



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
DEPARTMENT OF STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

A Thesis
Submitted in Partial fulfillment for The Requirement of the Degree of Doctor of
Philosophy in Civil Engineering

Response of Large Diameter Bored Piles in Clayey Soils under Axial Compression Loads

BY
MOHAMED EZZAT EZZAT
B.Sc. 2007, M.Sc. 2012 CIVIL ENGINEERING

Supervised by:

Prof. Mona Eid
Professor of Geotechnical Eng.
Department of Structural Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Prof. Ashraf Hefny
Associate Professor of Geotechnical Eng.
Department of Structural Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Dr. Tamer Sorour
Assistant professor of Geotechnical Eng.
Department of Structural Eng.
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Dr. Yasser Zaghoul
Assistant professor of Structural Eng.
Department of Civil Eng.
Faculty of Engineering
Shorouk Academy

Cairo, 2018

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

EXAMINING COMMITTEE

Name, Title & Affiliation

Signature

Prof. Dr. Martin Achmus

.....

Professor of Geotechnical Engineering
Institute for Geotechnical Engineering (IGtH), Hannover, Germany

Prof. Dr. Farouk Al Kadi

.....

Professor of Geotechnical Engineering
Department of Structural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams
University

Prof. Dr. Mona Eid

.....

Professor of Geotechnical Engineering
Department of Structural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams
University

Prof. Dr. Ashraf Hefny

.....

Associate Professor of Geotechnical Eng.
Department of Structural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams
University

Date: / /

STATEMENT

This dissertation is submitted to Ain Shams University for the Degree of engineering science Doctor of Philosophy in Civil Engineering.

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author, in the Department of Civil Engineering, faculty of engineering from January 2014 and up to date.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or for a qualification at any other University or Institution.

Date: / / **2018**

Signature:

Name: Mohmed Ezzat Ezzat

INFORMATION ABOUT RESEARCHER

Name: Mohamed Ezzat Ezzat Al- Atroush

Date of Birth: June.20,1985

Place of Birth: Egypt

Qualifications: M.S.C. Degree in Civil Engineering.
(Structural Eng. Depart.) – faculty of Eng. - Ain shams
university, (2012).

Present Job: Assistant lecturer at Civil Engineering Department,
Higher Institute of Engineering, Shorouk City, Cairo,
Egypt,

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to Professor Mona Eid, Associate Professor Ashraf Hefny, Assistant professors Tamer Sorour, and Yasser Zaghoul for supervision, generous and intellectual support, encouragement and advice throughout the course of this research. They, generously, devoted much of their precious time in meetings, and invaluable discussion during preparation of the final draft of the thesis. The author is indebted to Professor Dr. Martin Achmus for patient review of this manuscript.

The author is very thankful to Professor. Farouk Al Kadi, for his vigorous efforts, constructive suggestions, and for continuous encouragement to perform this research.

Finally, I want to thank, all my family, my wife and my parents for their love, understanding, continuous patience and encouragement.

Author,
Mohamed Ezzat Ezzat
Cairo - 2018



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering
Department of Civil Engineering

Abstract of A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment for The Requirement of The Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Civil Engineering.

By:

Eng. Mohamed Ezzat Ezzat

Title of thesis

Response of Large Diameter Bored Piles in Clayey Soils under Axial Compression Loads

Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Mona Eid

Dr. Tamer Sorour

Prof. Dr. Ashraf Hefny

Dr. Yasser Zaghloul

ABSTRACT

Recently, significant growth is experienced in construction of the high rises buildings, offshore ports, wind power mills, storage silos and many other types of heavy loaded structures. In these cases, bored piles with large diameters are the most qualified elements of the deep foundations that efficiently can be utilized in various subsurface conditions. They are employed most frequently both to support heavy loads and to minimize settlement. The in-situ full scale pile loading test is the most recommended methodology by several international geotechnical codes to determine the ultimate capacity of large diameter bored piles. However, loading of this type of piles till reaching apparent failure is practically seldom.

