

**CONSTRUCTION AND PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS OF
NEW ION-SELECTIVE ELECTRODES FOR SOME
ANTIHISTAMINIC DRUGS AND THEIR VOLTAMMETRIC
DETERMINATION**

**A Thesis Presented
TO
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
CAIRO UNIVERSITY**

**BY
SALWA HAMED ELSAYED HUSSEIN
B.Sc. Chemistry (1996)
M.Sc. Analytical Chemistry (2003)**

**For the Degree of Doctor Philosophy in Science
(Analytical Chemistry)**

2010

APPROVAL SHEET FOR SUMISSION

Title of Ph.D. Thesis:

**CONSTRUCTION AND PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS OF NEW
ION-SELECTIVE ELECTRODES FOR SOME ANTIHISTAMINIC DRUGS
AND THEIR VOLTAMMETRIC DETERMINATION**

Name of the candidate: Salwa Hamed Elsayed Hussein

This Thesis has been approved for submission by the supervisor :

1- Prof. Dr. N.T. Abel-Ghani

Signature:

Prof. Dr. Mohammed Mohammed Shoukry

Chairman of Chemistry Department

Faculty of Science

Cairo University

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

الرحمن * المقرءان * الانسان * البيان

صدق الله العظيم

سورة الرحمن (٧٩)

ABSTRACT

Name: Salwa Hamed Elsayed Hussein

Title of Thesis:

CONSTRUCTION AND PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS OF NEW ION-SELECTIVE ELECTRODES FOR SOME ANTIHISTAMINIC DRUGS AND THEIR VOLTAMMETRIC DETERMINATION

Degree: Ph.D.(Analytical chemistry)Faculty of Science, Cairo University ,2009.

New eleven Diphenylpyraline (Di) and chlorphenoxamine (Ch) ion selective plastic membrane electrodes of both conventional and coated graphite types based on their ion associate with sodium tetraphenylborate and phosphotungestic acid were prepared. The conventional type electrode was fully characterized in terms of membrane composition, filling solution, plasticizer, life span, pH, ionic strength, selectivity and temperature. They were applied to potentiometric determination of Di-HCl and Ch-HCl in pure solutions and pharmaceutical preparations under batch and flow injection conditions. The solubility products of the ion associates, the formation constants of the precipitation reactions leading to the ion associates formation and the investigated drugs were determined conductimetrically. Finally, differential pulse voltammetry was used for the determination of Di-HCl and Ch-HCl in raw material and their pharmaceutical preparation

Key words: Ion-selective electrode, Diphenylpyraline, chlorphenoxamine, sodium tetraphenylborate, phosphotungestic acid, flow injection analysis (FIA), Potentiometry, conductimetry and differential pulse voltammetry.

Prof. Dr. Mohammed Mohammed Shoukry

**Chairman of Chemistry Department
Faculty of Science – Cairo University**

To my Mother, Brothers and Sisters

To my Father 's soul

To my Husband and sons

*For their encouragement, help and infinite
love which I will never forget*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my deep thanks and gratitude to ***Prof. Dr. N. T. Abdel-Ghani***, Professor of Analytical and Inorganic Chemistry, Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, for suggesting the problem, supervision, valuable guidance, continuous encouragement and helpful comments throughout the present work. Deep thanks for his interest and substantial assistance in preparing this thesis.

Special thanks to ***Prof. Dr. G. M. Abu-Elenien*** for his assistance in voltammetric measurements.

Deep thanks to all the members of the research and quality control laboratories in starch and glucose manufacturing company for their continuous encouragement and help.

I would like also to thank all members of the analytical and inorganic group at the chemistry department for their continuous help.

