

**Transverse Supraumbilical Versus Pfannenstiel
Incision for Cesarean Section in Morbidly
Obese Women
"A randomized controlled trial"**

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of M.D.
in Obstetrics and Gynecology

By

Hend Mahmoud Abdel Fattah Elhossary

M.B, B.Ch., 2010 - M.SC., 2015

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Assistant Lecturer of Obs&Gyn, Faculty of Medicine, Helwan University

Under Supervision of

Prof/ Helmy Motawea El Sayed

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Prof/ Shreif Fathi El Mekkawi

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr/Ahmed Mohamed El Kotb

Lecturer in Obstetrics and Gynecology

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr/ Rasha Medhat Abd Elhady

Lecturer in Obstetrics and Gynecology

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

*Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University*

2018

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

سبحانك لا علم لنا
إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت
العليم العظيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢



Acknowledgments

*First, I owe my deepest gratitude to **ALLAH** the most merciful for his grace and mercy for giving me the effort to complete this work.*

*Words fails to express my sincere gratitude to **Prof/ Helmy Motawea El Sayed**, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for his guide and support. Without his courteous assistance and kind patience, this work would never had come to light.*

*I would also like to convey my deep appreciation and most gratefulness to **Prof. Shreif Fathi El Mekkawi**, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for their constant guidance, experienced advice and great encouragement.*

*A great appreciation and most gratefulness for **Dr/Ahmed Mohamed El Kotb and Dr/ Rasha Medhat Abd Elhady** Lecturers in Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, They consistently allowed this study to be my own work, but steered me in the right direction whenever they thought I needed it.*

*Finally, I must express my profound gratitude to my **parents**, for providing me with unfailing support and continuous encouragement throughout my years of study and the process of researching and writing the thesis.*

Thanks for all your encouragement!

 **Hend Mahmoud Abdel Fattah Elhossary**

List of Contents

<i>Subject</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
List of Abbreviations.....	i
List of Tables.....	ii
List of Figures	vii
Protocol.....	
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work.....	4
Review of Literature	
Chapter (1): Cesarean section	5
Chapter (2): Obesity and Cesarean section	46
Chapter (3): Supraumbilical incision	34
Patients and Methods.....	52
Results.....	63
Discussion	80
Summary	84
Conclusion.....	86
References	87
Arabic Summary	—

List of Abbreviations

<i>Abbr.</i>	<i>Full-term</i>
ASHP	American Society of Health System of Pharmacists
BMI	Body mass index
2D	Two-Dimensional
C-SECTION	Cesarean Section
C.P.D	Cephalo-Pelvic Disproportion
CTG	Cardiotocography
CCT	Controlled Cord Traction
CMV	Cytomegalo Virus
CM	Centimeter
EFM	Electronic Fetal Monitoring
FHR	Fetal Heart Rate
HELLP	Hemolysis- Elevated Liver Enzymes- Low Platelet Count.
HIV	Human Immunodeficiency Virus
HSV	Herpes Simplex Virus
HPV	Human Papilloma Virus
IDSA	Infectious Disease Society of America
I.T.P	Idiopathic Thrombocytopenic Purpura
IU	International Unite
IV	intravenous

NICE	National Institute for Health and Care Excellence
NO	Number
PE	Pulmonary embolism
RCOG	Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists
SHEA	Society of Health Care of Epidemiology of America
SSI	Surgical site infection
TSU	Transverse supraumbilical incision
VET	Venous thromboembolism
VRAS	Verbal Analogue Score

List of Tables

<i>Table No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Table (1):	Demographic characteristics of both study groups	64
Table (2):	Obstetric history of both study groups.....	65
Table (3):	Incidence of adverse outcomes in both study groups	66
Table (4):	Risk analysis for the incidence of adverse outcomes Comment.....	67
Table (5):	Comparison of operative data in both study groups	69
Table (6):	Postoperative pain score and hospital length of stay in both study groups	71
Table (7):	Incidence of adverse outcomes in both study groups.....	75
Table (8):	Multivariable binary logistic regression analysis for the relation between the incision type and occurrence of wound complications as adjusted for the effect of potential confounders	76
Table (9):	Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve derived from the multivariable binary logistic regression analysis for the relation between the incision type and occurrence of wound complications as adjusted for the effect of potential confounders	77

