



Non-invasive Hemodynamic Monitoring in Prediction of the Outcome and Prognosis in Sepsis

Thesis

*Submitted For Partial Fulfillment of Master
Degree in Anesthesia*

By

Nayer Nabil Mikhail Guirguis

M.B.,B.CH Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Supervised by

Prof.Dr.Mohamed Sidky Mahmoud Zaki

*Professor of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management Anesthesia
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

Dr. Amr Ahmed Aly Kassem

*Lecturer of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management Anesthesia
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

Dr. Rania Hassan Abd-elHafiz Mohamed

*Lecturer of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management Anesthesia
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

*Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2018*

Acknowledgment

*First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **GOD**, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.*

*I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Sidky Mahmoud Zaki**, Professor of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management Anesthesia Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University for his keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.*

*I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **Dr. Amr Ahmed Aly Kassem**, Lecturer of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management Anesthesia Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University, for his kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.*

*I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Rania Hassan AbdelHafiz Mohamed**, Lecturer of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management Anesthesia Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University, for her great help, active participation and guidance.*

*I wish to introduce my deep respect and thanks to **OSYPKA Medical**, for cooperation and help through out the study .*

Nayer Nabil Mikhail Guirguis

Dedication

*Words can never express my sincere thanks to **My Family and My Loving Wife** for their generous emotional support and continuous encouragement, which brought the best out of me. I owe them all every achievement throughout my life.*

*I would like to express my everlasting gratitude to all **My Professors, Colleagues and Friends**, so many of them influenced, encouraged and inspired me throughout the years. I wish them the best of all.*

*I would like also to thank the **Patients** who agreed willingly to be part of my study and without them; I would not have been able to accomplish this work.*

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	5
List of Figures	7
List of Abbreviations	9
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work.....	14
Review of Literature	
▪ Definition of Sepsis	15
▪ Pathophysiology of Sepsis	22
▪ Overview Through Methods of Cardiac Output Monitoring	45
Patients and Methods	64
Results	68
Discussion	86
Summary and Conclusion	91
References	94
Arabic Summary	—

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Criteria for the Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome, Adapted from the American College of Chest Physicians/Society of Critical Care Medicine Consensus Conference	16
Table (2):	A comparisons of the SSC and sepsis-3 definition	19
Table (3):	Quick sequential organ failure assessment.	21
Table (4):	Surviving sepsis guidelines 2017.	43
Table (5):	Overview of the pulse contour-based homodynamic monitoring devices.	53
Table (6):	Comparison between groups according to demographic data.....	68
Table (7):	Comparison between groups according to MAP.	70
Table (8):	Comparison between groups according to HR.....	71
Table (9):	Comparison between groups according to cardiac index.	72
Table (10):	Comparison between groups according to DO ₂	73
Table (11):	Comparison between groups according to SapO ₂	74
Table (12):	Comparison between groups according to hospital stay.....	75
Table (13):	Comparison between groups according to hemoglobin.	76
Table (14):	Comparison between groups according to TLC.....	77
Table (15):	Comparison between groups according to platelet.....	78
Table (16):	Comparison between groups according to lactate.	79

List of Tables Cont...

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (17):	Comparison between groups according to serum creatinine.	80
Table (18):	Comparison between groups according to AST.....	81
Table (19):	Comparison between groups according to ALT.....	82
Table (20):	Comparison between groups according to cultures.....	83

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	Control of coagulation in normal and inflamed vasculature.....	24
Figure (2):	Proposed model for dysregulation of neutrophil recruitment to bacterial infection in nonpulmonary tissue under normal conditions (left) and in sepsis (right).....	36
Figure (3):	Electric Cardiometry or electrical velocimetry.....	62
Figure (4):	Electrical Cardiometry Technology (EC).	63
Figure (5):	Bar chart between groups according to age.....	68
Figure (6):	Bar chart between groups according to sex.....	69
Figure (7):	Bar chart between groups according to MAP.....	70
Figure (8):	Bar chart between groups according to heart rate.....	71
Figure (9):	Bar chart between groups according to cardiac index.....	72
Figure (10):	Bar chart between groups according to Do ₂	73
Figure (11):	Bar chart between groups according to SapO ₂ %.....	74
Figure (12):	Bar chart between groups according to hospital stay.	75
Figure (13):	Bar chart between groups according to hemoglobin.....	76
Figure (14):	Bar chart between groups according to TLC.....	77
Figure (15):	Bar chart between groups according to platelet.....	78
Figure (16):	Bar chart between groups according to lactate.....	79

List of Figures Cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (17):	Bar chart between groups according to serum creatinine.....	80
Figure (18):	Bar chart between groups according to AST.....	81
Figure (19):	Bar chart between groups according to ALT.....	82
Figure (20):	Bar chart between groups according to culture.....	83

