

AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

Engineering Physics and Mathematics Department

Stability and Control of an Inverted Pendulum Motion

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of

Master of Science in Engineering Mechanics

By

Mohamed Magdy Mohamed Abdo

Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2014

Supervised By

Prof. Dr. / Abdallah Mostafa El Marhomy

Dr. / Mahmoud Abd-Allah Attia

Cairo - (2018)



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

Engineering Physics and Mathematics Department

Stability and Control of an Inverted Pendulum Motion

By

Mohamed Magdy Mohamed Abdo

Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering

Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2014

Supervising Committee

Name and Affiliation

Prof. Dr. /Abdallah Mostafa El Marhomy

Ain shams university, Faculty of Engineering,

Engineering Physics and Mathematics Dept.

Dr. /Mahmoud Abd-Allah Attia

Ain Shams University, Faculty of Engineering,

Electrical Power and Machines Dept.



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

Engineering Physics and Mathematics Department

Stability and Control of an Inverted Pendulum Motion

By

Mohamed Magdy Mohamed Abdo

Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2014

Examiner's Committee

Name and affiliation	signature
Prof. Dr. / Abdallah Mostafa El Marhomy	
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University	
Prof. Dr. / Almoataz Youssef Abdelaziz	
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University	
Prof. Dr. / Mostafa Ahmed Abdeen	
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University	

Date: / /2018

Statement

This thesis is submitted as a partial fulfillment for the degree of Master of Sc	ience
in Engineering Mechanics at Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University	r.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis, and no part of it has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other scientific entity.

Student name: Mohamed Magdy Mohamed Abdo
Signature:
Date: / /

Researcher Data

Name : Mohamed Magdy Mohamed Abdo

Date of birth : 31/01/1992

Place of birth : Cairo, Egypt

Last academic degree : B.Sc. in Electrical Engineering

Field of specialization : Electrical Power and Machines

University issued the degree : Ain Shams University

Date of issued degree : 2014

Current job : Demonstrator of Engineering Mechanics at

Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

Acknowledgments

First of all, thanks and indebtedness are due to **ALLAH** who made this work possible.

I would like to present great thanks to my supervisors Prof. Abdallah Mostafa El Marhomy and Dr. Mahmoud Abd-Allah Attia for their invaluable assistance and insights leading to the writing of this thesis. Also I would like to thank Engineer Menna Frag for her assistance in checking out the language structure.

Finally, I would like to express my deep thanks to my encouraging family for their continuous motivation, support, and patience.

Publications

- Mohamed Magdy, Abdallah El Marhomy, Mahmoud A. Attia, "Comparison Between DC motor, Universal Motor and Switched Reluctance Motor Performance on PID Controlled Inverted Pendulum System Tuned by Hybrid GSA-GA Algorithm," in 4th International Conference of Engineering Division(May 6-8), National Research Centre (NRC), Cairo, 2018.
- 2. Mohamed Magdy, Abdallah El Marhomy, Mahmoud A. Attia, "Modeling of Inverted Pendulum System with Gravitational Search Algorithm Optimized Controller", under publication at ASEJ, ELSEVIER.

vi

Thesis Summary

An inverted pendulum is a classic control problem. Due to the importance of its model, many researchers had challenged to get the proper controller for this model. The inverted pendulum system under consideration consists of a rigid rod, movable cart, a motor to drive the cart, and a controller. The governing equations of motion of the system have been derived using D'Alembert's principle, and Lagrange's equations.

After studies and investigations on the different optimization techniques, it has been proved that the Hybrid GA-GSA is the most proper one in tuning the controllers and in getting a suitable parameter to decrease the system overshot and settling time.

DC armature controlled motor, universal motor, and switched reluctance motor have been used to drive the system cart. It has been demonstrated that DC armature controlled motor is the most suitable motor for this task, as it has no oscillations within the urban time. PID and PIDA controllers have been used to control the pendulum angle and to move the cart to the desired position.

At the end of this thesis, we have reached to the conclusion that the DC armature controlled motor with PIDA-Hybrid GA-GSA optimized controller IP system yields the best performance to control and stabilize the motion of the IP.

Keywords:

Inverted Pendulum, Motors, PID controller, PIDA controller, Genetic Algorithm, Gravitational Search Algorithm, D'Alembert's principle, Lagrange's equations.

