



Shams University



Faculty of Science

Bacteriophages targeting antibiotic resistant pathogenic bacteria in infected broiler chickens

A Thesis

**Submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in
Microbiology (Virology)**

By

Mayada Mahmoud Abd El-Samee

M.Sc. (2014)

Under Supervision

Prof. Dr.

Ahmed Barakat Barakat

Professor of Virology
Microbiology Department
Faculty of Science - Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr.

Sayed Emam Hassan

Professor of Pharmacology
Animal Health Research Institute

Dr.

Ahmed Abd El-Rahman

Askora

Assistant Prof. of Molecular Biology
Botany Department
Faculty of Science
Zagazig University

Dr.

Omar El-Farouk

Rabie

Lecturer of Microbiology
Microbiology Department,
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University

2018



Shams University



Faculty of Science

Bacteriophages targeting antibiotic resistant pathogenic bacteria in infected broiler chickens

A Thesis

**Submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in
Microbiology (Virology)**

By

Mayada Mahmoud Abd El-Samee

M.Sc. (2014)

2018



كلية العلوم
قسم الميكروبيولوجى

أسم الطالبة : مياده محمود عبد السميع محمد
عنوان الرسالة : استهداف البكتيريا المقاومة للمضادات الحيوية والممرضة
لدواجن التسمين باستخدام البكتيريوفاج
الدرجة العلمية : دكتوراة الفلسفة فى العلوم

لجنة الإشراف :

السادة المحكمين	الوظيفة	التوقيع
أ.د/ أحمد بركات بركات	أستاذ الفيروسات - كلية العلوم - جامعة عين شمس	
أ.د/ السيد إمام حسن	استاذ الفارماكولوجى - بمعهد بحوث صحة الحيوان	
أ.م.د/ أحمد عبدالرحمن عسكورة	استاذ مساعد البيولوجيا الجزيئية - كلية العلوم - جامعة الزقازيق	
د/ عمر الفاروق ربيع السيد	مدرس الميكروبيولوجى - كلية العلوم - جامعة عين شمس	

لجنة التحكيم :

السادة المحكمين	الوظيفة	التوقيع
أ.د/ محمد توفيق شعبان محمد	أستاذ الميكروبيولوجى وعميد كلية العلوم - جامعة المنوفية	
أ.د/ محمد السيد محمد محمد	أستاذ ورئيس قسم الأمراض المشتركة - كلية الطب البيطرى - جامعة الزقازيق	
أ.د/ أحمد بركات بركات	أستاذ الفيروسات - كلية العلوم - جامعة عين شمس	
أ.م.د/ أحمد عبدالرحمن عسكورة	استاذ مساعد البيولوجيا الجزيئية - كلية العلوم - جامعة الزقازيق	

الدراسات العليا :

ختم الإجازة :

اجيزت الرسالة بتاريخ : ٢٠١٨/..../....

موافقة مجلس الكلية : ٢٠١٨/..../....

موافقة مجلس الجامعة : ٢٠١٨/..../....



وَقُلْ اَعْمَلُوا فَسَيَرَى اللّٰهُ
عَمَلَكُمْ وَرَسُولُهُ وَالْمُؤْمِنُونَ

سورة التوبة الآية (١٠٥)





TO,

My Parents,

My Husband,

AND

My Sweet Heart Daughter

MACEY

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

*First and foremost, Alhamdulillah, the divine intervention in this academic work. With all my heart, I would like to express my deepest gratitude and sincere thanks to **Prof. Dr. Ahmed Barakat** Prof. of Virology, Microbiology Department, Faculty of Science, Ainshams University his competent supervision, valuable guidance, great encouragement, help and precious advices throughout this work as he gave me an ideal example of what a university professor should be in his morals, relations and communications with others. I would like to express my deepest gratitude and sincere appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Sayed Emam Hassan**, Professor of Pharmacology Animal Health Research Institute for his support, continuous efforts, valuable advices and devoting his vast experience to provide me with the best possible piece of advice in this work.*

*Sincere immense gratitude is hereby extended to my supervisor **Dr. Ahmed Askora**, Associate Professor of molecular Biology, Botany Department, Faculty of science, Zagzaig University, Who never ceased until this thesis is structured, and for giving me the opportunity to work on this interesting thesis. I cannot find the words that describe his continuous support and guidance, his unlimited enthusiasm and the many helpful discussions were the cornerstones of this thesis work. I also want to thank him for the enjoyable working atmosphere he created in his group; it has been a real pleasure to work with him.*



*I would also like to extend my gratitude to **Dr. Omar El-Farouk Rabie**, Lecturer of Microbiology, Microbiology Department, Microbiology Department, Faculty of Science, Ainshams University, for help and continuous useful advice.*

My deep thanks are also extended to the staff members of Microbiology Department, Faculty of Science, Ainshams University.