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
APC.....	Activated protein C
CI.....	Cardiac index
Co.....	Cardiac output
CVP.....	Central venous pressure
CXC.....	Chemokine receptor
DIC.....	Disseminated intravascular coagulation
EC.....	Electric cardiometry
ED.....	Emergency department
EGDT.....	Early goal directed therapy
ESICM.....	European Society of Intensive Care Medicine
ICG.....	Impedance cardiography
ICU.....	Intensive care unit
IL1.....	Interleukin-1
LOA.....	Limits of agreement
MAP.....	Mean arterial pressure
PAC.....	Pulmonary artery catheter
PaCO ₂	Partial pressure of arterial carbon dioxide
PP.....	Pulse pressure
PPV.....	Pulse pressure variation
PRAM.....	Pressure recording analytic method
qSOFA.....	Quick sequential organ failure assessment
RBCs.....	Red blood cells
SBP.....	Systolic blood pressure
SCCM.....	Society of Critical Care Medicine
SD.....	Standard deviation
SIRS.....	Systemic inflammatory response syndrome
SOFA.....	Sequential organ failure assessment
SSC.....	Surviving Sepsis Campaign
SV.....	Stroke volume

List of Abbreviations Cont...

Abb.	Full term
<i>SVV</i>	<i>Stroke volume variation</i>
<i>TNF</i>	<i>Tumor necrosis factor</i>
<i>TREM</i>	<i>Triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells</i>
<i>USCOM</i>	<i>Ultrasound CO monitor</i>
<i>VTI</i>	<i>Blood velocity–time integral</i>
<i>WBC</i>	<i>White blood cell</i>

INTRODUCTION

A recent consensus report defines sepsis as “life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection”. Septic shock is defined as a “subset of sepsis in which particularly profound circulatory, cellular, and metabolic abnormalities are associated with a greater risk of mortality than with sepsis alone” (*The Journal of the American Medical Association, 2016*).

Clinical indicators of sepsis are defined by a two steps screening method. The first step should be identification of infection. The second step should be identification of organ dysfunction by the same organ dysfunction criteria (including lactate level greater than 2 mmol/L) (*Surviving Sepsis Campaign, 2016*).

Organ dysfunction may also be identified using the quick Sepsis-Related Organ Failure Assessment (qSOFA). Importantly, evidence of two out of three qSOFA elements (altered mental status, respiratory rate greater than or equal to 22 breaths/min and systolic blood pressure less than or equal to 100 mm Hg) in patients who have screened positive for infection may be used as a secondary screen to identify patients at risk for clinical deterioration (*Surviving Sepsis Campaign, 2016*).

Complex disease syndromes such as septic shock require multimodal diagnostic and therapeutic approaches. Besides the diagnosis of septic shock and early causal therapy, one major

challenge in its treatment remains the resuscitation and management of cardiocirculatory and respiratory dysfunction (*Wo, 2007*).

Traditional parameters of assessing the adequacy of resuscitation are limited, and physicians poorly predict ongoing hypoperfusion in critically ill patients (*Wo, 2007*).

Measurement of hemodynamics is traditionally limited to pulmonary artery catheterization in the intensive care unit (ICU). Research and expert consensus recognize the potential of early hemodynamic monitoring, but also question the routine use of pulmonary artery catheters in shock (*Andrews, 2010*).

As Pulmonary artery catheterization poses significant risks and requires specialized training. Technological advances allow for more readily available, noninvasive clinical measurements of hemodynamics (*Antonelli et al., 2007*).

Impedance cardiography (ICG) offers the potential for safe, noninvasive hemodynamic monitoring that can be easily applied as early as in resuscitation (*Shoemaker, 2000*).

Application of the findings of earlier studies of hemodynamics in septic shock patients is limited because these studies utilized pulmonary artery catheters, and had narrow inclusion criteria (fever, hypotension, and subsequent positive blood cultures were all required) (*Rivers et al., 2001*).

We hypothesize that an association exists between the cardiac index measured noninvasively in patients undergoing EGDT and Hemodynamic management for severe sepsis or septic shock, and in-hospital mortality as well as in Prediction of the Outcome and Prognosis in Sepsis.

AIM OF THE WORK

The aim of this study is to evaluate the effect of cardiac index, mean arterial blood pressure, heart rate, and oxygen delivery as measured noninvasively by impedance cardiography (ICG) in prediction of the outcome and prognosis in sepsis.

*Chapter 1***DEFINITION OF SEPSIS**

Sepsis has been active as long as infectious agents have been present. Because bacteria predate humans, sepsis probably predates modern man (*Baron et al., 2006*). Despite intense efforts, sepsis remains a serious clinical problem, accounting for thousands of deaths every year. A recent analysis of hospital records indicates that the total number of patients who are dying is actually increasing. This study also confirmed the work of Angus et al. that the incidence of sepsis is increasing and projected to continue to grow as the population ages. These studies concluded that “severe sepsis is a common, expensive, and frequently fatal condition, with as many deaths annually as those from acute myocardial infarction. It is important to bear in mind that sepsis mortality is based on 28-day survival, in contrast to most mortality studies, which are based on 5-year survival. Therefore, in addition to its high lethality, sepsis also accounts for a significant number of years of life lost (*Martin et al., 2003*).

Two major consensus conferences have defined sepsis. The first, in 1992, put forth the concept of the Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome (SIRS), recognizing that lethally altered pathophysiology could be present without positive blood cultures. The SIRS criteria are listed in Table 1 (*American College of Chest Physicians/Society, 1992*). Sepsis