



Cairo University

A VISSIM SIMULATION APPROACH TO ENHANCE LEVEL OF SERVICE OF CLOVERLEAF INTERCHANGES AT GREATER CAIRO

By

Ahmed Nabil Hafez Mohamed

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
CIVIL ENGINEERING - PUBLIC WORKS

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

A VISSIM SIMULATION APPROACH TO ENHANCE LEVEL OF SERVICE OF CLOVERLEAF INTERCHANGES AT GREATER CAIRO

By

Ahmed Nabil Hafez Mohamed

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Civil Engineering - Public Works

Under the Supervision of

**Prof. Dr. Ahmed El Badawy Taha
Abdelmegeed**

Prof. Dr. Azza Mostafa Saied

.....
Professor of Transportation
Department of Public Works
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

.....
Professor of Transportation
Department of Public Works
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

**Associate Prof. Ahmed El Sayed
Hassan**

.....
Associate Professor of Transportation
Department of Public Works
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

**A VISSIM SIMULATION APPROACH TO ENHANCE
LEVEL OF SERVICE OF CLOVERLEAF
INTERCHANGES AT GREATER CAIRO**

By
Ahmed Nabil Hafez Mohamed

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Civil Engineering - Public Works

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Ahmed El-Badawy Taha Abdelmegeed, Thesis Main Advisor
Cairo University Faculty of Engineering

Associate Prof. Ahmed El-Sayed Hassan, Advisor
Cairo University Faculty of Engineering

Prof. Dr. Ehab Ahmed Fahmy Al khodary, External Examiner
Cairo University Faculty of Computers and Information

Prof. Dr. Mostafa Amin Abo-Hashema, External Examiner
Fayoum University Faculty of Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

Engineer's Name: Ahmed Nabil Hafez
Date of Birth: 2/8/1990
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: anhafz@gmail.com
Phone: 01007385160
Address: 6 October – 7th District – villa 9
Registration Date: 1/10/2012
Awarding Date: 2018
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Public Works – Civil Engineering



Supervisors:

Prof. Ahmed El Badawy Taha
Prof. Azza Mostafa Saied
Assoc.Prof. Ahmed El Sayed Hassan

Examiners:

Prof. Ahmed El Badawy Taha (Thesis Main Advisor)
Assoc.Prof. Ahmed El Sayed Hassan (Advisor)
Prof. Ehab Ahmed Fahmy (External Examiner)
Cairo University Faculty of Computers and Information
Prof. Mostafa Amin Abo-Hashema (External Examiner)
Fayoum University Faculty of Engineering

Title of Thesis:

A VISSIM SIMULATION APPROACH TO ENHANCE LEVEL OF SERVICE OF CLOVERLEAF INTERCHANGES AT GREATER CAIRO

Key Words:

Weaving; Ramp Metering; Cloverleaf Interchange; ALINEA

Summary:

This study examines the effect of application of different “Ramp Metering” techniques on selected cloverleaf interchanges in Greater Cairo-Ring Road. Several site investigations and surveys were conducted to determine the geometric characteristics of cloverleaf interchanges of Greater Cairo-Ring Road then these interchanges were grouped based on their characteristics and one interchange was randomly selected from each group. Further site surveys were conducted to collect data about the traffic volumes of the selected interchanges. Using these data, a microsimulation model was developed using VISSIM software to assess the current conditions of traffic operation and the effect of applying different “Ramp Metering” techniques. The results were presented in terms of measures of performance such as level of service, time of delay and average vehicles speeds. Two “Ramp Metering” techniques were applied (fixed rate “Ramp Metering” and ALINEA algorithm “Ramp Metering”). Each technique was applied with different scenarios and parameters.

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my deep thankfulness to Prof. Azza Mostafa Saied and Prof. Ahmed El Badawy Taha for their supervision, guidance, support, and precious comments. My stable trust in their knowledge and experience was my best reason to feel secure and motivated to do my best and learn more.

In addition, I was blessed to work under the supervision of Dr. Ahmed El Sayed. His honest support and priceless comments are very important reasons for the accomplishment of this research work. Moreover, I believe that I have learnt a lot from him on the personal level as well as the scientific one.

Special gratefulness to Prof. Ali Soliman Huzayyein. No written words could ever express my feelings towards her unlimited support and unique kindness. In my eyes, he is the perfect symbol of what every professor should be on the scientific and personal level.

I owe a lot of thanks to my parents, my wife and my brothers who provided me with strong support to accomplish that work.

