

Ain Shams University Faculty of Engineering Irrigation & Hydraulics Department

A Multi-Component Rainfall/Runoff Model for Engineering Applications

A Thesis Submitted for the Partial Fulfillment of the **Master Degree**

Civil Engineering - Irrigation & Hydraulics [2018]

Ву

Eng. Omar Hazem Zohny

B.Sc. Civil Engineering - Irrigation & Hydraulics [2011]

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Ashraf M. El Moustafa

Professor of Engineering Hydrology Irrigation & Hydraulics Department Faculty of Engineering Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohamed Abdel Hamid Gad

Associate Professor
Irrigation & Hydraulics Department
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Cairo, Egypt 2018



Ain Shams University Faculty of Engineering Irrigation & Hydraulics Department

A Multi-Component Rainfall/Runoff Model for Engineering Applications

Ву

Eng. Omar Hazem Zohny

A thesis Submitted for the partial fulfillment of the Master Degree

EXAMINERS COMMITTEE

Name	Signature
Prof. Dr. Gamal Ibrahim Mohamed Qotb Professor of Water Resources Engineering Director of Water Resources Research Institute Prof. Dr. Ahmed Aly Aly Hassan Professor of Environmental Hydrology Irrigation & Hydraulics Department Faculty of Engineering Ain Shams University	
Prof. Dr. Ashraf Mohamed El Moustafa Professor of Engineering Hydrology Irrigation & Hydraulics Department Faculty of Engineering Ain Shams University	
Dr. Mohamed Abd Al Hameed Gad Associate Professor Irrigation & Hydraulics Department Faculty of Engineering Ain Shams University	

Cairo, Egypt 2018

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم (وَقُلُ رَبِّ زِدْنِي عِلْماً)

صدق الله العلي العظيم سورة طه: من الأية114

THE AUTHOR

Name: Omar Hazem Soliman Hussein Zohny

Date of Birth: 14-August-1989

Place of Birth: Giza, Egypt

Scientific Degrees: B.Sc. Civil Engineering – Irrigation and Hydraulics, 2011

Current Job: Senior Hydrologist – Technical Manager

AIECon

Cairo, Egypt

STATEMENT

This thesis is submitted to the Irrigation and Hydraulics Department, Faculty

of Engineering, Ain Shams University in the partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the Degree of Master in Civil Engineering.

The work in this thesis was carried out in the Irrigation and Hydraulics

Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University from 2012 to 2018.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at

any other university or institution.

Name: Omar Hazem Zohny

Date: / /2018

Signature:

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

At the beginning and end, thanks to Allah for everything

I would like to thank the supervisors of this thesis; **Prof. Dr. Ashraf El Moustafa** for his guidance, patience and continuous support and for being the older brother, advisor and good friend along all the way and for being the mentor who I always look up to, **Dr. Mohamed Abdel Hamid Gad** for his continuous challenging ideas and advices which were the main spark for the topic of this research and thanks for always believing in me and my capabilities and thanks for your guidance and support during my undergraduate and post graduate study.

Dr. Hazem Zohny, my father you have always been and ever will be my superhero, my idol and my backbone and I hope this lasts as much as I live in this life, I wish that I can make you happy and proud with this achievement, I know your main aim was to see me a successful dentist to continue your successful legacy and despite I chose the engineering field but I hope that with this I could at least give you pride and happiness to make it up for you.

My mother you have been the angel and blessing that one can wish from Allah, thanks for always taking care of me and my health since I was a child and till I am a grown up man and for covering me at nights when you find me sleeping over my laptop out of tiredness, no words can thank you enough and nothing can repay you for all what you did with me along all my life, I wish Allah gives you all happiness and health in this world and more and this is dedicated to you.

Alya, my little sister thanks for being there and for sharing this happy life with me.

Yasmin, my colleague and best friend thanks for all your moral and technical support all along these years of research which lead to this achievement, we finally did it, and I can't thank you enough.

In addition, I would like to thank all of my colleagues and friends who took part in this achievement either by technical or moral support.

