In Primipara Mothers who delivered vaginally or by cesarean section, is there an impact on the sexual function?

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of Master Degree in Obstetrics and Gynecology

By

Mahmoud Mohammed Elsayed ElsayedM.B.B.CH,

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Mahmoud Al-Sherbiny

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Amr Ahmed Mahmoud Riad

Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Ahmed Adel M. Abdelgawad

Lecturer of Psychiatry
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2018





Ouran-HD.com | μορ μόσιο

سورة المؤمنون _ آية ٢٩



First of all, I would like to express my deep gratitude to **ALLAH** for his care and generosity throughout my life.

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to **Prof. Dr.** Mohamed Mahmoud Al-Sherbiny, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his keen supervision and guidance and his overwhelming support that has been of great help throughout this work. I really have the honor to complete this work under his supervision.

I am very thankful to Dr. Amr Ahmed Mahmoud Riad, Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his great support & effort throughout the whole work.

I would like also to thank Dr. Ahmed Adel M. Abdelgawad, Lecturer of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for the efforts and time he has devoted to accomplish this work.

I dedicate this work to all members of my Family, specially my Parents, my Wife, and my daughter Elin, who have done a lot to me without waiting for anything in return and, I hope I can pay even small part of this debt to them.

List of Contents

| Subject | Page No. |
|--|----------|
| List of Abbreviations | i |
| List of Tables | ii |
| List of Figures | iii |
| Introduction | 1 |
| Aim of the Work | 7 |
| Review of Literature | |
| Female Pelvic Floor Anatomy | 8 |
| Childbirth | 31 |
| Caesarean Sections | 61 |
| Sexual response cycle | 64 |
| The Impact of childbirth on Sexual Function. | 69 |
| Subjects and Methods | 95 |
| Results | 114 |
| Discussion | 129 |
| Summary | 143 |
| Conclusions | 148 |
| Recommendations | 149 |
| References | 150 |
| Arabic Summary | |

List of Abbreviations

Abbr. Full-term

ACOG : American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists

BMI : Mass index

CDMR : Caesarean delivery on maternal request

CS : Caesarean section

CS : Caesarean section

EMG : Electro-myography

FI: Fecal incontinence

FSFI : Female Sexual Function Index

HLKNL: Hydroxylysino-keto-norleucine

MRI : Magnetic resonance imaging

NVD : Normal vaginal delivery

PNTML: Pudendal nerve terminal motor latency

SD : Standard deviation

SPSS : Statistical package for social science

SUI : Stress urinary incontinence

UI : Urinary incontinence

VBAC : Vaginal birth after caesarean

WHO : World Health Organization

List of Tables

| 55 73 |
|----------|
| 73 |
| |
| 113 |
| 115 |
| 116 |
| 117 |
| 118 |
| 119 |
| 120 |
| 122 |
| |

| Table (11): | Comparison between Women who Delivered Vaginally and Women who Delivered by Cesarean Section concerning Sexual Function* | 123 |
|--------------------|---|-----|
| Table (12): | Correlation between Sexual Function and Each of Age, Mode of Delivery, BMI, Social Class, Birth Weight and Pelvic Floor Muscle Strength | 128 |

List of Figures

| Figure No. | Citle Pa | ge No. |
|---------------------|--|-------------|
| Figure (1): | The diameters of the female minor pe (superior aperture) | |
| Figure (2): | Pelvic floor support (midsagittal section the pelvis) | |
| Figure (3): | Three-dimensional reconstructed magneresonance image of a 28-year-old head woman showing pelvic floor muscles bones. | lthy and |
| Figure (4): | Muscles of the perineum | 15 |
| Figure (5): | The pelvic organs with the 2 major lev of muscular support | |
| Figure (6): | The hammock hypothesis | 20 |
| Figure (7): | Cross section of urethral supports betthe bladder neck | |
| Figure (8): | The cardinal and uterosacral ligame provide support to the cervix indirectly to the bladder base | and |
| Figure (9): | Vagina and supportive structures drafter hysterectomy | iver |
| Figure (10): | Urethral anatomy | 27 |
| Figure (11): | Lateral view of the pelvic floor with urethra, vagina, and fascial tissues | |
| Figure (12): | Average labor curves for women visingleton term pregnancies | |

| Figure (13): | Labor course divided functionally on the basis of dilatation and descent curves | 33 |
|-----------------------|--|-----|
| Figure (14): | Composite of the average dilatation curve for nulliparous labor | 35 |
| Figure (15): | Progress of labor in primigravid women from the time of admission | 36 |
| Figure (16): | Longitudinal lie. Vertex presentation. A. Left occiput anterior (LOA). B. Left occiput posterior (LOP) | 41 |
| Figure (17): ' | To perform vaginal examination, the labia have been separated with one hand, and the first and second finger of the other hand are carefully insert into the introitus. | 43 |
| Figure (18): | Schematic of labor management protocol in use at parkland hospital. The total admission-to-delivery times are shorter than the potential sum of the intervention intervals because not every woman requires every intervention | 50 |
| Figure (19): | Different incisions of episiotomy | |
| Figure (20): I | Different incisions of episiotomy | 59 |
| Figure (21): | Incision and repair of mediolateral episiotomy | 59 |
| Figure (22): | Bar chart showing Difference between Women who Delivered vaginally and Women who Delivered by Cesarean Section concerning Pelvic Floor Muscle Strength. | 121 |

| Figure | (23): | Bar chart showing Difference between Women who Delivered Vaginally and Women who Delivered by Cesarean Section concerning Desire |
|--------|-------|---|
| Figure | (24): | Bar chart showing Difference between Women who Delivered Vaginally and Women who Delivered by Cesarean Section concerning Arousal |
| Figure | (25): | Bar chart showing Difference between Women who Delivered Vaginally and Women who Delivered by Cesarean Section concerning Lubrication |
| Figure | (26): | Bar chart showing Difference between Women who Delivered Vaginally and Women who Delivered by Cesarean Section concerning Orgasm |
| Figure | (27): | Bar chart showing Difference between Women who Delivered Vaginally and Women who Delivered by Cesarean Section concerning Satisfaction |
| Figure | (28): | Bar chart showing Difference between Women who Delivered Vaginally and Women who Delivered by Cesarean Section concerning Pain |
| Figure | (29): | Bar chart showing Difference between Women who Delivered Vaginally and Women who Delivered by Cesarean Section concerning Overall Sexual Function |