In this thesis, the behavior of the large diameter bored piles in clayey soil under axial load was investigated utilizing in situ measurements of two instrumented large diameter bored piles loading tests. Apparent failure was achieved by end of the first loading test. This test was performed on short large diameter bored pile at the Alzey Bridge site in Germany. In contrast, apparent failure was not achieved in the second in situ loading test of Damietta Port New Silo long large diameter bored pile, although this long pile was tested under load of about three times its working load.

On the other hand, numerical study was carried out to investigate the behavior of both bored piles under axial compression loads. Measurements of the first pile load test were used to assess the quality of the numerical models investigated. Sensitivity analyses with respect to mesh size, element type and discretization were conducted and different iteration procedures were assessed. Then, the effect of soil constitutive models and interface approaches were investigated. After calibrating the numerical model, obtained ultimate capacity has been utilized to assess the predicted pile ultimate capacity using different international codes and design methods.

Comprehensive parametric study was performed using the validated finite element model to investigate different factors that affecting ultimate capacity and settlement of the large diameter bored pile in clayey soils. These factors were classified into two main categories. First category included the pile geometry factors: pile diameter (D), and length (L). The second category was concerned with the cohesive soil parameters: clay effective cohesion (C'), effective friction angle (ϕ'), lateral earth pressure coefficient (K), soil Young's modulus (E), and soil dilatancy angle (Ψ).

Field measurements of both loading tests have been used to assess the obtained ultimate capacity using Meyerhof (1951) classical method. Based on the performed parametric study, the essential modifications were elaborated on the Meyerhof classical formula to be able to predict of a reliable value of the ultimate capacity for the large diameter bored piles. A validation of the modified Meyerhof method was carried out by comparison with the results of (12) in situ-loading tests. Regarding the settlement of large diameter bored piles, using the numerical simulation results a new method was developed for the prediction of pile settlement under both of failure and working loads. Results of (12) in-situ pile loading tests and (23) numerical models have been used in validation of the proposed pile settlement equations.

TABLE OF CONTENT

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xlii
CHAPTER (1): INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Statement of Problem	1
1.3 Research Objectives	4
1.4 Thesis Outline	4
CHAPTER (2): LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Full-Scale Loading Tests of Large Diameter Bored Piles under Axial Compression Loads	9
2.3 Organization of Literature Review	11
2.4 Classical Hypotheses	12
2.4.1 Early Formula (1880)	12
2.4.2 Paton (1985)	12
2.4.3 Karl Terzaghi (1943)	13
2.4.4 Meyerhof (1951)	15
2.4.5 Vesic (1963)	18
2.4.6 Classical Formulas	20
2.5 Field Studies of Large Diameter Bored Piles Response	21
2.5.1 Whitaker and Cooke (1966)	24
2.5.2 O'Neill and Reese (1970)	26
2.5.3 Sommer and Hammbach (1974)	30
2.5.3.1 Alzey Bridge Pile Loading Test	30
2.5.3.2 Soil Mechanical Characteristics	30
2.5.3.3 Test Arrangement and Pile Implementation	31
2.5.3.4 Loading System and the Instrumentations	32
2.5.3.5 Field Pile Loading Test	33
2.5.3.6 Test Results and Analysis	33
2.5.3.7 Skin Friction and Bearing Stress	35
2.5.3.8 Surrounding Soil Settlement	36
2.5.4 Van Impe, (1991)	37
2.5.5 Tomlinson (1995)	37
2.5.6 Fellenius (2001)	38
2.6 Construction Effect on Axial Capacity of Bored Piles	39