Finally, my appreciation is due to the staff of the **Department of Irrigation and Hydraulics**, Ain Shams University for education, providing my background and supporting during undergraduate as well as postgraduate study.

ABSTRACT

The Rainfall/Runoff transformation process is the response of any given watershed in transforming a rainfall event into the corresponding flow hydrograph. This process can be estimated through rainfall and discharge measurements or be simulated through Rainfall/Runoff (Hydrologic) modeling. Generally, as gauged watersheds are not available (especially in arid and semi-arid regions); Hydrologic modeling is the most commonly used approach to simulate the process of rainfall/runoff transformation.

Hydrologic models vary in their degrees of complexity and accuracy. The available hydrologic models can be divided into three major types: Lumped models, Distributed models, and Semi-Distributed models. Lumped models are simple to apply but cannot determine flow hydrographs. On the other hand, distributed models are very complex models that provide high accuracy but still distributed models can not satisfy engineering applications due to the unacceptable runtime taken by some of these models. The semi-distributed models are the most widely used for engineering applications as they are much more accurate than lumped models and at the same time less complex than distributed models. The semi-distributed models are mainly divided into two sub-groups: (1) Network Techniques (e.g., HEC-HMS flood hydrograph package), and (2) Time-Area (TA) techniques. The idea of this research is to blend the powers of the two worlds to develop an accurate and practical model suitable for engineering applications.

In this research, historical and previous studies and researches in the same field are discussed and rainfall-runoff observed data were collected for some of the available gauged watersheds in order to be used in the verification of the results of this research.

The work methodology includes the development of an automated hydrological model using GIS capabilities to analyze terrain raster data and apply hydrologic and hydraulic basics to produce a spatially distributed unit hydrograph for each watershed, in addition to estimating some hydrological parameters for these watersheds (i.e., area, time of concentration & weighted CN values).

The results of the developed model were verified using the collected rainfall-runoff observed data, and then the model is applied to several case studies to show the comparison between the results of the developed model and the results of other practically applied hydrologic models in engineering applications.

The main conclusion from this research is that spatially distributed unit hydrographs are more recommended to be used in hydrologic processes (simulations) as the watershed actual response is better simulated using this technique than the lumped unit hydrographs in the sense of consideration of spatial variation in travel times within the same watershed which affects the resultant shape of the runoff hydrograph and peak discharge value as well.

Keywords: Rainfall\Runoff, Hydrology, Modeling, GIS, Gridded Runoff Hydrograph

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 Overview	1-1
1.2 Problem Definition	1-2
1.3 Research Objectives	1-4
1.3.1 Main Objectives	1-4
1.3.2 Sub-Objectives	1-4
1.4 Methodology and Approach	1-5
1.5 Thesis Organization	1-6
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	2-1
2.1 Introduction	2-1
2.2 Excess Rainfall and Direct Runoff	2-2
2.3 Travel Time	2-2
2.4 Time of Concentration	2-3
2.4.1 Kirpich formula	2-3
2.4.2 NRCS (SCS) formula	2-4
2.4.3 Velocity formula	2-5
2.5 Lag Time	2-7
2.6 Synthetic Unit Hydrograph (SUH)	2-7
2.6.1 NRCS (SCS) Dimensionless Unit Hydrograph	2-9
2.6.2 Snyder's Unit Hydrograph	2-12
2.6.3 Clark Unit Hydrograph	2-15

2.7 Hydrologic Models	2-18
2.7.1 Lumped Models	2-18
2.7.2 Distributed Models	2-21
2.7.3 Semi Distributed Models	2-23
2.8 Spatially Distributed (Grid-Based) Unit Hydrograph	2-27
CHAPTER 3 DATA COLLECTION	3-1
3.1 Introduction	3-1
3.2 Wadi Sudr	3-2
3.3 Wadi Al Meliha	3-7
CHAPTER 4 METHODOLOGY AND MODEL DEVELOPMENT	4-1
4.1 Introduction	4-1
4.2 Work Methodology	4-2
4.3 Model Development	4-2
4.3.1 Overview	4-2
4.3.2 Input Data	4-3
4.3.3 Main Processes and Components	4-6
4.3.4 Model Interface	4-19
4.3.5 Model Output	4-22
CHAPTER 5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	5-1
5.1 Introduction	5-1
5.2 Model Verification	5-2
5.2.1 Wadi Al Meliha	5-3
5.2.2 Wadi Sudr	5-10

