

Correlation between Risk Score Systems and Coronary Artery Disease Detected by Multislice Computed Tomography

Thesis

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in
Cardiology*

Presented by

Sarah Sherif El Sayed Siliman Azab
(M.B.B.Ch.)

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Adel Gamal Hasanin

*Professor of Cardiology
Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University*

Dr. Ahmed Mohamed El Mahmoudy

*Assistant Professor of Cardiology
Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University*

Dr. Mohamed Mostafa Farouk

*Lecturer of Cardiology
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

**Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2018**

Acknowledgement

This thesis is the culmination of my journey of my master degree which was just like climbing a high peak step by step accompanied with encouragement, hardship, trust, and frustration. When I found myself at top experiencing the feeling of fulfillment, I realized though only my name appears on the cover of this dissertation, a great many people including my family members, well-wishers, my friends, colleagues and various institutions have contributed to accomplish this huge task.

First and foremost, Thanks to ALLAH the most merciful and the mightiest to whom I relate my success in achieving any work in my whole life.

I acknowledge with extreme gratitude the professional supervision of my doctors from Ain Shams University **Prof. Dr. Adel Gamal Hasanin**, Professor of Cardiology **Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Al Mahmoudy**, Assistant Professor of Cardiology and **Dr. Mohamed Mustafa Farouk**, Lecturer of Cardiology for their continuous encouragement, guidance, support, attention and supervision throw out this work.

I am so grateful for the help of **Dr. Hisham Selim**, **Dr Sahar Gamal**, Cardiology Consultants at the National Heart Institute and **Dr. Tarek El Mawrady**, without their endless support and dedicated efforts I would have never completed my thesis.

I owe all the thanks to the best person I have ever known, my husband **Dr. Mohamed Adel Salman**, for his continued and unfailing love, support and understanding during my pursuit of my master degree that made the completion of thesis possible. You were always around at times I thought that it is impossible to continue, you helped me to keep things in perspective and you always believed in me.

And at this moment of accomplishment I am greatly indebted to my beloved father **Dr. Sherif Azab**, my beloved mother **Dr. Amany El Shaer**, and my sisters **Menna** and **Mai** for always giving me the determination to overcome many trying moments to pursue my dreams. They were always beside me during the happy and hard moments to push me and motivate me

And finally I am thankful to all those who refused to help. Because of them, I did it myself.

✍ Sarah Azab

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Abbreviations	I
List of Tables	III
List of Figures	V
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	3
Review of Literature	
▪ Risk Score Systems. Framingham Risk Score (FRS) and Systematic Coronary Risk Evaluation (SCORE).....	4
▪ Role of MSCT in detection of Coronary Artery Disease	20
Patients and Methods	55
Results	65
Discussion	101
Conclusion	112
Limitations	113
Recommendations	114
Summary	115
References	118
Master Sheet.....	149
Arabic Summary	---

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
ACS	: Acute coronary syndrome
APV	: Aggregate plaque volume
ASCVD	: Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease
AEC	: Automatic exposure control
BMI	: Body mass index
CAC	: Coronary artery calcium
CACS	: Coronary artery calcium score
CAD	: Coronary Artery disease.
CCTA	: Coronary computed tomography angiography
CFD	: Computational fluid dynamics
CHD	: Coronary heart disease
CS	: Calcium scoring
CTA	: Computed tomography angiography
CVD	: Cardiovascular disease
CV	: Cardiovascular
DSCT	: Dual-source CT
EBCT	: Electron-beam CT
FFR	: Fractional flow reserve
FRS	: Framingham risk score
HU	: Hounsfield unit
ICA	: Invasive Coronary Angiography
IR	: Iterative reconstruction
IVUS	: Intravascular ultrasound

List of Abbreviations (Cont..)

Abb.	Full term
kVp	: Kilovolt Peak
LAD	: Left anterior descending artery
LCX	: Left circumflex artery
LM	: Left main
mAs	: Milli Ampere Second
MLA	: Minimal lumen area
MLD	: Minimal lumen diameter
MPR	: Multiplanar reformatting
MRI	: Magnetic resonance imaging
ms	: Millisecond
MSCT	: Multislice computerized tomography
mSv	: Millisievert
NCEP	: National Cholesterol Educational Program
PAV	: Percent atheroma volume
PET	: Positron emission tomography
PL	: Posterolateral artery
PPV	: Positive predictive values
PROCAM	: Prospective Cardiovascular Munster study
RCA	: Right coronary artery
SCORE	: Systematic Coronary Risk Evaluation
SPECT	: Single photon emission computed tomography
VH	: Virtual histology
WHO	: World Health Organization