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	I
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	II
LIST OF TABLES.....	VI
LIST OF FIGURES.....	VII
ABSTRACT	X
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. BACKGROUND.....	1
1.2. PROBLEM STATEMENT	1
1.3. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	3
1.4. THESIS OUTLINE	3
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1. INTRODUCTION	5
2.2. INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS	5
2.2.1. Wireless Communications.....	
2.2.2. Computational Technologies.....	6
2.2.3. Floating Car Data	6
2.2.4. Sensing Technologies.....	8
2.2.5. Inductive loop detection	9
2.2.6. Video vehicle detection	9
2.2.7. Bluetooth detection.....	10
2.2.8. Emergency vehicle notification systems	10
2.2.9. Variable Speed Limits	11
2.2.10. Ramp Metering.....	11
2.3. WEAVING 11	
2.3.1. Previous Research	12
2.3.2. Factors Affecting Weaving	13
2.4. RAMP METERING	16
2.4.1. Ramp Meter Components.....	16
2.4.2. Local Ramp Metering Algorithms	17
2.4.3. Area Wide Ramp Metering Algorithms	18
2.4.4. Previous Research	19
2.4.5. Requirements for a Successful Implementation of Ramp Metering.....	20
2.5. VISSIM SOFTWARE.....	21
2.5.1. History and Applications of VISSIM	21
2.5.2. Model Building Principles.....	22
2.6. SUMMARY 22	
CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....	23
3.1. INTRODUCTION	23

3.2.	SELECTION OF THE STUDY AREA.....	24
3.3.	COLLECTION OF FIELD DATA.....	24
3.4.	DEVELOPMENT OF THE MICRO-SIMULATION MODEL.....	24
3.5.	ASSESSMENT OF CURRENT TRAFFIC CONDITIONS.....	25
3.6.	APPLYING RAMP METERING TECHNIQUES.....	26
3.7.	RESULTS ANALYSIS	27
CHAPTER 4: COLLECTION OF TRAFFIC AND GEOMETRIC DATA.....		28
4.1.	INTRODUCTION	28
4.2.	SITE SELECTION AND DESCRIPTION	28
4.3.	GEOMETRIC CHARACTERISTICS	34
4.4.	TRAFFIC DATA.....	37
CHAPTER 5: SIMULATION TOOL AND ITS MAIN PARAMETERS		41
5.1.	INTRODUCTION	41
5.2.	VISSIM OVERVIEW.....	41
5.3.	VISSIM INPUT DATA	42
5.3.1.	Network Geometry.....	42
5.3.2.	Links and Connectors.....	42
5.3.3.	Vehicle Types and Traffic Compositions.....	43
5.3.4.	Speed Distributions	44
5.3.5.	Vehicle Inputs and Routes.....	45
5.4.	VISSIM OUTPUTS	46
5.4.1.	Link Evaluation	46
5.4.2.	Node Evaluation.....	46
CHAPTER 6: INTERCHANGE MEASURES OF PERFORMANCE		
EXPERIMENT DESIGN.....		48
6.1.	INTRODUCTION	48
6.2.	EXPERIMENT DESIGN	48
6.3.	MEASURES OF PERFORMANCE	48
CHAPTER 7: ASSESSMENT OF INTERCHANGES TRAFFIC OPERATION :		
CURRENT CONDITIONS.....		51
7.1.	INTRODUCTION	51
7.2.	FULL CLOVERLEAF WITH SHORT WEAVING LENGTH.....	51
7.3.	FULL CLOVERLEAF WITH LONG WEAVING LENGTH.....	54
7.4.	PARTIAL CLOVERLEAF WITH SHORT WEAVING LENGTH	57
7.5.	PARTIAL CLOVERLEAF WITH LONG WEAVING LENGTH.....	59
CHAPTER 8: ASSESSMENT OF INTERCHANGES TRAFFIC OPERATION :		
FIXED RATE RAMP METERING		61
8.1.	INTRODUCTION	61
8.2.	FULL CLOVERLEAF WITH SHORT WEAVING LENGTH.....	61
8.2.1.	Applying Metering Rate of 300 vph / lane.....	61
8.2.2.	Applying Metering Rate of 450 vph / lane.....	63

