

# **Temporalis Muscle Flap Versus Abdominal Dermis- Fat Graft as Replacements after TMJ Discectomy: A Clinical Study**

Research protocol submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements  
for PhD in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery

*Presented by*

**Fatma Wageeh Attia**

BDS 2008 Faculty of Dentistry Ain Shams University  
Msc 2015 in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

*Supervisors*

**Dr/ Mohamed Galal Gamal El-Deen Beheiri**

Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery  
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University

**Dr/Tarek Mohamed El-Zayat**

Professor of radiology  
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University

**Dr/ Mohammed Abd El-Mageed Katamesh**

Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery  
Faculty of dentistry, Ain Shams University

**Dr/ Mostafa Mohamed El- Sayed Taha**

Lecturer of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery  
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

**Dr/Ahmed Mohammed Salah**

Fellow of Maxillofacial surgery  
Ahmed Maher Teaching Hospital

**2018**



I would like to express my special thanks and gratitude to **Dr. Mahmoud El-Shamy**, the professor of Radiology in the Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University, for his efforts and unlimited willingness for help in the radiographic evaluation of the study subjects.

I would also like to express my deep gratitude to my colleagues in Ahmed Maher teaching hospital for their support and help.

# *Dedication*

*This work is dedicated to*

My beloved husband

*My Dear Parents and My Brothers*

Who love me unconditionally, even on  
the days where I made it impossible for  
them to do

My true friends and colleagues for their  
encouragement and cooperation

## Contents

Subjects	Page
• Introduction .....	1
• Review of Literature .....	4
• Aim of the study .....	34
• Patients and methods .....	35
• Results .....	67
• Discussion .....	98
• Summary and Conclusion .....	110
• Recommendations .....	113
• References .....	114
• Arabic Summary	

## **List of Abbreviations**

<b>ADDwor</b>	: Anterior disc derangement/displacement without reduction.
<b>ADDwr</b>	: Anterior disc derangement/displacement with reduction.
<b>ARS</b>	: Anterior repositioning splint.
<b>CBCT</b>	: Cone beam computed tomography.
<b>DJD</b>	: Degenerative joint disease.
<b>EMG</b>	: Electromyography.
<b>Max</b>	: Maximum.
<b>mm</b>	: Millimeter.
<b>mo</b>	: Month.
<b>MRI</b>	: Magnetic resonance image.
<b>NS</b>	: Statistically non-significant.
<b>PD</b>	: Proton density.
<b>RDC/TMD</b>	: Research diagnostic criteria of temporo-mandibular disorders.
<b>Sig.</b>	: Significance.
<b>SS</b>	: Statistically significant.
<b>T1W</b>	: T1 weighted.
<b>T2W</b>	: T2 weighted.
<b>TMDs</b>	: Temporomandibular disorders.
<b>TMJ</b>	: Temporomandibular joint.
<b>VAS</b>	: Visual analog scale.

## **List of Figures**

<b><u>No.</u></b>	<b><u>Figure</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
<b><u>Figure 1</u></b>	Arabic translated history questionnaire form.	<b>51-52</b>
<b><u>Figure 2</u></b>	Clinical examination form.	<b>53-54</b>
<b><u>Figure 3</u></b>	panoramic radiograph of one of the cases excluded from the study.	<b>55</b>
<b><u>Figure 4</u></b>	MRI of a case with ADDwor.	<b>55</b>
<b><u>Figure 5</u></b>	Diagram of (VAS) used as a record for patient's pain pre-and post-operatively.	<b>56</b>
<b><u>Figure 6</u></b>	Informed written consent.	<b>57</b>
<b><u>Figure 7</u></b>	Clinical photograph of endaural skin incision.	<b>58</b>
<b><u>Figure 8</u></b>	Clinical photograph of temporoparietal fascia.	<b>59</b>
<b><u>Figure 9</u></b>	Clinical photograph showing the glistening white deep temporal fascia.	<b>60</b>
<b><u>Figure 10</u></b>	Clinical photograph showing access to the superior joint space.	<b>61</b>
<b><u>Figure 11</u></b>	Clinical photograph showing Wilkes spreader in place.	<b>62</b>
<b><u>Figure 12</u></b>	Clinical photograph of the dissected disc specimen.	<b>63</b>
<b><u>Figure 13</u></b>	Clinical photographs showing steps for harvesting the abdominal dermis fat-graft.	<b>64</b>
<b><u>Figure 14</u></b>	Clinical photographs showing temporalis muscle flap.	<b>65</b>
<b><u>Figure 15</u></b>	Clinical photographs showing wound closure.	<b>66</b>
<b><u>Figure 16</u></b>	Clinical photographs showing cosmetic outcome of the study.	<b>70</b>

