Iliostomy and Mucuos fistula with and without posterior wall anastomosis after right hemicolectomy: A comparative study of short term outcomes

Thesis

Submitted for partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in General Surgery

By

Sara Gomaa Syam

M.B.B.Ch., Mansoura University
Resident of Oncology Surgery – Nasser Institute

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. TAREK YOUSSEF AHMED

Assistant Professor of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. MAHMOUD AHMED FARGHALY

Lecturer of General Surgery
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2018





First and for most, I feel indebted to **ALLAH**, most graceful, who gave me the strength to complete this work.

I would like to express my profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Tarek Youssef Ahmed,** Assistant Professor of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for his great support, meticulous revision and guidance I really have the honor to complete this work under his supervision.

I am particularly grateful to **Dr. Mahmoud Ahmed**Farghaly, Lecturer of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine

– Ain Shams University, whose encouragement, guidance and support from the initial to the final level enabled me to develop and understanding of the subject.

Also, I would like to express my deep thanks and gratitude to all members in my family, specially my Parents, for supporting and understanding me all the time.

Last but not least, I'm grateful to all of my teachers, educators and colleagues who took from their time to teach me, support me or even give a small advice that helped me to make a step forward in my career.



List of Contents

Subject	Page No.
List of Abbreviations	i
List of Tables	ii
List of Figures	iv
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	4
Review of Literature	
Anatomy of colon and ileum	5
Types and Indications of RT Hemicolectomy.	17
Types and Indications of Stomas	24
The effect of a stoma and its complication	32
Closure/Reversion of stomas	39
Patients and Methods	41
Results	61
Discussion	72
Summary and Conclusions	76
References	77
Arabic Summary	

List of Abbreviations

Full term

List of Appleviation

BMI : Body Mass Index

CBC : Complete Blood Count

Cm : Centimeter

Abbrev.

CT scan : Computed tomography scan

CXR : Chest X-ray

DM : Diabetes mellitus

HTN : Hypertension

I.O: Intestinal obstruction

LCF : Liver cell failure

Lt : Left

Mm : Millimeter

MRI : Magnetic Resonance Imaging

N : Number

Post : Posterior

P-value: Probability value

RF : Renal failure

Rt : Right

SD : Standard deviation

SPSS : Statistical package for social sciences

T.B : Tuberculosis

TPN: Total parenteral nutrition

Wks : Weeks

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Comparison between ileocolostomy (Kann and Cataldo,	
Table (2):	Comparison between with a Posterior wall anastomosis age (years).	ccording to
Table (3):	Comparison between with a Posterior wall anastomosis as sex.	ccording to
Table (4):	Comparison between with a Posterior wall anastomosis a BMI.	ccording to
Table (5):	Comparison between with a Posterior wall anastomosis a co-morbidities.	ccording to
Table (6):	Comparison between with a Posterior wall anastomosis a pathology	ccording to
Table (7):	Comparison between with a Posterior wall anastomosis a operative time (stoma per f operation).	ccording to Forming 1st
Table (8):	Comparison between with a Posterior wall anastomosis a operative time (stoma reversing 2nd operation.	ccording to ng/ closure)

Table (9):	Comparison between with and without Posterior wall anastomosis according to hospital stay (1 st operation).	. 68
Table (10):	Comparison between with and without Posterior wall anastomosis according to hospital stay (2 nd operation).	. 69
Table (11):	Comparison between with and without Posterior wall anastomosis according to complications (1 st operation)	. 70
Table (12):	Comparison between with and without Posterior wall anastomosis according to complications (2 nd operation post closure)	. 71

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	Colon anatomy, front of abdomen	5
Figure (2):	Normal colon	8
Figure (3):	A diagram showing arterial sup colon	
Figure (4):	Groups of lymph nodes around the	colon 11
Figure (5):	Terminal ileostomy and type colostomy	
Figure (6):	Loop stoma.	27
Figure (7):	End Stoma	27
Figure (8):	Double barreled or divided stoma	28
Figure (9):	Stoma placement. The site is selecting the stoma through the abdominis muscle	rectus
Figure (10):	Stoma Retraction (Courtesy of S Tutor.org)	
Figure (11):	Prolapse Stoma (Courtesy of Su Tutor.org)	
Figure (12) :	Stomal Ischaemia (Courtesy of Su Tutor.org)	_
Figure (13):	Gently mobilization of colon	44
Figure (14) :	The middle colic artery with its rig	
Figure (15):	Division of the right colic artery a right branch of the middle colic arter	

Figure (16):	Right hemi-colectomy specimen "perforated caecal cancer".	.46
Figure (17):	Both ends were hold together outside the abdominal cavity	. 47
Figure (18):	The circular incision and skin removal	. 47
Figure (19):	Exposure of the subcutaneous fat down to the anterior rectus sheath.	. 48
Figure (20):	The opening in the abdominal allowing two average size fingers.	. 48
Figure (21):	Creation and widening of stoma opening	. 49
Figure (22):	Delivering through the aperture	. 49
Figure (23):	Both loops were outside the stoma opening.	. 50
Figure (24):	Removal of excess fat and epiploica	. 50
Figure (25):	Posterior wall anastomosis	. 51
Figure (26):	Posterior wall anastmosis	. 51
Figure (27):	Sutures were placed circumferentially as needed.	. 52
Figure (28):	From two separate openings	. 53
Figure (29):	From one opening with skin bridge	. 53
Figure (30):	A circumferential incision was made around the stoma.	. 54
Figure (31):	Division of subcutaneous adhesions	. 55
Figure (32):	Both loops were mobilized and prepared for anastomosis.	. 55
Figure (33) :	After completion of anastomosis	. 55