Last but not least, my heartfelt thanks to my mother and my father who encourage me to fulfill my postgraduate studies. Also my heartfelt thanks to my dear husband who supported me and dealt with my absence and busy studying with a smile.

*Special deepest heart full thanks to my love of my life **MACEY** sweet little daughter whose presence was a great motivation for me to complete my work,*



TO,

My Parents,

My Husband,

AND

My Sweet Heart Daughter

MACEY

Abstract

Abstract

In recent years, the use of bacteriophages as antimicrobial agents controlling pathogenic bacteria in poultry has appeared as a promising new alternative strategy in the face of growing antibiotic resistance, which has caused problems in many fields including medicine, veterinary medicine, and agriculture. Thus, this study was conducted to investigate the prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of different pathogenic bacteria isolated from broiler chickens and the use of their bacteriophages as an alternative means of controlling these pathogens. Total numbers of 86 bacterial strains were isolated from broiler chickens samples during this study. Gram-negative bacteria accounted for 87.3% (75 strains) and Gram-positive represented 12.7% (11 strains). Major species were *Salmonella*, *E. coli*, *Proteus spp.* *Staphylococcus aureus* (4%) and *Bacillus* (1.5%). The most prevalent *Salmonella* serovars were *S. typhimurium* (7.5%), *S. enteritidis* (5.0%), and *S. kentucky* (3.0%) while the prevalence of *E. coli* and *Proteus sp.* was (14.5% and 7.5 %) respectively. Antimicrobial resistance profiles of these isolates were determined using the phenotypic agar disc diffusion method. The genes encoding resistance in the resistant strains were screened using PCR. The results showed that bacterial isolates were resistant to at least 3 tested antimicrobial. The PCR results indicated that the tested pathogenic isolates contained antimicrobial resistance gene (blaTEM), which is known to confer resistance. Moreover, the *mecA* gene was examined in methicillin resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) isolates. This study extended to isolate six bacteriophages of different plaques morphology and size targeting these multidrug resistant bacteria. The isolated phages showed variations in their abilities to infect and lysis the target pathogen. These phages were selected for characterization. Bacteriophages active against *Salmonella* serovars named (Salmacey1, Salmacey2, and Salmacey3), *Proteus* (Protmacey), *S. aureus* (Staphmacey), and *Bacillus*

Abstract

(Bacmacey). The electron micrographs of negatively stained preparations of these phages revealed that they belong to *Myoviridae*, *Podoviridae*, *Siphoviridae* families. The results of host range assay revealed that these *Salmonella* bacteriophages were polyvalent and thus capable of infecting different strains of *Salmonella* serovars, *Citrobacter freundii*, *Enterobacter* and *E.coli*. Bacteriophages (Protmacey, Staphmacey, Bacmacey) were restricted to only their specific hosts. Only the isolated bacteriophages were thermostable, in between temperature ranges of 30–70°C, and the activity of isolated phages was rapidly decreased toward acidity compared to pH7. The one step growth curve for these phages were determined and the results of burst sizes and latent periods were determined. Molecular analyses indicated that these phages contained double-stranded DNA genomes. The lytic activities of the phages against the most multidrug resistant serovars *S. Kentucky*, *Proteus sp.*, *Staph. aureus* and *B.cereus* as host strain was evaluated. The results showed that these bacteriophages were able to completely kill the growth of tested bacteria *in vitro*. These results suggest that phages have a high potential for phage application to control *Salmonella* serovars, *Proteus sp.*, *Staph. aureus* and *B.cereus* isolated from broilers in Egypt.