Table of Contents

Statement	iii
Researcher Data	iv
Acknowledgments	V
Publications	vi
Thesis Summary	vii
Table of Contents	viii
List of Figures	xi
List of Tables	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER TWO : LITERATURE REVIEW	5
CHAPTER THREE : MODELLING	9
3.1 Inverted Pendulum Model:	10
3.1.1 Using D'Alembert's principle:	10
3.1.2 Lagrange's equations:	12
3.2 Driving System Model:	16
3.2.1 Armature control separate DC Motor:	16
3.2.2 Universal Motor (UM):	17
3.2.3 Switched Reluctance Motor (SRM):	21
3.3 Controller model:	22

		3.3.1	PID controller Model:	22
		3.3.2	PIDA controller Model:	24
	3.4	Feedba	ack system:	26
CHAPT	ER F	OUR :	STUDIES ON THE IP SYSTEM	28
	4.1	Effect	of Viscous Friction:	28
	4.2	Verific	cation of model:	29
		4.2.1	Test (1):	29
		4.2.2	Test (2):	30
CHAPT	ER F	TVE : O	PTIMIZATION TECHNIQUES	35
	5.1	Geneti	c Algorithm:	35
	5.2	Gravit	ational Search Algorithm:	36
	5.3	Hybric	d GSA-GA Optimization Algorithm:	39
CHAPT	ER S	IX : RE	SULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	40
	6.1	Test (1):	40
		6.1.1	Case (1):	40
		6.1.2	Case (2):	46
	6.2	Test (2	2):	56
		6.2.1	Case (1):	56
		6.2.2	Case (2):	62
	6.3	Test (3	3):	67
		6.3.1	IP with Two PIDA-controllers:	67
CHAPT	ER S	EVEN :	ROBUSTNESS TEST	71
	7.1	Test (1):	71

7.2	Test (2):	73
7.3	Test (3):	75
7.4	Test (4):	76
CHAPTER E	IGHT : CONCLUSION	78
REFERENCI		80

List of Figures

Figure 1-1: Rockets when launching.	2
Figure 1-2: Missiles guidance.	2
Figure 1-3: Segway is one of inverted pendulum applications.	3
Figure 3-1: Inverted Pendulum model.	9
Figure 3-2: IP FBD and inertia forces diagram.	11
Figure 3-3: IP Free Body Diagram.	12
Figure 3-4: IP Velocity Diagram.	13
Figure 3-5: Circuit diagram of Armature controlled DC Motor.	17
Figure 3-6: DC Motor transfer function	17
Figure 3-7: The UM circuit diagram	18
Figure 3-8 : UM electrical part model.	19
Figure 3-9: UM mechanical part model.	20
Figure 3-10 : Circuit diagram of the (SRM).	21
Figure 3-11: SRM model as mentioned in [40]	22
Figure 3-12 : PID controlled IP system model	23
Figure 3-13: Overall IP System Model with PIDA controllers	25
Figure 4-1: The variation of maximum overshoot with "b".	29
Figure 4-2: The Response of both models under unit step disturbance	30
Figure 4-3: Impulse input to the cart	31
Figure 4-4: Impulse response of ref. [43] Model under impulse disturbance.	31
Figure 4-5: Impulse response of designed Model under impulse disturbance.	32
Figure 4-6: The Impulse input to inverted pendulum.	33
Figure 4-7: Impulse response of [43] model	33
Figure 4-8: Impulse response of the presented Model.	34
Figure 5-1: Flow chart of Genetic Algorithm.	36
Figure 5-2: Every mass accelerates in direction of the resultant force	37

Figure 5-3: Flow Chart for GSA.	39
Figure 6-1: The system overall block diagram.	41
Figure 6-2: Impulse disturbance to the cart.	43
Figure 6-3: GSA IP system response.	43
Figure 6-4: GA IP system response.	45
Figure 6-5: GSA-GA IP system response.	46
Figure 6-6: The system overall block diagram.	47
Figure 6-7: The response of position of the cart.	49
Figure 6-8: The response of angle of the pendulum	49
Figure 6-9: The response of position of the cart.	51
Figure 6-10: The response of angle of the pendulum.	51
Figure 6-11: The response of position of the cart.	53
Figure 6-12: The response of angle of the pendulum.	53
Figure 6-13: Comparison between the three angle responses	55
Figure 6-14: Comparison between the three Position responses	55
Figure 6-15: Impulse disturbance to the cart	56
Figure 6-16: IP-DC motor angle response.	58
Figure 6-17: IP-UM angle response.	59
Figure 6-18: IP-SWR angle response.	61
Figure 6-19: comparison between DC motor and Universal Motor	62
Figure 6-20: The response of position of the cart.	64
Figure 6-21: The response of angle of the pendulum.	64
Figure 6-22: Comparison between the angle response of UM and DC M	otor66
Figure 6-23: Comparison between the position response of UM and De	C Motor.
	67
Figure 6-24: The response of position of the cart	68
Figure 6-25: The response of angle of the pendulum.	69
Figure 7-1: (50%) response of position of the cart.	72

Figure 7-2: (50%) response of angle of the pendulum.	73
Figure 7-3: (150%) response of position of the cart.	74
Figure 7-4: (150%) response of angle of the pendulum.	74
Figure 7-5: (200%) response of position of the cart.	75
Figure 7-6: (200%) response of angle of the pendulum.	76
Figure 7-7: Angle response for both disturbances.	77
Figure 7-8: Position response for both disturbances	77