Comparison of Disease Characteristics, Course and Outcome between Adults with Juvenile and Adult- onset Systemic Lupus Erythematosus

A Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of M.D Degree In Internal Medicine

By

Mohammed El- Azb El- Sayed Soliman

(M.B.B.Ch)- M. Sc. (Internal Medicine)
Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Abd El- Azeim Mohammad Elhefny

Professor of Internal Medicine, Rheumatology and Immunology
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Reem Abd El- Monem Mohammad

Professor of Internal Medicine, Rheumatology and Immunology
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr. Maryam Ahmed Abd El-Rhaman

Assistant professor of Internal Medicine & Rheumatology
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. Nashwa Aly Morshedy

Assistant professor of Internal Medicine & Rheumatology Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University (2018)



Acknowledgement

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful

Alhamdulillah, all praises to Allah for the strengths and His blessing in completing this thesis.

Special appreciation goes to my supervisor, **Prof. Dr. Abd El-Azeim Mohammad ELhefny**, for his supervision and constant support. His invaluable help of constructive comments and suggestions throughout the experiment and thesis works have contributed to the success of this work.

I am also deeply indebted to Prof. Dr. Reem Abd El-Monem for her great support throughout the whole work.

I would like to express my appreciation to **Prof. Dr.**Maryam Abd El-Rhaman -for the tremendous effort she has done in meticulous of revision of this work.

My profound thanks for **Dr. Nashwa Aly Morshedy** for her useful advises and continuous help in achievement of this thesis.

Many thanks for **Dr. Amro Abdelzaher** for his meticulous assistance and guidance through out the study especially in the statistical and puplication work.

Last but not least, my deepest gratitude goes to my parents and also to my wife for their endless love, prayers and encouragement.

Mohammed Al Azab

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	i
List of Figures	iv
List of Abbreviations	vii
Introduction	1
Aim Of The Study	3
Review Of Literature	
• Chapter 1: Systemic Lupus Erythematosus	4
• Chapter 2: Juvenile Onset Sle	56
Patients And Methods	88
Results	96
Discussion	137
Summary	147
Conclusion	149
Recommendations	150
References	151
Arabic Summary	_

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Updated American College Rheumatology Revised criteria for classification of SLE	the
Table (2):	Clinical and immunologic criteria use the SLICC classification system:	
Table (3):	Differentiating infection finflammation (flare) in SLE patients	from40
Table (4):	Factors affecting pregnancy outcome SLE	
Table (5):	Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disc Activity Index (SLEDAI)	
Table (6):	SLICC /ACR Damage index for SLE	91
Table (7):	Comparison between 2 groups regard sex:	_
Table (8):	Comparison between 2 groups regard age at disease onset and disease dura at study entry:	tion
Table (9):	Comparison between 2 groups regard clinical manifestations 1 year be study entry:	efore
Table (10):	Comparison between 2 groups regard clinical manifestations at study entry	
Table (11):	Comparison between 2 groups regard clinical manifestations 1 year after steentry	tudy
Table (12):	Comparison between 2 groups regard immunology profile 1 year before stentry	tudy

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (13):	Comparison between 2 groups regard immunology profile at study entry	•
Table (14):	Comparison between 2 groups regard immunology profile 1 year after stentry	cudy
Table (15):	Comparison between 2 groups regard investigations 1 year before study ent	•
Table (16):	Comparison between 2 groups regard investigations at study entry:	•
Table (17):	Comparison between 2 groups regard investigations 1 year after study entry	•
Table (18):	Comparison between 2 groups regard SLEDAI	•
Table (19):	Comparison between 2 groups regard SLICC:	_
Table (20):	Comparison between 2 groups regardenal damage (persistent proteinuria)	_
Table (21):	Comparison between 2 groups regard classification of renal damage (evider by renal biopsy)	nced
Table (22):	Comparison between 2 groups regard drugs received during treatment:	•
Table (23):	Comparison of renal remission (eicomplete or partial) on induction therefore between cyclophosphamide mycophenolate mofetil:	apy and

