

# Biological studies on some aquatic crustaceans as related to culture.

A Thesis Submitted BY

#### Ahmed Nasr Mohammed Al- Abssawy

Assistant lecture of Marine Biology and Fishes Branch, Zoology Department, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Cairo.

To
Zoology Department
Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University
For the Award of Ph.D. Degree in Zoology

Supervised by

**Prof. Dr. Khalid A. El-Damhogy**Professor of invertebrate, Marine
Biology and Fishes Branch, Zoology
Department, Faculty of Science, AlAzhar University, Cairo.

Prof. Dr. Mohamed A. Zaki
Professor of Fish Husbandry and
Head of Animal and Fish
Production Department, Faculty
of Agriculture, Alexandria
University.

#### Dr. Amr M. Nasef

Lecture of Marine Ecology, Marine Biology and Fishes Branch, Zoology Department, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Cairo.

Cairo **2014** 

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

Firstly and lastly, all praise is to **ALLAH**, AL-Raof AL-Raheem, without his mercy and guidance this work has been neither started nor completed. I thank ALLAH as much as the heavens and earth and what is in between or behind for all gifts, which he has given to me.

Words cannot express my profound gratitude to *Prof. Dr. Khalid A. El-Damhogy*, Professor of Invertebrate in Marine Biology and Fishes Sector, Zoology Department, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, for suggesting the point and principal role in planning this work, helpful guidance, invaluable suggestions, contractive criticism and for critical reading of the manuscript. his guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis.

Also words cannot express my profound gratitude to *Prof. Dr. Mohamed A. Zaki*, Professor of Fish Husbandry and head of Animal and fish Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture (Shatby), Alexandria University, for suggesting the point and principal role in planning this work, helpful guidance, invaluable suggestions, contractive criticism and for critical reading of the manuscript. his guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this work.

My sincere thanks also to *Prof. Dr. Atef A. El-Hela*, Professor and Head of Pharmacognosy Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Al-Azhar University, Cairo for his remarkable assistance as well as giving me much experience value advice, continuous encouragement and for the facilities he has kindly supplied.

The Author is also particularly grateful to *Dr. Amr M. Nasef*; Lecture of Marine Ecology in Marine Biology and Fishes Sector, Zoology Department, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar

University, Cairo. Many thanks are due to all staffs of Zoology Department, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University for their encouragement. Thanks are also due to several colleagues and friends in the same department.

Lastly, I would like to record my deepest gratitude to my family for giving me all support needed to complete this modest work.

Words could not express the feeling of gratitude and respect I carry to Prof. Dr. Awwad Abdo Elsayed; the head of Zoology Department.

# **Contents**

|  | Page  |
|--|-------|
| INTRODUCTION                               | 1     |
| REVIEW OF LITERATURE                       | 9     |
| PRAWN ECOLOGY AND FEEDING HABITS           | 10    |
| LIFE CYCLE                                 | 15    |
| SPAWNING                                   | 16    |
| LARVAE                                     | 18    |
| POST LARVAE                                | 22    |
| ADULT STAGE                                | 25    |
| PROTEIN AND AMINO ACIDS REQUIREMENTE       | 29    |
| LIPID AND FATTY ACIDS REQUIREMENT          | 33    |
| CARBOHYDRATES REQUIREMENT                  | 40    |
| MINERALS REQUIREMENT                       | 42    |
| VITAMINES REQUIREMENT                      | 46    |
| ARTEMIA AS NATURAL FOOD                    | 48    |
| WATER QUALITY                              | 52    |
| PRAWN IMMUNITY AND DISEASE                 | 64    |
| PROBIOTICS AND EXTRACTS                    | 67    |
| POLYCULTURE OF FRESH WATER PRAWN AND TILAP | PIA73 |
| STOCKING DENSITY                           | 77    |