8.2.3.	Applying Metering Rate of 600 vph / lane	64
8.3.	FULL CLOVERLEAF WITH LONG WEAVING LENGTH.....	65
8.3.1.	Applying Metering Rate of 300 vph / lane	65
8.3.2.	Applying Metering Rate of 450 vph / lane	67
8.3.3.	Applying Metering Rate of 600 vph / lane	68
8.4.	PARTIAL CLOVERLEAF WITH SHORT WEAVING LENGTH	69
8.4.1.	Applying Metering Rate of 300 vph / lane	69
8.4.2.	Applying Metering Rate of 450 vph / lane	71
8.4.3.	Applying Metering Rate of 600 vph / lane	72
8.5.	PARTIAL CLOVERLEAF WITH LONG WEAVING LENGTH.....	73
8.5.1.	Applying Metering Rate of 300 vph / lane	73
8.5.2.	Applying Metering Rate of 450 vph / lane	75
8.5.3.	Applying Metering Rate of 600 vph / lane	76
CHAPTER 9: ASSESSMENT OF INTERCHANGES TRAFFIC OPERATION :		
ALINEA RAMP METERING		77
9.1.	INTRODUCTION	77
9.2.	FULL CLOVERLEAF WITH SHORT WEAVING LENGTH.....	77
9.2.1.	Applying Optimum Occupancy of 8%	77
9.2.2.	Applying Optimum Occupancy of 14%	79
9.2.3.	Applying Optimum Occupancy of 27%	80
9.3.	FULL CLOVERLEAF WITH LONG WEAVING LENGTH.....	81
9.3.1.	Applying Optimum Occupancy of 8%	81
9.3.2.	Applying Optimum Occupancy of 14%	83
9.3.3.	Applying Optimum Occupancy of 27%	84
9.4.	PARTIAL CLOVERLEAF WITH SHORT WEAVING LENGTH	85
9.4.1.	Applying Optimum Occupancy of 8%	85
9.4.2.	Applying Optimum Occupancy of 14%	87
9.4.3.	Applying Optimum Occupancy of 27%	88
9.5.	PARTIAL CLOVERLEAF WITH LONG WEAVING LENGTH.....	89
9.5.1.	Applying Optimum Occupancy of 8%	89
9.5.2.	Applying Optimum Occupancy of 14%	91
9.5.3.	Applying Optimum Occupancy of 27%	92
CHAPTER 10: COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT SCENARIOS OF RAMP		
METERING APPLICATION.....		93
10.1.	INTRODUCTION	93
10.2.	COMPARISON METHODOLOGY	93
10.3.	COMPARISON BETWEEN APPLYING FIXED RATE RAMP METERING AND CURRENT CONDITIONS	94
10.4.	COMPARISON BETWEEN APPLYING ALINEA RAMP METERING AND CURRENT CONDITION.....	96
10.5.	COMPARISON BETWEEN APPLYING FIXED RATE AND ALINEA RAMP METERING.....	98
10.6.	COMPARISON BETWEEN APPLYING FIXED RATE AND ALINEA RAMP METERING FOR FUTURE DEMAND	100

CHAPTER 11: SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.	102
11.1. SUMMARY	102
11.2. CONCLUSIONS.....	103
11.3. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER WORK.....	103
REFERENCES	104

List of Tables

Table 4.1: Grouping based on the configuration	31
Table 4.2: Weaving Lengths of the cloverleaf interchanges	32
Table 4.3: Final Grouping of the Interchanges	33
Table 6.1: Scenario Matrix for different simulation runs	49
Table 6.2: HCM 2010 Assessment of LOS Based on Density	50
Table 7.1: Densities and LOS of the 1 st interchange	52
Table 7.2: Densities and LOS of the 2 nd interchange	55
Table 8.1: WS14 densities and los values for each metering rate	62
Table 8.2: WS21 densities and los values for each metering rate	65
Table 8.3: WS14 densities and los values for each metering rate	69
Table 8.4: WS14 densities and los values for each metering rate	73
Table 9.1: WS21 densities and los values for each optimum occupancy	78
Table 9.2: WS14 densities and los values for each optimum occupancy	81
Table 9.3: WS14 densities and los values for each optimum occupancy	85
Table 9.4: WS41 densities and los values for each optimum occupancy	89
Table 10.1: t test parameters for fixed and current comparison	95
Table 10.2: t test parameters for ALINEA and current comparison	96
Table 10.3: t test parameters for fixed and ALINEA comparison	98
Table 10.4: t test parameters for fixed and ALINEA comparison for future demand ..	100