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Demographic data of the study sample	65
Table (2):	Clinical characteristics of the study population	66
Table (3):	Results of Risk Score Systems Calculation	67
Table (4):	The extent of CAD detected by MSCT in our study sample	70
Table (5):	Results of Plaque volume, burden and composition assessment by MSCT	71
Table (6):	Correlation between Coronary calcium scoring, Framingham risk score and SCORE, and the extent of CAD detected by MSCT.	73
Table (7):	Correlation between plaque volume, burden and composition with the extent of CAD detected by MSCT	76
Table (8):	Comparison between normal coronaries, non obstructive and obstructive lesions as regarding their risk % according to Framingham risk score.....	78
Table (9):	Framingham % category and its relation with Calcium score.....	80
Table (10):	Correlation between Framingham % category and plaque burden, composition and volume detected by MSCT ..	81
Table (11):	Comparison between absent CAD, non obstructive and obstructive lesions as regarding their risk % according to SCORE.....	83
Table (12):	SCORE % category and its relation with Calcium score.	85

List of Tables (Cont..)

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (13):	Correlation between SCORE % category and plaque burden, composition and volume detected by MSCT..	87
Table (14):	Correlation between Framingham % score with MSCT results, Calcium score and plaque burden.....	89
Table (15):	Correlation between Framingham % category and types of plaque composition detected by MSCT	91
Table (16):	Correlation between SCORE with the extent of CAD detected by MSCT, the Framingham % and plaque burden using Pearson's correlation.....	92
Table (17):	SCORE % category and its relation with Framingham and Framingham % category.....	94
Table (18):	Correlation between SCORE % category and types of plaque composition detected by MSCT.....	96
Table (19):	Correlation between Framingham % score and SCORE.....	97
Table (20):	Receiver operating characteristic curve to show the diagnostic accuracy of the 2 RSS to predict any coronary plaque	99
Table (21):	Receiver operating characteristic curve to show the diagnostic accuracy of the 2 RSS to predict any obstructive plaque	100

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	High risk chart	17
Figure (2):	Low risk chart	18
Figure (3):	Three-dimensional volume rendering of the left and right coronary arteries and their branches acquired with 64-slice computed tomography angiography	24
Figure (4):	Three-dimensional volume rendering of the left coronary artery is acquired with dual-source computed tomography angiography	25
Figure (5):	Three-dimensional volume rendering of the coronary arteries and side branches are clearly visualized with use of 320-slice computed tomography angiography	26
Figure (6):	Diagram showing retrospective electrocardiogram-gating without tube current modulation in multislice computed tomography coronary angiography	28
Figure (7):	Diagram showing retrospective electrocardiogram-gating with the use of tube current modulation in multislice computed tomography coronary angiography	29
Figure (8):	Diagram shows prospective electrocardiogram-triggering beam on during a portion of the cardiac cycle, while in the remaining cardiac phase, the X-ray beam is turned off .	31
Figure (9):	Curved planar reformatted image acquired with a 64-slice CT angiography shows a non -calcified plaque at the mid-segment of the right coronary artery	40

List of Figures (Cont..)

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (10):	Curved planar reformatted image acquired with a dual - source CT angiography shows calcified plaques at the proximal and distal segments of the left anterior descending artery	40
Figure (11):	Curved planar reformatted image acquired with a dual - source CT angiography shows a mixed type of calcified plaque at the mid -segment of the right coronary artery.....	41
Figure 12 (a):	Focally calcified plaque	41
Figure 12 (b):	Extensively calcified plaque.....	41
Figure (13):	Fractional flow reserve (FFR) derived from CT angiography (FFRCT) results	46
Figure (14):	FFRCT results for 66-year-old man with multi-vessel CAD and lesion-specific ischemia	47
Figure (15):	Coronary CT angiography follow-up of a coronary bypass graft	49
Figure (16):	Coronary CT angiography of a patent stent.....	51
Figure (17):	Coronary CT angiography of in-stent restenosis	51
Figure (18):	Percentage of patients in each risk category according to Framingham risk score.....	68
Figure (19):	Percentage of patients in each risk category according to SCORE.....	68
Figure (20):	MSCT result of whole study population.....	70
Figure (21):	High grade mid LAD focal lumen stenosis	72

List of Figures (Cont..)

