

WATER USE EFFICIENCY OF SOYBEAN UNDER DIFFERENT TILLAGE AND IRRIGATION METHODS

By

WAFAA MAHMOUD HADDAD

B.Sc. Agric.Sci. (Soil Science), Fac. Agric.,Cairo Univ., 2000

THESIS

**Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of**

MASTER OF SCIENCE

In

**Agricultural Sciences
(Soil Science)**

**Department of Soil Science
Faculty of Agriculture
Cairo University
EGYPT**

2018

APPROVAL SHEET

Water Use Efficiency of Soybean under Different Tillage and Irrigation Methods

M.Sc.Thesis

By

WAFFA MAHMOUD HADDAD

B.Sc. Agric.Sci. (Soil Science), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 2000

.

APPROVAL COMMITTEE

Dr. El-Sayed Mahmoud El- Hadidy.....
Professor of Soil Science, Fac.Agric., Mansoura University.

Dr.Ali Mohamed Ahmad El- Nagar.....
Assistant Professor of Soil Science, Fac.Agric., Cairo University.

Dr.Manal Abo El-matty El-Nady.....
Professor of Soil Science, Fac.Agric., Cairo University.

Dr. Taha Ismail Hussein Borham.....
Assistant Professor of Soil Sciences, Fac. Agric., Cairo University.

Date: 30/ 6/2018

SUPERVISION SHEET

**WATER USE EFFICIENCY OF SOYBEAN UNDER
DIFFERENT TILLAGE AND IRRIGATION
METHODS**

M.Sc.Thesis

By

WAFAA MAHMOUD HADDAD

B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Soil Science), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 2000

SUPERVISION COMMITTEE

DR. MANAL ABO EL-MATTY EL-NADY

Pro.of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University.

DR. TAHA ISMAIL HUSSEIN BORHAM

Assistant Pro.of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University.

DR. MOHAMMED IBRAHEM MELEHA

**Pro.of Soil Science, Water Management Research Institute, National
Water Research Center, Ministry of Water Resources and Irrigation.**

Name of Candidate: Wafaa Mohamed Haddad **Degree:** M.Sc.
Title of Thesis: Water Use Efficiency of Soybean Under Different
Tillage and Irrigation Methods
Supervisors: Prof.Dr. Manal Abo El-matty El-Nady,
Dr. Taha Ismail Borham
Prof.Dr. Mohammed Ebrahim Meleha
Department: Soil Sciences
Branch: Soil physics and water relationships Approval: 30/6/ 2018

ABSTRACT

Two field studies were carried out during two growing seasons of 2012 and 2013 at the Water Requirements Research Station, Water Management Research Institute, Kafer El-Sheikh Governorate. The study aimed to compare two irrigation methods, surge (SI) and alternate (AI) with traditional furrow irrigation method (FI) in relation to two tillage systems, conventional (CT) and no-tillage (NT) on water use efficiency and crop yield production of soybean. In both seasons, the lowest amount of irrigation water was applied with AI treatments, whereas, the highest amount of irrigation water was applied with FI treatments. The irrigation water amounts were saved by using AI and SI methods. The AI and SI saved about 29.0 % and 15.0 % of water applied in comparison with FI under CT and NT systems. Surge and alternative irrigation prove to be water saving methods. Irrigation water productivity (IWP) and crop water use efficiency (CWUE) were higher when applying AI compared to SI and FI under both tillage systems. Surge irrigation had the potential to improve irrigation application efficiency (IAE) followed by AI compared to FI. The highest seed yield and yield attributes were obtained with FI followed by SI and AI in both seasons, respectively. Significant differences in seed yield, yield attributes and plant growth characteristics were found only between FI and AI under CT and NT. The SI surpasses the AI in obtaining higher seed yield, yield attributes without any significant reduction of soybean yield. These results suggesting that an extra irrigation water amount may be saved without any significant loss in yield of soybean when applying SI method under both tillage systems. The results also showed the effect of CT on reducing applied water and increasing irrigation water productivity and crop water consumptive use compared to NT. However, no significant difference between the two tillage systems in yield and yield attributes was found. Values of ET_c should be adjusted according to the used irrigation and tillage methods. The crop water stress index (CWSI) has proven to be a good indicator for monitoring and quantifying water stress of soybean with different irrigation methods and tillage systems. Economically, the highest net return was obtained under CT with (FI). Whereas, the highest net return from water unit was obtained with (AI) as compared to (SI) and (FI) under both tillage systems.

Key word: Surge irrigation, Alternative irrigation, Furrow irrigation, Conventional tillage, No-tillage, Water productivity, Crop water use efficiency, Crop water requirements, Yield, Yield attributes, Soybean

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, thanks to God ... This effort is dedicated to soul of my parents and my uncle Abd Ellah who encouraged me so much to do this work. The author wishes, to express her deep gratitude to Prof. Dr. Manal A. El Nady, Prof. of Soil Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Dr. Taha El-Borham, Assistant Prof. of Soil Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University and Prof. Dr. Mohamed Meleha, water Management Research Institute Kafer El-Sheikh Governorate for their support at personal and scientifically levels, valuable advises, Kindness, continuous encouragement, revision and supervision. I wish also express my deep Thanks to Eng. Hoda Selim, General Director of cost recovery of Irrigation Improvement Sector, Ministry of Water Resources and Irrigation for her supported personal and scientifically levels, continues encouragement. Thanks are also extended to my colleagues for their encouragement and help in this work.

At last, I'd like to thank my brother Dr. Ahmed and my children, Mennat Allah, Mohamed and Rawda for their patience and unlimited support.

CONTENTS

1-INTRODUCTION	1
2-REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
1. Effect of tillage	3
2. Irrigation methods	5
a. Furrow irrigation method	6
b. Surge irrigation method	6
c. Alternating furrow irrigation method	8
3. Effect of tillage and irrigation methods on soybean yield	9
3-MATERIALS AND METHODS	11
1. Experimental site	11
2. Experimental design and general preparations	11
3. Soil sampling	13
4. Laboratory determinations	13
5. Tillage treatments	14
6. Irrigation treatments	15
7. Planting and fertilization regimes	16
8. Reference evapotranspiration (ET _o)	16
9. Irrigation water applied (IWA)	17
10. Water consumptive use (WCU)	18
11. Irrigation water productivity (IWP)	19
12. Crop water use efficiency (CWUE)	19
13. Water stored at the root zone (W _s)	20
14. Irrigation application efficiency (IAE)	20
15. Crop coefficient (K _c)	20
16. Crop water requirement (ET _c)	21
17. Crop water stress index (CWSI)	21
18. Harvesting	21
19. Economic evaluation	22
20. Statistical analysis	23
4-RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	24
1. Physical properties of the soil experimental site	24
2. Chemical properties of the soil experimental site	26
3. Reference evapotranspiration (ET _o)	27
4. Irrigation water applied (IWA)	29
5. Water consumptive use (WCU)	37
6. Irrigation water productivity (IWP)	45
7. Crop water use efficiency (CWUE)	47

8. Water stored in the root zone (WS)	50
9.Irrigation application efficiency (IAE).....	54
10. Yield of soybean	55
11. Plant growth parameters.....	58
a. plant hight	58
b. shoot weight	59
12.Yield attributes	61
a. Number of pods.....	61
b. 100-seed weight	62
13. Crop coefficients (Kc).....	63
14. Crop water requirement (ETc)	64
15. Crop water stress index (CWSI)	66
16. Economic evaluation	68
5-SUMMARY	71
6-REFERENCES	75
Arabic Summary	

