



DESIGN OF NEW IMAGE ENCRYPTION SYSTEMS USING CHAOS THEORY AND FRACTALS

By

Sherif Hamdy AbdElHaleem Mohamed

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Engineering Mathematics

DESIGN OF NEW IMAGE ENCRYPTION SYSTEMS USING CHAOS THEORY AND FRACTALS

By Sherif Hamdy AbdElHaleem Mohamed

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Engineering Mathematics

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Salwa Kamal Abd-El-Hafiz

Professor of Engineering Mathematics Engineering Mathematics and Physics Department Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Assoc. Prof. Ahmed Gomaa Ahmed Radwan

Associate Professor of Engineering Mathematics Engineering Mathematics and Physics Department Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT 2015 **Engineer's Name:** Sherif Hamdy AbdElHaleem Mohamed

Date of Birth: 10 / 4 / 1978 **Nationality:** Egyptian

E-mail: SherifHamdynet@hotmail.com

Phone: +2010-0315-0157 **Registration Date:** 1 / 10 / 2011

Awarding Date: / /

Degree: Master of Science

Department: Engineering Mathematics and Physics Department

Supervisors:

Prof. Salwa Kamal Abd-El-Hafiz Dr. Ahmed Gomaa Ahmed Radwan

Examiners:

Prof. Salwa Kamal Abd-El-Hafiz (Main Supervisor)
Dr. Ahmed Gomaa Ahmed Radwan (Supervisor)

Prof. Hany Lamey Abdel-Malek (Internal Examiner)
Prof. Galal Ahmed M. Elkobrosy (External Examiner,

Alexandria Univ.)

Title of Thesis:

Design of New Image Encryption Systems Using Chaos Theory and Fractals

Key Words:

Cryptography; Cryptanalysis; Image Encryption; Information Security; Fractional-Order; Fractals; Generalized Feistel Networks; Linear Feedback Shift Register; S-Boxes; Keystream Generator; Chess; Horse Movement; Permutation Matrix; Chaotic equations; Chaotic Maps.

Summary:

This thesis introduced seven new encryption designs based on different methodologies such as: chaotic differential equations of integer and fractional-orders, nonlinear generalized chaotic maps, fractals with their fine details, mixing the generalized Fiestel network with the Linear Feedback Shift Register (LFSR) and, also, a new encryption system based on the chess-based horse-move to generate the permutation matrix. Many standard images were discussed and evaluated using the international measures such as NIST. Seven international papers were published (2 journal papers with IF + five international conferences).



Acknowledgments

I would never imagine myself writing these lines without the great support from everyone around me. Starting with my family who has encouraged me to continue this work and to take this step in my life, then my colleagues who have supported me and made me feel that I am just one of them, finally my professors who have enlightened me with their knowledge and experience.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Prof. Magdy Abd El-Aty El-Tawil, may GOD bless his soul and grant him paradise. He was the first person I met in the mathematics department. With his experience, he was able to direct me in selecting the correct branch to do my thesis in (based on my knowledge and work experience). He gave me the best chance when he nominated me to Prof. Salwa Abd-El-Hafiz.

Prof. Abd-El-Hafiz is more than an advisor to me; she has given me unlimited support during my work in this thesis and she has been a very kind person. She treated me as a son; I learned from her more than what could be written in words. She simply deserves more than what could be written in this acknowledgement.

Dr. Ahmed Radwan is just like my big brother. He has been very kind, supportive, motivating and encouraging. His long experience added to this thesis a valuable value. Words are not enough to thank him for his great ideas that have enriched this thesis.

Dedication

I dedicate this work to my family. Their support and encouragement have been very inspiring to me. Many thanks are due to my mother who pushed me forward to continue this thesis. Many thanks are also due to my father, who is my role model in life

I would also like to dedicate this work to my wife who has supported and withstood all the hard times we have had together. I cannot forget my little daughter who has spent a lot of time without me so that I could do my work.

Very special dedication goes to my sisters Eman and Asmaa and my brother Adel. I hope that this work would encourage and inspire them to continue their postgraduate education in the future.

