



**Faculty of Education**  
Dept. of Biological and  
Geological Sciences

**Geological Studies of the Plio-Pleistocene  
Paleosol sediments, 6th October City, Giza,  
EGYPT.**

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT FOR  
THE MASTER DEGREE IN TEACHER PREPARATION IN SCIENCE  
(GEOLOGY)

BY

**Aya Al-Sayied Al-Anwaer**  
(B. Sc. In Geology & Biology)

To

Department of Biological and Geological Sciences, Faculty of Education,  
Ain Shams University

Supervised By

**Prof. Dr. Abd El-Moneim Ahmed Mahmoud**  
Professor of Sedimentology and Quaternary Geology  
Faculty of Education – Ain Shams University

**Prof. Dr. Ashraf Rushdi Mohamed Baghdady**  
Associate Professor of Sedimentology  
Faculty of Science – Ain Shams University

**Dr. Ahmed Gad Abd El Wahed**  
Lecturer of Geology  
Faculty of Science – Ain Shams University

**2018**

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT (1)**

First of all, cordial thanks to **ALLAH** who enabled me to overcome all the problems that faced me throughout the work.

I would like to express my deep thanks and gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Hamed Abd El Aal** a head of the Department of Geology for his valuable help and facilities offered during the course of this investigation.

Great thanks are due to **Prof. Dr. Abd El-Moneim Ahmed Mahmoud**, professor of sedimentology, Department of Biological and Geological Sciences, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University, for his kind supervision, suggesting the point of research, fruitful help, patriotic patience, energetic guidance and conclusive instructions throughout the course of this investigation.

I wish to express my sincere appreciation and deep gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Ashraf Rushdi Mohamed Baghdady** Associate Professor of Sedimentology- Geology Department - Faculty of Science - Ain Shams University, for his kind supervision, suggesting the point of research, unlimited support on both scientific and personal levels and for his sincere guidance from the very beginning and up to writing the manuscript.

I am deeply indebted to **Dr. Ahmed Gad Abd El Wahed** Lecturer of Geology Faculty of Science \_ Ain Shams University, for his kind supervision, suggesting the point of research, continuous material, and moral support valuable advices and being a very effective factor in driving over this work successfully.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT(2)

I am greatly grateful to my **mother** for aiding and supporting and encouraging me.

I would like to express my love and appreciation to my **husband Maged** for taking care for me and helping and standing beside me in the most difficult moments.

## **ABSTRACT**

Eight sections were measured and described in the 6th of October City. These sections are I,II,III,IV,V,VI,VII and VIII. Lithostratigraphic sections I to III belong to the post- Miocene(Plio-Pleistocene) paleosol where as section V and VI belong to late Pleistocene (most –recent paleosol), section IV is Basalt, section VII belongs to Miocene clastic and VIII belongs to Nonclastic – Miocene.

Most cumulative curves of these sections show almost similar trend, exhibiting a dominance of sand and gravel sizes which makes the saltation and traction population is the most predominant in most of these curves.

The sorting coefficients of most of the studied samples indicate poor and very poor sorting, except those of section VI signify moderately sorting. This fact may be related to the limited extent weathering and short distance of transportation. The studied sediments were transported and deposited mainly by fluvial processes. This is indicated by: (i) the nature of their cumulative curves which indicate that their transportation was dominated by saltation and traction; and (ii) the plotting of the relationships between their grain-size parameters into the river fields of the applied scatter diagrams. This is further confirmed by the fact that their cumulative curves are similar to those characteristic of fluvial sediments. The sequence of the previously diagenetic processes may be accepted because the studied paleosols constitute the upper most parts of the stratigraphic sections. So, they did not subjected to burial compaction and then the cementation played the main role of lithification. Also, the abundance of iron oxides in the studied paleosol samples may be referred to the Oligocene iron rich sediments which supply the solutions with more iron oxides.

These paleosols are resulted from the karstifications processes in which the dissolution of the Eocene, Miocene and Pliocene carbonates during the pluvial period in an oxidizing wet phase depositional environments.