| MATERIAL AND METHODS      | 78  |
|---------------------------|-----|
| FIRST EXPERIMENT          | 78  |
| SECOND EXPERIMENT         | 80  |
| THIRD EXPERIMENT          | 82  |
| FIFTH EXPERIMENT          | 84  |
| EXPERIMENTAL DIETS        | 86  |
| BODY COMPOSITION ANALYSIS | 87  |
| RESULTS                   | 94  |
| FIRST EXPERIMENT          | 94  |
| SECOND EXPERIMENT         | 103 |
| THIRD EXPERIMENT          | 128 |
| FOURTH EXPERIMENT         | 145 |
| FIFTH EXPERIMENT          | 153 |
| DISCUSSION                | 203 |
| SUMMARY                   | 222 |
| REFERENCES                | 232 |
| ARABIC SUMMARY            |     |

# <u>Figures</u>

| Figures Name  | Page |
|---|------|
| Figure (1): GLC analysis of lipoidal matter in peanuts, Arachis   | 100  |
| hypogaea (A).   | 100  |
| Figure (2): GLC analysis of lipoidal matter in sesames, Sesamum   | 101  |
| indicum (B).  | 101  |
| Figure (3): GLC analysis of lipoidal matter in sun flower seeds,  | 102  |
| Helianthus annuus (C).  |      |
| Figure (4): Effect of different plant extracts on average daily gain of   | 108  |
| M. rosenbergii exposed to light.  |      |
| Figure (5): Effect of different plant extracts on specific growth rate of   | 108  |
| M. rosenbergii exposed to light.  |      |
| Figure (6): Effect of different plant extracts on feed intake of <i>M</i> .   | 112  |
| rosenbergii exposed to light.   |      |
| Figure (7): Effect of different plant extracts on protein intake of $M$ .   | 112  |
| rosenbergii exposed to light.   |      |
| Figure (8): Effect of different plant extracts on food conversion ratio of  | 113  |
| <ul><li>M. rosenbergii exposed to light.</li><li>Figure (9): Effect of different plant extracts on protein efficiency ratio</li></ul> |      |
| of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> exposed to light.  | 113  |
| Figure (10): Effect of different plant extracts on protein productive   |      |
| value of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> exposed to light.  | 114  |
| Figure (11): Effect of different plant extracts on energy utilization of  | 114  |
| M. rosenbergii exposed to light.  | 114  |
| Figure (12): Effect of different plant extracts on dry matter of <i>M</i> .   | 117  |
| rosenbergii exposed to light.   |      |
| Figure (13): Effect of different plant extracts on crude protein of <i>M</i> .  | 117  |
| rosenbergii exposed to light.   |      |
| Figure (14): Effect of different plant extracts on ether extract of <i>M</i> .  | 118  |
| rosenbergii exposed to light.   |      |
| Figure (15): Effect of different plant extracts on ash of <i>M. rosenbergii</i>   | 118  |
| exposed to light.   |      |
| Figure (16): Effect of different plant extracts on energy content of <i>M</i> . <i>rosenbergii</i> exposed to light.                  | 119  |
| Figure (17): Effect of different plant extracts on survival rate of <i>M</i> .  |      |
| rosenbergii exposed to light.   | 120  |
| Figure (18): Effect of different plant extracts on average daily gain of  |      |
| M. rosenbergii isolated from light.   | 129  |