5.3 Model Application	5-21
5.4 Model Results	5-21
5.4.1 Egypt	5-21
5.4.2 KSA	5-23
5.4.3 Oman	5-27
5.5 Discussion	5-29
CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	6-1
6.1 Introduction	6-1
6.2 Conclusions	6-2
6.3 Recommendations and proposed future studies	6-3
REFERENCES	Λ

List of Figures

Figure 2-1: NRCS dimensionless unit hydrograph (SCS, 1985)	2-10
Figure 2-2: Snyder unit hydrograph	2-14
Figure 2-3: Time-area isochrones and histogram for Clark's method	2-15
Figure 2-4: Example of Hydrologic Network technique inside HEC-HMS	2-25
Figure 2-5: Example of a runoff hydrograph using Time Area (TA) technique	2-26
Figure 3-1: The location of the two experimental watersheds	3-2
Figure 3-2: Wadi Sudr watershed area and streams network	3-3
Figure 3-3: Rainfall storm 11-03-1994 hyetograph for wadi Sudr watershed	3-4
Figure 3-4: Wadi Sudr observed hydrograph (11-03-1994)	3-4
Figure 3-5: Rainfall storm 02-03-1997 hyetograph for wadi Sudr watershed	3-5
Figure 3-6: Wadi Sudr observed hydrograph (02-03-1997)	3-5
Figure 3-7: Rainfall storm 07-02-1999 hyetograph for wadi Sudr watershed	3-6
Figure 3-8: Wadi Sudr observed hydrograph (07-02-1999)	3-6
Figure 3-9: Wadi Al Meliha watershed area and streams network	3-7
Figure 3-10: Rainfall storm 25-02-1992 hyetograph for wadi Al	Meliha
watershed	3-8
Figure 3-11: Wadi Al Meliha observed hydrograph (25-02-1992)	3-9
Figure 3-12: Rainfall storm 11-03-1994 hyetograph for wadi Al Meliha	3-9
Figure 3-13: Wadi Al Meliha observed hydrograph (11-03-1994)	3-10
Figure 4-1: Fill sinks process	4-7
Figure 4-2: Flow direction process	4-8
Figure 4-3: Sample of slope grid	4-9
Figure 4-4: Flow accumulation process	4-10
Figure 4-5: Sample of the Area Upstream grid	4-11
Figure 4-6: Sample of the Stream Link grid	4-12
Figure 4-7: Sample of the Watershed grid	4-13
Figure 4-8: Sample of the Spatially varied hydraulic radius grid	4-14
Figure 4-9: Sample of the Velocity grid	4-15
Figure 4-10: Sample of the Travel time grid	4-17
Figure 4-11: Sample of the Spatially distributed unit hydrograph grid	4-18
Figure 4-12: STA-UH model interface	4-20
Figure 4-13: Schematic diagram for the STA-UH model processes	4-20