Finally, I would like to dedicate this work to the soul of my brother Mohamed and mother in law Nadia, may GOD bless their souls and grant them paradise.

Table of Contents

ACKNO	WLEDGMENTS	I
DEDICA	TION	II
TABLE (OF CONTENTS	III
LIST OF	TABLES	VI
	FIGURES	
	CLATURE	
ABSTRA	ACT	XII
CHAPTI	ER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1.	ORGANIZATION OF THE THESIS	3
СНАРТІ	ER 2: BACKGROUND AND RELATED LITERATURE	4
2.1.	HISTORY OF CRYPTOGRAPHY	4
2.2.	OVERVIEW OF CRYPTOGRAPHY	6
2.2.1.	Symmetric and asymmetric key cryptography	7
2.2.2.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2.2.3.	Block ciphers modes of operation	9
2.3.	IMAGE ENCRYPTION	
2.3.1.	6 6.	
2.3.2.	7	
	2.1. Statistical tests2.2. Sensitivity tests	
2.4.	ENCRYPTION SYSTEMS.	
2.4.1.		
2.4.2.	•	
2.4.3.	• •	
	3.1. Discrete maps	
2.4	3.2. Continuous systems	36
2.5.	RELATED LITERATURE	38
	ER 3 : NEW STREAM CIPHERS BASED ON NON-CHAOTIC	
GENER A	ATORS	42
3.1.	IMAGE ENCRYPTION BASED ON LFSR AND FEISTEL NETWORKS	43
3.1.1.	The simplified system	43
	1.1. LFSR	
3.1. 3.1.2.	1.2. Simulation Results	
	2.1. Generalized Feistel network	
	2.2. Substitution box (S-box)	
	2.3. System key	
3.1.3.	•	
3 2	A EDACTAL DAGED IMACE ENCOVOTION GYSTEM	51

3.2.1.	Fractal images	51
3.2.2.	A simplified encryption system	54
3.2.3.	The proposed encryption system	
3.2.3	* * *	
3.2.3	2. System key	58
3.2.4.	Multi-fractal encryption	60
3.2.4	**	
3.2.4	2. Comparison with previous work	66
3.3.	A PSEUDO RANDOM KEY GENERATOR	67
3.3.1.	The proposed PRKG	67
3.3.1	1 1	
3.3.1	8	
3.3.1	.3. The proposed PRKG based on fractals	69
3.3.2.	PRKG results	70
3.3.3.	Image encryption using the proposed PRKG	73
СП У ВТЕ.	R 4 : NEW STREAM CIPHERS BASED ON CHAOTIC	
		70
GENEKA	TORS	/0
4.1.	IMAGE ENCRYPTION BASED ON GENERALIZED DISCRETE MAPS	77
4.1.1.	Generalized logistic, tent and sine maps	78
4.1.1	•	
4.1.1	-	
4.1.1	.3. Sine map	79
4.1.2.	System block diagram	80
4.1.3.	Encryption results	81
4.1.4.	Encryption based on the generalized sine map	85
4.1.4		
4.1.4	2. Encryption results	87
4.1.4		
4.1.4		
4.2.	IMAGE ENCRYPTION IN THE FRACTIONAL-ORDER DOMAIN	
4.2.1.	Fractional-order chaotic systems	92
4.2.1		
4.2.1		
4.2.1	···	
4.2.1	6	
4.2.2.	The encryption algorithm	
4.2.3.	Analysis results	
4.2.3	- J	
4.2.3 4.2.3		
4.2.3	•	
4.2.3	· · ·	
CHAPTE	R 5 : NEW SYSTEMS FOR BLOCK-BASED ENCRYPTION .	100
5.1.	CHESS-BASED IMAGE ENCRYPTION	101
5.1.1.	The proposed chess-based chaotic cipher	
5.1.1		
5.1.1	•	
5.1.1		
5.1.2.	Evaluation of the encryption system	105
5.1.2	2.1. Substitution and permutation processes analysis results	106

