



Cairo University

NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF THE BEHAVIOR OF PRECAST L-SHAPED BEAMS

By
Ahmed Mostafa Elsaied Ali Ghanem

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
Structural Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA - EGYPT
2018

NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF THE BEHAVIOR OF PRECAST L-SHAPED BEAMS

By
Ahmed Mostafa Elsaied Ali Ghanem

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
Structure Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Adel Yahia Akl
Professor of Structural Analysis and Mechanics
Faculty of Engineering
Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA - EGYPT
2018

NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF THE BEHAVIOR OF PRECAST L-SHAPED BEAMS

By
Ahmed Mostafa Elsaied Ali Ghanem

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
Structural Engineering

Approved by the Examining Committee:

Prof. Dr. Adel Yahya Akl..... Thesis Main Advisor
Professor of Structural Analysis and Mechanics, Structural Engineering Department – Cairo
University

Prof. Dr. Walid Abdel Latif Attia..... Internal Examiner
Professor of Structural Analysis and Mechanics, Structural Engineering Department - Cairo
University

Prof. Dr. Hamed Shaker Hassan Askar..... External Examiner
Professor of Reinforced Concrete, Structural Engineering Department - Mansoura
University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA - EGYPT
2018

Engineer Name : Ahmed Mostafa El Saied Ali Ghanem
Date of birth : 12 / 12 / 1990
Nationality : Egyptian
E-mail : ahmedghanem90@gmail.com
Phone : +20 100 686 3669
Address : New Cairo, 5th Settlement, Gamal Abdel Nasser St., BLD 121, Cairo, Egypt.



Registration date : 01 / 10 / 2013
Grant Date : / / 2018
Degree : Master of Science
Department : Structural Engineering
Supervisor : **Prof. Dr. Adel Yahya Akl**
Examiners :

Prof. Dr. Adel Yahya Akl.....Thesis Main Supervisor
Professor of Structural Analysis and Mechanics- Structural Engineering Department –
Cairo University

Prof. Walid Abdel Latif Attia..... Internal Examiner
Professor of Structural Analysis and Mechanics- Structural Engineering Department –
Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Hamed Shaker Hassan Askar..... External Examiner
Professor of Reinforced Concrete, Structural Engineering Department - Mansoura
University

Thesis Title:

**NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF THE BEHAVIOR OF PRECAST
L-SHAPED BEAMS**

Keywords:

Nonlinear analysis, finite element, skew bending failure, precast beams, prestressed beams

Summary:

Precast spandrel L-shaped beams are commonly used in the perimeter of precast parking structures to transfer deck loads to vertical members. The unsymmetrical cross section of these beams which supports eccentric loads resulting in combined shear, torsion and flexure forces makes spandrel L-shaped beams one of the most critical elements in precast construction. These loading conditions forces the spandrel beams to significantly rotate about its weak axis. This lateral deflection increases as loads increase that may cause instability of the beam. Therefore, limiting this lateral deflection has become the subject of many studies for these types of beams. The main challenges facing L-shaped beams are shear and torsion distress in their end regions near supports where they experience a skew bending failure. The objective of this research is to study the behavior of these beams under combined loading conditions. Testing full scale spandrel beams with their large cross section was believed to be costly. Therefore, this research introduces a parametric study including 16 finite element models to investigate the effect of various factors believed to influence the behavior of these types of beams. Moreover, this research introduces new proposed special connections that reduce the rotation of spandrel L-shaped beams. Results of the parametric study are encouraging to reduce the lateral deflection of the spandrels to minimum.

Acknowledgments

Towards finalization of my Master's degree, I would like to express my deep gratitude to Dr. Mohamed Naiem and Dr. Adel Akl for their valuable support and advices. Their guidance as well as patience pushed me through all research phases.

I would like to thank Mr. Mohamed El Kady, Mr. Mohamed Samir, Mr. Hany Nasr and Mr. Mohamed Fawzy who encouraged and gave me the chance to develop myself.

Thanks, should go to Mr. Mohamed Ayman who helped me along the research phases.

Words can't describe my deep gratitude to my family. I owe them everything I achieve through my whole life. My parents, my brother and sister who stood as a backbone in every single moment in my life.

To my wife and son, thank you for lighting my way. Your smile always pacifies any worries. I am so lucky to have you.

Dedication

To my parents, I wish I made you proud.