# CONTENTS

	Title	Page
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT(1)</b>	i
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT(2)</b>	ii
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	iii
	<b>CONTENTS</b>	iv
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	vii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xii
	<b><u>CHAPTER (1)</u></b> <b><u>INTRODUCTION</u></b>	
1.1	Interpretation of The Soil	1
1.2	Interpretation of The Paleosoil	2
1.3	Location of The Study Area	4
1.4	climate	5
1.5	Topograghy and Geological Setting	5
1.6	Bedrock Geology	6
1.6.1	Eocene Limestone Thebes	6
1.6.2	Oligocene Basalt flow	6
1.6.3	Miocene	6
1.7	Previous literatures	7
1.8	Objectives	10
1.9	Methodology	10
	<b><u>CHAPTER (2)</u></b> <b><u>Lithostratigraphy</u></b>	
2.1	Section I	11
2.2	Section II	14
2.3	Section III	17
2.4	Section IV	20
2.5	Section V	20
2.6	Section VI	23

	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
2.7	Section VII	25
2.8	Compiled Lithostratigraphic Sections	27
	<b>CHAPTER (3)</b> <b>GRAIN SIZE CHARACTERISTICS</b>	
3.1	Textural Nomenclature	31
3.2	Grain Size Frequency Distributions	34
3.2.1	Histograms	34
3.2.2	Probability cumulative curves	39
3.2.3	Grain size parameters	43
3.2.3.1	Graphic Mean (Mz)	46
3.2.3.2	Sorting Coefficient ( $\sigma I$ )	47
3.2.3.3	Inclusive Graphic Skewness (SKI)	48
3.2.3.4	Inclusive Graphic Kurtosis (KG)	50
3.2.4	Scatter Diagrams	51
	<b>CHAPTER (4)</b> <b>Petrology</b>	
4.1	Petrography	54
4.1.1	Section I	54
4.1.2	Section III	56
4.1.2.1	Quartz arenite	54
4.1.2.2	Polymictic conglomerate	60
4.1.3	Section VI	62
4.1.4	Section VII	63
4.1.5	Intrasparite	67
4.1.6	Basalt	68
4.2	Chemical Composition	70
4.3	Mineral Composition of Clay Size Fractions	71
4.3.1	Montmorillonite	71
4.3.2	Kaolinite	72
	<b>SUMMARY AND COCLUSION</b>	77
	<b>RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	79

	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>80</b>
	<b>ARABIC SUMMARY</b>	

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

<b>No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
1.1	Location map of the study area.	4
1.2	The climate data for 6th of October City.	5
1.3	Geological map of 6th October City.	6
2.1	Field photograph of the geologic Section I showing the collected samples location (from 1,2 ,3,4,5,6).	12
2.2	A-Section I showing root (Arrow) B-Section I showing sample 3 C-Section I showing sample 5 D-Section I showing sample 6	13
2.3	Field photograph of the geologic Section II showing the collected samples (from 1, 2, 3, 4,5)	15
2.4	A- Section II showing samples 1 and 2 B-Section II showing sample 3 C-Section II showing samples 4 and 5	16
2.5	Field photograph of the geologic Section III showing the collected samples (from 1, 2, 3, 4).	18
2.6	A- Section III showing samples 1 and 2 B-Section III showing samples 3 and 4	19
2.7	Field photograph of Section IV area.	20
2.8	Field photograph of the geologic Section V showing the collected samples (from 1, 2, 3, 4,5,6).	21
2.9	A- Section V showing sample 1 B-Section V showing sample 2 C-Section V showing samples 3 and 4 D- Section V showing sample 5 E- Section V showing sample 6	22
2.10	Field photograph of the geologic Section VI showing the collected samples (from 1, 2,3,4,5).	23
2.11	A- Section VI showing sample 1 B-Section VI showing samples 2 and 3 C-Section VI showing sample 4 D- Section VI showing sample 5 of the section	24



***List of Figures***

<b>No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
2.12	Field photograph of the geologic Section VII showing the collected samples (from 1, 2,3,4,5).	25
2.13	A- Section VII showing sample 1 B-Section VII showing sample 4	26
2.14	Compiled Lithostratigraphic Sections	30
3.1	Plotting of the studied sediments on the ternary diagram.	33
3.2	Histograms representing the grain size distribution in the studied samples.	35
3.3	Probability cumulative curves of the studied sediments of section I.	40
3.4	Probability cumulative curves of the studied sediments of section II.	41
3.5	Probability cumulative curves of the studied sediments of section III.	41
3.6	Probability cumulative curves of the studied sediments of section V.	42
3.7	Probability cumulative curves of the studied sediments of section VI.	42
3.8	Probability cumulative curves of the studied sediments of section VII.	43
3.9	Boxplot of the mean size (Mz) for the studied sediments.	47
3.10	Boxplot of the sorting coefficients ( $\sigma I$ ) for the studied sediments.	48
3.11	Boxplot of the skewness (SKI) for the studied sediments.	49
3.12	Boxplot of the kurtosis (KG) for the studied sediments.	50
3.13	Scatter plot of sorting coefficient versus mean size on the diagram.	51
3.14	Scatter plot of sorting coefficient versus skewness on the diagram.	52
3.15	Scatter plot of skewness versus sorting coefficient on the diagram.	52
3.16	Scatter plot of Kurtosis versus skewness on the diagram.	53
4.1	Poorly sorted, subangular to subrounded quartz grains. Note the plagioclase grains in the center of photo	52