| Figure (19): Effect of different plant extracts on specific growth rate of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> isolated from light.         | 129 |
|---|-----|
| Figure (20): Effect of different plant extracts on feed intake of <i>M</i> .  | 130 |
| rosenbergii isolated from light.  | 130 |
| Figure (21): Effect of different plant extracts on protein intake of <i>M</i> .   | 130 |
| rosenbergii isolated from light.  | 130 |
| Figure (22): Effect of different plant extracts on food conversion ratio of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> isolated from light.        | 131 |
| Figure (23): Effect of different plant extracts on protein efficiency ratio of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> isolated from light.     | 131 |
| Figure (24): Effect of different plant extracts on protein productive   |     |
| value of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> isolated from light.   | 132 |
| Figure (25): Effect of different plant extracts on energy utilization of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> isolated from light.           | 132 |
| Figure (26): Effect of different plant extracts on dry matter of <i>M</i> .   | 133 |
| rosenbergii isolated from light.  | 133 |
| Figure (27): Effect of different plant extracts on crude protein of <i>M</i> .  | 133 |
| rosenbergii isolated from light.  |     |
| Figure (28): Effect of different plant extracts on ether extract of <i>M</i> . <i>rosenbergii</i> isolated from light.        | 134 |
| Figure (29): Effect of different plant extracts on ash of <i>M. rosenbergii</i>   | 124 |
| isolated from light.  | 134 |
| Figure (30): Effect of different plant extracts on energy content of <i>M</i> .   | 125 |
| rosenbergii isolated from light.  | 135 |
| Figure (31): Effect of different plant extracts on survival rate of <i>M</i> . <i>rosenbergii</i> isolated from light.        | 136 |
| Figure (32): Effect of different stocking density on gain weight of <i>M</i> .  | 155 |
| rosenbergii in polyculture system.  | 157 |
| Figure (33): Effect of different stocking density on average daily gain of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> in polyculture system.       | 157 |
| Figure (34): Effect of different stocking density on specific growth rate   | 158 |
| of M. rosenbergii in polyculture system.  | 130 |
| Figure (35): Effect of different stocking density on feed intake of <i>M</i> .  | 162 |
| rosenbergii in polyculture system.  |     |
| Figure (36): Effect of different stocking density on protein intake of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> in polyculture system.           | 162 |
| Figure (37): Effect of different stocking density on food conversion  | 163 |
| ratio of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> in polyculture system.   | 103 |
| Figure (38): Effect of different stocking density on protein efficiency ratio of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> in polyculture system. | 163 |
| ratio of m. rosenvergu in poryculture system.   |     |

| Figure (39): Effect of different stocking density on protein productive          | 164 |
|--|-----|
| value of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> in polyculture system.                            | 164 |
| Figure (40): Effect of different stocking density on energy utilization          | 164 |
| of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> in polyculture system.                                  | 104 |
| Figure (41): Effect of different stocking density on dry matter of <i>M</i> .    | 167 |
| rosenbergii in polyculture system.   | 107 |
| Figure (42): Effect of different stocking density on crude protein of <i>M</i> . | 168 |
| rosenbergii in polyculture system.   | 100 |
| Figure (43): Effect of different stocking density on ether extract of <i>M</i> . | 168 |
| rosenbergii in polyculture system.   | 100 |
| Figure (44): Effect of different stocking density on ash of <i>M</i> .           | 169 |
| rosenbergii in polyculture system.   | 109 |
| Figure (45): Effect of different stocking density on energy content of           | 169 |
| M. rosenbergii in polyculture system.  | 109 |
| Figure (46): Effect of different stocking density on survival rate of <i>M</i> . | 171 |
| rosenbergii in polyculture system.   | 1/1 |
| Figure (47): Effect of different stocking density on final weight of Red         | 171 |
| hybrid tilapia in polyculture system.  | 1/1 |
| Figure (48): Effect of different stocking density on gain weight of Red          | 172 |
| hybrid tilapia in polyculture system.  | 172 |
| Figure (49): Effect of different stocking density on average daily gain          | 172 |
| of Red hybrid tilapia in polyculture system.                                     | 172 |
| Figure (50): Effect of different stocking density on specific growth rate        | 173 |
| of Red hybrid tilapia in polyculture system.                                     | 173 |
| Figure (51): Effect of different stocking density on feed intake of Red          | 173 |
| hybrid tilapia in polyculture system.  | 173 |
| Figure (52): Effect of different stocking density on protein intake of           | 174 |
| Red hybrid tilapia in polyculture system.  | 174 |
| Figure (53): Effect of different stocking density on food conversion             | 174 |
| ratio of Red hybrid tilapia in polyculture system.                               | 174 |
| Figure (54): Effect of different stocking density on protein efficiency          | 175 |
| ratio of Red hybrid tilapia in polyculture system.                               | 1/3 |
| Figure (55): Effect of different stocking density on protein productive          | 175 |
| value of Red hybride tilapia in polyculture system.                              | 175 |
| Figure (56): Effect of different stocking density on energy utilization          | 176 |
| of Red hybrid tilapia in polyculture system.                                     | 1/0 |
| Figure (57): Effect of different stocking density on dry matter of Red           | 176 |
| hybrid tilapia in polyculture system.  | 1/0 |
| Figure (58): Effect of different stocking density on crude protein of            | 177 |
| Red hybrid tilapia in polyculture system.  | 1// |