TABLE OF CONTENT

Page

2.7 Estimation of Large Diameter Bored Pile Capacity in Using Direct and Indirect Methods	41
2.7.1 Standard Penetration Test (SPT)	42
2.7.1.1 Estimation of End Bearing Stress Using SPT Results	43
2.7.1.2 Estimation of Skin Friction Stress Using SPT Results	43
2.7.2 Cone Penetration Test (CPT)	44
2.7.3 Empirical and Theoretical Correlation Between Measured Soil Properties and Properties Obtained from In-Situ Tests (Indirect Methods)	45
2.7.3.1 Estimation of Internal Friction Angle (Φ)	45
2.7.3.2 Estimation of Undrained Cohesion (C_u)	46
2.7.3.3 Estimation of Elasticity Modulus (E)	47
2.7.3.4 Estimation of Poisson's Ratio (ν)	47
2.8 Numerical Studies of Large Diameter Bored Piles Behavior	48
2.8.1 Finite Element Method	50
2.8.1.1 Geometry of Model	50
2.8.1.2 Interface Elements	51
2.8.1.2.1 Interface Strength	52
2.8.1.3 Constitutive Modeling of Geomaterials	53
2.8.1.3.1 Linear Analysis Method	54
2.8.1.3.2 Bilinear Model	54
2.8.1.3.3 Perfect Plasticity (Non- Linear Constitutive Models)	55
2.8.1.4 Non-Linear Analysis Methods	55
2.8.1.4.1 Constant Stiffness Iterative Method	56
2.8.1.4.2 The Secant Stiffness Method	57
2.8.1.4.3 Newton-Raphson Iterative Method	57
2.8.1.4.4 Modified Newton-Raphson Iterative Method	57
2.8.2 Review of Numerical Studies of Large Diameter Bored Piles	58
2.8.2.1 Y. El-Mossallamy (1999)	58
2.8.2.2 Wehnert and Vermeer (2004)	61
2.8.2.3 O. Kadi (2012)	65
2.9 Methods for Interpreting Ultimate Load	67
2.10 Pile End Bearing Influence Zone	69
2.11 Evaluation of Large Diameter Bored Pile Ultimate Capacity Using Several International Codes Methodologies	73
2.11.1 Egyptian Code ECP202/4 (2005)	73
2.11.2 German Standard, DIN 4014, Part 2 (1990)	75
2.11.3 AASHTO (1998)	76
2.11.3.1 Reese and O'Neil Method (1988)	76

TABLE OF CONTENT

Page

2.11.3.2 Reese and Wright Method (1977)	78
2.11.3.3 Meyerhof Method (1976)	79
2.11.4 French Code, Fascicule 62-v\ (1993)	80
2.11.5 American Petroleum Institute Code (API, 1980)	83
2.11.6 Comparative Analyses of Large Diameter Bored Piles Using Different International Codes	84
CHAPTER (3): Numerical Modelling of Large Diameter Bored Pile Loading Test	88
3.1 Introduction	88
3.2 The Selected Case History	89
3.3 Numerical Modelling	90
3.3.1 Stages of Analysis	91
3.3.2 Results of Analysis	91
3.4 Numerical Analysis of Large Diameter Bored Pile Installed in Over Consolidated Stiff Clay Soil Using Two-Dimensional Axisymmetric Model	92
3.4.1 Mesh Dependency and Model Sensitivity (First Possibility)	92
3.4.1.1 Mesh Size	92
3.4.1.2 Mesh Type Effect on Analysis Results	96
3.4.1.3 Geometry of the Numerical Model	98
3.4.2 Nonlinear Analysis Methodologies and Convergence Criterion (Second Possibility)	101
3.4.3 Soil Constitutive Model (Third Possibility)	103
3.4.3.1 Modelling of Over Consolidated Clay Soil	103
3.4.3.2 Modified Mohr Coulomb Constitutive Model	104
3.4.3.2.a Modified Mohr-Coulomb Yield (Plastic) surfaces	104
3.4.3.3 Comparative Analysis Using Three Constitutive Models	105
3.4.3.3.a Soil and Pile Parameters	106
3.4.3.3.b Interface Element Parameters	108
3.4.3.3.c Analysis and Results	109
3.4.4 Interface Element	115
3.4.4.1 Effect of Interface Element on Analysis Results	118
3.5 Validation of the suggested Numerical Modelling Procedure	121
3.6 Large Diameter Bored Pile Simulation Using One Dimensional Embedded Beam Element	123
3.7 Large Diameter Bored Pile Simulation Using Three-Dimensional Axisymmetric Model	127