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (22):	Significant mid RCA	72
Figure (23):	The mean Coronary calcium score in the 3 MSCT categories.....	74
Figure (24):	The mean Framingham risk score in the 3 MSCT categories.....	74
Figure (25):	The mean SCORE in the 3 MSCT categories. ...	75
Figure (26):	Percentage of each type of plaque composition in the obstructive and non obstructive groups	77
Figure (27):	Distribution of the 3 MSCT results by Framingham risk score according to their risk %	79
Figure (28):	Mean coronary calcium score in the 3 Framingham categories.....	80
Figure (29):	Mean % of plaque burden in the 3 Framingham categories.....	82
Figure (30):	Distribution of the 4 plaque composition types in each Framingham category according to their percentage	82
Figure (31):	Distribution of the 3 MSCT results by SCORE according to their risk %	84
Figure (32):	Percentage of each type of plaque composition in the 4 SCORE risk categories.....	84
Figure (33):	The mean coronary calcium score in the 4 SCORE categories.....	86

List of Figures (Cont..)

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (34):	Distribution of the 4 plaque composition types in each SCORE category according to their percentage.	88
Figure (35):	Mean % of plaque burden in the 4 SCORE categories.....	88
Figure (36):	Receiver operating characteristic curve showing positive correlation between Framingham % category and the extent of CAD detected by MSCT.....	89
Figure (37):	Receiver operating characteristic curve showing positive correlation between Framingham % category and plaque burden detected by MSCT.....	90
Figure (38):	Receiver operating characteristic curve showing positive correlation between Framingham % category and Calcium score measured by MSCT	90
Figure (39):	Mean number of each type plaque composition types in the 3 Framingham.....	91
Figure (40):	Receiver operating characteristic curve showing positive correlation between SCORE and the extent of CAD detected by MSCT	92
Figure (41):	Receiver operating characteristic curve showing positive correlation between SCORE and Plaque Burden.....	93
Figure (42):	Receiver operating characteristic curve showing positive correlation between SCORE and Coronary calcium score	93

List of Figures (Cont..)

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (43):	The Framingham risk score mean in the 4 SCORE categories.....	95
Figure (44):	Distribution of the 3 Framingham categories by SCORE according to their risk %	95
Figure (45):	Mean number of each plaque composition type according to SCORE.....	96
Figure (46):	Receiver operating characteristic curve showing positive correlation between Framingham % category and SCORE.....	97
Figure (47):	Percentage of patients in each risk category depending on the RSS used. (A): FRS (B): SCORE (High-risk population)	98
Figure (48):	Receiver operating characteristic curve to show the diagnostic accuracy of the 2 RSS to predict any coronary plaque.	99
Figure (49):	Receiver operating characteristic curve to show the diagnostic accuracy of the 2 RSS to predict any obstructive plaque.	100

Introduction

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in most industrialized nations throughout the world, responsible for enormous loss of human life involving huge expenditure globally. In 2010, nearly 15.6 million out of 52.7 million deaths worldwide, were due to cardiovascular disease (**Lozano et al., 2010**).

More than 90% of myocardial infarctions are attributed to modifiable risk factors such as hypertension, smoking, dyslipidemia, diabetes, abdominal obesity, exposure to traffic air pollution and noise, psychological factors, and insomnia (**Rapsomanik, 2014**).

Global CVD risk assessment is an integrated approach to prevention that recognizes the hazards of multiple risk factors to determine the absolute risk of experiencing a CVD event in a given time period. Almost all CVD guidelines recommend some form of risk scoring as a way to prioritize and plan primary prevention interventions (**Pearson et al., 2002**).

Cardiovascular risk scoring systems give an estimate of the probability that a person will develop cardiovascular disease within a specified amount of time, usually 10 to 30 years. Because they give an indication of the risk of developing cardiovascular disease, they also indicate who

is most likely to benefit from prevention (**D'Agostino et al., 2008**).

It is generally believed that invasive coronary angiography (ICA) is the be the gold standard in evaluating CAD, but with the development of the 16-multi-detector CT (MDCT), a non-invasive approach of coronary CT angiography (CTA) has been applied widely to avoid the complications of (ICA) (**Mowatt et al., 2008**).

It is generally believed that lipid-rich plaques have a higher risk of rupture with consequent thrombosis than fibrotic plaques, thus, differentiation of different plaques based on measurements of CT attenuation has attracted attention to researchers. Studies comparing MSCT angiography with intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) demonstrated that MSCT angiography is able to detect variable densities in the coronary atherosclerotic plaques (**Korosoglou et al., 2010**), (**Motoyama et al., 2009**).

Progressive increasing worldwide use of CCTA has demonstrated that CCTA has the potential to revolutionize how patients are risk-stratified by identifying rupture-prone, non-calcified or predominant non-calcified coronary plaques accurately and reliably (**Kitagawa et al., 2009**), (**Butler et al., 2007**).

Aim of the Work

To study the correlation between Risk Score Systems and the extent of Coronary Artery Disease detected by Coronary CT angiography.