

Multiple Myeloma: A retrospective Analysis of the Patients Treated at Ain Shams University Clinical Oncology Department with a Review of the Literature

Thesis

Submitted for Parital Fulfillment of Master Degree in Oncology and Nuclear Medicine

By Moushira Esmail Hassan El-Sakka M.B.B.CH

Under Supervision of

Dr. Khaled Abdel Karim

Professor of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohamed Yassin Mostafa

Assistant Professor of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. Khaled Kamal El-Din Elghoniemy

Lecturer of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University **2018**



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgment

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to AUAH, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.

I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to Dr. Khaled Abdel Karim, Professor of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University for his keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.

I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to Dr. Mohamed Yassin Mostafa, Assistant Professor of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University, for his kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.

I am deeply thankful to Dr. Khaled Kamal El-Din Elghoniemy, Lecturer of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University, for his great help, active participation and guidance.

Moushira Esmail

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	5
List of Figures	7
List of Abbreviations	9
Abstract	12
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	14
Review of Literature	
 Multiple Myeloma Incidence and Epidemiolog 	y15
 Pathogenesis of Multiple Myeloma 	18
Diagnosis	25
Management	47
Patients and Methods	71
Results	73
Discussion	101
Summary & Conclusion	108
Recommendations	110
References	111
Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Relevant laboratory tests in myeloma	32
Table (2):	Conventional radiographic statu	ıs in
m 11 (a).	multiple myeloma	
Table (3):	International staging system of myloma	
Table (4):	Standard risk factors for MM an	
	revised ISS	45
Table (5):	International Myeloma Working	Group
	Diagnostic Criteria for Multiple M	
m 11 (a).	and Related Plasma Cell Disorders	
Table (6):	Criteria for High Risk Smoldering N	
Table (7):	Myeloma	
Table (1).	Major Treatment Regimens in M Myeloma	_
Table (8):	Distribution of the studied cases acc	
Table (6)	to demographic and clinical data	0
Table (9):	Sex	
Table (10):	Other recorded Comorbidities	
Table (11):	Other recorded Comorbidities	75
Table (12):	Presenting symptoms	76
Table (13):	Distribution of the studied cases acc	
	to diagnostic investigations	
Table (14):	Distribution of the studied cases acc	_
	to Stage at time of presentation	
Table (15):	Distribution of the studied cases acc	0
m 11 (10).	to 1st line Treatment	
Table (16): Table (17):	Number of cycles	
Table (17):	Response to first line Distribution of the studied cases acc	
1 anie (10).	to 2 nd line Treatment	_
Table (19):	Number of cycles	
Table (20):	Response to second line	



List of Tables cont...

Table No.	Title Page N	V 0.
Table (21):	Distribution of the studied cases according	
Table (21).	to Toxicities	85
Table (22):	Distribution of the studied cases according	
	to Clinical Response	87
Table (23):	Distribution of the studied cases according	
	to Overall survival at time of data collection	88
Table (24):	Overall Survival	89
Table (25):	Progression free survival	90
Table (26):	Kaplan-Meier survival curve for Overall	
	Survival	90
Table (27):	Kaplan-Meier survival curve for progression	
	free survival.	91
Table (28):	Serum Calcium	
Table (29):	Serum Albumin	
Table (30):	Beta2- microglobulin	
Table (31):	Skeletal survey	
Table (32):	Stage at time of presentation	
Table (33):	Number of Cycles of 1st line treatment	
Table (34):	2 nd line Treatment	
Table (35):	Autologous Stem Cell Transplantation	100

