# بسم الله الرحمى الرحيم

﴿ وما أوتيتم من العلم إلا قليلا ﴾

صدق الله العظيم

الإسراء (٥٨)

# Effectiveness of Submucosal Versus Local Intramuscular Dexamethasone Injection on Postoperative Discomfort Following Lower Third Molar Surgery

#### **Proposal**

Submitted to the Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine,
Cairo University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the
Master Degree in
Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$ 

#### MOHAMED IHAB MOSLEH

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine Cairo University

2010

# **Supervisors**

### Prof. Dr. Ragia Mohammed Mounir

Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University

#### Dr. Hassan Abdel Ghani

Lecturer of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University

#### **Acknowledgement**

I am deeply grateful to **ALLAH** who stood by me all along, with my great appreciation and thanks for achieving my goals in my life.

I would like to express my deep thanks and gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Ragia Mohammed Mounir**, Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for her keen interest, exceptional scientific supervision, unlimited assistance, great support and encouragement throughout this work.

I am deeply obliged and grateful to *Dr. Hassan Abdel Ghani*, Lecturer of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for his kind supervision, great effort throughout this study.

I wish to express my deepest gratitude and sincere thanks to **Prof. Dr. Ibrahim Shindi**, Head of Oral and Maxillofacial

surgery Department, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for his kind, endless help and support, continuous guidance and encouragement.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to all my fellow colleagues and all members of Oral and Maxillofacial surgery Department, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for their help and cooperation.

Finally, I am deeply indebted to my parents, and my friends in the Oral and Maxillofacial surgery Department for their support, kind assistance and intimate cooperation.

# LIST OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRTODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
AIM OF THE STUDY	32
MATERIALS AND METHODS	33
RESULTS	54
DISCUSSION	79
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	89
REFERENCES	94
ARABIC SUMMARY	

# LIST OF TABLES

Γable No.		Page
1	Visual analogue scale to evaluate pain: reference values given to patients and their interpretations.	48
2	The means, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Student's t-test for comparison between ages in the two groups:	54
3	The frequencies, percentages and results of chi- square test for comparison between gender distribution in the two groups:	55
4	The means, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Student's t-test for comparison between duration of the operation in the two groups.	56
5	The means, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Mann-Whitney U test for the comparison between number of consumed tablets in the two groups	59
6	The mean differences, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Wilcoxon-signed rank test for the changes in number of consumed tablets by time within each group	60
7	The mean % changes, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Mann-Whitney U test for comparison between % decrease in number of consumed tablets in the two groups.	62

8	The means, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Mann-Whitney U test for the comparison between VAS in the two groups	64
9	The mean differences, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Wilcoxon- signed rank test for the changes in VAS by time within each group	65
10	The mean % changes, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Mann-Whitney U test for comparison between % decrease in VAS in the two groups.	67
11	The means, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Student's t-test for the comparison between edema measurement in the two groups.	69
12	The mean differences, standard deviation (SD) values and results of paired t-test for the changes in edema measurement by time within each group	70
13	The mean % changes, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Mann-Whitney U test for comparison between % changes in edema measurement in the two groups	72
14	The means, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Student's t-test for the comparison between MIO in the two groups	74
15	The mean differences, standard deviation (SD) values and results of paired t-test for the changes in MIO by time within each group.	75

The mean % changes, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Mann-Whitney U test for comparison between % changes in MIO in the two groups

77

## LIST OF FIGURE

Figure No.		Page
1	a) Periapical radiograph showing impacted lower third molar.	35
	b): Panoramic radiograph showing preoperative bilateral mandibular third molar impaction.	35
2	a,b): Preoperative photograph showing an impacted lower third molar in group I (a) and in group II (b).	38
3	A photograph showing immediate postoperative injection of Dexamethasone into the medial pterygoid muscle.	38
4	Photograph showing the four fixed anatomical references namely canthus of the eye, tragus of the ear, gonion, and mid of the lip.	40
5	Preoperative photograph showing measurement of the facial contour along the outer canthus – gonion line using a measuring tape.	40
6	Preoperative photograph showing measurement of the facial contour along the tragus-lip line.	41
7	Preoperative photograph showing measurement of the facial contour along the gonion-lip line.	41
8	Preoperative photograph showing maximum interincisal opening measurements of the mouth using a Vernier caliper.	42