***List of Figures***

<b>No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
	(Sample I4, CN, 4 X).	
4.2	Monocrystalline, fine to very fine sand sized quartz grains float in iron cement. Note the polycrystalline, pebbly sized quartz grain (Sample I4, CN, 4 X).	52
4.3	Some pebbly quartz and chert grains associated with sand sized quartz grains (Sample III 1, CN, 4 X).	53
4.4	Quartz grains show point and straight contacts. Note the abundant iron oxides cement, nodules and coatings (Sample III 1, PPL, 4X).	54
4.5	Pebble to very fine sand sized grains display unit extinction. Note the megacrystalline chert and plagioclase grains (Sample III 2, CN, X4).	54
4.6	Pebble sized, subrounded microcrystalline chert grain associated with variable size quartz grains. Note the iron oxide coating of chert and some quartz grains (Sample III 2, CN, X4).	55
4.7	Monocrystalline quartz grains display unit extinction associated with plagioclase and chert grains (Sample III 3, CN, X4).	55
4.8	A close up view of polycrystalline pebbly quartz grain rimmed by iron oxides (Sample III 3, CN, X4)	56
4.9	Subangular to subrounded quartz grains cemented by iron oxides and silica. Most of the silica cement is coated by iron oxides (Sample III 5, CN, X4).	56
4.10	A close up view of a fractured quartz grain. Note the embayment of fine grains and cement within of the grain (Sample III 5, CN, X10).	57
4.11	Polymictic- para-conglomerate show pebbly chert and sandy quartz grain embedded in calcite cement (Sample III 4, CN, X4)	58
4.12	A close up view of chert grain shows veinlets of silica (Sample III 4, CN, X4).	58
4.13	Mono- and poly-crystalline, pebbly quartz grains embedded in dolomitic, matrix rich rock groundmass. (Sample VI 5, CN, X4).	59

*List of Figures*

<b>No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
4.14	Quartz grains cemented by idiotopic dolomite made up of well developed rhombohedrons. Note the iron rich centers of rhombohedral crystals. (Sample VI 5, CN, X10).	60
4.15	Subangular to subrounded monocrystalline quartz grains cemented by silica, calcite and dolomite. Note the growth relation between silica dolomite. Sample VII 1, CN, X10).	61
4.16	Poorly sorted quartz grains show point and straight contacts. Note iron oxides cement nodules and coatings (Sample VIII1, PPL, X4).	61
4.17	Monocrystalline quartz grains displaying unit extinction. Note the chert grain composed of microcrystalline silica and calcite cement.(Sample VII 2, CN X4).	62
4.18	Monocrystalline quartz, some polycrystalline quartz and rare plagioclase grains cemented by sparitic calcite and iron oxides (Sample VII2, CN,X10)	62
4.19	Moderately sorted, medium to fine sand sized quartz grains cemented by calcite and iron oxides (Sample VII 4, CN, X4).	63
4.20	Subangular to subrounded quartz grains with rare plagioclase cemented by calcite, iron oxides and silica. Note the worned silica overgrowth of a pebbly sized quartz grain (Sample VII 4, CN, X10).	63
4.21	Pseudosparite filling the intergranular pores with relics of micrite (limestone sample, PPL, X4).	64
4.22	Intrasparite composed of quartz allochems cemented by intergranular pseudosparite and isopacheous calcite (limestone sample, PPL, X10).	65
4.23	Phenocryst of pyroxene in the center of photo surrounded by plagioclase and olivine crystals (Basalt sample, CN, X4).	66
4.24	Radially oriented plagioclase crystals with intergranular olivine (Basalt sample, CN, X4).	66
4.25	M: main peak of montmorillonite for Sample ( I )	69