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (24)	Comparison of renal remission (complete or partial) on maintain therapy between azathioprine mycophenolate mofetil:	nance and
Table (25):	Comparison between 2 groups regarenal remission (either complet partial) on induction therapy:	te or
Table (26):	Comparison between 2 groups regarrenal remission (either complet partial) on maintainance therapy:	te or
Table (27):	Correlations of SLEDAI with diff parameters:	

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	Pathogenesis of SLE	5
Figure (2):	Pathophysiology of SLE	10
Figure (3):	Clinical manifestations of SLE	12
Figure (4):	Summary of NICE and NHS Engliguidance for the use of belimumab rituximab in patients with SLE	and
Figure (5):	Treatment of Class III/IV lupus nephriti	s53
Figure (6):	Treatment of class V without proliferations changes and with nephrotirang protein (>3 gm/24 hours)	uria
Figure (7)	Management of lupus nephritis	55
Figure (8):	Malar rash	63
Figure (9):	Raynaud's phenomenon	63
Figure (10):	Alopecia	64
Figure (11):	Oral ulcers	64
Figure (12):	Subacute cutaneous lupus erythematosu	ıs 65
Figure (13):	Kidney Biopsy: Class IV (Dif Proliferative) LN	
Figure (14):	Kidney Biopsy: Class V (Membranous) L	N 69
Figure (15):	Comparison between the 2 groups regard butterfly rash	
Figure (16):	Comparison between the 2 groups regard photosensitivity	_
Figure (17):	Comparison between the 2 groups regard oral ulcers	
Figure (18):	Comparison between the 2 groups regardarthritis	

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (19):	Comparison between the 2 groups regar HB level.	_
Figure (20):	Comparison between the 2 groups regar platlets count	_
Figure (21):	Comparison between the 2 groups regar ESR	_
Figure (22):	Comparison between the 2 groups regar CRP	_
Figure (23):	Comparison between the 2 groups regar creatinine.	•
Figure (24):	Comparison between the 2 groups regar 24 h proteinuria	_
Figure (25):	Comparison between the 2 groups regar SLEDAI.	
Figure (26):	Comparison between the 2 groups regar SLICC.	
Figure (27):	Comparison between the 2 groups regar renal damage	_
Figure (28):	Comparison between the 2 groups regardrugs received during treatment	_
Figure (29):	Comparison of renal remission on induction therapy between cyclophosphamide mycophenolate mofetil.	and
Figure (30):	Comparison of renal remission maintainance therapy between azathion and mycophenolate mofetil	
Figure (31):	Correlation between SLEDAI and ag disease onset in group I.	

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (32):	Correlation between SLEDAI Creatinine in group I	
Figure (33):	Correlation between SLEDAI and proteinuria in group I	
Figure (34):	Correlation between SLEDAI and SLIC group I	
Figure (35):	Correlation between SLEDAI creatinine in group II	
Figure (36):	Correlation between SLEDAI and proteinuria in group II.	

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Meaning
ACLE	Acute cutaneous lupus erythematosus
ACR	American College of Rheumatology
AIHA	Autoimmune hemolytic anemia
ANA	Antinuclear antibody
Anti-dsDNA	Antinuclear double stranded DN
APL	Antiphospholipid antibodies
APO	Adverse pregnancy outcomes
APS	Antiphospholipid syndrome
ARDS	Acute respiratory distress syndrome
ASLE	Adult-onset SLE
AZA	Azathioprine
BAFF	B-cell activating factor
BILAG	British Isles Lupus Assessment Group
CHB	Congenital heart block
CHD	Coronary heart disease
CNS	Central nervous system
CSs	Corticosteroids
CVST	Central venous thrombosis
DHEA	Dehydroepiandrosterone
DM	Diabetes mellitus
ECG	Electrocardiography
ESRD	End stage renal disease
EULAR	European League Against Rheumatism
GI	Gastrointestinal
HAQ	Heath Assessment Questionnaire