Figure 4-14: Schematic flow chart for the STA-UH model processes	4-21
Figure 4-15: Sample of the Watersheds shapefile	4-22
Figure 4-16: Sample of the Watersheds attributes table	4-23
Figure 4-17: Sample of the Streams network shapefile	4-23
Figure 4-18: Sample graph of the spatially distributed unit hydrograph	4-24
Figure 4-19: The tabulated form of the spatially distributed unit hydrograph	4-24
Figure 4-20: Sample of the spatially distributed unit hydrograph in HEC-HMS	4-25
Figure 5-1: S.D. Unit Hydrograph for wadi Al Meliha watershed	5-5
Figure 5-2: Comparison between simulated hydrograph and observed hydrogr	aph for
wadi Al Meliha storm (11-03-1994)	5-6
Figure 5-3: Comparison between simulated hydrograph and observed hydrogr	aph for
wadi Al Meliha storm (25-02-1992)	5-8
Figure 5-4: S.D. Unit Hydrograph for wadi Sudr watershed	5-14
Figure 5-5: Comparison between simulated hydrograph and observed hydrogr	aph for
wadi Sudr storm (11-03-1994)	5-15
Figure 5-6: Comparison between simulated hydrograph and observed hydrogr	aph for
wadi Sudr storm (02-03-1997)	5-17
Figure 5-7: Comparison between simulated hydrograph and observed hydrogr	aph for
wadi Sudr storm (07-02-1999)	5-19
Figure 5-8: Comparison between flow hydrographs for Wadi Al	Areesh
watershed	5-23
Figure 5-9: Comparison between flow hydrographs for Wadi Ed Da	awasser
watershed	5-25
Figure 5-10: Comparison between flow hydrographs for Wadi	Fatma
watershed	5-26
Figure 5-11: Comparison between flow hydrographs for Wadi Bani	Khurus
watershed	5-28

List of Tables

Table 2-1: NRCS dimensionless unit hydrograph ordinates2-10
Table 2-2: Runoff Coefficients for Rational formula (HEC 22, 2009)2-20
Table 3-1: The locations of the runoff gauges for the two experimental
watersheds3-2
Table 3-2: Summary of the selected storm events for Wadi Sudr watershed3-3
Table 3-3: Summary of the selected storm events for Wadi Al Meliha watershed3-8
Table 4-1: Manning's roughness coefficient values for different terrain types
(HEC 22, 2013)4-5
Table 5-1: Morphological and Hydrological parameters for wadi Al Meliha Watershed
5-3
Table 5-2: Spatially distributed unit hydrograph ordinates for Wadi Al Meliha5-4
Table 5-3: Comparison between the simulated and observed peak runoff for wadi Al
Meliha storm 11-03-19945-7
Table 5-4: Comparison between the simulated and observed peak runoff for wadi Al
Meliha storm 25-02-19925-9
Table 5-5: Morphological and Hydrological parameters for wadi Sudr
Watershed5-10
Table 5-6: Spatially distributed unit hydrograph ordinates for Wadi Sudr5-11
Table 5-7: Comparison between the simulated and observed peak runoff for wadi
Sudr storm 11-03-19945-16
Table 5-8: Comparison between the simulated and observed peak runoff for wadi
Sudr storm 02-03-19975-18
Table 5-9: Comparison between the simulated and observed peak runoff for wadi
Sudr storm 07-02-19995-20
Table 5-10: Morphological and Hydrological parameters for Wadi Al Areesh
watershed5-22
Table 5-11: Morphological and Hydrological parameters for Wadi Ed Dawasser
watershed5-24
Table 5-12: Morphological and Hydrological parameters for Wadi Fatma
watershed5-26
Table 5-13: Morphological and Hydrological parameters for Wadi Bani Khurus
watershed5-27

List of Abbreviations

HEC Hydrologic Engineering Center

HMS Hydrologic Modeling System

TA Time Area

SCS Soil Conservation Service

NRCS Natural Resources Conservation Service

CN Curve Number

GIS Geographic Information System

SDUH Spatially Distributed Unit Hydrograph

S.D. Spatially Distributed

T_t Travel time

L or LFP Longest flow path, the distance between the hydraulically most distant

point to the outlet

V Average velocity of the water drop between the two points

T_c Time of concentration

Slope of the longest flow path

Y Average watershed slope

R_i Hydraulic radius at point of interest

A_{i-us} Accumulated area upstream the point of interest

P_{i-e-us} Average excess rainfall depth upstream the point of interest

S_{i-us} Average slope of the watershed upstream the point of interest

V_i Average flow velocity

n_i Average manning's roughness coefficient

S_i Longitudinal slope

T_t Lag time