

***Management of
Infected Non-united Tibial Fractures by
Ilizarov External Fixator with compression
distraction technique***

*A Thesis Submitted for the Fulfillment of MD Degree in
Orthopedic Surgery*

By:

Mohamed Sayed Abd Elsamie Elazab

*(Assistant Lecturer of Orthopedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Fayoum
University)*

Under supervision of:

Prof. Dr.: Hassan Elbarbary

*Professor of Orthopedic Surgery
Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University*

Prof. Dr.: Kamal Samy Abd Elmeguid

*Professor of Orthopedic Surgery
Faculty of Medicine, Fayoum University*

Dr.: Mohamed Mahmoud Hegazy

*Assistant Professor of Orthopedic Surgery
Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University*

Faculty of Medicine

Cairo University

2015

Abstract

This study included 30 patients with infected non united shaft tibia fractures with bone defect after debridement up to 6 cm. the study included 27 males and 3 females, mean age was 36 years old. The average time between fracture and inclusion in the study was 14 month. The average number of previous operations was 2 surgeries. Soft tissue compromise was present in 10 patients. Operative technique: adequate debridement of soft tissue and bone at the fracture site followed application of the preassembled frame. Acute compression at the fracture site with check of the distal circulation followed by corticotomy at the metaphysis far to the fracture. Ilizarov fixation with compression distraction technique is a safe and a reliable method for treatment of infected non united tibia. There is no definite safe limits for acute compression.

Keywords:

(ASAMI, Ilizarov external, Osteogenesis, *compression distraction technique*)

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

”إِنْ أُرِيدُ إِلَّا الْإِصْلَاحَ مَا اسْتَطَعْتُ وَمَا

تَوْفِيقِي إِلَّا بِاللَّهِ عَلَيْهِ تَوَكَّلْتُ وَإِلَيْهِ

أُنِيبُ.“ (هود: ٨٨)

صدق الله العظيم

Acknowledgments

First of all, praise is to Allah, the Merciful, who bestowed me with the patience, and ability to complete this work.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and heartfelt thanks to **Prof. Hassan Elbarbary**, professor of Orthopedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University; for his fatherly supervision and unlimited guidance.

Gratful appreciation and deep thanks are due to **Prof. Kamal Sami Abdel Meguid**, professor of Orthopedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Fayoum University, who prepared the setup for our young department, to rise tall between our peers. I would like to thank him for his perseverance and enthusiasm to bring out the best in me.

Deepest gratitude is also due to **Prof. Mohamed Mahmoud Hegazy**, assist.professor of Orthopedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University; he was the first to introduce me to the fantastic world of Ilizarov external fixator, who was abundantly helpful and offered me invaluable assistance, support and guidance.

Above all I would like to express my deepest gratitude to **my parents** for their endless love, support, patience and guidance throughout my life.

Last, but not least, to **my dear wife Walaa, my loved ones: Jana and Hana**, thank you for your sacrifices, patience, and specially for having faith in me.

Table of contents

Table of contents	-----	II
List of Tables	-----	III
List of Figures	-----	IV
Chapter 1:	Introduction-----	1
Chapter 2:	Biomechanics of Ilizarov external fixator-----	4
Chapter 3:	Distraction Osteogenesis-----	31
Chapter 4:	Infected non united tibia-----	38
Chapter 5:	Patients and methods-----	46
Chapter 6:	Results-----	55
Chapter 7:	Discussion-----	114
Chapter 8:	Conclusion-----	121
Summary	-----	122
References	-----	124
Arabic Summary	-----	134

List of Tables

Table 1:	Age distribution(results)	56
Table 2:	Effect of age on results	63
Table 3:	Effect of sex on results	63
Table 4:	Effect of aetiology on results.	64
Table 5:	Effect of soft tissue problems on results	65
Table 6:	Showing duration of treatment	66
Table 7:	Effect of shortening, regenerate consolidation	67
Table 8:	Results of other studies and comparison with our study	118