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Weaving at Cloverleaf Interchanges	2
Figure 1.2: Example of a ramp meter	2
Figure 2.1: ITS graphical user interface for a highway network.....	5
Figure 2.3: Example of a floating car mechanism.....	7
Figure 2.4: Example of inductive loop	9
Figure 2.5: Formation of a weaving segment	12
Figure 2.6: Type A weaving configuration	14
Figure 2.7: Type B weaving configuration.....	15
Figure 2.8: Type C weaving configuration.....	15
Figure 2.9: Measuring the length of a weaving segment.....	16
Figure 2.10: Typical schematic diagram of ramp metering [18].....	17
Figure 3.1: Flow chart describing the methodology.....	23
Figure 3.2: an example of model at VISSIM software.....	25
Figure 3.3: level of Service determination using HCM 2010	25
Figure 4.1: An example of weaving at a cloverleaf interchange	28
Figure 4.2: Locations of Cloverleaf Interchanges at Greater Cairo	29
Figure 4.3: an example of full cloverleaf interchange.....	30
Figure 4.4: an example of partial cloverleaf interchange	30
Figure 4.4: The measurement of weaving length	31
Figure 4.5: measuring weaving length using Google Earth	32
Figure 4.6: Satellite photo for Ring Road and 26 July Corridor	35
Figure 4.7: Satellite photo for Ring Road and Suez Road.....	35
Figure 4.8: Satellite photo for Ring Road and Alex. Agr. Road	36
Figure 4.9: Satellite photo for Ring Road and Kornesh El Maadi	36
Figure 4.10: Traffic Counts Form.....	38
Figure 4.11: Data Collection Points of Ring Road vs 26 July Corridor.....	39
Figure 4.12: Data Collection Points of Ring Road vs Suez Road.....	39
Figure 4.13: Data Collection Points of Ring Road vs 26 Alex Agr. Road.....	40
Figure 4.14: Data Collection Points of Ring Road vs Kornesh El Maadi	40
Figure 5.1: Editing link characteristics in VISSIM	42
Figure 5.2: Study network on Google Earth background.....	43
Figure 5.3: Defining Vehicle Type in VISSIM	44
Figure 5.4: Example of Speed Distribution in VISSIM	45
Figure 5.5: Defining Reduced Speed Area in VISSIM	45
Figure 5.6: Example of Link Evaluation Result	46
Figure 5.7: Example of Node Evaluation Polygon.....	47
Figure 5.8: Example of Node Evaluation Result.....	47
Figure 7.1: Denotation of sections of the first interchange	52
Figure 7.2: Density results of the first interchange	53
Figure 7.3: Delay results of the first interchange	53
Figure 7.4: Speed results of the first interchange	53
Figure 7.6: Density results of the second interchange.....	55
Figure 7.7: Delay results of the second interchange.....	56
Figure 7.8: Speed results of the second interchange	56
Figure 7.9: Denotation of sections of the third interchange	57