| Figure (59): Effect of different stocking density on ether extract of Red hybrid tilapia in polyculture system.                         | 177 |
|---|-----|
| Figure (60): Effect of different stocking density on ash of Red hybrid tilapia in polyculture system.                                   | 178 |
| Figure (61): Effect of different stocking density on energy content of  |     |
| Red hybrid tilapia in polyculture system.   | 178 |
| Figure (62): Effect of different stocking density on survival rate of Red   | 179 |
| hybrid tilapia in polyculture system.   | 2., |
| Figure (63): Effect of different stocking density on final weight of <i>M</i> .   | 183 |
| rosenbergii and Red tilapia in polyculture system.  |     |
| Figure (64): Effect of different stocking density on gain weight of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> and Red tilapia in polyculture system.        | 183 |
| Figure (65): Effect of different stocking density on average daily gain   |     |
| of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> and Red tilapia in polyculture system.   | 184 |
| Figure (66): Effect of different stocking density on specific growth rate   | 104 |
| of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> and Red tilapia in polyculture system.   | 184 |
| Figure (67): Effect of different stocking density on feed intake of <i>M</i> .  | 188 |
| rosenbergii and Red tilapia in polyculture system.  | 100 |
| Figure (68): Effect of different stocking density on protein intake of <i>M</i> .   | 188 |
| rosenbergii and Red tilapia in polyculture system.  | 100 |
| Figure (69): Effect of different stocking density on food conversion  | 189 |
| ratio of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> and Red tilapia in polyculture system.   | 109 |
| Figure (70): Effect of different stocking density on protein efficiency   | 189 |
| ratio of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> and Red tilapia in polyculture system.   |     |
| Figure (71): Effect of different stocking density on protein productive   | 190 |
| value of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> and Red tilapia in polyculture system.   |     |
| Figure (72): Effect of different stocking density on energy utilization   | 190 |
| of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> and Red tilapia in polyculture system.   |     |
| Figure (73): Effect of different stocking density on dry matter of <i>M</i> . <i>rosenbergii</i> and Red tilapia in polyculture system. | 193 |
| Figure (74): Effect of different stocking density on crude protein of <i>M</i> .  |     |
| rosenbergii and Red tilapia in polyculture system.  | 193 |
| Figure (75): Effect of different stocking density on ether extract of <i>M</i> .  | 104 |
| rosenbergii and Red tilapia in polyculture system.  | 194 |
| Figure (76): Effect of different stocking density on ash of <i>M</i> .  | 104 |
| rosenbergii and Red tilapia in polyculture system.  | 194 |
| Figure (77): Effect of different stocking density on energy content of  | 195 |
| M. rosenbergii and Red tilapia in polyculture system.   | 1/3 |
|   |     |