<u>No.</u>	<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
<b><u>Figure 17</u></b>	Clinical photographs showing un-changed stable post-operative occlusion.	<b>72</b>
<b><u>Figure 18</u></b>	Clinical photograph showing deranged occlusion.	<b>73</b>
<b><u>Figure 19</u></b>	Bar chart representing comparison of the results of mean VAS pre and postoperatively among study group.	<b>76</b>
<b><u>Figure 20</u></b>	Bar chart representing comparison of the results of mean VAS values pre and postoperatively among control group.	<b>77</b>
<b><u>Figure 21</u></b>	Bar chart representing comparison between the results of VAS values pre and postoperatively between the study and control groups.	<b>78</b>
<b><u>Figure 22</u></b>	Clinical photograph showing mouth opening pre and post-operative.	<b>79</b>
<b><u>Figure 23</u></b>	Bar chart representing comparison between the results of the mean maximum painless mouth opening pre and postoperatively in the study group.	<b>80</b>
<b><u>Figure 24</u></b>	Bar chart representing comparison between the results of the mean maximum painless mouth opening pre and post-operative in the control group.	<b>81</b>
<b><u>Figure 25</u></b>	Bar chart representing pre and post-operative changes in maximum painless mouth opening of the study and control groups.	<b>82</b>
<b><u>Figure 26</u></b>	Clinical photograph showing lateral excursion pre and post-operative.	<b>83</b>
<b><u>Figure 27</u></b>	Bar chart representing comparison between the results of the mean contra lateral excursion pre& postoperatively in the study group.	<b>84</b>

<u>No.</u>	<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
<b><u>Figure 28</u></b>	Bar chart representing comparison between the results of the mean contra lateral excursion pre & postoperatively in the study group.	<b>85</b>
<b><u>Figure 29</u></b>	Bar chart showing comparison in contra lateral excursion pre & post operatively of the study and control groups.	<b>86</b>
<b><u>Figure 30</u></b>	Clinical photograph showing protrusion pre and post-operative.	<b>87</b>
<b><u>Figure 31</u></b>	Bar chart representing Comparison between the results of the mean protrusion pre and postoperatively in the study group.	<b>88</b>
<b><u>Figure 32</u></b>	Bar chart representing comparison between the results of the mean protrusion pre and postoperatively in the control group.	<b>89</b>
<b><u>Figure 33</u></b>	Bar chart showing changes in protrusion pre&post-operative between the study and control groups.	<b>90</b>
<b><u>Figure 34</u></b>	MRI scans showing dermis-fat graft during follow up.	<b>91</b>
<b><u>Figure 35</u></b>	MRI showing temporalis muscle flap during follow up.	<b>92</b>
<b><u>Figure 36</u></b>	MRI showing dermis fat graft filling the joint space from lateral to medial.	<b>93</b>
<b><u>Figure 37</u></b>	MRI showing temporalis muscle flap filling the joint space from lateral to medial.	<b>93</b>
<b><u>Figure 38</u></b>	MRI showing temporalis muscle flap extending to the medial part of the condyle, (B) dermis-fat graft extending to the medial part of the condyle.	<b>94</b>