5.1.2.2.	Proposed block cipher analysis results	106
5.1.2.3.	Sensitivity analysis	108
5.2. Co	MPARISON OF DIFFERENT PERMUTATION TECHNIQUES A	AND THEIR EFFECT
ON IMAGE EN	NCRYPTION	110
5.2.1.	Permutation techniques	110
5.2.1.1.	Matrix generation using discrete chaos	111
5.2.1.2.	Matrix generation using permutation vectors	111
5.2.1.3.	Arnold's cat map	112
5.2.1.4.	Matrix generation using continuous chaos	112
5.2.1.5.	Matrix generation using chess-based horse move	113
5.2.2.	Permutation comparisons	115
5.2.2.1.	Permutation matrix analysis	115
5.2.2.2.	Algorithm results and analysis	117
5.2.3.	Image encryption using the five techniques	121
5.2.3.1.	System key design	122
5.2.3.2.	Case 1: analysis results when $S = 0$	
5.2.3.3.	Case 2: analysis results when $S = 1$	125
DISCUSSION	N AND CONCLUSIONS	133
REFERENCI	ES	135

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Comparison between symmetric and asymmetric cryptography	8
Table 2.2: Image types vs. bit depth	12
Table 2.3: "Peppers" 256 × 256 image sizes in different formats	13
Table 2.4: Basic colors decomposition	14
Table 2.5: Statistical properties for standard images	16
Table 2.5: Statistical properties for standard images (Cont.)	17
Table 2.6: Correlation coefficients for "Peppers" 1024 × 1024	19
Table 2.7: Entropy values for "Peppers" and "Lena" (1024 × 1024)	22
Table 2.8: NIST SP-800-22 statistical test [89]	23
Table 2.9: NIST test results for encrypted "Peppers" and encrypted "Lena"	24
Table 2.10: Example of differential attacks results	25
Table 2.11: Mean square error values	26
Table 2.12: Maximum period LFSR feedback polynomials	32
Table 2.13: Step by step output of a 5-bit LFSR	33
Table 3.1: Correlation and differential attack measures for the simplified system.	44
Table 3.2: NIST results for the simplified system	44
Table 3.3: Truth table for the multiplexing operation	45
Table 3.4: Analysis results for the proposed system	49
Table 3.5: Comparison to other related systems	49
Table 3.6: NIST results for the proposed system	49
Table 3.7: Wrong decryption results for one bit change in the key	50
Table 3.8: Correlation results	55
Table 3.9: Differential attack results	55
Table 3.10: Simplified system NIST results when encrypting Peppers image	
Table 3.11: Shift values used for seven-fractals encryption	61
Table 3.12: Correlation and differential attack results for the Peppers image using	g two
and seven fractals	
Table 3.13: NIST results when encrypting Peppers image using two and seven fra	
Table 3.14: Wrong decryption for the Peppers image using seven fractals	
Table 3.15: Results for colored images from USC-SIPI image database	
Table 3.16: Comparison between the proposed system and other reported chaotic systems	
Table 3.17: Pixel correlation	
Table 3.18: Entropy values	
Table 3.19: Pixel correlation results for the three cases	
Table 3.20: Entropy values results for the three cases	
Table 3.22: Analysis measures for encrypted image	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Table 3.23: Entropy values for encrypted image	
Table 3.24: NIST results for encrypted image	
Table 4.1: Correlation coefficients of the proposed system	
Table 4.2: Differential attack measures of the proposed system	
Table 4.4: MSE and entropy as measures of sensitivity analysis	
Table 4.4: MSE and entropy as measures of sensitivity analysis	
Table 4.5: Comparison with other systems	83