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Meaning
IA	Intra-articular
IFN-alpha	Interferon-alpha
	Interstitial lung disease
	Idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura
IUGR	Intra-uterine growth restriction
IVIG	Intravenous immunoglobulin
JSLE	Juvenile onset systemic lupus erythematosus
LAC	Lupus anticoagulant
LE	Lupus erythematosus
LN	Lupus nephritis
LVEF	Left ventricular ejection fraction
MTX	Methotrexate
MMF	Mycophenolate Mofetil
NPSLE	Neuropsychiatric lupus
NSAIDs	Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs
PAH	Pulmonary arterial hypertension
PDCs	Plasmacytoid dendritic cells
PML	Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy
RA	Rheumatoid arthritis
RNP	Ribonucleoprotein
SAH	Subarachnoid hemorrhage
SF36	Short Form 36
SLAM	Systemic Lupus Activity Measure
SLE	Systemic lupus erythematous
SLEDAI	Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease
Activity Index	
	Systemic Lupus International Collaboration
Clinics	
	Thiopurine S-methyltransferase
TTP	Thrombocytopenic purpura

Abstract

Background:

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a systemic autoimmune disease with a broad spectrum of clinical manifestations that predominantly affects young women during childbearing age. The disease onset of SLE can also occur in pediatric and older populations.

Patients and Method:

One hundred and fifty consecutive patients with SLE fulfilling the SLICC criteria for the classification of SLE (Petri et al., 2012) were included in the study. The patients were classified according to the age at disease onset.

Results:

This study was conducted on One hundred and fifty patients with SLE fulfilling the SLICC criteria for the classification of SLE (Petri et al., 2012). SLE patients were 141 females (94.00%) and 9 males (6.00%).

Conclusion:

- The age at onset of SLE influences its clinical and serological manifestations.
- JSLE patients have a more aggressive presentation and course than ASLE patients with a higher frequency of lupus nephritis, more cutaneous symptoms and hematological manifestations.
- JSLE patients have more severe disease activity with very high SLEDAI and SLICC damage scores.
- ASLE patients have more musculoskeletal manifestations

Keywords: Systemic Lupus International Collaboration, Thiopurine S-methyltransferase, Thrombocytopenic purpura

INTRODUCTION

lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a systemic autoimmune disease with a broad spectrum of clinical manifestations that predominantly affects young women during childbearing age (Cervera et al., 2009). The disease onset of SLE can also occur in pediatric and older populations (Mak et al., 2007).

Although the highest prevalence of SLE is among women of childbearing age, juvenile-onset SLE (JSLE) represents 10–20% of all SLE cases (Kamphuis and Silverman E, 2010). SLE is diagnosed early in life (before age 16) in up to 20% of cases (*Hersh et al.*, 2011).

Several factors, including age and ethnicity, can affect the nature and severity of SLE, and response to treatment also varies by race, ethnicity, and age (Borchers et al., 2010). Childhood-onset lupus patients display some differences in their disease profile and are associated with higher disease severity, and a more-rapid damage accrual compared with adult-onset SLE (ASLE) (Kamphuis et al., 2010).

It has been established JSLE tends to have a more aggressive presentation and course, with high rates of organ for involvement and increased need long-term immunosuppressive medication (Beatriz et al., 2014). JSLE patients have more severe disease activity, and renal involvement was more frequent (Choi et al., 2015).

While in adults a predominance of clinical features such as serositis and sicca symptoms was observed, in adolescents



the disease was significantly more associated with the development of LN, which is considered an indicator of a worse prognosis. A notable percentage of adolescent-onset patients also developed cardiovascular events or cancer, which are uncommon diseases in young patients (Beatriz et al., 2014).

There are notable differences among the manifestations of the disease between children and adults. A study comparing 56 children with JSLE and 194 patients with ASLE found that renal involvement, encephalopathy and hemolytic anemia, were significantly more common in JSLE as compared to ASLE (Hersh et al., 2009). There is a higher prevalence of anti-dsDNA, antiSm, and anti-nucleosome antibodies in JSLE patients (Choi et al., 2015).

Despite a dramatic improvement in mortality in both adult and adolescent-onset disease in the last few decades, owing largely to significant advances in the therapy of SLE, patients diagnosed with SLE at an early age remain at high risk for early mortality in their young adult years (Hersh et al., 2011).

The poorer outcome observed in younger children may be explained by a stronger genetic predisposition, a more severe disease expression (e.g. more frequent neuropsychiatric disorders), a higher infectious susceptibility and a more aggressive therapy, particularly within the first 6 months of disease course (Elodie et al., 2009).