<u>No.</u>	<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
<b><u>Figure 39</u></b>	Bar chart showing comparison between the study and control groups regarding ability of the tissue to completely fill the joint space and cover the condyle postoperatively.	<b>96</b>
<b><u>Figure 40</u></b>	MRI scan showing osteoarthritic changes.	<b>97</b>

## List of Tables

<u>No.</u>	<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
<b><u>Table 1</u></b>	Study population	<b>68</b>
<b><u>Table 2</u></b>	Comparison between the study group and the control group regarding age of the patients	<b>69</b>
<b><u>Table 3</u></b>	Comparison between the study group and the control group regarding duration of symptoms.	<b>69</b>
<b><u>Table 4</u></b>	Comparison between the study group and the control group regarding post-operative occlusal changes.	<b>72</b>
<b><u>Table 5</u></b>	Clinical findings of the study group.	<b>74</b>
<b><u>Table 6</u></b>	Clinical findings of the control group.	<b>74</b>
<b><u>Table 7</u></b>	Comparison of the results of mean VAS pre and postoperatively among the study group.	<b>75</b>
<b><u>Table 8</u></b>	Comparison of the results of mean VAS values pre and postoperatively among the control group.	<b>76</b>
<b><u>Table 9</u></b>	Comparison between VAS measurements of the study and control groups regarding statistical data.	<b>77</b>
<b><u>Table 10</u></b>	Comparison between the results of the mean maximum painless mouth opening pre and postoperatively in the study group.	<b>79</b>
<b><u>Table 11</u></b>	Comparison between the results of the mean maximum painless mouth opening pre and postoperatively in the control group.	<b>80</b>
<b><u>Table 12</u></b>	Comparison of maximum painless mouth opening between the study and control groups regarding statistical data.	<b>81</b>
<b><u>Table 13</u></b>	Comparison between the results of the mean contra lateral excursion pre& postoperatively in the study group.	<b>83</b>

<u>No.</u>	<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
<b><u>Table 14</u></b>	Comparison between the results of the mean contra lateral excursion pre & postoperatively in the control group.	<b>84</b>
<b><u>Table 15</u></b>	Comparison of lateral excursions between the study and control groups regarding statistical data.	<b>85</b>
<b><u>Table 16</u></b>	Comparison between the results of the mean protrusion pre and postoperatively in the study group.	<b>87</b>
<b><u>Table 17</u></b>	Comparison between the results of the mean protrusion pre and postoperatively in the control group.	<b>88</b>
<b><u>Table 18</u></b>	Comparison of protrusion between the study and control groups post-operative regarding statistical data.	<b>89</b>
<b><u>Table 19</u></b>	Comparison between the study and control groups regarding ability of the tissue to fill the joint space.	<b>95</b>
<b><u>Table 20</u></b>	Descriptive statistics of the study and control groups regarding ability of the replacement tissue to fill the joint space.	<b>95</b>

The Temporomandibular joint (TMJ) is one of the most complex as well as the most used joints in the human body. The main functions of the TMJ are mastication and speech (**Alomar, Medrano, et al., 2007**). It is a joint that connects the mandible to the skull and regulates mandibular movement, a bi-condylar joint in which the condyles located at the two ends of the mandible, function at the same time and articulates with the temporal fossae. Between the condyle and the fossa is a disc that acts as a cushion to absorb stresses and allows the condyle to move easily when the mouth opens and closes (**Ide, Kaji, et al., 1991**).

Temporomandibular disorders (TMDs) is a generic term used for any problem concerning the TMJ. Trauma to the lower jaw, TMJ, or muscles of the head and neck can cause TMDs. Other possible causes include grinding or clenching the teeth, which puts a lot of pressure on the TMJ; displacement of the disc; presence of osteoarthritis or rheumatoid arthritis involving the TMJ; stress, which can cause a person to tighten facial and jaw muscles or clench the teeth; aging. The most common TMJ disorders are pain dysfunction syndrome, internal derangement and arthritis (**Barbick, Dolwick, et al., 2008**).

