

Retrospective Study of the Outcome of Mitral Valve Replacement with or without Tricuspid Valve Repair in Ain Shams University

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master degree in Cardio-thoracic Surgery

By

Ahmed Mohamed Abd Elmegeed El-Feky

M. B. B. ch. Misr University for Science and Technology

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Mohsen Mohamed Abd Elkarem Fadala

Professor of Cardio-thoracic Surgery Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Osama Abass Abd Elhameed

Assistant Professor of Cardio-thoracic Surgery Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Dr. Ramy Mohamed Reda Khorshid

Lecturer of Cardio-thoracic Surgery Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2018



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgment

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **ALLAH**, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.

I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mohsen Mohamed Abd Elkarem**Fadala, Professor of Cardio-thoracic Surgery Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University for his keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.

I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **Prof. Or. Osama Abass Abd Elhameed,**Assistant Professor of Cardio-thoracic Surgery Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University, for his kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.

I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Ramy Mohamed Reda Khorshid,** Lecturer of Cardio-thoracic Surgery Faculty of

Medicine-Ain Shams University, for his great help, active

participation and guidance.

Ahmed Mohamed Abd Elmegeed El-Feky

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	5
List of Figures	7
List of Abbreviations	10
Introduction	1
Aim of the work	17
Review of literature	
Anatomy of the mitral valve	18
Physiology of mitral valve	29
Pathology of mitral valve disease	34
Anatomy of the tricuspid valve	43
Pathophysiology of the tricuspid valve	47
 Postoperative outcomes, short-term follow-up complications 	
Patients and methods	66
Results	77
Discussion	105
Summary	143
Conclusion	151
References	153
Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page	No.
Table (1):	Anatomic classifications of the ch		27
Table (2):	Risk factors for the development of		
	kidney injury following ca surgery ⁽¹¹⁸⁾		65
Table (3):	Demographic data and History of sm diabetes, hypertension, hypercholester and the family history of patients under mitral valve replacement +/- tricuspid	oking, olemia erwent valve	
Table (4).	repair		7.8
Table (4):	Height, weight and CHF of Pa underwent mitral valve replacement tricuspid valve repair	nt +/-	79
Table (5):	Preoperative dyspnea and rhyth patients underwent mitral	m of	
	replacement +/- tricuspid valve repair		
Table (6):	Preoperative EF, EDD and ESD		
Table (7):	Preoperative platelet count, bilirubin, albumin, hematocrit and V	-	
Table (8):	The native and the grade of mitral	valve	
Table (9):	pathologyChords preservation- code, size and		
Table (3).	of the implanted valve		
Table (10):	The native and the grade of tric valve pathology, the type of tric	cuspid	
	repair and the ring size	_	87
Table (11):	Intraoperative cadioplegia solution,		
 11 (40)	clamp time and bypass time		89
Table (12):	Postoperative ventilation hours, ino	_	01
Table (13):	The types of morbidity and outcompatients underwent mitral		91
	replacement +/- tricuspid valve repair		92

List of Cables Cont...

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (14):	Postoperative clinical investigations	94
Table (15):	Morbidity, mortality, causes of death	
Table (16):	discharge destination Logistic regression analysis for predic	
	of reoperation	98
Table (17):	Logistic regression analysis for prediction of mortality.	
Table (18):	The sensitivity and the specificity	y of
	mortality	
Table (19):	Linear regression analysis for parame affecting postoperative ICU stay	
Table (20):	Linear regression analysis for parame	eters
Table (21):	affecting postoperative inotropic supp The comparison between our study in Shared University Heggital and in Pi	ı Ain
	Shams University Hospital and in Bi hospital, AP–HP, Paris, France	
Table (22):	The comparison between our study in	
	Shams University Hospital and in North American Institutions	
Table (23):	The comparison of the outcome bety	ween
	Ain Shams University Hospital and other medical centers	

