Dexmedetomedine versus Standard Sedatives in Weaning from Mechanical Ventilation

Ehesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of M.D. degree in **Intensive Care Medicine**

By Ayman Elsaid Ahmed Abdellatif

M.B., B. Ch. & M.Sc.

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Nabila Mohamed Fahmy

Professor of Anesthesiology & Intensive Care Medicine Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Hatem Said Abdel Hamid

Professor of Anesthesiology & Intensive Care Medicine Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr. Amal Hamed Rabie

Lecturer of Anesthesiology & Intensive Care Medicine Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr. Hany Maher Salib

Lecturer of Anesthesiology & Intensive Care Medicine Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2018



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢



First and foremost, I feel always indebted to Allah, the Most Beneficent and Merciful who gave me the strength to accomplish this work.

My deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Nabila Mohamed Fahmy, Professor of Anesthesiology & Intensive Care Medicine, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for her valuable guidance and expert supervision, in addition to her great deal of support and encouragement. I really have the honor to complete this work under her supervision.

I would like to express my great appreciation and thanks to **Prof. Dr. Hatem Said Abdel Hamid,** Professor of Anesthesiology & Intensive Care Medicine, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for his meticulous supervision, and his patience in reviewing and correcting this work.

I would like also to thank **Dr. Amal Hamed Rabie**, Lecturer of Anesthesiology & Intensive Care Medicine, Faculty of Medicine — Ain Shams University, for her keen supervision and valuable guidance during the whole work.

Last but not least, I extend my appreciation to **Dr. Hany Maher Salib**, Lecturer of Anesthesiology & Intensive Care Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University, for the efforts and time he has devoted to accomplish this work.

Special thanks to all members of my family, specially my **Parents** and my **Wife**, for the care and support in every step of my life.



List of Contents

Subject	Page No.
List of Abbreviations	i
List of Tables	iii
List of Figures	v
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	3
Review of Literature	
Sedation in ICU	4
Dexmedetomedine	11
Midazolam	28
Propofol	43
Weaning from mechanical ventilation	56
Patients and Methods	66
Results	76
Discussion	94
Conclusion	105
Summary	106
References	110
Arabic Summary	

List of Abbreviations

LIST OF ADDIEVIALIO

ICUs : Intensive care units

Abbrev.

VAP : Ventilator-associated pneumonia

Full term

SAS : Sedation Agitation Scale

EEG : Electroencephalogram

RASS: Richmond Agitation Sedation Scale

BIS : Bispectral Index

AER : Auditory evoked responses

CNS : Central nervous system

IL : Interleukin

FDA : Food and Drug Administration

cAMP : Cyclic AMP

VLPO: Ventrolateral preoptic nucleus

GABA : Gama-aminobutyric acid

TMN : Tuberomamillary nucleus

AVP : Action of vasopressin

BZD : Benzodiazepines

BzR : Benzodiazepine receptors

CBF : Cerebral blood flow

CMRO₂ : Cerebral metabolic rate

NMDA : *N*-methyl-D-aspartate

ICP : Intracranial pressure

CPP : Cerebral perfusion pressure

ACTH : Adrenocorticotropic hormone

ICU : Intensive care unit

MV : Minute ventilation

MVV : Maximum voluntary ventilation

VC : Vital capacity

VT : Tidal volume

RSBI : Rapid shallow breathing index

SBT : Spontaneous breathing trial

SBP : Systolic blood pressure

SIMV : Synchronized intermittent mandatory ventilation

MAP : Mean arterial blood pressure

CPOT : Critical care Pain Observation Tool

List of Tables

Table No	. Title Page N	0.
Table (1):	Richmond Agitation-Sedation Scale (RASS)	.9
Table (2):	Physicochemical characterization of three benzodiazepines	33
Table (3):	Richmond Agitation-Sedation Scale (RASS)	69
Table (4):	The Critical care Pain Observation Tool (CPOT)	71
Table (5):	Comparison between three groups regarding sex	76
Table (6):	Comparison between three groups regarding Age	77
Table (7):	Comparison between three groups regarding BMI	78
Table (8):	Type of surgery	79
Table (9):	Comparison between three groups regarding MAP (mmhg)	80
Table (10):	Comparison between three groups regarding HR	82
Table (11):	Comparison between three groups regarding SpO2 (%)	84
Table (12):	Comparison between three groups regarding RASS	86
Table (13):	Comparison between three groups regarding CPOT	88
Table (14):	Nalbuphine requirement	90

Table (15):	Comparison between three groups regarding admission to extubation time (hr)	.91
Table (16):	Comparison between three groups regarding drug discontinuation to extubation	. 92
Table (17):	Comparison between three groups regarding length of ICU stay (hrs)	.93

List of Figures

Figure No	9. 6	T itle		Page 9	No.
Figure (1):	Chemical struc	ture of Dex	medetom	nedine	11
Figure (2):	Physiology of Alpha 2 Receptors				15
Figure (3):	Chemical structure of midazolam				28
Figure (4):	Schematic diagram of the $(\alpha 1)_2(\beta 2)_2(\gamma 2)$ GABA _A receptor complex				28
Figure (5):	Chemical struc	ture of prop	ofol		43
Figure (6):	Comparison regarding sex				76
Figure (7):	Comparison regarding age				77
Figure (8):	Comparison regarding BMI				
Figure (9):	Type of surger	y			79
Figure (10):	Comparison regarding MAI				81
Figure (11):	Comparison regarding HR				83
Figure (12):	Comparison regarding SpO	between	three	groups	
Figure (13):		between	three	groups	
Figure (14):	Comparison regarding CPO	between	three	groups	