Figure 7.10: Density results of the third interchange	58
Figure 7.11: Delay results of the third interchange	58
Figure 7.12: Speed results of the third interchange	58
Figure 7.13: Denotation of sections of the fourth interchange	59
Figure 7.14: Density results of the fourth interchange	60
Figure 7.15: Delay results of the fourth interchange	60
Figure 7.16: Speed results of the fourth interchange.....	60
Figure 8.1: Densities of 1 st interchange at 300 vph metering rate	62
Figure 8.2: Delay of 1 st interchange at 300 vph metering rate	62
Figure 8.3: Speeds of 1 st interchange at 300 vph metering rate	62
Figure 8.4: Densities of 1 st interchange at 450 vph metering rate	63
Figure 8.5: Delay of 1 st interchange at 450 vph metering rate	63
Figure 8.6: Speeds of 1 st interchange at 450 vph metering rate	63
Figure 8.7: Densities of 1 st interchange at 600 vph metering rate	64
Figure 8.8: Delay of 1 st interchange at 600 vph metering rate	64
Figure 8.9: Speeds of 1 st interchange at 600 vph metering rate	64
Figure 8.10: Densities of 2 nd interchange at 300 vph metering rate	66
Figure 8.11: Delay of 2 nd interchange at 300 vph metering rate	66
Figure 8.12: Speeds of 2 nd interchange at 300 vph metering rate.....	66
Figure 8.13: Densities of 2 nd interchange at 450 vph metering rate	67
Figure 8.14: Delay of 2 nd interchange at 450 vph metering rate	67
Figure 8.15: Speeds of 2 nd interchange at 450 vph metering rate.....	67
Figure 8.17: Delay of 2 nd interchange at 600 vph metering rate	68
Figure 8.18: Speeds of 2 nd interchange at 600 vph metering rate.....	68
Figure 8.19: Densities of 3 rd interchange at 300 vph metering rate	70
Figure 8.20: Delay of 3 rd interchange at 300 vph metering rate.....	70
Figure 8.21: Speeds of 3 rd interchange at 300 vph metering rate	70
Figure 8.22: Densities of 3 rd interchange at 450 vph metering rate	71
Figure 8.23: Delay of 3 rd interchange at 450 vph metering rate.....	71
Figure 8.24: Speeds of 3 rd interchange at 450 vph metering rate	71
Figure 8.25: Densities of 3 rd interchange at 600 vph metering rate	72
Figure 8.26: Delay of 3 rd interchange at 600 vph metering rate.....	72
Figure 8.27: Speeds of 3 rd interchange at 600 vph metering rate	72
Figure 8.28: Densities of 4 th interchange at 300 vph metering rate.....	74
Figure 8.29: Delay of 4 th interchange at 300 vph metering rate	74
Figure 8.30: Speeds of 4 th interchange at 300 vph metering rate	74
Figure 8.31: Densities of 4 th interchange at 450 vph metering rate.....	75
Figure 8.32: Delay of 4 th interchange at 450 vph metering rate	75
Figure 8.33: Speeds of 4 th interchange at 450 vph metering rate	75
Figure 8.34: Densities of 4 th interchange at 600 vph metering rate.....	76
Figure 8.35: Delay of 4 th interchange at 600 vph metering rate	76
Figure 8.36: Speeds of 4 th interchange at 600 vph metering rate	76
Figure 9.1: Densities of 1 st interchange at .08 optimum occupancy.....	78
Figure 9.2: Delay of 1 st interchange at .08 optimum occupancy	78
Figure 9.3: Speeds of 1 st interchange at .08 optimum occupancy	78
Figure 9.4: Densities of 1 st interchange at .14 optimum occupancy.....	79
Figure 9.5: Delay of 1 st interchange at .14 optimum occupancy	79
Figure 9.6: Speeds of 1 st interchange at .14 optimum occupancy	79
Figure 9.7: Densities of 1 st interchange at .27 optimum occupancy.....	80
Figure 9.8: Delay of 1 st interchange at .27 optimum occupancy	80

Figure 9.9: Speeds of 1 st interchange at .27 optimum occupancy	80
Figure 9.10: Densities of 2 nd interchange at .08 optimum occupancy.....	82
Figure 9.11: Delay of 2 nd interchange at .08 optimum occupancy	82
Figure 9.12: Speeds of 2 nd interchange at .08 optimum occupancy	82
Figure 9.13: Densities of 2 nd interchange at .14 optimum occupancy.....	83
Figure 9.14: Delay of 2 nd interchange at .14 optimum occupancy	83
Figure 9.15: Speeds of 2 nd interchange at .14 optimum occupancy	83
Figure 9.16: Densities of 2 nd interchange at .27 optimum occupancy.....	84
Figure 9.17: Delay of 2 nd interchange at .27 optimum occupancy	84
Figure 9.18: Speeds of 2 nd interchange at .27 optimum occupancy	84
Figure 9.19: Densities of 3 rd interchange at .08 optimum occupancy	86
Figure 9.20: Delay of 3 rd interchange at .08 optimum occupancy	86
Figure 9.21: Speeds of 3 rd interchange at .08 optimum occupancy.....	86
Figure 9.22: Densities of 3 rd interchange at .14 optimum occupancy	87
Figure 9.23: Delay of 3 rd interchange at .14 optimum occupancy	87
Figure 9.24: Speeds of 3 rd interchange at .14 optimum occupancy.....	87
Figure 9.25: Densities of 3 rd interchange at .27 optimum occupancy	88
Figure 9.26: Delay of 3 rd interchange at .27 optimum occupancy	88
Figure 9.27: Speeds of 3 rd interchange at .27 optimum occupancy.....	88
Figure 9.28: Densities of 4 th interchange at .08 optimum occupancy	90
Figure 9.29: Delay of 4 th interchange at .08 optimum occupancy.....	90
Figure 9.30: Speeds of 4 th interchange at .08 optimum occupancy.....	90
Figure 9.31: Densities of 4 th interchange at .14 optimum occupancy	91
Figure 9.32: Delay of 4 th interchange at .14 optimum occupancy.....	91
Figure 9.33: Speeds of 4 th interchange at .14 optimum occupancy.....	91
Figure 9.34: Densities of 4 th interchange at .27 optimum occupancy	92
Figure 9.35: Delay of 4 th interchange at .27 optimum occupancy.....	92
Figure 9.36: Speeds of 4 th interchange at .27 optimum occupancy.....	92
Figure 10.1: accept and reject criteria at t test.....	94