The authoress

Salwa Hamed El-Sayed Hussein

The original work of this thesis is published in the following papers:

- 1.** Differential pulse voltammetric determination of Diphenylpyraline HCl in raw material and pharmaceutical preparation. *The Open Electrochemistry Journal*, 2009, 1, 1-7.
- 2.** Differential pulse voltammetric determination of Chlorphenoxamine Hydrochloride and its pharmaceutical preparations using Platinum and Glassy Carbon electrodes. *Journal of applied electrochemistry*, 2010, 40(3), 499-505.
- 3.** Determination of diphenylpyraline hydrochloride in pure solutions and pharmaceutical preparations using ion selective electrodes under patch and FIA conditions. *Analytical letters*, 2010, 43, 582-602.
- 4.** Flow injection potentiometric determination of Chlorphenoxamine Hydrochloride (under publication)

List of Figures

LIST OF FIGURES

- Fig. 1:** Instrumentation for voltammetry.
- Fig. 2:** Typical excitation signal for cyclic voltammetry .
- Fig. 3.a:** Typical excitations function for DPV .
- Fig. 3.b:** Typical differential pulse voltammogram.
- Fig. 4:** Schematic diagram for the FIA system used in the measurements .
- Fig.5:** Schematic diagram of potentiometric cell.
- Fig. 6:** calibration graphs obtained at $25 \pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$ for Di-PTA electrode using Different concentration of Di-Cl in the filling solution a) 1.0×10^{-3} , b) 5.0×10^{-4} and c) $1.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$.
- Fig. 7:** calibration graphs obtained at $25 \pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$ for Di-TPB electrode using Different concentration of Di-Cl in the filling solution (a) 1.0×10^{-3} (b) 5.0×10^{-4} (c) $1.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$.
- Fig.8:** calibration graphs obtained at $25 \pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$ for Ch-TPB electrode using Different concentration of Ch-Cl in the filling solution a) 1.0×10^{-4} , b) 5.0×10^{-4} and c) $1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$.
- Fig. 9:** Calibration graphs obtained at $25 \pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$ for Ch-PTA electrode using Different concentration of Di-Cl in the filling solution a) 1.0×10^{-4} , b) 1.0×10^{-3} and c) $1.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$.
- Fig. 10:** Variation of the slope of the calibration graph with the concentration of the Di-HCl in the filling solution a) Di-TPB and b) Di-PTA.
- Fig. 11:** Variation of the slope of the calibration graph with the concentration of the Ch-HCl in the filling solution a) Ch-TPB and b) Ch-PTA.
- Fig. 12:** Calibration graphs obtained for Ch-TPB conventional electrode at 25°C after a) 1hr, b) 1, c) 2, d) 4, e) 6, f) 8, g) 10, h) 12, i) 14, j) 16 and k) 20 days .
- Fig.13:** Calibration graphs obtained for Di-TPB conventional electrode at 25°C after a) 2hrs, b) 1, c) 4, d) 6, e) 8, f) 10, g) 12, h) 15, i) 17, j) 20 and k) 23 days.

Fig. 14: Calibration graphs obtained for Di-PTA conventional electrode at 25°C
After a) 2hrs, b) 3, c) 4, d) 5, e) 7, f) 9, g) 11, h) 14, i) 17 and j) 20 days .

Fig. 15: Calibration graphs obtained for Ch-PTA conventional electrode at 25°C
after a) 1, b) 3, c) 7, d) 11, e) 13, f) 17, g) 22, h) 30, i) 35 and j) 37 days .

Fig. 16: Calibration graphs obtained for Ch-TPB copper coated wire electrode at
25°C after a) 1, b)2, c)4, d)8, e) 10 and f) 12 days.

Fig. 17: Calibration graphs obtained for Ch-TPB graphite coated wire electrode
at 25°C after a) 1, b)2, c)4, d)5 and e) 6 days.

Fig. 18: Calibration graphs obtained for Ch-PTA graphite coated wire electrode
at 25°C after a) 1, b)4, c)8, d)12, e) 18, f) 24, g)32 and h) 41 days.