## <u>Tables</u>

| Table Name   | Page |
|--|------|
| Table (1): Salinity requirement and different time phases need to development for <i>Macrobrachium rosenbergii</i> .   | 19   |
| Table (2): Water quality requirements for freshwater prawn nursery and grow-out facilities.  | 62   |
| Table (3): Percentage composition of the artificial diet consumed by the prawn and fish cultivated in lab experiments.   | 92   |
| Table (4): Dietary levels of minerals per mg/kg in lab experiment.   | 92   |
| Table (5): Dietary levels of vitamins per Kg in lab experiment.  | 93   |
| Table (6): Gas Chromatograph analysis of lipoidal matter of plant extracts.  | 97   |
| Table (7): Qualitative estimation of lipoidal content of plant extracts.   | 98   |
| Table (8): Quantitative estimation of lipoidal content of plant extracts.  | 99   |
| Table (9): The percentage composition of the artificial (tested) diets utilized in the second laboratory experiments.  | 121  |
| Table (10): Effect of different plant extracts on the growth performance of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> , post larva.  | 122  |
| Table (11): Analysis of variance (mean square) of growth performance of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> , post larva fed on diets containing different treatment of plant extracts.                                    | 123  |
| Table (12): Effect of different plant extract treatments on feed and nutrient utilization parameters of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> , post larva.  | 124  |
| Table (13): Analysis of variance (mean square) of feed and nutrient utilization of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> , post larva fed on diets containing different treatment of plant extracts.                         | 125  |
| Table (14): Effect of different plant extract treatments on chemical composition of the whole body parameters of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> , post larva.   | 126  |
| Table (15): Analysis of variance (mean square) of body composition and energy content of the whole body of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> , post larva fed on diets containing different treatment of plant extracts. | 127  |
| Table (16): The percentage composition of the artificial (tested) diets utilized in the third laboratory experiments.  | 137  |
| Table (17): Effect of different plant extract treatments on growth performance parameter of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> , post larva isolated from light.  | 138  |
| Table (18): Analysis of variance (mean square) of growth performance parameter of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> , post larva isolated from light fed on diets containing different treatment of plant extracts.      | 139  |
| Table (19): Effect of different plant extract treatments on feed intake and nutrient utilizations of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> , post larva isolated from light.   | 140  |

| Table (20): Analysis of variance (mean square) of feed and nutrient utilizations of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> , post larva isolated from light and feeding with diets containing different treatment of plant extracts. | 141       |
|---|-----------|
| Table (21): Effect of different plant extract treatments on body  |           |
| composition and energy content of the whole body parameters of $M$ .  | 142       |
| rosenbergii, post larva isolated from light.  |           |
| Table (22): Analysis of variance (mean square) of body composition and  |           |
| energy content of the whole body of M. rosenbergii, post larva isolated   | 143       |
| from light feeding with diets containing different treatment of plant   | 143       |
| extracts.   |           |
| Table (23): survival rate of fresh water prawn, M. rosenbergii, post larva  | 144       |
| with different plant extracts against control in <sup>2</sup> nd and <sup>3</sup> rd experiments.   | 144       |
| Table (24): Antifungal test of (A), (B) and (C) extracts.   | 148       |
| Table (25): Antibacterial test of (A), (B) and (C) extracts.  | 149       |
| Table (26): Effect of five stocking density ratios between red hybrid   |           |
| tilapia (Oreochromis sp.) and fresh water prawn, M. rosenbergii, post   | 196       |
| larva on the growth performance.  |           |
| Table (27): Analysis of variance (mean square) of growth performance  |           |
| parameter of red hybrid tilapia ( <i>Oreochromis sp.</i> ) and fresh water  | 40-       |
| prawn, <i>M. rosenbergii</i> , post larva with different treatments of stocking   | 197       |
| density.  |           |
| Table (28): Effect of five stocking density ratios on feed intake and   |           |
| nutrient utilizations of red hybrid tilapia (Oreochromis sp.) and fresh   | 198       |
| water prawn, M. rosenbergii, post larva.  |           |
| Table (29): Analysis of variance (mean square) of feed and nutrient   |           |
| utilizations of red hybrid tilapia ( <i>Oreochromis sp.</i> ) and fresh water   | 400       |
| prawn, M. rosenbergii, post larva with different treatments of stocking   | 199       |
| density.  |           |
| Table (30): Effect of five stocking density ratios on body composition and  |           |
| energy content of whole body parameters of red hybrid tilapia   | 200       |
| (Oreochromis sp.) and fresh water prawn, M. rosenbergii, post larva.  | _00       |
| Table (31): Analysis of variance (mean square) of body composition of   |           |
| the whole body of red hybrid tilapia ( <i>Oreochromis sp.</i> ) and fresh water   | •04       |
| prawn, <i>M. rosenbergii</i> , post larva with different treatments of stocking   | 201       |
| density.  |           |
| Table (32): survival rate of red hybrid tilapia ( <i>Oreochromis sp.</i> ) and  |           |
| fresh water prawn, M. rosenbergii, post larva with five stocking density  | 202       |
| ratios against control.   | _ <b></b> |
|   |           |