TABLE OF CONTENT

Page

CHAPTER (4): Behaviour of Large Diameter Bored Pile in Overconsolidated Stiff Clay soil	129
4.1 Introduction	129
4.2 Large Diameter Bored Pile Load Transfer	129
4.2.1 Pile Base-Soil Interaction	129
4.2.2 Soil Interaction with Pile Shaft	130
4.2.3 Pile Load Distribution with Depth	131
4.2.4 Unit Skin Friction	132
4.3 Influence of the Soil Stresses Distribution with Pile Loading	135
4.3.1 Initial Stresses	135
4.3.2 Change in Soil Stresses with Pile Loading	136
4.3.2.1 Terzaghi (1936) Trap Door Experiment	136
4.3.2.2 F. Touma and C. Reese (1972)	138
4.3.3 Numerical Analysis Results of Soil Stress Distribution	140
4.4 Size of Plastic Bulb under Pile Base	143
4.5 Undrained Analysis	147
4.5.1 Finite Element Model	147
4.5.1.1 Geometry and Boundary Conditions	147
4.5.1.2 Soil Model Properties	147
4.5.1.3 Pile Model Properties	149
4.5.1.4 Interface Model Properties	149
4.5.2 Results of Undrained Analysis	149
4.5.2.1 Pile Load Settlement	150
4.5.2.2 Pile Load Transfer Mechanism in Undrained Condition	150
4.5.2.3 Skin Friction and Bearing Stresses	152
4.6 Comparison between the Obtained Ultimate Capacities Using Drained and Undrained Analyses	154
4.7 Comparative Analyses of Large Diameter Bored Pile Using Egyptian Code and Meyerhof (1951) Methods	155
4.7.1 Calculation of the Pile Ultimate Capacity using ECP 202/4 Proposed Method	155
4.7.2 Comparison between Field Measurements and the Obtained Pile Ultimate Capacity using ECP 202/4 Proposed Method	157
4.7.3 Assessment of the Obtained Pile Ultimate Capacity using Meyerhof (1951) Method	158
4.7.4 Comparison between Field measurements and the Obtained pile Ultimate Capacity using Different Methods	159

TABLE OF CONTENT

Page

CHAPTER (5): Full-Scale Well Instrumented Large Diameter Bored Pile Load Test in Multi Layered Soil: A Case Study of Damietta Port New Grain Silos Project	160
5.1 Introduction	160
5.2 Site Investigation	161
5.2.1 Soil Profile at Borehole No. (11)	163
5.2.2 Engineering Properties of Soil Layers	163
5.2.2.1 Laboratory Tests	164
5.2.2.1.1 Sieve Analysis Test Results	164
5.2.2.1.2 Water Content and Atterberg Limits	165
5.2.2.1.3 Consolidation Test (Oedometer Test)	166
5.2.2.1.4 Pocket Penetrometer (PP)	166
5.2.2.2 In-Situ Field Tests and Soil Properties	166
5.2.2.2.a Standard Penetration Test (SPT)	166
5.2.2.2.b Static Cone Penetration Test (CPT)	167
5.3 Large Diameter Bored Pile Design Load	171
5.4 Pile Instrumentation, Installation and Testing	172
5.4.1 Bored Pile Installation	172
5.4.2 Pile Instrumentation	173
5.4.2.1 Vibrating Wire Strain Gauges	174
5.4.2.2 Extensometers (Tell-Tales)	175
5.4.3 Loading Test of the Instrumented Large Diameter Bored Pile	177
5.5 Test Results and Analysis	179
5.5.1 Measurements of Pile Settlement	179
5.5.2 Pile Load Distribution	180
5.5.3 Pile Side and Base Resistances	185
5.6 Calculation of Pile Design Load using In-Situ Loading Test Results	186
5.7 Comparative Analyses of Large Diameter Bored Piles using International Codes	187
5.8 Numerical Analysis of Large Diameter Bored Pile Installed in Multi Layered Soil: A Case Study of Damietta Port New Grain Silos Project	188
5.8.1 Finite Element Model	189
5.8.1.1 Geometry and Boundary Conditions	189
5.8.1.2 Soil Model Properties	190
5.8.1.3 Pile Model Properties	192
5.8.1.4 Interface Elements Properties	192
5.8.2 Stages of Analysis	192
5.8.3 Finite Element Model Verification	193
5.8.3.1 Pile Head Settlement	193
5.8.3.2 Surrounding Soil Movement	194