Figure (15):	Comparison regarding NA				90
Figure (16):	Comparison regarding adn			•	91
Figure (17):	Comparison regarding extubation	drug disc	ontinuati	on to	92
Figure (18):	Comparison regarding leng				93

Abstract

Background: Sedation in the intensive care unit Patients is assumed to reduce discomfort from care interventions, increase tolerance of mechanical ventilation, prevent accidental removal of instrumentation, and reduce metabolic demands during cardiovascular and respiratory instability. Aim of the Work: The aim of the work is to evaluate the use of dexmedetomedine as a sedative to facilitate weaning from mechanical ventilation and extubation, so decrease the incidence of reintubation, ventilator complications and decrease the ICU cost and stay. Patients and Methods: This was a controlled randomized prospective clinical trial carried out in Ain-Shams University Hospitals. After approval of institutional ethical committee, the study included 90 adult postoperative patients and requiring postoperative mechanical ventilation in the surgical ICU for maximum duration of 48 hours postoperatively. **Results:** As regard to time to extubation, results of the current study showed a highly statistically significant difference between three groups regarding time to extubation (hr) when p-value was < 0.001. Conclusion: dexmedetomidine has clinically relevant benefits compared to midazolam and propofol in facilitating extubation because of its shorter time to extubation, more hemodynamic stability, easy arousability and lack of respiratory depression; hence, it can be used as an effective, and safe sedative agent to facilitate extubation in ICUs and decreasing ICU length of stay.

Key words: dexmedetomedine, standard sedatives, mechanical ventilation, weaning

Introduction

pain, agitation and delirium commonly occur in critically ill patients, with potential consequences that necessitate treatment with analgesic, sedative and antipsychotic medications. Over the last 15 years, considerable evidence has accumulated demonstrating that both choice of agent and how we use these drugs can significantly impact clinically relevant patient outcomes. This, in turn, has influenced recent pain, agitation and delirium guidelines (*Barr et al., 2013*).

Patients requiring mechanical ventilation are typically having significant anxiety and pain (*Hughes et al.*, 2012). These patients require sedation to tolerate the tracheal tube and the ventilator, to suppress cough, to prevent respiratory fighting during intensive care procedures and to prevent psychological complications associated with pain and anxiety. An ideal sedative agent should allow for rapid modification of the sedation level by titration of doses, no depressant effects on the cardiovascular or respiratory systems, cheap, have short duration without cumulative effects, and allow rapid recovery of effective spontaneous respiration after stopping the infusion (*Devlin and Roberts*, 2011).

The pharmacologic control of analgesia and sedation is nearly a routine in everyday practice in intensive care units (ICUs) worldwide, especially in the management of symptoms of mechanically ventilated patients (*Barr et al.*, 2013).

Sedative medications are often provided for comfort in mechanically ventilated patient but have been associated with harm, including occurrence of delirium, ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP), and prolonged mechanical ventilation (*Jackson et al.*, 2010).

The process of weaning from mechanical ventilation is central to the management of critically ill patients. It is a very complex and difficult task. Attention should be paid to wean off the ventilator as quickly as possible after the conditions that warranted placing the patient on the ventilator begin to resolve and stabilize (*MacIntyre et al.*, 2001). Delayed or unnecessarily prolonged weaning increases length of intensive care unit (ICU) stay, health-care cost, decreases the ICU bed availability and adversely affects patient outcome (*Kress et al.*, 2000).

Dexmedetomidine is a selective alpha-2-adrenoceptor agonist. It exerts both sedative and analgesic effects via mechanisms different from other sedatives such as midazolam and propofol, and provides sedation characterized by prompt response to stimuli with no respiratory depression (*Hsu et al.*, 2004). Therefore does not interfere with weaning from mechanical ventilation. Because of this characteristic, infusions of dexmedetomidine can be continued after extubation without the risk of respiratory failure, a complication that can occur with propofol, lorazepam, and midazolam (*Sessler and Varney*, 2009).

Aim of the Work

The aim of the work is to evaluate the use of dexmedetomedine as a sedative to facilitate weaning from mechanical ventilation and extubation, so decrease the incidence of reintubation, ventilator complications and decrease the ICU cost and stay.

Sedation in ICU

Interported. Use of sedation is important to help achieve the right balance between sleep and wakefulness; the correct balance is essential for incorporating physical activity and patients' cooperation in the plan of care. Other goals of adequate sedation include optimizing safety for patients and caregivers, facilitating mechanical ventilation, reducing anxiety and delirium, inducing sleep, and, ultimately, providing comfort and safety (*Murthy*, 2007).

Continuous chemical sedation in the intensive care unit (ICU) is commonly used to control respiratory rate and anxiety and thus promote sleep and ultimately optimize care (*Pandharipande et al.*, 2007).

Sedative medications are commonly prescribed within the ICU environment primarily for the treatment of agitation and anxiety, which themselves may be caused by many different conditions (eg, dyspnea, delirium, mechanical ventilation, lack of sleep, and untreated pain). The appropriate use of sedatives can facilitate patient care and contribute to patient safety; however, their use is associated with both short- and long-term negative patient outcomes, including prolonged mechanical ventilation and cognitive dysfunction. It is important, therefore, to define the indication for sedation, as this may affect the