Table of Contents

Dedication.....	II
List of Tables.....	V
List of Figures	VI
Abstract	IX
CHAPTER 1 : Introduction	1
1.1 Problem Definition	1
1.1 Objectives	1
1.2 Scope	2
1.3 Thesis Overview.....	2
CHAPTER 2 : Literature Review	3
2.1 Overview.....	3
2.2 Characteristics of Spandrel L-shaped Beams.....	3
2.3 Failure Modes of Spandrel L-shaped Beams	4
2.4 Current Practice for Shear and Torsion Design	7
2.5 Major Challenges to Slender L-shaped Beams	11
2.6 Available Previous Studies.....	12
CHAPTER 3 : Calibration of the Finite Element Program “ANSYS”	23
3.1 Introduction.....	23
3.2 Main characteristics	23
3.3 Modeling Techniques	24
3.4 Verification of the Experimental Results.....	27
3.4.1 Experimental Spandrel Beam (SP11).....	28
3.4.1.1 SP11 Geometry.....	28
3.4.1.2 SP11 Reinforcement	29
3.4.1.3 SP11 Concrete Properties.....	30
3.4.1.4 SP11 Test Technique.....	30
3.4.2 “ANSYS” Finite Element Model for (SP11).....	33
3.4.3 Comparison between Experimental results and FE results.....	44
3.4.4 Summary.....	49
CHAPTER 4 : Parametric Study and Results	50
4.1 Introduction.....	50
4.1.1 Loads.....	53

4.2 Effect of Depth.....	53
4.2.1 Crack Pattern and Mode of Failure	55
4.2.2 Results	60
4.3 Effect of Width.....	64
4.3.1 Crack Pattern and Mode of Failure	66
4.3.2 Results	70
4.4 Effect of Deck Ties	73
4.4.1 Height of Deck Ties	73
4.4.2 Arrangement of Deck Ties	79
CHAPTER 5 : Summary and Conclusions	103
5.1 Summary.....	103
5.2 Conclusions.....	103
5.3 Future work	104
REFERENCES	105

List of Tables

Table 3.1 Element types for SP11	33
Table 3.2 Real Constants for SP11.....	34
Table 3.3 Material models for SP11.....	37
Table 3.4 Solution Controls for SP11	43
Table 4.1 Parametric study outline.....	51
Table 4.2 Material characteristics for parametric models.....	53
Table 4.3 Loading Sequence	53

List of Figures

Figure 2-1 Typical loads and reactions for precast slender L-shaped beams (Walter, 2008).....	4
Figure 2-2 Skew-Bending Failure Modes	5
Figure 2-3 Shear and Torsion stress flow	5
Figure 2-4 Typical diagonal crack plane crosses the top of the web at a skew (Lucier G., Watler C., Rizkalla S., Zia P., Klein G. 2011 part 1)	6
Figure 2-5 Failure mode of SP10 (Walter C., 2008)	6
Figure 2-6 Projected length of skew bending failure planes for compact and slender sections.....	12
Figure 2-7 Observed cracks of spandrel beams in parking garages. (Raths 1984)	13
Figure 2-8 Spandrel L-shaped failure mechanism (Logan 2007).....	14
Figure 2-9 Ledge-to-web cracks (a) and ledge punching (b) (Klein 1986)	15
Figure 2-10 Shear failure at beam ends (Klein 1986).....	15
Figure 2-11 SP4 mode of failure (Lucier et al 2007).....	16
Figure 2-12 Effect of deck tie connections on spandrel L-shaped beams	17
Figure 2-13 Test specimens at failure (Hariharan 2009)	19
Figure 2-14 Effect of stiffness of deck ties on the response of L-shaped beams (Mercan et al 2010)	20
Figure 2-15 Typical failure modes of spandrel beams (Lucier et al 2011a)	21
Figure 2-16 Comparison between predicted failure mode from FE models and experimental results	22
Figure 3-1 Newton-Raphson Iterative Solution (ANSYS 16.0)	23
Figure 3-2 SOLID65 Geometry (ANSYS 16.0)	24
Figure 3-3 LINK180 Geometry (ANSYS 16.0)	25
Figure 3-4 Relation between concrete solid elements and steel link elements	25
Figure 3-5 SOLID185 Geometry (ANSYS 16.0)	26
Figure 3-6 COMBIN14 Geometry (ANSYS 16.0).....	26
Figure 3-7 CONTA174 Geometry (ANSYS 16.0)	27
Figure 3-8 TARGE170 Geometry (ANSYS 16.0)	27
Figure 3-9 Geometry of SP11 (Walter, 2008).....	28
Figure 3-10 Steel reinforcement details	29
Figure 3-11 Typical concrete stress-strain curve of SP11	30
Figure 3-12 Sectional view of test technique (Walter, 2008).....	31
Figure 3-13 Top view of test technique (1) (Walter, 2008).....	31
Figure 3-14 Top view of test technique (2) (Walter, 2008).....	32
Figure 3-15 Typical deck-to-web welded connection (Walter, 2008).....	32
Figure 3-16 Mesh configuration for SP11	39
Figure 3-17 Loads locations for SP11	40
Figure 3-18 Symmetry boundary condition (ANSYS 16.0)	41
Figure 3-19 Boundary conditions for SP11	41
Figure 3-20 FE results VS Experimental results in Vertical deflection.....	44
Figure 3-21 FE results VS Experimental results in Lateral deflection.....	45
Figure 3-22 Deformed shape (Side view).....	45