List of figures

Figure: 1	Ilizarov assembly. Two distinct ring blocks	6
Figure: 2	Ilizarov compression distraction apparatus	8
Figure: 3	Crossing wire angles	9
Figure: 4	Schanz screws	12
Figure: 5	Anatomical cuts for wires and half pins insertion	23
Figure: 6	Cut 1 for wire and half pin insertion	25
Figure: 7	Cut 2 for wire and half pin insertion	26
Figure: 8	Cut 3 for wire and half pin insertion	27
Figure: 9	Cut 4 for wire and half pin insertion	28
Figure: 10	Cut 5 for wire and half pin insertion	29
Figure: 11	Cut 6 for wire and half pin insertion	30
Figure: 12	Acute compression at fracture site , proximal corticotomy and distraction	50
Figure: 13	Technique of corticotomy	52
Figure: 14	Age distribution	56
Figure: 15	Sex distribution.	57
Figure: 16	Aetiology(results]	57
Figure: 17	No of previous op.	58
Figure: 18	Level of non union	58
Figure: 19	Effect of soft tissue problems	59
Figure: 20	Infection control (results)	60
Figure: 21	Union rate	60
Figure: 22	Bone results	61
Figure: 23	Functional results.	61

Figure: 24	Final results	62
Figure: 25	Effect of age on results	63
Figure: 26	effect of sex on results	64
Figure: 27	effect of aetiology on results	65
Figure: 28	effect of soft tissue problems on results	66
Figure: 29	regenerate consolidation	67
Figure: 30	infected non united tibia with bone defect	70
Figure:31	Ilizarov fixation after acute compression and proximal corticotomy	71
Figure:32	distraction at corticotomy site (distraction osteogenesis)	72
Figure:33	consolidation at corticotomy site (regenerate formation) and fr. Site	73
Figure:34	after removal of Ilizarov & below knee casting for 6 weeks	74
Figure:35a,b	after removal of Ilizarov and cast	75,76
Figure:36	infected non united tibia with deformity	77
Figure:37	acute compression of 4 cm defect	78
Figure:38	distraction at corticotomy site with consolidation	78
Figure:39	union at fr. With regenerate consolidation after 6 months	79
Figure:40	union of fr. With regenerate consolidation	80
Figure:41	multiple sinuses discharging pus	81
Figure:42	infected non united tibia with plate loosened	82
Figure:43	removal of loosened plate,acute compression,Ilizarov fixation	83
Figure:44	distraction at corticotomy site	84
Figure:45	regenerate consolidation ,union of fracture site	85
Figure:46	union at fracture and regenerate consolidation after 5 months	86
Figure:47	normal alignment,no sign of infection	87

Figure:48	Infected non united tibia with exposed bone ,fixed by Nancy nails	88
Figure:49	After removal of Nancy nails and casting	89
Figure:50	Acute compression and fixation by Ilizarov and proximal corticotomy	90
Figure:51	Ilizarov fixation with distraction osteogenesis	91
Figure:52	Consolidation at distraction site with alternative compression distraction technique to enhance healing	92
Figure:53	Before removal of Ilizarov and single leg stance	93
Figure:54	After removal of Ilizarov with complete union and consolidation of distraction site	94
Figure:55	Infected non united tibia with exposed bone	95
Figure:56	Infected non united tibia fixed by Ilizarov with exposed bone after failed skin graft	96
Figure:57	Acute compression with primary skin closure with proximal corticotomy	97
Figure:58	Consolidation of distraction site with union of fr. site	98
Figure:59	After removal of Ilizarov with union and consolidation of distraction site	99
Figure:60	After removal of Ilizarov	100
Figure:61	Infected non united tibia with exposed bone	101
Figure:62	Loosened plate with deformity	102
Figure:63	Excision of sequestered bone with acute compression, proximal corticotomy and fixation by Ilizarov	103
Figure:64	Acute compression, proximal corticotomy and fixation by Ilizarov	104
Figure:65	Ilizarov fixation with distraction at corticotomy site	105
Figure:66	Ilizarov fixation, union of fr. site with consolidation of distraction site	106