Fig. 19: Calibration graphs obtained for Di-PTA copper coated wire electrode at
25°C after a) 8 hr, b) 1day and c) 2 days.

Fig. 20: Calibration graphs obtained for Di-TPB copper coated wire electrode at
25°C after a) 2 hr, b) 6 hrs, c) 1 day, d)2, e) 4 and f)6 days.

Fig. 21: Calibration graphs obtained for Di-TPB graphite coated wire electrode
at 25°C after a) 1, b) 3, c) 5, d)7, e) 10, f) 14, g) 18, h) 21 and i) 24 days.

Fig. 22: Calibration graphs obtained for Di-PTA graphite coated wire electrode
at 25°C after a) 2hrs, b)1 day, c)3, d)5, e) 7 and f) 9 days.

Fig 23: Recording obtained for different sample volumes a) 20.0, b) 37. 5, c)
75.0, d) 150.0, e) 175.0, f) 340.0 and g) 500.0µl of $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$
Di-HCl using Di-TPB conventional electrode.

Fig 24: Recording obtained for different sample volumes a) 20.0, b) 37.5, c)
75.0, d) 150.0,e) 175.0, f) 340.0 and g) 500.0µl of $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$
Di-HCl using Di-PTA conventional electrode.

Fig 25: Recording obtained for different sample volumes a) 20.0, b) 37.5, c)
75.0, d) 150.0, e) 175.0, f) 340.0 and g) 500.0µl of $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$
Ch-HCl using Ch-PTA conventional electrode.

Fig 26: Recording obtained for different sample volumes a) 20.0, b) 37.5, c) 75.0, d) 150.0, e) 175.0, f) 340.0 and g) 500.0 μ l of 5.0×10^{-3} mol l⁻¹ Ch-HCl using Ch-TPB conventional electrode.

Fig. 27: Recording obtained for 5.0×10^{-3} mol l⁻¹ of Ch-HCl at different flow rates a) 4.15, b) 5.35, c) 7.50, d) 9.70, e) 12.50, f) 17.85. g) 23.25, h) 25.00 and i) 27.00 ml min⁻¹ using Ch-TPB conventional electrode.

Fig. 28: Recording obtained for 5.0×10^{-3} mol l⁻¹ of Ch-HCl at different rates a) 4.15, b) 5.35, c) 7.50, d) 9.70, e) 12.50, f) 17.85. g) 23.25, h) 25.00 and i) 27.00 ml min⁻¹ using Ch-PTA conventional electrode.

Fig. 29: Recording obtained for 5.0×10^{-3} mol l⁻¹ of Di-HCl at different flow rates a) 4.15, b) 5.35, c) 7.50, d) 9.70, e) 12.50, f) 17.85. g) 23.25, h) 25.00 and i) 27.00 ml min⁻¹ using Di-TPB conventional electrode.

Fig. 30: Recording obtained for 5.0×10^{-3} mol l⁻¹ of Di-HCl at different flow rates a) 4.15, b) 5.35, c) 7.50, d) 9.70, e) 12.50, f) 17.85. g) 23.25, h) 25.00 and i) 27 ml min⁻¹ using Di-PTA conventional electrode.

Fig. 31: Variation of the slope of the calibration graphs with concentration of KCl in the carrier solution under FIA conditions using a) Di -PTA, b) Ch-TPB, c) Di-PTA and d) Ch-PTA conventional electrodes.

Fig. 32: Variation of the slope of the calibration graph with the flow rate a) Di-TPB and b) Di-PTA conventional electrode.

Fig. 33: Variation of the slope of the calibration graph with the flow rate a) Ch-TPB and b) Ch-PTA conventional electrode.

Fig. 34: The FIA recording obtained for a) Di-TPB and b) Di-PTA electrodes Under optimum FIA conditions.

Fig. 35: The FIA recording obtained for a) Ch-TPB and b) Ch-PTA electrodes under optimum FIA conditions.