Figure (34):	Gently introduction of the anastomotic loop into abdominal cavity	56
Figure (35):	Closure of rectus sheeth	56
Figure (36):	Skin closure by matress sutures	57
Figure (37):	Refreshing of edges.	57
Figure (38):	Closure of peritoneal layer.	58
Figure (39):	Skin closure, single large incision	58
Figure (40):	Closure with laparotomy	58
Figure (41):	Division of adhesion around stoma loops from inside.	59
Figure (42):	Pull loops from inside after division of all adhesions.	59
Figure (43):	Closure of fascia and subcutaneous tissue of stoma wound.	59
Figure (44):	Bar chart between with and without Posterior wall anastomosis	61
Figure (45):	Bar chart between with and without Posterior wall anastomosis according to sex.	62
Figure (46):	Bar chart between with and without Posterior wall anastomosis according to BMI.	63
Figure (47):	Bar chart between with and without Posterior wall anastomosis according to co-morbidities.	64
Figure (48):	Bar chart between with and without Posterior wall anastomosis according to pathology.	65

Figure (49):	Bar chart between with and without Posterior wall anastomosis according to operative time (stoma per forming 1 st operation)
Figure (50):	Bar chart between with and without Posterior wall anastomosis according to operative time (stoma reversing/ closure) 2 nd operation
Figure (51):	Bar chart between with and without Posterior wall anastomosis according to hospital stay (1 st operation)
Figure (52):	Bar chart between with and without Posterior wall anastomosis according to hospital stay (2 nd operation)
Figure (53):	Bar chart between with and without Posterior wall anastomosis according to complications (1 st operation)70
Figure (54):	Bar chart between with and without Posterior wall anastomosis according to complications (2 nd operation post closure)71

Abstract

Background: Intestinal anastomoses in colorectal surgery are at risk of severe intra-abdominal septic complications as dehiscence, abscess or fistula, which are associated with mortality. It is important to do the safest possible surgery and minimize the risk for surgical complications in patient with risk factors. **Aim of the Work:** The purpose of the study is to do a comparative study of short term outcomes of ileostomy and mucus fistula with and without posterior wall anastomosis. Patients and **Methods:** This retrospective study was conducted on 50 patients presenting with surgical abdomen to the emergency department of Ain Shams University Hospitals – Cairo. Data were retrieved from data system of patients at Ain Shams University Surgery Hospital, at the period January 2016 to January 2018. Results: The current study revealed a statistically significant difference between with and without Posterior wall anastomosis according to hospital stay (2nd operation). There was also a statistically significant difference between with and without Posterior wall anastomosis according to wound infection in complications (2nd operation post closure). **Conclusion:** valuable short term advantage of stoma with posterior wall anastomosis is the short hospital stay and the less need for parenteral analgesia. This improves rates of patients getting back to their normal activity postoperatively.

Key words: Iliostomy, mucous fistula, posterior wall anastomosis, right hemicolectomy

Introduction

n a Greek Word, Stoma means 'mouth opening', while as a medical term it means exteriorization of bowl on skin for faecal or urinary diversion (**Kirkwood**, 2005).

There are three main types of stoma: Colostomy, ileostomy, urostomy and it may be temporary or permanent (**Brand et al., 2008**)

Intestinal anastomoses in colorectal surgery are at risk of severe intra-abdominal septic complications as dehiscence, abscess or fistula, which are associated with mortality (Golub et al., 1997).

It is important to do the safest possible surgery and minimize the risk for surgical complications in patient with risk factors (**Myrelid et al., 2012**).

Major indications for ileostomy include diffused bowel injury, that prevent primary anastomosis like longstanding peritonitis, intestinal obstruction, radiation enteritis, ischemia, inflammatory bowel diseases, tubercular and enteric colitis (**Brand et al., 2008**).

In severely ill patients the aim is only to deviate the faecal stream followed by delayed resection and anastomosis (Myrelid et al., 2012).

An alternative in such a complex situation might be a split stoma with excision of the disease followed by an anastomosis at some point in the future (Lange et al., 2006).

Split stomas are created when both ends of the bowel are brought to the skin surface, but at different incision sites. It could be following a subtotal colectomy or right hemicolectomy, in which the ileum is formed into an ileostomy and the rectum or the colon into a mucous fistula and it is usually temporary in nature (**Brand et al., 2008**).

Anastomotic leakage following right colectomy for malignancy is a rare complication associated with high mortality and morbidity (**Veyrie et al., 2007**).

The therapeutic dilemma is between the construction of an ileostomy and mucous fistula and the construction of a new ileo-colic anastomosis that have significant disadvantages, i.e., the need for a new laparotomy, quality of life issues and the danger of a new leakage can arise the latter (**Taflampas et al., 2011**).

An alternative approach can be done in such cases, which is the construction of a side-to side ileocolic anastomosis which is then brought out in the right abdominal wall as a stoma, so that reconstruction can be done without midline laparotomy (**Taflampas et al., 2011**).

Here, in our study we did a short term comparison between two groups of patients underwent ileostomy with mucous fistula.

The first underwent posterior wall anastomosis and the second without.