#### **Abbreviations**

A: Peanuts, Arachis hypogaea extract.

ADG: Average Daily Gain.

A.O.V: Analysis Of Variance.

**B**: Sesames, Sesamum indicum extract.

BW: Body Weight.

C: Sun flower seeds, Helianthus annuus extract.

CF: Crude Fiber.

**CP**: Crude Protein.

C.V: Coefficient of Variation.

DM: Dry Matter.

**ECO**: Energy Content.

**EE**: Ether Extract (Crude Fat).

**EU**: Energy Utilization.

**FAO**: Food and Agricultural Organization.

**FDA**: Food and Drug Administration.

FI: Feed Intake.

Fi W: Final Weight.

FW: Final Weight.

FWP: Fresh Water Prawn.

**GC**: Gas Chromatograph.

**GE**: Growth Energy

GLC: Gas Liquid Chromatograph.

GW: Gain Weight.

In W: Initial Weight.

**Kcal**: Kilo Calories

**L.S.D**: Least Significant Differences.

M. rosenbergii: Macrobrachium rosenbergii.

NA: No Activity.

**NFE**: Nitrogen Free Extract (Carbohydrate).

**P**: Prawns.

(**P<0.05**): Probability.

PI: Protein Intake.

PER: Protein Efficiency Ratio.

**P/E**: Protein/ Energy Ratio.

**PL**: Post Larvae.

**PPV**: Protein Productive Value.

**RCMB**: Regional Center for Mycology and Biotechnology antimicrobial unit test organisms.

**RT**: Red hybrid Tilapia (*Oreochromis sp*).

SD: Stocking Density.

**SE**: Standard Error.

**SGR**: Specific Growth Rate.

**SR**: Survival Rate.

**TA**: Type of Animal.

TWG: Total Weight Gain.

### **INTRODUCTION**

The fresh water prawn, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (De Man 1879) recorded from tropical and sub tropical waters in most fresh water areas including rivers, lakes, swamps, irrigation ditches, canals and ponds as well as in estuarine areas (Holthis, 1980). It is considered as one of the most important crustacean species produced in inland aquaculture in many tropical and sub-tropical countries (FAO, 2000). This species also is known as the giant river prawn or the Malaysian prawn. It is (as well as other *macrobrachium* species) commercially important owing to its value as food source (Sung *et al.*, 2000 and Sarathi *et al.*, 2008).

Its productivity decline has been reported in some countries and was attributed to inbreeding depression in this species (Mather and de Bruyn, 2003). It was suggested that the declines in productivity in this species is concerned to the industry (Mather and de Bruyn, 2003; Thanh *et al.*, 2009). Thus, growth performance experiments and selective breeding programs were initiated to evaluate the genetic potential for selection of different strains of *M. rosenbergii* (Thanh *et al.*, 2010; Pillai *et al.*, 2011).