TABLE OF CONTENT

Page

5.8.3.3 Pile Load Transfer Mechanism	195
5.8.3.3.1 Skin Friction	197
5.8.3.3.2 Pile Side and Base Resistances	200
5.8.4 Ultimate Capacity of Large Diameter Bored Pile	201
5.8.5 Size of Failure Zone Below Pile Base	203
CHAPTER (6): Factors Affecting the Behavior of Large Diameter Bored Piles in Clay Soil	204
6.1 Introduction	204
6.2 Methodology of the Parametric Study	204
6.2.1 Finite Element Model's Properties	205
6.2.2 Geometry and Boundary Conditions	206
6.2.3 Stages of Analysis	206
6.2.4 Failure Criteria and Analyses Results	207
6.3 Effect of Diameter (D) on the Large Diameter Bored Pile Behavior	207
6.3.1 Analysis and Results	209
6.3.1.1 Relation Between Pile Diameter and Pile Load-Settlement	209
6.3.1.2 Diameter Effect on Pile Load Transfer Mechanism	211
6.3.1.3 Pile Diameter Effect on Ultimate Bearing Stress	216
6.3.1.4 Pile Diameter Effect on Ultimate Unit Skin Friction	217
6.3.1.5 Size of Plastic Bulb below Pile Base	217
6.4 Effect of Pile Length (L) on Large Diameter Bored Pile Behavior	220
6.4.1 Analysis and Results	221
6.4.1.1 Relation Between Pile Length and Pile Load-Settlement	221
6.4.1.2 Pile Length Effect on Pile Load Transfer Mechanism	223
6.4.1.3 Pile Length Effect on Ultimate Bearing Stress	226
6.4.1.4 Pile Length Effect on Ultimate Unit Skin Friction	226
6.4.1.4.a Unit Skin Friction and Critical Depth	227
6.4.1.5 Size of Plastic Bulb below Pile Base	230
6.5 Impact of Effective Cohesion (C') on the Behavior of Large Diameter Bored Piles in Cohesive Soil	231
6.5.1 Analysis and Results	232
6.5.1.1 Relation Between Soil Effective Cohesion (C') and Pile Load-Settlement	232
6.5.1.2 Soil Effective Cohesion Impact on Pile Load Transfer Mechanism	233
6.5.1.3 Effective Cohesion Impact on Ultimate Bearing Stress	237
6.5.1.4 Effective Cohesion Impact on Ultimate Unit Skin Friction	237

TABLE OF CONTENT	Page
6.5.1.5 Size of Plastic Bulb below Pile Base	238
6.6 Impact of Effective Friction Angle (ϕ') on the Behavior of Large Diameter Bored Piles in Cohesive Soil	239
6.6.1 Analysis and Results	240
6.6.1.1 Relation Between Soil Effective Friction Angle (ϕ') and Pile Load- Settlement	240
6.6.1.2 Soil Effective Friction Angle (ϕ') Impact on Pile Load Transfer Mechanism	241
6.6.1.3 Effective Friction Angle Impact on Ultimate Bearing Stress	244
6.6.1.4 Effective Friction Angle Impact on Ultimate Unit Skin Friction	244
6.6.1.5 Size of Plastic Bulb below Pile Base	245
6.7 Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient (K_0) Effect on Large Diameter Bored Pile Behavior	247
6.7.1 Analysis and Results	248
6.7.1.1 Effect of Soil Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient (K_0) on Pile Load- Settlement	248
6.7.1.2 Effect of Soil Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient (K_0) on Pile Load Transfer Mechanism	249
6.8 Soil Young's Modulus (E_s) Effect on the Behavior of Large Diameter Bored Pile	252
6.8.1 Analysis and Results	253
6.8.1.1 Effect of Soil Young's Modulus (E_s) on Pile Load- Settlement	253
6.8.1.2 Effect of Soil Young's Modulus (E_s) on Pile Load Transfer Mechanism	255
6.9 Dilatancy Angle (ψ) Effect on Large Diameter Bored Pile Behavior	258
6.9.1 Analysis and Results	259
6.9.1.1 Relation Between Soil Dilatancy Angle (ψ) and Pile Load- Settlement	259
6.9.1.2 Soil Dilatancy Angle (ψ) Impact on Pile Load Transfer Mechanism	261
6.9.1.3 Dilatancy Angle (ψ) Impact on Ultimate Unit Skin Friction	263
6.10 Summary of the Parametric study	265