

# A Retrospective Study of Epidemiology and Prognostic Factors of Small Cell Lung Cancer

### Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of the Master Degree in Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine

## By

## **Mariam Saad Eldeen Mosaad Helaly**

M.B.B.Ch, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

## Under Supervisors

## **Prof. Hesham Mahmoud El Wakiel**

Professor of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

# **Assist. Prof. Mahmoud El Lithy**

Assistant Professor of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

### Dr. Sherif Hassanien Ahmed

Lecturer of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2018



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢



First of all, thanks to Allah whose magnificent help was the main factor in completing this work.

No words could express my deepest thanks and appreciation to Prof. Hesham Mahmoud El Wakiel, Professor of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for inspiring me with the idea of this work. His patience, precious advice and guidance enlightened my way throughout this work.

I want also to express my profound gratitude to Assist. Prof. Mahmoud El Lithy, Assistant Professor of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his patience, valuable advice and continuous help in completing this work.

I am also deeply indebted to Dr. Sherif Hassanien Ahmed, Lecturer of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his kind help, continuous encouragement and support all through my entire work.

Finally, my deepest thanks to all my family and colleagues who helped me in the production of this work.

# **Contents**

Subjects Pa	
List of abbreviations List of figures List of tables	V
• Introduction	1
• Review of Literature	
◆ Chapter (1): Epidemiology and Risk Factor	rs5
♦ Chapter (2): Diagnosis and Workup	13
♦ Chapter (3): Pathology	26
♦ Chapter (4): Prognostic Factors	36
Patients and Methods	70
• Results	74
• Discussion	99
• Limitations	111
Summary and Conclusion	112
• References	116
Arabic Summary	

**ADPRT** : ADP-ribosyltransferase

**AJCC** : American Joint Committee on Cancer

**Alb** : Albumin

**ASCL1** : Achaete-Scute Homologue 1

**CAV** : Cyclophosphamide, adriamycin and vincristine

**CBCT** : Cone beam computed tomography

**CDK5** : Cyclin dependent kinase 5

**CGRP** : Calcitonin gene related peptide

**CHD5** : Chromodomain helicase DNA binding protein 5

**CHD7** : Chromodomain helicase DNA binding protein 7

**CRP** : C-reactive protein

**CT** : Computed tomography

**CTV** : Clinical target volume

**DDR2** : Discoidin domain receptor tyrosine kinase 2

**DUSP27** : Dual specificity phosphatase 27

EBUS-TBNA: Endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial

needle aspiration

**ECOG** : Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group

**ED** : Extensive disease

**EGFR** : Epidermal growth factor receptor

**ELCWP**: European Lung Cancer Working Party

**ENI** : Elective nodal irradiation

**EP** : Etoposide platinol

**ES** : Extensive stage

**ES-SCLC**: Extensive-stage small cell lung cancer

**FAT1** : FAT atypical cadherin 1

**GISTs** : Gastrointestinal stromal tumors

**GTV** : Gross tumour volume

**HGNET**: High-grade neuroendocrine tumor

**IFI** : Involved field irradiation

**IHC** : Immunohistochemistry

**ILD** : Interstitial lung diseases

**IMRT** : Intensity-modulated radiation therapy

**irPFS**: Immune-related PFS

**LCNEC** : Large cell neuroendocrine tumors

**LD** : Limited disease

**LDH** : Lactate dehydrogenase

**LDH** : Lactate dehydrogenase level

**LS** : Limited stage

**LS-SCLC**: Limited-stage SCLC

**MGMT** : Methylguanine-DNA methyl-transferase

**mGPS** : Modified Glasgow Prognostic Score

MLL3 : Lysine methyltransferase 2C

**MRI** : Magnetic resonance imaging

NBS1 : Niprin

**NCAM1** : Neural cell adhesion molecule

**NEUROD1**: Neuronal Differentiation 1

NKX2.1 : NK2 homeobox 1

**NSE** : Neuron specific enolase

**OS** : Overall survival

**PET** : Positron emission tomograph

**PS** : Performance status

**PTEN**: Phosphatase and tensin homolog

**PTH** : Parathyroid hormone

**PTHrP** : Parathyroid hormone related protein

**RB1** : Retinoblastoma susceptibility gene

**Rb-p53**: Tumor protein 53

**RT** : Radiation therapy

**SCLC** : Small-cell lung cancer

**SUV** : Standardized uptake value

**TKIs** : Kinase inhibitors

**TNM**: Tumor, Node, Metastasis

**TP53** : Tumor protein p53

**UICC** : Union for International Cancer Control

**VALSG**: Veterans' Administration Lung Study Group

**VATS** : Video-assisted thoracoscopy

.

# List of Figures

No.	<u>Figure</u>	Page
1	Modified RECIST response criteria.	72
<u>2</u>	Patient's characteristics.	80
<u>3</u>	Disease distribution among patients.	81
<u>4</u>	Response to treatment.	84
<u>5</u>	Second line chemotherapeutic agents type.	84
<u>6</u>	Response to second line treatment.	85
<u>7</u>	Palliative radiotherapy.	85
8	PFS in patients who received active treatment.	86
9	The relation between patients' variables and PFS in months.	88
<u>10</u>	Relation between different patients' variables and OS in months.	94

