

Comparison between Immediate and Delayed Prosthetic Breast Reconstruction Following Mastectomy.

*Thesis submitted for partial fulfillment of
Master degree in
General Surgery*

Presented by

Ahmed Wassef Mohamed Basiouny.
M.B, B.Ch, Ain Shams University.

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Hesham Hassan Wagdy.
Professor of General Surgery, ASU.

Ass. Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ahmed Rady.
Assistant Professor of General Surgery, ASU.

Dr. Sherif Mohamed Shebl Emara
Lecturer of Plastic Surgery, Helwan University.

Faculty of medicine
Ain Shams University
(2018)

Acknowledgement

First of all, thanks to Allah whose magnificent help was the main factor in completing this work. It was a great honor to be supervised by *Prof. Dr. Hesham Hassan Wagdi* Professor of General surgery, faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, who I would like to thank for his wise guidance that was of great help in presenting this work in a convincing form, and *Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ahmed Rady* Assistant Professor of General Surgery, faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University, and I would like to express my sincere gratitude for their encouragement, guidance and support they gave me throughout this work. Also, I would like to express my deepest respect and thanks to *Dr. Sherif Mohamed Shebl Emara* Lecturer of Plastic and Reconstructive surgery, faculty of medicine, Helwan University who helped me a lot through this work.

Dedication

To my *Father and Mother*, who gave me life on a plate of gold.

To my *Wife and son*, who were there inspiring and motivating.

List of Contents

<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
<i>Introduction</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>Aim of work</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>Review of Literature</i>	
• <i>Chapter 1: Embryology of Female Breast</i>	<i>4</i>
• <i>Chapter 2: Anatomy of Female Breast</i>	<i>6</i>
• <i>Chapter 3: Physiology of Female Breast</i>	<i>17</i>
• <i>Chapter 4: Pathology of Breast Cancer</i>	<i>22</i>
• <i>Chapter 5: Breast Reconstruction</i>	<i>33</i>
<i>Patients and methods</i>	<i>49</i>
<i>Results</i>	<i>54</i>
<i>Discussion</i>	<i>66</i>
<i>Summary</i>	<i>72</i>
<i>Conclusion</i>	<i>74</i>
<i>Reference</i>	<i>75</i>
<i>Arabic summary</i>	

List of Tables

<i>Table No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Table (1)	<i>Descriptive data of all patients included in the study.</i>	55
Table (2)	<i>Descriptive data for the 2 groups included in the study.</i>	58
Table (3)	<i>Descriptive data of the post operative complications that took place in the 2 groups of the study.</i>	60
Table (4)	<i>Descriptive data of the implant volumes that was used in the study.</i>	62
Table (5)	<i>Table shows the number of patients who had mastectomies for benign or malignant causes in the 2 groups.</i>	64

List of Figures

<i>Fig. No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
<i>Figure 1:</i>	<i>Embryology of the female breast.</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Figure 2:</i>	<i>Anatomy of the female breast.</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>Figure 3:</i>	<i>Boundaries of the base of the breast.</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>Figure 4:</i>	<i>Arterial blood Supply of the breast.</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>Figure 5:</i>	<i>Venous drainage of female breast.</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>Figure 6:</i>	<i>Lymphatic drainage of female breast.</i>	<i>15</i>
<i>Figure 7:</i>	<i>Major lymph nodes of the axilla.</i>	<i>16</i>
<i>Figure 8:</i>	<i>Hormones influencing breast development.</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>Figure 9:</i>	<i>Tanner stages of Breast development in girls.</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>Figure 10:</i>	<i>Histological classification of breast cancer</i>	<i>23</i>
<i>Figure 11:</i>	<i>Reconstruction by Tissue Expander.</i>	<i>35</i>
<i>Figure 12:</i>	<i>Adjustable implant technique.</i>	<i>36</i>
<i>Figure 13 :</i>	<i>Reconstruction using mammary implant.</i>	<i>39</i>
<i>Figure 14:</i>	<i>Free transverse rectus myocutaneous flap technique.</i>	<i>44</i>
<i>Figure 15:</i>	<i>Latissimus Dorsi myocutaneous flap.</i>	<i>46</i>
<i>Figure 16:</i>	<i>Reconstruction using Autologus Fat.</i>	<i>47</i>
<i>Figure 17:</i>	<i>Pie chart showing immediate and delayed reconstruction percentage in the sample.</i>	<i>56</i>

Figure 18:	<i>Figure shows the incidence of each post operative complication in the whole sample (20 patients).</i>	56
Figure19 :	<i>Pie chart showing the percentage of patients who had mastectomy for therapeutic causes compared with those who had mastectomy for prophylactic causes.</i>	57
Figure 20:	<i>This figure shows the mean age for the participants in the 2 groups of the study.</i>	59
Figure 21:	<i>Figure shows the mean BMI for the participants in the 2 groups of the study.</i>	59
Figure 22 :	<i>Figure shows the incidence of each post operative complication in each group.</i>	61
Figure 23:	<i>This figure shows the mean volume of the implants used in the 2 groups.</i>	63
Figure 24:	<i>Figure shows the percentage of patients in the 2 groups who had their mastectomies for therapeutic causes and those who had their mastectomies for prophylactic causes.</i>	65