Fig. 36: Calibration graphs obtained under optimum FIA conditions for a) Di-TPB, b) Di-PTA, c) Ch-TPB and d) Ch-PTA conventional electrodes.

Fig. 37: Effect of pH of $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ Di-HCl on the potential response of a) Di-TPB and b) Di-PTA conventional electrodes under FIA conditions, c) Di-PTA and d) Di-TPB conventional electrodes under batch conditions.

Fig. 38: Effect of pH of $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ Di-HCl on the potential response of a) Ch-TPB and b) Ch-PTA conventional electrodes under FIA conditions, c) Ch-PTA and d) Ch-TPB conventional electrodes under batch conditions.

Fig. 39: Recording obtained for $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ Di-HCl of different pH at Di-PT Conventional electrode.

Fig. 40: Calibration graphs obtained for Di-TPB conventional electrodes at 25°C Using different concentration of KCl in the test solution a) bi-distilled water, b) 1.0×10^{-4} , c) 5.0×10^{-4} , d) 1.0×10^{-3} , e) 5.0×10^{-3} and f) $1.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$.

Fig. 41: Calibration graphs obtained for Di-PTA conventional electrodes at 25°C Using different concentration of KCl in the test solution a) bi-distilled water, b) 1.0×10^{-4} , c) 5.0×10^{-4} , d) 1.0×10^{-3} , e) 5.0×10^{-3} and f) $1.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$.

Fig. 42: Calibration graphs obtained for Ch-TPB conventional electrodes at 25°C using different concentration of KCl in the test solution bi-distilled water, b) 1.0×10^{-4} , c) 5.0×10^{-4} , d) 1.0×10^{-3} , e) 5.0×10^{-3} and f) $1.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$.

Fig. 43: Calibration graphs obtained for Ch-PTA conventional electrodes at 25°C Using different concentration of KCl in the test solution a) bi-distilled water, b) 1.0×10^{-4} , c) 5.0×10^{-4} , d) 1.0×10^{-3} , e) 5.0×10^{-3} and f) $1.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$.

- Fig. 44:** Variation of the slope of the calibration graph with concentration of KCl in the test solution under batch conditions for a) Di-TPB and b) Di-PTA conventional electrodes .
- Fig. 45:** Variation of the slope of the calibration graph with concentration of NaCl in the test solution under batch conditions for Ch-PTA conventional electrodes.
- Fig. 46:** Variation of the slope of the calibration graph with concentration of KCl in the test solution under batch conditions for Ch-TPB conventional electrodes.
- Fig. 47:** Calibration graphs obtained for Di-PTA conventional electrode at different Test solution temperature a) 25, b) 30, c) 35, d) 45, e) 50, f) 60 and g) 70 °C.
- Fig. 48:** Calibration graphs obtained for Di-TPB conventional electrode at different Test solution temperature a) 25, b) 35, c) 40, d) 45, e) 50, f) 55, g) 60, h) 65 and i) 70 °C.
- Fig. 49:** Calibration graphs obtained for ch-TPB conventional electrode at different test solution temperature a) 25, b) 30, c) 40, d) 45, e) 50, f) 60 and g) 70 °C.
- Fig. 50:** Calibration graphs obtained for CH-PTA conventional electrode at different test solution temperature a) 25, b) 30, c) 35, d) 40, e) 45, f) 50, g) 55, h) 60 and i) 70 °C.
- Fig. 51:** Variation of the slope of the calibration graphs with the test solution Temperature a) Ch-TPB and b) Ch-PTA, the dotted line represents the theoretical values of the slope.
- Fig. 52:** Variation of the slope of the calibration graphs with the test solution Temperature a) Di-PTA and b) Di-TPB, the dotted line represent the theoretical values of the slope.
- Fig. 53:** Variation of the standard electrode potential with (t-25) for a) Di-PTA and b) Di-TPB electrodes

Fig. 54: Variation of the standard electrode potential with (t -25) for a) Di-PTA and b) Di-TPB electrodes.