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1): Figure (2):	Tumour microenvironment Thoracic spine x-ray demon multiple wedge compression insuffractures typical of myeloma	strating fficiency
Figure (3): Figure (4):	X-ray of an osseous myeloma lesion Computed tomography of an myeloma lesion	osseous
Figure (5):	Computed tomography of an myeloma lesion	osseous
Figure (6):	Whole-body magnetic resonance of an osseous myeloma lesion	
Figure (7):	a-d) Positron emission tomograph osseous myeloma lesion	y of an
Figure (8):	Positron emission tomography/cotomography of extraosseous nelsions	omputed nyeloma
Figure (9):	Approach to the treatment of diagnosed multiple myeloma in tra eligible and transplant ineligible pa	newly insplant
Figure (10):	Suggested options for the treatment relapsed multiple myeloma in first (A) and second or higher relapse (B)	nent of relapse
Figure (11):	A,B) Positron emission tomogra	phy for
Figure (12): Figure (13):	AgeSex.	73
Figure (14):	Comorbidities	75
Figure (15): Figure (16):	Presenting symptoms	
Figure (17):	Regimen	
Figure (18):	Number of cycles.	
Figure (19): Figure (20):	Response to first line	

List of Figures Cont...

Fig. No.	Title Page	No.
FI (0.1):		
Figure (21):	Number of cycles.	
Figure (22):	Response to second line	84
Figure (23):	Toxicities of treatment and grading	86
Figure (24):	Clinical response	87
Figure (25):	Overall survival at time of data collection	88
Figure (26):	Progression free survival	89
Figure (27):	Correlation between Serum Calcium and	
Figure (28):	OS,PFS Correlation between Serum Albumin and OS,PFS	
Figure (29):	Correlation between beta2- microglobulin and OS,PFS.	
Figure (30):	Correlation between skeletal survey and OS,PFS.	
Figure (31):	Correlation between stage of disease and OS, PFS.	
Figure (32):	Correlation between number of cycles in 1 st line treatment and OS,PFS	
Figure (33):	Correlation between 2 nd line treatment and OS,PFS.	
Figure (34):	Correlation between ASCT and OS.PFS	

List of Abbreviations

Full term Abb. ASCT.....Autologous stem cell transplantation BMA..... Bone marrow aspirate BMB..... Bone marrow biopsy BMPCs..... Bone marrow plasma cells CA..... Chromosomal abnormalities CAMDR Cell adhesion-mediated drug resistance CBC......Complete blood count CT Computed tomography CXCR CXC-chemokine receptor type 4 DCE Dynamic contrast-enhanced DFCI...... Dana-Farber Cancer Institute DWI..... Diffusion-weighted imaging FDA..... Food and Drug Administration FLC..... Free light chain HLC Heavy/light chain iFISH..... interphase FISH IFM Intergroupe Francophone du Myelome IMWG...... International Myeloma Working Group IRd Ixazomib, lenalidomide, dexamethasone ISS International Staging System Lenalidomide-KRD Carfilzomib (Kyprolis)-Dexamethasone LDH Lactate dehydrogenase MGUS...... Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance miRNA..... microRNA MM...... Multiple myeloma MP...... Melphalan, prednisone

List of Abbreviations cont...

Abb. Full term MPT Melphalan, prednisone, thalidomide MRI...... Magnetic resonance imaging NCCN National Comprehensive Cancer Network OS Overall survival PET......Positron emission tomography PFS Progression free survival RANKL Receptor activator of nuclear factor-kB ligand Rd.....Lenalidomide plus dexamethasone R-ISS..... Revised ISS SLAMF7 Signaling lymphocytic activation molecule F7 SMM Smouldering MM SUV..... Standardized uptake value SWOG...... Southwest Oncology Group TTP Time to progression VCD Bortezomib (Velcade)- cyclophosphamidedexamethasone VEGFA Vascular endothelial growth factor A VRD.....Bortezomib (Velcade)lenalidomide (Revlimid)- dexamethasone VTD Bortezomib (Velcade)-Thalidomide-Dexamethasone ECOG Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group G-CSF Granulocyte stimulating factor MDS......Myelodysplastic syndrome VMP..... Bortezomib (Velcade) Melphalan Prednisone VMPT Bortezomib (Velcade) – Melphalan – Prednisone Thalidomide

List of Abbreviations cont..

