng. Mohamed Ismail and Eng. Osama Mahrous for their dedication and encouragement when I was depressed to continue this rough route. Special overseas thanks for Eng. Mahmoud Aly Soliman who supported me since I have joined the department and through working on this research. Thank you for everything, my brothers.

Finally, I would like to thank my lifelong friend and brother, Eng. Hazem Omar, for his inspiration, dedication and continuous support. He was always available when I needed his advice and support. You will remain irreplaceable friend and brother.

Once again, I would like to thank my family for their continuous support and the love they gave to me throughout my life. I feel a deep sense of gratitude to my father, my mother and my sister who taught me all good things that really matter in life. This dissertation is entirely dedicated to them. Thanks for your support, motivation and encouragement when I felt depressed.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	I
DEDICATION.....	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	III
LIST OF FIGURE.....	V
ABSTRACT.....	VIII
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Problem Statement.....	2
1.3 Objectives	4
1.4 Thesis outline.....	4
LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Background on linking between two models.....	6
2.3 Summary of Literature Review.	14
METHODOLOGY AND MODEL SETUP.....	15
3.1 Introduction	15
3.2 Developing Hypothetical Regional Reference Model.....	20
3.3 Developing Regional Coarse Grid Model.....	25
3.4 Developing Local Fine Grid Model	31
3.5 Transferring Head Information from Regional to Local Model	33
ANALYSIS AND RESULTS.....	35
4.1 General	35
4.2 Reference Fine Grid Model	35
4.3 Regional Coarse Grid Model.....	38
4.4 Transfer Head Information from Regional to Local scale	40
4.4.1 Uniform Boundary Conditions.....	40

4.4.2 Trilinear Interpolation Approach (TIA)	43
4.4.3 Artificial Neural Network Approach (ANN)	52
4.5 Linking Two-dimensional to Three-dimensional Models.....	67
Summary, Conclusions and Recommendations	74
5.1 Summary	74
5.2 Conclusions.....	74
5.3 Recommendations	74

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1: The proposed methodology for conducting the research.	17
Figure 3.2: Stages of the developed methodology and the role of each constructed model.....	19
Figure 3.3: Layout of the plan view of the three dimensional hypothetical reference model.....	20
Figure 3.4 : Cross section of the three dimensional hypothetical reference model. ..	21
Figure 3.5 : Hydrogeological features in the three dimensional hypothetical reference model.	22
Figure 3.6 : Distribution of Log (K) in layer 1 as generated using Turning Bands Method: a) $\sigma \log K = 1.68$, b) $\sigma \log K = 2.25$, c) $\sigma \log K = 3.25$	24
Figure 3.7 : The configuration of the hydrogeological features in the coarse-grid regional model.	26
Figure 3.8 : A schematic of applying the upscaling technique on a group of fine grid cells.....	26
Figure 3.9 : The process of upscaling: a) Grid at the measurement scale, and b) Grid at the numerical scale (Wen and Gomez-Hernandez 1996).....	27
Figure 3.10 : The optimum P -value that minimizes the GE for exponential distribution for different block sizes and degrees of heterogeneity	28
Figure 3.11 : The optimum P values that minimize the GE, LE, and VE plotted for different block sizes and degrees of heterogeneity for different distributions	29
Figure 3.12 : Distribution of upscaled Log (K) in layer 1 as generated using the power average method: a) $\sigma \log K = 1.68$, b) $\sigma \log K = 2.25$, c) $\sigma \log K = 3.25$	30
Figure 3.13 : Layout of the three dimensional reference and local models in plan view	31
Figure 3.14 : Layout of the three dimensional reference and local models in vertical cross sectional view.....	32
Figure 3.15 : Extracting the heterogeneity distribution from regional fine-grid model ($\sigma \log K = 2.0$) and assigning it to the local domain for layer (1).....	33

Figure 4.1 : Head distribution for the regional, fine-grid reference model at layer (1): a) $\sigma \log K = 1.68$, b) $\sigma \log K = 2.25$, c) $\sigma \log K = 3.25$.....	37
Figure 4.2: Head distribution in layer (1) for the regional coarse-grid model a) $\sigma \log K = 1.68$, b) $\sigma \log K = 2.25$, c) $\sigma \log K = 3.25$	39
Figure 4.3: Head distribution in layer 20 of the local model domain for scenario 1: (a) Reference fine-grid model, (b) Current approach with uniform BCs	41
Figure 4.4: Head distribution in layer 20 of the local model domain for scenario 2: (a) Reference fine-grid model, (b) Current approach with uniform BCs	42
Figure 4.5: Head distribution in layer 20 of the local model domain for scenario 3: (a) Reference fine-grid model, (b) Current approach with uniform BCs	43
Figure 4.6: Scheme for describing the linear interpolation approach in x-y directions: a) Coarse grid domain, b) Fine grid domain.....	45
Figure 4.7: Scheme for describing the linear interpolation approach in z direction: a) Coarse grid domain, b) Fine grid domain	45
Figure 4.8: Head distribution in layer 20 of the local fine-grid model for scenario 1: a) Reference fine grid model, (b) Developed approach using TIA	46
Figure 4.9: Head distribution in layer 20 of the local fine-grid model for scenario 2: a) Reference fine grid model, (b) Developed approach using TIA	47
Figure 4.10: Head distribution in layer 20 of the local fine-grid model for scenario 3: a) Reference fine grid model, (b) Developed approach using TIA	48
Figure 4.11: Change of the TIA approach accuracy with the discharge rate per each well for different $\sigma \log K$ values.....	49
Figure 4.12: Change of the TIA approach accuracy with the location of the local domain in x direction for different $\sigma \log K$ values.....	50
Figure 4.13: Change of the TIA approach accuracy with the location of the local domain in y direction for different $\sigma \log K$ values	51
Figure 4.14: General structure of an artificial neural network (ANN)	53
Figure 4.15: Structure of the proposed artificial neural network (ANN)	54
Figure 4.16 : Configuration of the coarse-grid heads and boundary conditions of the local domain.....	55