List of abbreviations

<i>Abbreviation</i>	<i>Full term</i>
<i>AFG.</i>	<i>Autologus fat grafting.</i>
<i>AJC.</i>	<i>American joint commission of cancer staging.</i>
<i>CC.</i>	<i>Cubic centimeter.</i>
<i>DBR.</i>	<i>Delayed breast reconstruction.</i>
<i>IBR.</i>	<i>Immediate breast reconstruction.</i>
<i>IDC.</i>	<i>Invasive ductal carcinoma.</i>
<i>LCIS.</i>	<i>Lobular carcinoma in situ.</i>
<i>LD.</i>	<i>Latissmus dorsi myocutaneous flap.</i>
<i>NIWCs.</i>	<i>Non infectious wound complications.</i>
<i>PMRT.</i>	<i>Post mastectomy radiotherapy.</i>
<i>SSI.</i>	<i>Surgical site infection.</i>
<i>SSM.</i>	<i>Skin sparing mastectomy</i>
<i>TRAM.</i>	<i>Transverse Rectus Abdominis myocutaneous flap.</i>
<i>UICC.</i>	<i>International union against cancer.</i>

Introduction

Breast is considered a significant component of feminine character and health, a woman's reaction to any actual or suspected disease of the breast may include fear of disfigurement, fear of loss of sexual attractiveness and fear of death. Men have similar fear regarding personal experience with breast disease of that of a loved one.

Breast cancer is the leading cause of cancer death among women worldwide, with approximately 1.7 million new diagnoses and 521,900 deaths occurring worldwide in 2012. The most important modality of breast cancer therapy is surgical treatment, which has become increasingly less mutilating over the last century. Until the 1970s, breast cancer was treated with radical mastectomy. This was extremely disfiguring for patients and did not lend itself to optimal reconstructive options. In the 1970s, other types of mastectomy were introduced, which increased the reconstructive possibilities (*Torre LA, 2015*).

In Egypt, breast cancer represents 35% of female cancers, came as number one in ranking of malignant tumors constituting 17.5% of total malignant cases presented to the national cancer institute (*Cancer Registry, 2016*).

Therefore, while many women undergoing mastectomies eventually adjust to their deformity, some never do so, and suffer morbidity related to self-esteem, interpersonal relationships, discomforts and embarrassments. The lives of these women can be transformed by reconstruction of the breast. Hence, the possibility of breast reconstruction needs to be considered for all women requiring mastectomies for whatever reason (*Bostwick, 1990*).

Breast reconstruction after mastectomy has evolved over the last century to be an integral component in the therapy for patients with breast cancer. Breast reconstruction originally was designed to reduce post mastectomy complications and to correct chest wall deformity, but its value has been recognized to extend past this limited view of use. The goals for patients undergoing reconstruction are to correct the anatomic defect and to restore form and breast symmetry (*Dehn T, 2007*).

In post mastectomy patients, the body image may result in negative psychological consequences. Replacement of the breast restores the self-image that may be lost as a consequence of mastectomy. Reconstruction of the breast mound has consistently improved with multiple techniques that are selected on the basis of the extent of the defect and the patient's and surgeon's preferences (*Dehn T, 2007*).

Within the last 30 years the technical emphasis has focused on the use of tissue expanders, implants, latissimusdorsi myocutaneous transfer, and the transverse rectusabdominis myocutaneous (TRAM) flap and free flaps to achieve adequate breast restoration. Although all of these methods are individually sufficient for reconstruction, surgical feasibility and patient preference dictate their use (*Dehn T, 2007*).

The optimal timing of breast reconstruction is controversial and may be classified in various ways, such as reconstruction type and time point. The latter includes delayed breast reconstruction (DBR) and immediate breast reconstruction during the same surgery (*Dehn T, 2007*).

Aim of Work

This thesis aims to compare the outcomes of Immediate versus Delayed prosthetic breast reconstruction, Together with highlighting the importance of immediate reconstruction when it is feasible.

CHAPTER 1

EMBRYOLOGY OF THE FEMALE BREAST

The breast begins to develop at approximately the fifth week of fetal development. An array of local and systemic growth factors and hormones regulate this sequence of highly ordered events. The breast originates from paired linear ectodermal ridges or mammary ridges which are thickened strips of ectoderm extending bilaterally on the ventral surface of the embryo from the axillary to the inguinal regions. These epithelial cords initially appear as 15–20 buds (*Hunt et al, 2010*).

During the seventh week in utero, these buds undergo apoptosis in human embryos, with a single pair of solid buds persisting at the fourth or fifth intercostal space: the primary mammary buds. Incomplete involution of the linear ectodermal ridge leads to the development of ectopic breast tissue (polymastia) and/or supernumerary nipples (polythelia) along the mammary ridge, which may be found in 1–6% of individuals (*Sabel, 2009*).

The breasts subsequently begin to develop as these primary buds of ectoderm penetrate downward into the underlying mesoderm. By the 12th week of gestation, the primary mammary buds burgeon into secondary buds, which will eventually form the mammary lobules. In the fifth month in utero, the mammary ridge penetrates the underlying mesoderm, sending 15–20 branching ingrowths radially into the developing breast (*Moore and Persaud, 1998*).