Table 4.6: Analysis results of the proposed system	88
Table 4.7: NIST suite results of the proposed encryption system	
Table 4.8: MSE and entropy as measures of sensitivity	89
Table 4.10: Comparison with other systems	90
Table 4.9: Results of encrypting different standard images	91
Table 4.11: Correlation coefficients for the source image and the encrypted im	
$\alpha = 0.8$ and $c = 4$	97
Table 4.12: Results for all correlation coefficients in the used ranges of α and	c 98
Table 4.13: Results for the differential attacks analysis	99
Table 5.1: Substitution only results (Peppers image, $L = 64$)	106
Table 5.2: Permutation only results (Peppers image, $L = 64$)	106
Table 5.3: Proposed block cipher results (Peppers image, $L = 64$)	107
Table 5.4: NIST results for the encryption process	108
Table 5.5: Mean Square Error and entropy values	109
Table 5.6: Comparison with other systems	
Table 5.7: Permutation matrix analysis results	117
Table 5.8: Adjacent pixels correlation results for Lena 256 × 256	118
Table 5.9: Execution time ratios for the five algorithms	120
Table 5.10: Case 1 ($S = 0$) encryption results for images with resolution 102	4×1024
	124
Table 5.11: Case 2 ($S = 1$) encryption results for Lena 1024×1024	127
Table 5.12: Case 2 NIST results for encrypted Lena (1024 × 1024)	128
Table 5.13: Case 2 NIST results for encrypted Peppers (1024 × 1024)	129
Table 5.14: Case 2 Mean Square Error and entropy results	131
Table 5.15: Permutation algorithms comparison for matrix size $M \times N$	132

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Historical encryption devices (a) Scytale and (b) Engima machine	
Figure 2.2: Block diagram for a typical cryptosystem	6
Figure 2.3: Block diagram for asymmetric algorithm	7
Figure 2.4: Block diagram for symmetric algorithms	8
Figure 2.5: Stream ciphering and block ciphering	9
Figure 2.6: Block cipher modes of operation (a) ECB mode, (b) CBC mode, (c) CF	7 B
mode, (d) OFB mode and (e) CTR mode	11
Figure 2.7: Encryption process	11
Figure 2.8: Typical image cryptosystem using symmetric-key	12
Figure 2.9: Typical image pixels representation	
Figure 2.10: Pixel value representation	
Figure 2.11: RGB color system	14
Figure 2.12: Statistical tests	
Figure 2.13: Adjacent pixels in (a) "Peppers" image and (b) Encrypted "Peppers"	
Figure 2.14: Histogram analysis	
Figure 2.15: Sensitivity tests	
Figure 2.16: Typical encryption system	
Figure 2.17: 8 bit P-box	
Figure 2.18: One round of an SPN	
Figure 2.19: One round Fiestel network (a) classical Fiestel and (b) unbalanced fies	
Figure 2.20: LFSR with maximum period connections (a) 5-bit and (b) 8-bit	
Figure 2.21: Output of a 5-bit LFSR with maximum period $T = 31$	
Figure 2.22: Logistic map bifurcation diagram	
Figure 2.23: Logistic map chaotic behavior when $\lambda = 3.999$ and $x1 = 0.5$	
Figure 2.24: Bifurcation diagram for (a) sine map and (b) tent map	
Figure 2.25: Lorenz attractor when $\sigma = 10$, $\rho = 28$ and $\beta = 83$	
Figure 2.26: Lorenz attractor	
Figure 2.27: Rössler attractor	
Figure 2.28: Encryption system design elements	
Figure 3.1: Simplified system block diagram	
Figure 3.2: System block diagram.	
Figure 3.3: Generalized Feistel network internal structure.	
Figure 3.4: S-box generation using an 8-bit LFSR initialized with the value 179	
Figure 3.5: System key details.	
Figure 3.6: Key values (a) for $M = 1$ and (b) $M = 4$	
Figure 3.7: Peppers image and its encryption for (b) $M = 1$ and (c) $M = 4$	
Figure 3.8: (a) Histogram and (b) Adjacent horz. pixels of the blue channel in the	
original Peppers image (top) and encrypted image for $M = 4$ (bottom)	50
Figure 3.9: Wrong decryption for the Peppers image	
Figure 3.10: Examples of fractal images	
Figure 3.11: Mandelbulb creation using Eq. (17) for different values of n (a) $n = 4$,	
= 6, (c) $n = 8$ and (d) $n = 10$	
Figure 3.12: Fractal images used in testing the proposed system; numbered 1 to 8 figure 3.12: Fractal images used in testing the proposed system; numbered 1 to 8 figure 3.12: Fractal images used in testing the proposed system; numbered 1 to 8 figure 3.12: Fractal images used in testing the proposed system; numbered 1 to 8 figure 3.12: Fractal images used in testing the proposed system; numbered 1 to 8 figure 3.12: Fractal images used in testing the proposed system; numbered 1 to 8 figure 3.12: Fractal images used in testing the proposed system; numbered 1 to 8 figure 3.12: Fractal images used in testing the proposed system; numbered 1 to 8 figure 3.12: Fractal images used in testing the proposed system; numbered 1 to 8 figure 3.12: Fractal images used in testing the proposed system; numbered 1 to 8 figure 3.12: Fractal images used in testing the proposed system; numbered 1 to 8 figure 3.12: Fractal images used in testing the proposed system; numbered 1 to 8 figure 3.12: Fractal images used in testing the proposed system; numbered 1 to 8 figure 3.12: Fractal images used in the proposed system; numbered 3.12: Fractal images used in the proposed system; numbered 3.12: Fractal images used in the proposed system; numbered 3.12: Fractal images used in the proposed system; numbered 3.12: Fractal images used in the proposed system; numbered 3.12: Fractal images used in the proposed system; numbered 3.12: Fractal images used in the proposed system; numbered 3.12: Fractal images used in the proposed system; numbered 3.12: Fractal images used in the proposed system; numbered 3.12: Fractal images used in the proposed system; numbered 3.12: Fractal images used in the proposed system; numbered 3.12: Fractal images used in the proposed system; numbered 3.12: Fractal images used in the proposed system; numbered 3.12: Fractal images used in the proposed system; numbered 3.12: Fractal images used in the proposed system; numbered 3.12: Fractal images used in the proposed system; numbered 3.12: Fractal images used in the proposed	
left to right and from top to bottom	
Figure 3.13: The simplified block diagram	