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	St. Jude mechanical valve	13
Figure (2):	Tissue mitral valve	
Figure (3):	View of the base of the heart in anato	omical
J	orientation shows the spatial relation	ons of
	the four cardiac valves	
Figure (4):	Diagram from a pathological persp	ective
	with division of the septum illustration	
	fibrous continuity between the mitra	•
	aortic valves (15).	
Figure (5):	A dissection showing the left (L) and rig	ght (R)
	fibrous trigones revealed by removing t	
	and non-coronary aortic sinuses	
Figure (6):	This dissection, made by removin	
J	noncoronary aortic sinus shows	he
	approximate location of the atrioventi	ricular
	conduction axis (hatched area) an	d the
	relationship of the ventricular septum	$^{(21)}$ 22
Figure (7):	This diagram depicts the mitral va	lve in
	relation to its surrounding structur	res as
	viewed through left atriotomy (21)	24
Figure (8):	A schematic of the classification of Lar	n et al
	showing course and point of insert	ion of
	chordae tendinae directed to the anteri	or and
	posterior mitral leaflets	26
Figure (9):	Mitral apparatus	28
Figure (10):	Schematic of the mitral annulus	30

List of Figures Cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (11):	A, Normal left ventricular (LV), leand aortic (Ao) pressure tracings are DFP indicates diastolic filling periodsystolic ejection period. B, gradient between pulmonary wedge pressure (PCW) and left (LV) is shown for patient with MS figure, left ventricular end-	e shown. od; SEP, Pressure capillary ventricle . In this diastolic
Figure (12):	pressure is atypically elevated, co with coincident mitral regurgitation Carpentier's functional classification types of leaflet and chordal motion as	$^{(34)}$ 36 n of the
Figure (13):	with mitral regurgitation	38 ns of the indicate
Figure (14):	conventional multi-plane TOE views Papillary muscles supporting the t valve leaflets	tricuspid
_	Anatomy of the tricuspid val surrounding structures	ve and 46
Figure (16):	Tricuspid valve seen from the right showing the direction of tricuspid dilatation which mainly involvanterior and posterior annulus	annular ves the
	Preoperative Heart Rhythm The types of cardioplegia	80 used
_	intraoperatively. The sensitivity and the specific mortality.	ficity of 101
Figure (20):	The comparison of the respiratory between Ain Shams University and the other medical centers	Hospital

List of Figures Cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (21):	The comparison of the acute kids between Ain Shams University	Hospital
Figure (22):	and the other medical centers The comparison of stroke bet Shams University Hospital and medical centers	ween Ain the other
Figure (23):	The comparison of mortality bet Shams University Hospital and medical centers.	tween Ain the other
Figure (24):	The comparison of deep stern infection between Ain Shams	al wound University
Figure (25):	Hospital and the other medical cer The Comparison of reoperation be Shams University Hospital and	tween Ain the other
Figure (26):	medical centers The comparison of the cardio bypass time between Ain Shams	opulmnary University
Figure (27):	Hospital and the other medical cer The comparison of the cross cl between Ain Shams University	amp time
	and the other medical centers	142

List of Abbreviations

Full term Abb. AF Atrial Fibrillation AKIN...... Acute Kidney Injury Network AKI..... Acute Kidney Injury ALI Acute Lung Injury ARDS Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome ARF...... Acute Renal Failure ATN Acute Tubular Necrosis AVR..... Aortic valve replacement BMI.....Body mass index BSA..... Body surface area CABG...... Coronary Artery Bypass Graft CBC......Complete Blood Count CCS...... Canadian Cardiovascular Society CDC Centers for Disease Control CHF Congestive Heart Failure CO...... Cardiac output CPAP Continuous positive airway pressure CPB..... Cardiopulmonary bypass CSA-AKI...... Cardiac surgery-associated acute kidney injury CT Cardiac Tamponade CV Cardio Vascular DM Diabetes Mellitus DSWI Deep sternal wound infection ECC..... Extracorporeal circulation ECG Electrocardiogram EDD End-diastolic diameter EF Ejection fraction

List of Abbreviations Cont...