Fig. 55: Potential-time plot for the response of Ch-PTA conventional electrode.

Fig. 56: Potential-time plot for the response of Ch-TPB conventional electrode.

Fig. 57: Potential-time plot for the response of Di-TPB conventional electrode.

Fig. 58: Potential-time plot for the response of Di-PTA conventional electrode.

Fig. 59: Potentiometric titration of a) 6, b) 12, c) 18, d) 24 and e) 30 ml of $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ Di-HCl using Di-PTA conventional electrode and $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ PTA as titrant.

Fig. 60: Potentiometric titration of a) 5, b) 10, c) 15 and d) 20 ml of $1.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ Di-HCl using Di-TPB conventional electrode and $1.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ TPB as titrant.

Fig. 61: Potentiometric titration of a) 2, b) 5, c) 10, d) 15 and e) 20 ml of $1.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ Di-HCl using Di-TPB copper coated wire electrode and $1.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ TPB as titrant.

Fig. 62: Potentiometric titration of a) 2, b) 5, c) 10, d) 15 and e) 20 ml of $1.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ Di-HCl using Di-TPB graphite coated wire electrode and $1.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ TPB as titrant.

Fig. 63: Differential curves for potentiometric determination of a) 6, b) 12, c) 18, d) 24 and e) 30 ml of $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ Di-HCl using Di-PTA graphite coated wire electrode and $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ PTA as titrant.

Fig. 64: Differential curves for potentiometric determination of a) 6, b) 12, c) 18, d) 24 and e) 30 ml $5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ Di-HCl using Di-PTA copper coated wire electrode and $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ PTA as titrant.

Fig. 65: Potentiometric titration of a) 3, b) 6, c) 9 and d) 12 of $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ Ch-HCl using Ch-TPB conventional electrode and $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ NaTPB as titrant.

Fig. 66: Potentiometric titration of a) 3, b) 6, c) 9 and d) 12 ml of allergex caffeine Solution $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ Ch-HCl using Ch-TPB conventional electrode and $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ NaTPB as titrant.

Fig. 67: Differential curves for potentiometric determination of a) 6, b) 12, c) 18, d) 24 and e) 30 ml $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ Ch-HCl using Ch-PTA conventional electrode and $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ PTA as titrant.

Fig. 68: Differential curves for potentiometric determination of a) 6, b) 12, c) 18 and d) 24 ml of Allergex solution $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ Ch-HCl using Ch-PTA conventional electrode and $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ PTA as titrant.

Fig. 69: Differential curves for potentiometric determination of a) 3, b) 6, c) 9 and d) 12 ml of $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ Ch-HCl using Ch-TPB graphite coated wire electrode and $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ TPB as titrant

Fig. 70: Differential curves for potentiometric determination of a) 3, b) 6, c) 9 and d) 12 ml of $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ Ch-HCl using Ch-TPB copper coated wire electrode and $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ TPB as titrant.

Fig. 71: peaks obtained for 15 mg of Ch-HCl in Allergex and allergex caffeine solutions in comparison with standard solution containing the same weight a) Standard solution, b) Allergex tablet and c) Allergex caffeine tablet .

Fig. 72: Conductimetric determination of a) 6, b) 12, c) 18 and d) 24 ml $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ Di-HCl in raw material using $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ PTA as titrant.

Fig. 73: Conductimetric determination of a) 2, b) 3, c) 4 and (d) 5 ml $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ Di -HCl in Eskornade capsule using $1.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ NaTPB as titrant in acetonitrile.

Fig. 74: Conductimetric determination of a) 3, b) 6, c) 9 and (d) 12 ml $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ Di -HCl in raw material using $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ NaTPB as titrant.

Fig. 75: Conductimetric determination of a) 6, b) 12, c) 18 and (d) 24 ml $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ Ch-HCl in raw material using $5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$ PTA as titrant.