Treatments for the various TMJ disorders range from physical therapy and non-surgical treatments to numerous surgical procedures. Usually the treatment begins with conservative, non-surgical therapies first, with surgery left as the last option(**J. Okeson, 2007**).

The majority of TMDs patients can be successfully treated by non-surgical therapies and surgical interventions may be required for only a small part of TMD population. All non-surgical treatment options must be exhausted before undertaking the invasive methods for the management of TMDs (**Ingawalé & Goswami, 2009**).

The correct course of treatment may vary, for example: pharmacologic therapy, splints, arthrocentesis, discectomy, or prosthesis. The initial treatment might not work and therefore more intense treatments such as disc replacement may be needed (**Kearns, Perrott, et al., 1995**) .

Discectomy or disc replacement is a surgical treatment, which is performed on individuals with severe anterior disc displacement without reduction (**ADDwor**), to remove the displaced and very often damaged articular disc without going to a more extreme treatment such as a prosthetic joint (**H. Sato, Tominaga, et al., 2005**).

However, removal of the painful pathologic disc causes the reduced absorbency of the TMJ and increased loading during articulation (**H. Sato, Tominaga, et al., 2005**),(**Tanaka, Detamore, et al., 2008**),(**Tanaka, Dalla-Bona, et al., 2006**) .

Although various materials are available as disc replacements such as Teflon proplast, temporalis muscle flap, conchal cartilage, fat graft or dermis-fat graft, there are no ideal inter-positional material that can protect articular cartilage from degenerative changes following discectomy. (**H. Sato, Tominaga, et al., 2005**) Temporalis flaps (**Feinberg & Larsen, 1989**),(**Pogrel & Kaban, 1990**),(**Karasu, Okcu, et al., 2005**) auricular cartilage (**Matukas & Lachner, 1990**),(**Tucker & Watzke, 1991**) and dermis grafts (**Georgiade, 1962**),(**Meyer, 1988**) have been reported with seemingly good results.

The temporalis muscle/fascia flap is the most popular interpositional material for reasons of proximity and ease of use. However, limitation of mouth opening, post-operative muscle pain and osteoarthritis were found in many cases postoperatively(**Pogrel & Kaban, 1990**). In 2004, Dimitroulis (**G. Dimitroulis, 2004**) first reported the use of abdominal dermis-fat grafts in TMJ surgery in eleven patients with TMJ ankylosis. It was found that dermis-fat graft prevented recurrence of ankylosis by preventing bone to bone contact.

Before too much emphasis is placed on such studies, other evidence must be considered and for that the current study was performed.

TMJ, certainly one of the most complex joints in the body. It provides for hinging movement in one plane and therefore can be considered as ginglymoid joint. However, at the same time it also provides for gliding movements, which classifies it as an arthrodial joint. Thus, it has been technically considered a ginglymo-arthrodial joint (**JP. Okeson, 2008**).

The TMJ is formed by the mandibular condyle and the mandibular fossa of the temporal bone, into which it fits. The articular disc separates these two bones from direct contact. The TMJ is classified as a compound joint. a compound joint requires the presence of at least three bones, yet the TMJ is made up of only two (**JP. Okeson, 2008**).

Functionally, the articular disc serves as a non-ossified bone, which permits the complex movements of the joint. Since the articular disc functions as a third bone, the cranio-mandibular articulation is considered a compound joint (**JP. Okeson, 2007**).

The adult mandibular condyle is roughly elliptical in shape with the largest diameter being medio-lateral. There is considerable individual variation in both condylar size and angulation to the various planes and there are often differences between the right and left sides in the same individual (**JP. Okeson, 2007**) .