Figure 3-23 Deformed shape (Top view)	46
Figure 3-24 Elevation of vertical deflection	47
Figure 3-25 Elevation of lateral deflection	47
Figure 3-26 Predicted crack patterns at different loading stages	48
Figure 4-1 Outer and inner face of beam	51
Figure 4-2 Measurement locations and positive directions	52
Figure 4-3 Reinforcement scheme for beams with different depths	54
Figure 4-4 Crack patterns for different beams at same load (330kN).....	56
Figure 4-5 Crack patterns for different beams at failure loads	57
Figure 4-6 Strain in Reinforcement for different beams at failure loads	58
Figure 4-7 Concrete shear strain for different beams at failure loads	59
Figure 4-8 Vertical deflection at mid span (Web)	60
Figure 4-9 Vertical deflection at mid span (Ledge).....	61
Figure 4-10 Lateral deflection at mid span	61
Figure 4-11 Rotation at mid span.....	62
Figure 4-12 Lateral deformation at mid span for the three beams at failure loads	63
Figure 4-13 Reinforcement scheme for beams with different widths	65
Figure 4-14 Crack patterns for different beams at same load (380kN).....	66
Figure 4-15 Crack patterns for different beams at failure loads	67
Figure 4-16 Strain in Reinforcement for different beams at failure loads	68
Figure 4-17 Concrete shear strain in concrete for different beams at failure loads.....	69
Figure 4-18 Vertical deflection at mid span	70
Figure 4-19 Lateral deflection at mid span	71
Figure 4-20 Rotation at mid span.....	71
Figure 4-21 Lateral deformation at mid span for the three beams at failure loads	72
Figure 4-22 Predicted crack patterns at different loading stages	74
Figure 4-23 Strain in Reinforcement at failure load	75
Figure 4-24 Stress in Concrete at failure load	75
Figure 4-25 Vertical deflection at beam mid span.....	76
Figure 4-26 Lateral deflection at beam mid span	77
Figure 4-27 Rotation at beam mid span	77
Figure 4-28 Lateral deformation at failure load.....	78
Figure 4-29 Commonly used deck-to-web connections (Case A) (Continued).....	81
Figure 4-30 New proposed arrangement of deck ties (Case B) (Continued).....	82
Figure 4-31 New proposed arrangement of deck ties (Case C) (Continued).....	84
Figure 4-32 Predicted crack patterns at different loading stages (Case A, 1.6m)	86
Figure 4-33 Predicted crack patterns at different loading stages (Case B, 1.6m).....	87
Figure 4-34 Predicted crack patterns at different loading stages (Case C, 1.6m)	88
Figure 4-35 Predicted crack patterns for beams of depth 1.6m for cases A, B and C at 1090kN	89
Figure 4-36 Reinforcement strain for beams of depth 1.6m for cases A, B and C at failure loads.....	90
Figure 4-37 Vertical deformation at mid span (Depth =1.4m) (Web)	91
Figure 4-38 Vertical deformation at mid span (Depth=1.4m) (Ledge).....	92
Figure 4-39 Vertical deformation at mid span (Depth=1.6) (Web).....	92

Figure 4-40 Vertical deformation at mid span (Depth=1.6m) (Ledge)	93
Figure 4-41 Vertical deformation at mid span (Depth=1.8m) (Web)	93
Figure 4-42 Vertical deformation at mid span (Depth=1.8m) (Ledge)	94
Figure 4-43 Lateral deformation at mid span (Depth=1.4m)	95
Figure 4-44 Lateral deformation at mid span (Depth=1.6m)	95
Figure 4-45 Lateral deformation at mid span (Depth=1.8m)	96
Figure 4-46 Rotation at mid span (Depth=1.4m)	96
Figure 4-47 Rotation at mid span (Depth=1.6m)	97
Figure 4-48 Rotation at mid span (Depth=1.8m)	97
Figure 4-49 Lateral deformation at mid span for cases A, B and C for beams of depth 1.6m at failure loads	98
Figure 4-50 Lateral reaction at column connection (Depth=1.4m)	99
Figure 4-51 Lateral reaction at column connection (Depth=1.6m)	99
Figure 4-52 Lateral reaction at column connection (Depth=1.8m)	100
Figure 4-53 Lateral reaction at deck ties (Case A)	100
Figure 4-54 Lateral reaction at deck ties (Depth=1.4m)	101
Figure 4-55 Lateral reaction at deck ties (Depth=1.6m)	101
Figure 4-56 Lateral reaction at deck ties (Depth=1.8m)	102