Figure 4.17: ANN output against target values for first network.....	56
Figure 4.18: Error distribution for the first network	57
Figure 4.19: ANN outputs against target values for the second network.....	58
Figure 4.20: Comparison between the accuracy of the ANN approach and the TIA	59
Figure 4.21: Accuracy distribution over layer 20 of the local model: a) Using TIA, b) Using ANN.....	60
Figure 4.22: Head distribution in layer 20 of Case 1: a) Reference fine-grid model, b) The ANN approach	62
Figure 4.23: Head distribution in layer 20 of Case 2: a) Reference fine-grid model, b) The ANN approach	62
Figure 4.24: Head distribution in layer 20 of Case 3: a) Reference fine-grid model, b) The ANN approach	63
Figure 4.25: Head distribution in layer 20 of Case 4: a) Reference fine-grid model, b) The ANN approach	64
Figure 4.26: Head distribution in layer 20 of Case 5: a) Reference fine-grid model, b) The ANN approach	64
Figure 4.27: Distribution of head differences over the local fine grid model at layer 20 for Case 5	65
Figure 4.28: Head distribution in layer 20 of Case 6: a) Reference fine-grid model, b) The ANN approach	66
Figure 4.29: Head distribution in layer 20 of Case 7: a) Reference fine-grid model, b) The ANN approach	66
Figure 4.30: Head distribution in layer 20 of Case 8: a) Reference fine-grid model, b) The ANN approach	67
Figure 4.31: Head distribution in the two-dimensional regional model (a) and in later 20 of the local fine grid model resulting from ANN (b).....	68

ABSTRACT

Regional models are commonly constructed such that they reach out to well-defined boundaries and then they are used as reference models for detailed studies for local area. For such detailed study, it becomes essential to use a high resolution numerical model (fine level of discretization) in order to simulate the local features that may have significant impact on the system dynamics (faults, wells, soil lenses). This high resolution level in groundwater model is not feasible when the model area is very large, which is the common case in most of the regional models that reach out to well-defined boundaries. In such regional models simple areal two-dimensional modeling with coarse grid size may be sufficient, whereas local models may require more detailed three-dimensional models and fine grid size. The connection between a regional model and a local one with a finer discretization has not received sufficient attention in the hydrogeologic literature. This magnifies the need a nested modeling approach where a regional model is established for the purpose of feeding information into higher-dimension local models that focus on particular features and problems of interest. Linking the two models in dynamic mode needs to be investigated.

The main objective of this research is to develop and evaluate approaches for dynamically linking groundwater models with different discretization and dimensionality. Thus, a hypothetical model with certain dimensions is developed and used as a reference model for validation purposes. Modeling tools such as the Groundwater Modeling System (GMS) package, native MODFLOW and FORTRAN are used to study the impact of nesting and discretization transition. Also, many scenarios are developed to evaluate the sensitivity of results to the model parameters. To find the relation between these parameters, we rely on Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) toolbox in MATLAB.

Based on the simulations and different scenarios, it is found that the accuracy of information transferring depends on several model parameters. Overall and based on the conducted sensitivity analysis, the loss of information during linking between regional and local models is acceptable. Furthermore, constructing more scenarios and case studies will enhance the performance of the model. This model can be used as guideline for applications that need nesting and fine mesh in a particular area of the domain. So, it would be an appropriate choice for areas that have series of wells with moderate or high discharge rate.

CHAPTER (1)

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

Groundwater represents the major component of the available fresh water on the Earth. It is crucial to areas with limited annual precipitation. Moreover, it is considered as one of the most dominant sources for drinking water and irrigation since the dawn of recorded history. Groundwater is also encountered in many civil fields such as construction dewatering. Therefore, groundwater effects are important to be considered when establishing and designing underground structures and subsurface drainage for agricultural lands.