# **List of Tables**

No.	<u>Table</u>	Page
1	Lung cancer incidence rate in males.	5
<u>2</u>	Lung cancer incidence rate in females.	6
<u>3</u>	TNM staging 8th edition.	25
<u>4</u>	Light microscopic images of SCLC.	27
<u>5</u>	Small cell lung carcinoma. Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) stain.	27
<u>6</u>	Small cell lung carcinoma. Positive immunohistochemistry (IHC) for CD56, with membranous pattern.	31
<u>7</u>	SCLC diagnosis.	33
<u>8</u>	Radiotherapy target volumes.	52
<u>9</u>	Sample radiation plan.	85
<u>10</u>	Prophylactic cranial irradiation.	59
<u>11</u>	Patient's distribution as regard the gender.	75
<u>12</u>	Patient's distribution as regard geographic data.	76
<u>13</u>	Patient's distribution as regard smoking history.	77
<u>14</u>	Patient's distribution as regard co morbidities.	78
<u>15</u>	Patient's distribution as regard the performance status.	79
<u>16</u>	Illustrating disease's distribution as regard stage.	81
<u>17</u>	Response to treatment.	83

No.	<u>Table</u>	<b>Page</b>
<u>18</u>	PFS of patients group who received active	
	treatment with median PFS 10.6 months and	86
	the mean 10.4 months.	
<u>19</u>	Relation between age and PFS in months	
	that showed median PFS in patients below	
	60 years was 8.1 months and after 60 years	89
	was 11.1 months with no statistical	
	significance.	
<u>20</u>	Relation between gender and PFS in months	
	in which the median PFS for females 16.2	89
	months and for males 8.1 months with no	0)
	statistical significance.	
<u>21</u>	Relation between smoking and PFS in	
	months that showed median PFS for non	
	smoker 11.17 months meanwhile for	90
	smokers 8.1 months with no statistical	
	significance.	
<u>22</u>	Relation between co morbidities and PFS in	
	months in which median PFS in patients	
	who suffered from co morbidities was 8.1	90
	months meanwhile median PFS of patients	70
	with no known co morbidities was 11.13	
	months with no statistical significance.	
<u>23</u>	Relation between performance and PFS in	
	months in which the median PFS in patients	
	with ECOG 1 was 11.1 months meanwhile	91
	median PFS in patients with ECOG 2 was	
	7.1 months with significant P value 0.045.	

No.	<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
24	Relation between stage and PFS in months in which the median PFS in limited stage patients was 16.2 months meanwhile the median PFS for extensive stage patients was 7.1 months with significant P value 0.005.	91
<u>25</u>	Relation between 1ry chemotherapy and PFS in months that showed no statistical significance in PFS between vepsid-cisplatin and vepsid-carboplatin.	92
<u>26</u>	Relation between thoracic irradiation and PFS in months in limited stage in which the median PFS in patients who received thoracic irradiation was 16.2 months and the median PFS for the patients who did not receive thoracic irradiation was not reached due to censored data.	92
<u>27</u>	OS in months for the whole group.	93
<u>28</u>	Relation between age and OS in months in which median OS of patients below 60 years was not reached and of patients above 60 years was 10.6 months with no statistical significance.	95
<u>29</u>	Relation between gender and OS in months in which median OS of females 11.17 months and of males 11.13 months with no statistical significance.	95
<u>30</u>	Relation between smoking and OS in months in which median OS of smoker patients was 11.1 months and was not reached in non smokers with no statistical significance.	96

## List of Tables

No.	<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
<u>31</u>	Relation between comorbidity and OS in months in which median OS of patients who suffered from co morbidities 11.1 months and the median OS of patients with no known co morbidities 15.2 months with no statistical significance.	96
32	Relation between PS and OS in months in which the median OS for patients with ECOG 1 was not reached and for patients with ECOG 2 was 10.1 months with significant P value 0.010.	96
33	Relation between stage and OS in months, the OS in limited stage patients was better than extensive stage patients with significant P value 0.002.	97
<u>34</u>	Relation between 1ry chemotherapy and OS in months showed that no difference between vepsid-cisplatin and vepsid-carboplatin in the term of OS.	98

## Introduction

Lung cancer is estimated to be the second most common cancer type and the leading cause of cancer-related death in both sexes, with an estimated 116,990 new cases in males and 105,510 in females in United States (Siegel et al., 2017).

According to GLOBOCAN, 1.8 million cases of lung cancer where registered during 2012, 58% of which occurred in the less developed regions, making up 13% of all cancer deaths (**Torre et al., 2015**).

Small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) is accounting for approximately 15% to 17% of all diagnosed lung cancers, is characterized by a high invasiveness, short doubling time, high growth fraction and ease of metastasis upon diagnosis (Hamilton et al., 2015; Kahnert et al., 2016).

In 2017, an estimated 31,000 new cases of SCLC occured in the United States (**Siegel et al., 2017**)

Nearly all cases of SCLC are attributable to cigarette smoking. Although the incidence of SCLC has been decreasing, the incidence in women is increasing and the male-to-female incidence ratio is now 1:1 (Govindan et al., 2006; Pesch et al., 2012).

SCLC cases represent a lower proportion of total lung cancer cases which may be explained by a change in smoking habits and/or a change in the pathological classification of lung cancer (Ettinger et al., 2006).

Smoking cessation not only reduces the risk of developing SCLC but also has been shown to decrease the risk of death of patients with localized SCLC by almost 50% (Parsons et al., 2010).

SCLC originates from neuroendocrine-cell precursors and is characterized by its rapid growth, its high response rates to both chemotherapy and radiotherapy (Govindan et al., 2006).

Patients with small cell lung cancer may present with symptoms and signs of paraneoplastic syndromes, including hypercalcemia, Eaton-lambert syndrome, syndrome of inappropriate diuretic hormone (**Agra et al.**, **2003**).

Female gender, age younger than 70 years, normal LDH, and stage I disease are associated with a more favorable prognosis in patients with limited-stage disease.