ABSTRACT

Background: The strength of the muscles of the pelvic floor and other supporting structures of the pelvic organs are affected by various events that occur during a woman's lifetime. Pregnancy and childbirth have a pronounced influence on maternal anatomy and physiology. Aim of the Work: The aim of the study was to investigate the impact of the mode of delivery on the sexual function (arousal-pleasure-orgasm-desire) -among a representative sample of Egyptian primiparae. Subjects and Methods: This cross-sectional observational comparative study was conducted at Ain Shams University Maternity Hospital during the period between November 2017 and July 2018 on 260 women who had single uncomplicated delivery within a duration of not less than 6 months and not more than 2 years from recruitment of the study. Results: Pain was significantly positively correlated to the age [r=0.319, p=0.013] and social class [r=0.276, p=0.028]. Both satisfaction and the overall sexual function score was also significantly positively correlated to social class[r=0.275, p=0.032; r=0.237, p=0.048; respectively]. Pelvic floor muscle strength was poorly correlated to sexual function. Conclusions: The study revealed a significant difference in pelvic floor muscle strength between women who delivered vaginally and those who delivered by Cesarean section. However, there was no significant difference in sexual function between women who delivered vaginally and those who delivered by Cesarean section. Pelvic floor muscle strength was poorly correlated to sexual function. **Recommendations:** Cesarean section on demand should not be considered as prophylaxis against sexual dysfunction. A larger, nation-wide based study should be performed for assessment of sexual dysfunction among women of different age groups and parities.

Key words: primipara, vaginal delivery, cesarean section, sexual function

Introduction

ver the ages, the objective of cesarean delivery has dramatically evolved from a universally postmortem procedure toward saving the lives of both the mother and the child (*Lurie*, 2005).

At the beginning of twenty-first century, we continue to act on behalf the health and the safety of both the mother and the child; but we also act in accordance with the mother's desire and preference and child's rights. This motion raised the concept of prophylactic cesarean delivery or as it sometimes referred as cesarean on patient's demand (*Handelzalts et al.*, 2012).

One of the apparent concerns that influence women who choose cesarean delivery is fear that vaginal delivery impinges on sexual function after childbirth (*Nama and Wilcock*, 2011).

Certain aspects of female sexual function after childbirth have been studied by many investigators since 1960; still, the vast majority of available studies do not adequately separate the data between the different modes of deliveries (*Serati et al.*, 2010).

During the first 3 months postpartum, many women experience some problems related to sexual function, such as dyspareunia, decreased libido, difficulty achieving orgasm, or vaginal dryness (*Handa*, 2006).

Typically, these problems resolve by the end of first postpartum year. There are three mechanisms which may contribute to sexual dysfunction after childbirth: dyspareunia, birth canal injury ("pudendal neuropathy"), and overall general health of the mother (*Handa*, 2006).

Thus, various obstetrical events such as cesarean, instrumental or spontaneous delivery or episiotomy could theoretically affect maternal sexual function in a dissimilar way. However, it is unclear whether or how these different obstetrical events influence short- or long-term prognosis for maternal sexual function (*Handa*, 2006).

Several investigators have addressed some aspects of the influence of some obstetrical events on sexual function after childbirth. Rate of resumption of sexual activity has been reported to be independent of mode of delivery (vaginal or cesarean) at 6 weeks (*Woranitat and Taneepanichskul*, 2007), at 3 months (*Wiklund et al.*, 2007) or 2 years (*Hannah et al.*, 2004) postpartum.

At 6 weeks postpartum, women who had delivered vaginally without an episiotomy were reported to resume sexual activity sooner than those with an episiotomy (*Woranitat and Taneepanichskul, 2007*).

At 6 months postpartum, women who sustained anal sphincter lacerations were reported less likely to return to sexual activity (*Brubaker et al.*, 2008).

The prevalence of dyspareunia was reported to be higher in women after vaginal than after cesarean delivery at 3 months postpartum (*Klein et al., 2009*), and in women after instrumental delivery than after cesarean delivery in the second stage of labor (*Liebling et al., 2004*).

This protective effect of cesarean delivery usually disappears by 6 months postpartum (*Buhling et al.*, 2006).

Sexual function was reported to be similar among women who delivered vaginally or by cesarean at 6 weeks (*Woranitat and Taneepanichskul, 2007*), or at 3 months (*Klein et al., 2009*) or at 6 months (*Brubaker et al., 2008*) postpartum.

Additionally, there was no reported impact of planned mode of delivery (vaginal vs. cesarean) on satisfaction with sexual relations at 2 years postpartum (*Hannah et al.*, 2004).

Likewise, mode of delivery history (vaginal vs. cesarean) did not appear to have a significant effect on sexual function at 6 years postpartum (*Dean et al.*, 2008).

Traditionally, the postpartum period has been defined as beginning 1 hour after delivery of the placenta and lasting