---

---

*List of Figures*

<b>No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
4.26	M: main peak of montmorillonite, K: main peak of kaolinite for Sample (II)	70
4.27	M: main peak of montmorillonite, K: main peak of kaolinite for Sample (III)	71
4.28	M: main peak of montmorillonite, K: main peak of kaolinite for Sample (VI)	72

## **LIST OF TABLES**

<b>No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
3.1	Percentages of the various size fractions in 6th October city sediments and their textural nomenclature.	32
3.2	The descriptive statistics of the different grain size parameters of the studied sediments.	44
4.1	Percentage of major oxides in the studied paleosols.	67

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Interpretation of The Soil

Soil is a mixture of organic matter, minerals, gases, liquids, and organisms that together support life. The Earth's body of soil is the pedosphere, which has four important functions: it is a medium for plant growth; it is a means of water storage, supply and purification; it is a modifier of Earth's atmosphere; it is a habitat for organisms; all of which, in turn, modify the soil. Soil interfaces with the lithosphere, the hydrosphere, the atmosphere, and the biosphere ( **Chesworth, 2008**).

The term pedolith, used commonly to refer to the soil, literally translates ground stone. Soil consists of a solid phase of minerals and organic matter (the soil matrix), as well as a porous phase that holds gases (the soil atmosphere) and water (the soil solution). ( **Voroney & Heck, 2007**), ( **Danoff, 2011**) and ( **Taylor and Ashcroft, 1972**).

Accordingly, soils are often treated as a three-state system of solids, liquids, and gases ( **McCarthy, 2006** ) .

Soil is a product of the influence of climate, relief (elevation, orientation, and slope of terrain), organisms, and its parent materials (original minerals) interacting over time ( **Gilluly, et al 1975**).

It continually undergoes development by way of numerous physical, chemical and biological processes, which include weathering with associated erosion. Given its complexity and strong internal connectedness, it is considered an ecosystem by soil ecologists ( **Ponge, 2015** ) .

Most soils have a dry bulk density (density of soil taking into account voids when dry) between 1.1 and 1.6 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, while the soil particle density is much higher, in the range of 2.6 to 2.7 g/cm<sup>3</sup> ( **Yu, et al 2015**).

Little of the soil of planet Earth is older than the Pleistocene and none is older than the Cenozoic, ( **Buol, et al 2011**). although fossilized soils are preserved from as far back as the Archean ( **Retallack, et al 2016**).

Pedology is focused on the formation, description (morphology), and classification of soils in their natural environment (**Amundson, 2017**). In engineering terms, soil is included in the broader concept of regolith, which also includes other loose material that lies above the bedrock (**Simonson, 1957**). Soil is commonly referred to as earth or dirt; technically, the term dirt should be restricted to displaced soil (**Raloff, 2008**).

## 1.2 Interpretation of The Paleosoil

In the geosciences, paleosol (palaeosol in Great Britain and Australia) can have two meanings. The first meaning, common in geology and paleontology, refers to a former soil preserved by burial underneath either sediments (alluvium or loess) or volcanic deposits (volcanic ash), which in the case of older deposits have lithified into rock. In Quaternary geology, sedimentology, paleoclimatology, and geology in general, it is the typical and accepted practice to use the term "paleosol" to designate such "fossil soils" found buried within either sedimentary or volcanic deposits exposed in all continents as illustrated by (**Retallack, 2001**) and (**Kraus, 1999**).

In soil science, paleosols are soils formed long periods ago that have no relationship in their chemical and physical characteristics to the present-day climate or vegetation. Paleosol is an indication of Mean Annual precipitation, Mean Annual of temperature and paleoenvironment.

Because of the changes in the Earth's climate over the last fifty million years, soils formed under tropical rainforest (or even savanna) have become exposed to increasingly arid climates which cause former oxisols, ultisols or even alfisols to dry out in such a manner that a very hard crust is formed. This process has occurred so extensively in most parts of Australia as to restrict soil development - the former soil is effectively the parent material for a new soil, but it is so unweatherable that only a very poorly developed soil can exist in present dry climates, especially when they have become much drier during glacial periods in the Quaternary.

In other parts of Australia, and in many parts of Africa, drying out of former soils has not been so severe. This has led to large areas of relict podsols in quite dry climates in the far southern inland of Australia (where temperate rainforest was formerly dominant) and to the formation of torrox soils (a suborder of oxisols) in southern Africa. Here, present climates allow, effectively, the maintenance of the old soils in climates under