Figure 3.14: Keystream generation using fractals	57
Figure 3.15: System block diagrams (a) encryption system and (b) decryption system.	58
Figure 3.16: Key construction details	
Figure 3.17: Example of key identification when using two fractals	59
Figure 3.18: Key length for different values of K and S when $M = 10$; black points are	
safe points where key length ≥ 128	
Figure 3.19: (a) Peppers image, (b) encrypted Peppers image using two fractals, (c) encrypted Peppers image using seven fractals and (d) encrypted Peppers image using	
seven fractals after changing one pixel in the plain image	61
Figure 3.20: (a) Lena image, (b) encrypted Lena image using two fractals, (c) encrypted	
Lena image using seven fractals and (d) encrypted Lena image using seven fractals aff	
changing one pixel in the plain image	
Figure 3.21: Histogram for red, green and blue components of (a) Peppers image, (b)	01
encrypted Peppers image using seven fractals, (c) Lena image and (d) encrypted Lena	
image using seven fractals	
Figure 3.22: Wrong decryption of Peppers image (a) Test I, (b) Test II, (c) Test III and	
(d) Test V	
Figure 3.23: SPN internal structure	
Figure 3.24: Fractal images numbered 1 to 5 from left to right, top to bottom	68
Figure 3.25: Histogram analysis for (a) fractal 1 and (b) fractal 2	
Figure 3.26: The proposed block diagram of the PRKG based on fractals	
Figure 3.27: Histogram analysis for the output stream when using fractal 2 in (a) Case	
A, (b) Case B and (c) Case C	
Figure 3.28: The processed fractals after the different cases of post-processing	
Figure 3.29: Block diagram for the encryption system	
Figure 3.30: (a) Peppers image and (b) encrypted Peppers image	
Figure 3.31: Histogram analysis for (a) Peppers image and (b) encrypted image	74
Figure 4.1: The conventional logistic, tent and sine maps.	77
Figure 4.2: The generalized logistic map when $\lambda = 3.99$; (a) function fg versus xn and	d
d when $c = 0.9$, (b) chaotic output in the $c - d$ plane, and (c) the MLE values versus	
the c – d plane.	78
Figure 4.3: The generalized tent map; (a) function ft versus xn and b when $a = 1.3$, (1)	b)
chaotic output in the $a - b$ plane when $rt = 1.99$, and (c) the MLE values versus the	
rt - b plane when $a = 1.3$.	
Figure 4.4: The generalized sine map; (a) function fs versus xn and β when $\gamma = 1.1$,	
rs = 0.9 and α = 0.95, (b) chaotic output and (c) the MLE values in the $\beta - \gamma$ plane	
when rs = 0.9 and $\alpha = 0.95$.	80
Figure 4.5: Encryption block diagram based on generalized maps	80
Figure 4.6: Encryption key.	81
Figure 4.7: The original Peppers image and its histograms and the second row is the	
encrypted TSG-image with its histograms.	82
Figure 4.8: Decrypted Lena image with one bit change in the key (a) Case I, (b) Case	II,
(c) Case III, (d) Case IV and (e) Case V	
Figure 4.9: Encryption block diagram (left) and decryption block diagram (right)	86
Figure 4.10: Example utilization of the system key in constructing the parameters and	l
initial conditions of the three maps.	87
Figure 4.11: Histogram distributions for the original Lena image (first row) and its	
encrypted image (second row)	88
Figure 4.12: Decrypted Lena image with one bit change in the key for (a) Case I, (b)	
Case II and (c) Case IV.	89