Abstract

Urban freeway demand that frequently exceeds capacity has caused Transportation agencies to consider many options to reduce congestion. A series of solutions that falls under the Active Traffic Management (ATM) banner have shown promising potential. Perhaps the most popular ATM strategy is “Ramp Metering”. This strategy involves limiting the access of vehicles to freeways at an entrance ramp. Accordingly, freeway throughput, speeds, and travel time reliability may be increased. In addition, the number of traffic incidents may be decreased.

This study examines the effect of application of different “Ramp Metering” techniques on selected cloverleaf interchanges in Greater Cairo-Ring Road. Several site investigations and surveys were conducted to determine the geometric characteristics of cloverleaf interchanges of Greater Cairo-Ring Road then these interchanges were grouped based on their characteristics and one interchange was randomly selected from each group. Further site surveys were conducted to collect data about the traffic volumes of the selected interchanges. Using these data, a microsimulation model was developed using VISSIM software to assess the current conditions of traffic operation and the effect of applying different “Ramp Metering” techniques. The results were presented in terms of measures of performance such as level of service, time of delay and average vehicles speeds. Two “Ramp Metering” techniques were applied (fixed rate “Ramp Metering” and ALINEA algorithm “Ramp Metering”). Each technique was applied with different scenarios and parameters.

The results of this study showed that applying ramp metering at the entrance of loops of cloverleaf interchanges could reduce the interchange average delay, increase average vehicles speeds and reduce the confliction between the vehicles of the on-ramp and the vehicles of the main stream. It is worth mentions that application of ALINEA “Ramp Metering” shows more efficient performance measures than that for Fixed Rate.

Chapter 1 : Introduction

1.1. Background

In the recent decades, demand for travel is continuously increasing worldwide with different growth rates based on several factors as economy growth, car ownership etc. Also, the demand growth rate is higher within metropolitan areas, particularly urban areas, where most of the economic activities are located. The growth rate of the demand result in a significant effect on the road networks which their capacities growth cannot meet the increasing demand. Consequently, motorways, which are usually expected to provide a level of service and a mobility higher than those of other road types in urban streets, are experiencing extensive daily traffic congestion and often reaches stop-and-go state during peak periods. In addition, adding capacity of road infrastructure is not always an available option due to various social, spatial, financial and environmental constraints.

For this respect, alternative techniques are used to mitigate the effect of the growing demand on the performance of the road networks (i.e. Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS)). ITS technologies aim at optimal utilization of available infrastructure by incorporating distributed control and coordination system to provide a safer, efficient and reliable transportation system. In Cairo, many corridors with high speed limit and capacity carry large traffic volumes such as Ring Road, Autostrad, Regional Ring Road, 26th corridor, etc. The Ring Road is the most important corridor in Cairo because of it has a total length of 110 km, carries around 140,000 vehicles per day and has a higher rate of accidents compared to similar size roads. This research aims to investigate the effect of operating ITS for improving the motorways LOS by regulating the traffic at the interchange.

Intelligent transport systems vary in technologies applied from basic management systems such as car navigation, traffic signal control systems, container management systems, variable message signs, automatic number plate recognition or speed cameras to monitor applications such as security CCTV systems and to more advanced applications that integrate live data and feedback from a number of other sources such as parking guidance and information systems.

Ramp metering (RM) is an important Intelligent Transportation System tool which aims at improving performance of motorway systems. RM is widely used all around the world to regulate on-ramp traffic and is commonly regarded as one of the most direct and efficient countermeasures to mitigate traffic congestion on motorways (Papageorgiou, 2003). RM improves performance of motorways by regulating on-ramp flow to keep mainline flow under its capacity.

1.2. Problem Statement

A major congestion problem could be identified from the above context where high interflow between the intersecting highways at the cloverleaf interchange. Thus, the weaving segment at the interchange LOS reduces resulting in high traffic density, lower speed and increasing travel time. This research aims at mitigating the congestion effects on weaving sections at cloverleaf interchanges in Cairo region using the ramp metering