Keywords: Food borne pathogens, broilers, multi drug resistant, phages

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Review of literature	6
2.1. Pathogenic bacteria in poultry	6
2.1.1. Prevalence of <i>Salmonella</i> in poultry	7
2.1.2. Sources of <i>Escherichia coli</i> infection for poultry	12
2.1.3. Prevalence of <i>Proteus</i> spp. in poultry	13
2.1.4. Prevalence of <i>Staphylococcus</i> infection in poultry	15
2.1.5. Sources of <i>Bacillus</i> infection in poultry	16
3.2. The use of antimicrobials in the poultry industry	18
4.2. Antimicrobial resistance in bacterial poultry pathogens	22
4.2.1. Antibiotics resistance of <i>Salmonella</i> in chickens	23
4.2.2. <i>S. aureus</i> resistance to antibiotics	25
5.2. Bacteriophage therapy to combat multidrug resistant bacterial infections in poultry	26
5.2.1. History and discovery of Bacteriophage	27
5.2.2. Biology, structure and classification of Bacteriophages	27
5.2.3. Life Cycle of Bacteriophage	33
6.2. Bacteriophages for control pathogenic bacteria in poultry and application of phages in biocontrol	37
7.2 Requirements for selecting a bacteriophage for food applications.....	41
8.2. The advantages of phage therapy over antibiotics	43
3. Materials and methods	46
3. I. Materials	46
3.1. Media.....	46
3.2. Media used for biochemical characterization of bacterial isolates ...	51

3.1.2. Buffers and working solutions	54
3.II. Methods	55
3.1. Samples collection and transportation: -.....	55
3.2. Isolation and identification of pathogenic bacteria	55
3.2.1 Enrichment.....	55
3.2.2. Isolation and detection of <i>Salmonella</i>	56
3.2.3. Isolation and detection of <i>E.coli</i>	56
3.2.4.. Isolation and detection of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	56
3.2.5. Isolation and detection of <i>Bacillus cereus</i>	56
3.3. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing.....	57
3.3.1 Screening of resistance genes	57
3.3.2 Detection of antimicrobial resistance genes.....	59
3.3.3. Detection of antimicrobial resistance genes in <i>S.aureus</i>	59
3.3.3.1. Amplification of the methicillin resistance (<i>mecA</i>) gene.	59
3.4. Isolation of Bacteriophages.	59
3.5. Detection of bacteriophages..	60
3.5.1. Plaque assay method	60
3.5.2. Bacteriophages purification and propagation	60
3.5.3. Preparation of high titer stock of the isolated bacteriophages.	61
3.6. Characterization of isolated bacteriophages	61
3.6.1. Morphological characteristics (Electron microscopy).....	62
3.6.2. Determination of host ranges and cross infectivity of the isolated phages.....	62
3.6.3 Adsorption assays.	62
3.6.4. One Single-step growth experiments.....	63
3.6.5. Effect of different temperatures on the phage stability	64
3.6.6. Effect of pH on the phage stability	64

3.6.7. Effect of chloroform on phages	64
3.7. Molecular characteristics	64
3.7.1. Isolation and characterization of nucleic acids.	64
3.7.2. Restriction digestion of isolated bacteriophages genomic DNA ...	65
3.8. Evaluation of the lytic activity of isolated phages against isolated pathogenic bacteria	65
4. Results.....	66
4. Isolation and identification of possible pathogenic bacteria from broiler chickens.....	66
4.4.1 <i>Salmonella</i> isolation and identification	66
4.4.2 <i>E. coli</i> isolation and identification.....	67
4.4.3 <i>Proteus</i> isolation and identification.....	68
4.4.4 <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> isolation and identification)	69
4.4.5 <i>Bacillus cereus</i> isolation and identification	69
4.4. Prevalence of pathogenic bacteria isolated from broiler chickens ...	70
4.3. Antimicrobial resistance patterns of the isolated bacteria.....	72
4.3. 1. Antimicrobial resistance patterns of <i>Salmonella</i> serovars.....	72
4.3.2 Antimicrobial resistance patterns of <i>E. coli</i> and <i>Proteus</i>	75
3.3.3 Antimicrobial resistance patterns of <i>S. aureus</i>	77
4.3.4 Antimicrobial resistance patterns of <i>B. cereus</i>	78
4.3. Molecular detection of some antimicrobial resistance genes	80
4.1. Detection of (<i>mecA</i>) gene Gene in <i>S. aureus</i>	82
4.5.. Isolation of Bacteriophages	83
4.5.1. Characterization of <i>Salmonella</i> bacteriophages	87
4.5.2. Morphological characterization (Electron microscopy)	87
4.5.3. Host range of <i>Salmonella</i> phages	88
4.5.4. One step growth curve of the isolated phages	90