Figure 4.13: Strange attractor for the Lorenz system while fixing c at 4; (a) $\alpha = 0.75$ (b)
α = 0.8 (c) α = 0.95 (d) α = 194
Figure 4.14: Block diagram of the encryption process using the fractional-order Lorenz map95
Figure 4.15: (a) Original image (Lena), and encrypted image at c=4 with (b) $\alpha = 0.75$,
(c) $\alpha = 0.8$, and (d) $\alpha = 0.95$ 95
Figure 4.16: Histogram for red, green and blue components (a) of Lena (b) of the encrypted image shown in Fig. 4.15(c)
Figure 4.17: Adjacent pixels values in horz., vert. and diag. directions in source (first
row) and encrypted image (second row) for red component
Figure 4.18: Decryption with different values of α , (a) decrypted image with $\alpha = 0.799$
and $c=4$, $\Delta\alpha=$ -0.001, and (b) decrypted image with $\alpha=0.801$ and $c=4$, $\Delta\alpha=+0.001$ 99
Figure 5.1: Block diagram of the chess-based chaotic block cipher
Figure 5.2: Block diagram of the decryption system
Figure 5.3: Flowchart for generating the permutation matrix
Figure 5.4: (a) Valid horse moves and (b) permutation matrix generated using the
proposed algorithm
Figure 5.5: System key details
Figure 5.6: (a) Peppers image (1024 × 1024), (b) encrypted Peppers image, (c) Lena
image (1024 \times 1024) and (d) encrypted Lena image
Figure 5.7: Histograms for Peppers image (first row) and encrypted Peppers image
using $L = 64$ (second row)
Figure 5.8: Decrypted Peppers image with one bit change in the key (a) case I, (b) case
II, (c) case III and (d) case IV
Figure 5.9: The permutation phase: (a) pixel processing indices, (b) an example of a
permutation matrix T and (c) new pixel locations after applying equation (56) 111
Figure 5.10: Permutation vectors mapping row and column indices
Figure 5.11: Modified horse move algorithm
Figure 5.12: Valid horse moves with start value = 1 and step value = 1
Figure 5.13: Permutations effect for the five different techniques
Figure 5.14: (a) ADOPM – M/2 and (b) ADBTAPav – M/2
Vectors, (d) Arnold's Cat Map, (e) Continuous Chaos and (f) Chess-based
Figure 5.16: The execution time ratios (a) for a single block of size M and (b) for a
complete "Lena" 1024 × 1024 with different block sizes M
Figure 5.17: Block diagrams of (a) encryption system and (b) decryption system 121
Figure 5.18: Structure of the system key
Figure 5.19: Case 2 encryption and wrong decryption for Lena and Peppers images. 130