List of Figures

<i>Figure No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Figure (1):	Robson classification	8
Figure (2):	Blood supply	17
Figure (3):	Nerve supply	18
Figure (4):	Nerve supply in relation to transverse incision	19
Figure (5):	Muscle of anterior abdominal wall	20
Figure (6):	Muscle of anterior abdominal wall.	22
Figure (7):	The rectus sheath.....	23
Figure (8):	(A) Vertical, (B) Maylard, (C) Pfannenstiel incisions.....	25
Figure (9):	Pfannenstiel & Joel Cohen Incisions	25
Figure (10):	Site of the supraumbilical transverse incision.	26
Figure (11):	Site of the supraumbilical transverse incision.	49
Figure (12):	Operating technique for the cesarean section with a supraumbilical cutaneous incision.	50
Figure (13):	BMI classification	34
Figure (14):	Traction of the panniculs with towels clips	57
Figure (15):	Septic wound.....	60

Figure (16): A pictorial reference guide to aid visual estimation of blood loss at obstetric hameorrhage.....	61
Figure (17): Visual analogue scale.....	62
Figure (18): Consort chart	63
Figure (19): Incidence of surgical site infection in both study groups.	66
Figure (20): Operative time in both study groups.....	69
Figure (21): Drop in hematocrit in both study groups.	70
Figure (22): Postoperative pain score in both study groups.....	72
Figure (23): Mean hospital length of stay in both study groups. Error bars represent the 95% confidence interval (95% CI).....	73
Figure (24): Kaplan-Meier (K-M) curves for the time to hospital discharge in either study group.....	74
Figure (25): Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve	78

Introduction

Cesarean section is defined as the birth of a fetus through incision in the abdominal wall and the uterine wall (*Cunningham et al., 2000*), cesarean section is one of the most common operative procedures performed in modern obstetrics (*Mackeen et al., 2012*).

Cesarean sections increased in both developed and developing countries for a variety of reasons (*Vogel et al., 2015*). There is an observed inverse association between rates of caesarean delivery and maternal and neonatal mortalities (*Gibbons et al., 2012*).

Cesarean section which performed on non-medical indications in low-resource settings is associated with higher maternal risks than vaginal delivery (*Souza et al., 2010*) and the cesarean sections scar can cause problems in subsequent pregnancies (*Silver, 2012*). Cesarean sections complications account for a large proportion of the hospital's severe maternal morbidity and deaths (*Litorp et al., 2014*).

The first successful cesarean delivery on a living woman had been performed by Jacob Nufer in 1500 AC (*Larry et al., 2002*). In 1882, Max Saenger introduced the technique of suturing the uterus. He advocated performing a vertical incision in the uterus that avoided the lower uterine segment, a particular important modification was recommended by Munro Kerr in 1926, who preferred a semilunar transverse lower

uterine incision with the curve directed upward rather than a longitudinal uterine incision (*Cunningham et al., 2000*).

The surgical technique for performing cesarean section changed from time to time, from surgeon to surgeon and these changes were involved both of the uterine and skin incisions. These include vertical (midline and paramedian) incisions and transverse incisions "pfannenstiel, Maylard, Cherney, Joel Cohen"(*Hofmeyr et al., 2008*).

Despite progress in the management of the medical, psychological, and surgical aspects of obesity, it is a major public health problem (*Galuska et al., 2008*).