Full term Abb. ESD..... End- systolic diameter FiO₂...... Fractional inspired oxygen FMR Functional mitral regurgitation FTR Functional tricuspid regurgitation GFR......Glomular Filtraion Rate ICU Intensive care unit IMR..... Ischemic mitral regurgitation INR International Normalized Ratio IV Intra Venous IVC..... Inferior Vena Cava LV Left Ventricle LVOT Left Ventricular Outflow Tract MA Mitral Area MI...... Myocardial Infarction MR Mitral Regurge MS...... Mitral Stenosis MVR..... Mitral valve replacement NPWT Negative Pressure Wound Therapy NYHA New York Heart Association PE Pericardial Effusion PEEPPositive end-expiratory pressure PVE..... Prosthetic Valve Endocarditis RA Right Atrium RIND...... Reversible Ischemic Neurological Deficit RV Right Ventricle SCr.....Serum Creatinine SIRS.....Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome



List of Abbreviations Cont...

Abb.	Full term	
	Sub-valvular Apparatus	
	Tricuspid Annulus	
	Tricuspid Regurge	
	Tricuspid Valve Tricuspid Valve Repair	
	White Blood Cells	
XCL	Aortic Cross Clamp	



Introduction

The history of mitral valve surgery runs parallel to the development of heart surgery, as at the turn of the last century rheumatic fever was an epidemic disease that led to late cardiac valve lesions, mostly to mitral stenosis (1, 2)

Albert Starr and Miles Lowell Edwards developed a silastic ball contained within a wire cage arising from the valve housing in 1961. (3)

Tilting discs valves was introduced by Jura Wada in 1966 and by C. Walt Lillehei and Robert Kaster in 1967. (3)

The Medtronic-Hall tilting disc valve introduced in 1977 was the most commonly used valve until it was taken off of the market. (4)

The most commonly used mechanical valve in the world today is the St. Jude mechanical bileaflet valve first introduced in 1977⁽⁵⁾ (Fig. 1)



Figure (1): St. Jude mechanical valve.

Driven by the problem of thrombogenicity of mechanical valves, work on bioprosthetic valves yielded the Carpentier and Hancock porcine xenografts in 1969 (5, 6) and Marian Ionescu introduced a glutaraldehyde-fixed bovine pericardial valve in 1971 ⁽⁷⁾ (Fig. 2)



Figure (2): Tissue mitral valve.

The first mitral valve replacement (MVR) involved implantation of a Starr-Edwards prosthetic valve following complete excision of the mitral leaflets, chordae tendinae and the heads of the papillary muscles. (8) Initial experience with MVR was complicated by an increased incidence of low cardiac output syndrome and associated morbidity and mortality. (8) Subsequently, several strategies were implemented to improve postoperative outcomes, including sub-valvular apparatus preservation (SVP). (9)

More than 90 percent of patients who undergo mitral valve replacement should experience a functional improvement in outcome with significant resolution of heart failure symptoms. (10,11)



Predictors of poor outcome include advanced patient age, poor functional class, coronary artery disease, re-operative status and emergency operations. (11)

Common causes of early operative mortality include stroke, myocardial infarction, respiratory failure, multisystem organ failure and infection.in addition,late causes include thromboemboli, stroke and hemorrhage due to anticoagulation. (12)

The outcome of mitral valve replacement has improved dramatically with adoption of chordal sparing techniques and preservation of ventriculo-annular continuity. (12)

The most common cause of death following MVR is cardiac failure. Preservation of the subvalvular apparatus maintains LV function, and thus improves survival. Repair is not always feasible or successful, particularly with rheumatic valve disease in young patients and severely disorganized valves. A claim against preservation of the anterior leaflet was that only undersized valve prosthesis could be implanted. Another argument against preservation of the anterior leaflet is that it might cause obstruction of the LVOT. (13)

Tricuspid regurgitation (TR) accompanying severe leftsided valve disease occurs on a functional basis, secondary to pulmonary hypertension and tricuspid annular dilatation. In the context of endemic left-sided rheumatic heart disease, nonrecognition of organic disease of the tricuspid valve may