Nomenclature

ADBTAP The Average Distance Between Two Adjacent Pixels

ADOPM The Average Distance One Pixel Moved

AES Advanced Encryption Standard
CBC Cipher Block Chaining Mode

CFB Cipher Feedback Mode

CTR Counter Mode

DCT Discrete Cosine Transformation

DES Data Encryption Standard
DSA Digital Signature Algorithm
ECB Electronic Codebook Mode
GFN Generalized Feistel Network

IDEA International Data Encryption Algorithm

IFS Iterated Function Systems

IV Initialization Vector KG Keystream Generator

LDE Linear Diophantine Equation
LFSR Linear Feedback Shift Register

LSB Least Significant Bit
MAE Mean Absolute Error

MLE Maximum Lyapunov Exponent

MSE Mean Square Error

NIST The National Institute of Standards and Technology

NPCR Number Of Pixels Change Rate
ODE Ordinary Differential Equations

OFB Output Feedback Mode

P-box Permutation Box

PP The Proportion Of Passing Sequences
PRKG Pseudo Random Keystream Generator
PRNG Pseudo Random Number Generator

PV P-value Distribution
RSA Rivest-Shamir-Adleman

S-box Substitution Box

SPN Substitution-Permutation Network UACI Unified Average Change Intensity

XOR Modulo 2 Sum

Abstract

Recently, the huge dependence on electronic communication has lead to an increased demand for data security in order to achieve privacy. The security of data transmission is a vital issue since it is easy to detect the information sent across the internet. Hence, a lot of research is performed in the field of data security in general and, consequently, image encryption arises as an important research field. A typical symmetric-key image encryption system usually consists of two main substitution and permutation phases to accomplish Shannon's confusion and diffusion properties. Therefore, this thesis introduces new encryption systems that utilize chaotic and non-chaotic generators in different designs of the aforementioned two phases.

Several stream-based image encryption systems are proposed and analyzed using chaotic as well as non-chaotic generators. Using non-chaotic generators, three stream-based systems are presented. The first system is designed by combining a Linear Feedback Shift Register (LFSR) with a generalized form of a Feistel-like structure in order to provide a strong keystream. The second system is based on fractal images where a set of fractal images is selected and processed in a form that achieves strong encryption. The third system proposes a different design of a pseudo random keystream generator based on fractal images.

In addition, two stream-based encryption systems are designed using chaotic generators. The first system is based on generalized forms of three discrete maps, which are the logistic map, the sine map and the tent map. By combining the three maps, a strong keystream is generated that helps in achieving the required encryption quality. The second system is based on the fractional-order Lorenz attractor. This system makes use of the extra degrees of freedom, which arise from the new fractional powers, and shows that the fractional order system exhibits a wider range in the new parameters that can achieve a chaotic behavior and helps in increasing the system key length and maintaining sensitivity to only one bit change.

On the other hand, two block-based image encryption systems are proposed and analyzed. The first system includes a novel chess-based permutation stage. The presented encryption algorithm is very sensitive to the input parameters, which helps in maintaining a very sensitive system key. The second system discusses five different algorithms for generating permutation matrices. The generated permutation matrices are, then, used in an encryption system in order to compare and evaluate the proposed permutation algorithms.

To evaluate the proposed encryption systems, the quality of the resulting ciphers is tested using adjacent pixels correlation coefficients, histogram distributions, differential attack measures and the NIST statistical test suite. Furthermore, sensitivity of the system key to one bit change is also examined using the mean square error and entropy values of the wrong decrypted images. Results achieved by the proposed cryptosystems are very promising and show great potential as compared to other systems in recent literature.