The World Health Organization (WHO) and National Institutes of Health (NIH) define “normal weight” by the Body Mass Index (BMI, calculated as weight in kg divided by the height in meters squared). Normal BMI is 18.5–24.9, overweight is BMI 25–29.9 and obesity is BMI ≥ 30 . Obesity can be further characterized by BMI as class I (30–34.9), class II (35–39.9) and class III (≥ 40), morbidly obese is more than 40kg/m^2 (*WHO, 2000*). Obesity is prevalent in women of reproductive age in both high and low-to-middle income countries (*WHO, 2013*). The increasing global problem of obesity in maternity care needs a national guideline recommendation for the development of interventions to improve pregnancy outcomes (*NICE, 2015*).

Maternal obesity is a major risk factor in the short term for both maternal and fetal complications, including maternal and fetal mortality, miscarriages, gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), pregnancy-induced hypertensive disorders, macrosomia, and cesarean section (*Guelinckx et al., 2008*). Panniculus morbidus is mostly seen in patients with morbidly obesity. It is characterized by an edematous, overhanging abdominal mass, due to laxity and redundancy of the abdominal skin, which is most likely linked to the increased rate of seroma formation postoperatively. These patients suffer from pain, recurrent rashes, cellulitis, intertriginous yeast infection, abscess formation, and profound odor (*Igwe et al., 2000*).

Pregnant obese women have a high risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes (*Heslehurst et al., 2011*), the risk of wound infection, ranging from 12% to 30% as moderately obese women had a 1.7 times higher risk of wound infection and severely obese women had a 4.8 times higher risk of wound infection in comparison with non-obese (*Alines et al., 2010*).

The obstetricians are often confronted with difficult decisions when such morbid obese patients are about to give birth. From a surgical point of view, obesity complicates exposure, increases the duration of the operation, blood loss, and the length of hospitalization (*Wolfe, 1998*).

Aim of the Work

This study aims to evaluate the efficacy and safety of transverse supraumbilical incision compared to pfannenstiel incision in morbidly obese women undergoing cesarean section.

Chapter (1): **Cesarean section**

Cesarean delivery rates are shooting all over the world with a rate of (40.5%) in Latin America and the Caribbean region which was the highest regions with CS rates followed by Northern America with a rate of (32.3%) Oceania (31.1%), Europe (25%), Asia (19.2%) and Africa (7.3%) (*Betrán et al., 2016*).

In Egypt, WHO stated that the Caesarean section rate in Egypt was 27.6% in the year 2010 (*LuzG et al., 2010*). According Ministry of Health and Populations reported data; more than %50 (50.8%) of all deliveries were by CS without much difference between urban and rural areas (*Ministry of Health and Populations [Egypt], El-Zanaty Associate 2015*).

The possible factors employed in the rising CS rates were fear of labor pains, misconception about genital damage after vaginal and misconception about safety of CS delivery for the baby (*Zwecker et al., 2011*).

According to the retrospective observational study was done to determine the actual Caesarean Section rate at Tanta University the CS rate was high at Tanta University Hospital, and there was slight increase in the rate through the period of study. The most common indication for cesarean section was

previous cesarean section. The rate of cesarean section showed minimal but continuous rise in the past three years (*Dawood, et al., 2017*).

Historical, the Roman required the child of a mother dead in childbirth be cut from her womb (*Pieter and Dongen, 2009*). So they had begun a religious requirement that mothers not be buried pregnant (*Högberg et al., 1987*), and to have evolved into a way of saving the fetus, with Roman practice requiring a living mother be in her 10th month of pregnancy before the procedure was resorted to reflecting the knowledge that she could not survive the delivery (*Claude Moore Sciences Health, 2012*).

The Roman dictator Julius Cesar was born by the method now known as C-section is apparently false (*Christopher, 2003*), although Cesarean sections were performed in Roman times, no classical source records a mother surviving such a delivery. The term has also been explained as deriving from the verb *cedere*, to cut with children delivered this way referred to as *cesones* (*Pieter and Dongen, 2009*).

❖ **Indications of caesarean section:**

WHO conducted a systematic review of systems used to classify caesarean section, and concluded that the Robson classification is the most appropriate system to fulfill current international and local needs. WHO recommended building upon this to develop an internationally applicable caesarean section classification system (*Torloni et al., 2011*).