Full term Abb. TRM Transplantation-related mortality GVHD Graft-versus-host disease MRC.....Medical research council BIPN.....Bortezomib induced Peripheral neuropathy SDS......Salmon-Durie staging system SD Stationary disease PR Partial response CR......Complete response PD..... Progressive disease CTCAE......Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Effects $^{18}F\text{-}FDG.....^{18}F\text{-}fluorodeoxyglucose}$ CRP...... C-reactive protein LDH Lactate dehydrogenase ISS The International Staging System MDEs..... Myeloma-defining events TD Thalidomide-Dexamethasone Pom/Dex Pomalidomide-Dexamethasone VD.....Bortezomib-Dex VMP......Bortezomib-Melphalan-Prednisone CyBorD Bortezomib- Cyclophosphamide- Dexamethasone CCyD......Carfilzomib- Cyclophosphamide- Dexamethasone



Abstract

Multiple Myeloma: Aretrospective analysis of the patients treated at Ain Shams University Clinical oncology department with a review of the literature.

Purpose/Objective: This study aims at analysis the epidemiological data of the patients treated from multiple myeloma at Ain Shams University together with reviewing the different lines of management according to recent recommendations.

Patients and methods: This retrospective analyses of 62 patients with multiple myloma data recorded at their files with follow up and reviews of the recent advances in the management of multiple myeloma.

Results: among 62 patients involved in the present study we found that 96.8% of patients showing clinical improvement after treatment on other hand only 3.2% deteriorated, 61.35 of patients were alive, 9.7% died and 29% lost follow up, the mean time to DFS was 22.55 months, mean OS was 63.2 months with 87.8% of patients survived at the end of the study, as regard mean PFS was 54.9 months with PFS at end of study was 74.9% of patients, there was insignificant differences between OS and demographic data, laboratory studies, there was insignificant differences between PFS and demographic data, laboratory studies.

Conclusion: Multiple myeloma (MM) is a heterogeneous hematologic malignancy involving the proliferation of plasma cells derived by different genetic events contributing to the development, progression, and prognosis of this disease.

Key words: Multiple myeloma –Kahler's disease – Egypatian patients – prognostic factors.



Introduction

ultiple myeloma (MM) is a malignant neoplasm of plasma cells that accumulate in bone marrow leading to bone destruction and marrow failure (Siegel et al., 2017).

Most cases of multiple myeloma also feature the production of a para protein (an abnormal antibody) which can cause kidney problems. Bone lesions and hypercalcemia are also often encountered (*Dimopoulos et al.*, 2011).

Multiple myeloma accounts for about 1.8% of all cancers and slightly over 17% of all the hematologic malignancies in the United States. Myeloma is most frequently diagnosed among people aged from 65 to 74 years with median age 69 years. About 30,280 new myeloma cases have been estimated in the United States in 2017 with an estimated 12,590 deaths (Siegel et al., 2017).

It is more common in men and for unknown reasons, is twice as common in African Americans as it is in White Americans. With conventional treatment, median survival is 3– 4 years, which may be extended to 5–7 years or longer with advanced treatments (Ocio et al., 2008).



AIM OF THE WORK

This study aims at analysing the epidemiological data of the patients treated from multiple myeloma at Ain Shams University together with reviewing the different lines of management according to recent recommendations.

Chapter 1

MULTIPLE MYELOMA INCIDENCE AND EPIDEMIOLOGY

Imost all patients with Multiple Myeloma (MM) evolve from an asymptomatic pre-malignant stage termed monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS). MGUS progresses to MM at a rate of 1% per year. In some patients, an intermediate asymptomatic but more advanced pre-malignant stage termed smouldering (or indolent) multiple myeloma (SMM) can be recognised. SMM progresses to myeloma at a rate of 10% per year over the first 5 years following diagnosis, 3% per year over the following 5 years, and 1.5% per year thereafter (*Rajkumar et al., 2014*).

Multiple myeloma accounts for approximately 1.8% of all cancers and slightly >15% of hematologic malignancies in the United States. Myeloma is most frequently diagnosed among people aged 65 to 74 years, with the median age being 69 years. In 2016, the American Cancer Society estimated that 30,330 new cancer cases occurred in the United States, with an estimated 12,650 deaths (*Siegel et al., 2017*).

Egyptian data from national population-based cancer registry, MM represented 0.53% of cancers in males and 0.34% of cancers in females at period from (2008-2011) with peak age of diagnosis from 60 to 65 years in males and from 70 to 75