Groundwater development programs require knowledge of the flow system. This is a prerequisite to understanding the availability of groundwater and the interaction between groundwater and other elements of the environment. This awareness can be achieved through groundwater flow understanding at both local and regional scales. The understanding of groundwater flow at the appropriate scale is crucial for studies involving engineering, agriculture, ecology, geography and any environmental related issue.

Groundwater modeling is a powerful and efficient tool that has been used widely through the past four decades to study different groundwater problems. Groundwater modeling is an effective tool which can be used to test and examine different management alternatives and scenarios. Also, it can be used to run different sensitivity analyses to identify the most influential parameters. As field characterization related to groundwater studies is fairly expensive and time consuming, such modeling provides an important tool for guiding field efforts. That has the benefit of directing the limited financial resources to the most beneficial field activities and data collection efforts.

Modern numerical modeling techniques allow the inclusion of hydrological and hydrogeological properties obtained by both direct and indirect measurements to produce improved simulations of groundwater conditions. Numerical methods have the flexibility of simulating complex geological geometry and boundary conditions. Moreover, numerical models are effective to use for stochastic analysis of flow and contaminant transport processes in groundwater systems. In this case, the Monte Carlo framework is

implemented and the numerical model is run as many times as necessary to produce converging statistical results.

With increasing human activities and climate pressure on groundwater resources, accurate and reliable predications of groundwater flow are essential for sustainable groundwater management practices. Typically, however, the geological structure is only partially known and point measurements of subsurface properties or groundwater heads are sparse and prone to error. Consequently, high resolution grid refinement is needed in groundwater flow modeling to achieve a relevant accuracy and avoid biased and incomplete results.

Zhou and Li (2011) stated that the need to predict the regional impacts of human activities on the groundwater systems and the related environment led to significant advances and development in the modeling of regional groundwater flow. Additionally, an exponential evolution of regional groundwater modeling was achieved due to using powerful computers, GIS and user friendly modeling systems. Many transient groundwater models were constructed to optimize scenarios of groundwater development. These models were built to simulate the change in the components of the water budget and analyze regional flow systems.

1.2 Problem Statement

Regional models are commonly constructed such that they reach out to well-defined boundaries and then they are used as reference models for detailed studies for local area(s). For a detailed study at local scales, it becomes essential to use a high resolution numerical model (fine level of discretization) to simulate the local features that may have significant influence on the system dynamics (faults, wells, soil lenses). This high resolution level in groundwater model is not feasible when the model area is very large, which is the common case in most of the regional models that reach out to well-defined boundaries. In such regional models simple areal two-dimensional modeling may be sufficient, whereas local models may require more detailed three-dimensional analysis. The connection between a regional model and a local one with a higher dimensionality level has not received sufficient attention in the hydrogeologic literature. This magnifies the need for a nested modeling approach where a regional model is constructed for the purpose of feeding information into higher-dimension local models that focus on particular features and problems of interest. Linking the two models in dynamic mode needs to be investigated.

Particle tracking methods have been extensively used for flow paths tracing within groundwater flow modeling. Also, development of these methods has significantly

enhanced the ability of determining the subsurface contaminant movement and to select the optimum strategy for aquifer remediation and protection. These methods have also been used for delineating the so called well capture zones which are the areas contributing to wells. According to Franke et al. (1998), these areas can be defined as the surface areas which collect the recharge water to the well. These areas can be determined by particle tracking programs and groundwater flow models. Therefore, linking between the two models is essential to obtain accurate results. Frederick et al. (2001) endorsed that spatial discretization effects are the most serious parameter during groundwater modeling.

Finite difference methods have been widely used for simulating groundwater and fate of contaminant transport during the last two decades. Conventional methods of finite differences depend on fixed grid size for the model discretization across the domain. To get accurate solutions, fine sampling intervals in the three directions are needed during modeling. Although using fine discretization achieves higher accuracy in results obtained from modeling, it consumes more running time than the coarse discretization. This is special crucial if stochastic analysis and Monte Carlo frameworks are implemented.

Many solutions are suggested to achieve balance between the accuracy of results and the running time of the model. One of these solutions was to establish a grid refinement in the horizontal direction at a specific area of the domain. In MODFLOW-2000 (Harbaugh et al., 2000), grid refinement can be formulated at a certain location of the domain. Then, the grid size starts to increase with a certain multiplier factor till it becomes similar in size to the original coarse size. Another potential solution is to divide the domain into two parts with different discretization. The first part is the coarse regional model and the second one is the fine local domain which focuses on the location of concern.

To link the second solution with reality, we can have a look on the typical stages of groundwater modeling which includes two main steps. The two significant steps are determining the location of interest and defining the boundary conditions. If the boundary conditions are located near the location of interest, there is no need for establishing regional and local models. However, if the boundary conditions are located away from the local domain, we have to extend the domain till reaching well defined boundaries. Consequently, the large domain will be called regional domain and the location of concern will represent the local domain. Finally, linking the two different discretization models represents the most dominant part of the groundwater modeling.