9	Postoperative photograph showing decrease interincisal opening measurements of the mouth using a Vernier caliper.	43
10	a): Photograph showing flap retraction in group I patient.	46
	b): Photograph showing bone removal by buccal guttering in group II patient.	47
11	Facial edema at the second postoperative day in group I (a) and group II (b).	50
12	Mean age in the two groups	55
13	Gender distribution in the two groups	56
14	Mean duration of the operation in the two groups	57
15	Mean number of consumed tablets in the two groups	59
16	Changes by time in mean number of consumed tablets in the two groups	61
17	Mean % reduction in number of consumed tablets in the two groups	62
18	Mean VAS in the two groups	64
19	Changes by time in mean VAS of the two groups	66
20	Mean % reduction in VAS of the two groups	67
21	Mean edema measurement in the two groups	69
22	Changes by time in mean edema measurements of the two groups	71

23	Photograph showing edema of right side of the face at the 2 <sup>nd</sup> post-operative day in group I female patient.	72
24	Photograph showing edema of right side of the face at the 2 <sup>nd</sup> post-operative day in group II male patient.	72
25	Mean % change in edema measurement in the two groups	73
26	Mean MIO in the two groups	74
27	Changes by time in mean MIO of the two groups	76
28	Mean % change in MIO of the two groups	77

#### INTRODUCTION

The surgical extraction of impacted third molars is the most common procedure in oral and maxillofacial surgery. Patients undergoing the surgical removal of impacted third molar teeth usually experience significant postoperative pain, swelling and trismus that may have a biological and social impact and can cause distress to the patient affecting their daily activities and quality of life after surgery. Oral surgical procedures can vary in difficulty and in the degree of trauma caused to the surrounding tissues. The greater amount of tissue injury, leads to an increased amount of inflammation in the perisurgical area.

Postoperative swelling and edema may be due in part to the conversion of phospholipids into arachidonic acid by phospholipase  $A_2$ , and the resultant synthesis of prostaglandins, leukotrienes, or thromboxane related substances act as mediators of the inflammatory response<sup>(1,2)</sup>. These symptoms are not observed immediately after surgery but rather begin gradually, peaking two days after the surgery<sup>(3)</sup>.

Many clinicians have emphasized the necessity for better discomfort control in patients who undergo third molar surgery, and several types of medications have been proposed. Pharmacological controlling the extent of the inflammatory process after surgery can decrease the intensity of this triad of sequelae of tissue trauma. Oral surgeons have traditionally prescribed corticosteroids, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID), and narcotic analgesics to manage these postoperative sequelae.

One technique for reducing the postoperative inflammatory process is the administration of corticosteroids, such as cortisol and the synthetic analogue of cortisol, which have been found to suppress the physiologic processes of local heat, redness swelling, and tenderness that characterize inflammation<sup>(4)</sup>.

Corticosteroids are successful in controlling acute inflammation by interfering with the multiple signaling pathways involved in the inflammatory response<sup>(5,6)</sup>. Their biological action is not completely understood, but the primary mechanisms are thought to involve suppression of leukocyte and macrophage accumulation at the site of inflammation, and prevention of prostaglandin formation through the disruption of the arachidonic acid cascade<sup>(5,7)</sup>.

Corticosteroids such as dexmethasone have been extensively used in varying regimen and routes to lessen

postoperative inflammatory sequelae and hence decreasing the morbidity after oral surgery.

Although many clinicians are reluctant to use corticosteroids in conjunction with oral surgery because of concerns about possible adverse effects with impacts on metabolism, water electrolyte balance, negative feedback on the hypothalamus pituitary adrenal axis (HPA), anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive effects<sup>(8,9)</sup>, however, both short term and single dose treatment have been found effective in reducing postoperative inflammation in many patients without producing side effects.