Figure:68	Infected non united tibia with loosened plate	108
Figure:69	Ilizarov fixation and proximal corticotomy	109
Figure:70	Ilizarov fixation and distraction at corticotomy site	110
Figure:71	Ilizarov fixation and consolidation at distraction site	111
Figure:72	Union of fr. site with distraction consolidation	112
Figure:73	After removal of Ilizarov	113

Introduction

Introduction

Tibia is the most exposed bone in the body and vulnerable to trauma and therefore its fractures are common among the long bone fractures. Tibia is the common site of non-union in long bone fractures.⁽¹⁾

Long standing infected non-union and gap non-union is difficult to treat and is a challenging problem for the orthopedic surgeon. It usually leads to residual deformity, persistent infection, contracture, and at worst - a useless limb.⁽²⁾

Many methods have been employed to treat this situation e.g. radical debridement, local flaps, muscle flaps, bone grafting, tibiofibular synostosis, cancellous allograft, fibrin mixed with antibiotics, antibiotic beads, microvascular flaps and vascularized bone transplants. All have improved results but none has been able to fully solve this clinical situation.⁽³⁾

Debridement and sequestrectomy result in large gaps which are difficult to treat with conventional methods. Internal fixation with dynamic compression plate (DCP) or interlocking nail (ILN) is impossible due to the gap at the non-union site, defective quality of bone, and above all the presence of infection. In these conditions external fixator is the treatment of choice, especially the ring fixator of Ilizarov.⁽⁴⁾

The Ilizarov ring fixator gives an option of compression, distraction and bone transport, and is effective in the treatment of infected non-union of tibia where other types of treatment have failed. Weight bearing and

The functioning of the joints while on the treatment is an advantage that cannot be matched by any other technique.⁽⁵⁾

The Ilizarov apparatus is axially elastic and as the weight bearing forces are directly applied to the bone ends, maintaining the weight bearing function of the extremity actually becomes one of the prerequisites for the success of the method. The cyclic axial telescoping mobility, not rigidity, at the non-union or fracture site is an important requirement for the formation of a reparative callus. Ilizarov experimentally showed that when gradual distraction tension stress is applied to the corticotomy site, the vascularity of the entire limb is increased, which in turn enhances the ability of the bone ends to unite.⁽⁶⁾

Recently, the introduction of the distraction osteogenesis and bone transport technique of Ilizarov has allowed problems of infection, shortening, deformity, soft-tissue loss, and joint contracture to be addressed. Based on the Ilizarov philosophy, tibial nonunion with bone loss can be managed by acute shortening after resection at the site of the nonunion combined with lengthening of the shortened bone at another level.⁽⁷⁾

Ilizarov introduced the concept of resection of the site of nonunion and acute shortening combined with bone lengthening by distraction osteogenesis using a circular external frame. This method can maintain or regain limb length and also successfully deal with deformity, infection, joint contracture and malalignment.⁽⁸⁾

Experiences with acute compression and simultaneous lengthening for infected nonunion of the tibia showed that the technique produces union and restores leg length. This technique addresses both alignment and length in a single treatment with fewer complications. It also reduces complications associated with bone transport and is safe for larger tibial defects; no neurovascular complications were encountered during acute docking or follow-up. The rate of severe pin-tract infection was very low, because from the early on during treatment, stability is shared between the acute compression site and the fixator. No secondary operations (such as bone grafting) were needed to achieve union at the docking site. Moreover, during the whole treatment course, no secondary adjustments were performed on the frame. The technique requires good compliance and cooperation from the patient, who should understand the procedure fully and be mentally prepared. ⁽⁹⁾

Biomechanics of Ilizarov external fixator