Abstract

Precast spandrel L-shaped beams are simply supported on column corbels, commonly used in the perimeter of precast parking structures to transfer deck loads to vertical members. The ledge runs along the bottom edge of the beam forming L-shaped beam. Transferring vertical loads through the ledge to the beam results in eccentric loads on the slender cross section which needs complicated reinforcement details. The spandrel L-shaped beams are supported laterally by the column at the top and bottom of the web ends which help in preventing rotation of the beam ends about its longitudinal axis. The unsymmetrical cross section of these beams which supports eccentric loads resulting in combined shear, torsion and flexure loads makes spandrel L-shaped beams one of the most critical elements in precast construction. These loading conditions forces the spandrel beams to significantly rotate about its weak axis. This lateral deflection increases as loads increase that may cause instability of the beam. As a result, limiting this lateral deflection has become the subject of many studies for these types of beams. The main challenges facing L-shaped beams are shear and torsion distress in their end regions near supports where they experience a skew bending failure. These spandrels commonly connected to deck sections via welded connections to act as lateral support along its span. The objective of this research is to study the behavior of these beams under combined loading conditions.

Testing full scale spandrel beams with their large cross section was believed to be costly. Therefore, this research introduces a parametric study including 16 finite element models to investigate the effect of various factors believed to influence the behavior of these types of beams. Moreover, this research introduces new proposed special connections that almost reduce rotation of spandrel L-shaped beams to minimum. Numerical models were calibrated with experimental results and then used to investigate the targeted parameters. Results of the parametric study are encouraging and promising to mostly reduce the lateral deflection of the spandrels to minimum.

CHAPTER 1: Introduction

1.1 Problem Definition

Precast concrete spandrel slender L-shaped beams are commonly used at the perimeter of precast parking structures. Spandrel L-shaped beams are simply supported on column corbels to support vertical loads from deck members. The typical section used for deck members in parking structures is double tee slab. Typically, the ledge runs along the bottom edge of the beam on one side to form the L-shaped beam. The unsymmetrical section of the beam supporting eccentric loads from the stems of the double tee slabs forces the beam to deflect vertically and laterally along with significant rotation. Due to the above conditions, Spandrel L-shaped beams are considered one of the most complex elements in the precast industry. Common span and depth of the spandrel beams ranges from 9 to 15 m and 1.2 to 2.5 m respectively. Consequently, the spandrel beams are subjected to relatively high shear, torsion and bending moments. The high applied loads result in complex reinforcement and congested closed stirrups required by the complex structural behavior of the spandrel beams. It has been well documented that the failure mode of the slender spandrel L-shaped beams is skew bending failure as discussed in the literature review. The failure mode indicates diagonal flexural shear crack due to combined shear and torsion after a significant lateral deflection and rotation. This lateral deflection increases as loads increase that cause instability of the beam. Limiting lateral deflection and rotation of the slender spandrel beams may enhance the whole behavior of the beam. Testing full scale spandrel beams with their large cross section was believed to be costly. For this regard, Finite element modeling was found to be a suitable way to investigate various parameters that affect the behavior of the beam along with proposing new arrangement for lateral supports that enhance the beam's behavior.

1.1 Objectives

The main objective of this research is to study the effect of various parameters on the behavior of precast slender L-shaped beam. These parameters are important to be investigated to be used to enhance beam capacity and behavior against the eccentric loading applied along its ledge. Moreover, New proposed arrangements of deck ties are presented in order to minimize the rotation of the beam.

1.2 Scope

To achieve the objective of the research, the scope of the research program included the following:

1. An intensive literature review of the development of L-shaped beam investigation and design. The review included the main characteristics of the beam and most of published reports of field observations, experimental works and analytical studies.
2. Calibration of 3-D nonlinear finite element model using available experimental data to ensure the capability of the finite element program to predict reasonable and acceptable results.
3. Developing nonlinear finite element models to investigate the effect of various parameters believed to affect the behavior of these types of beams.
4. Analysis of the parametric study results and presenting recommendations.

1.3 Thesis Overview

Following problem definition, objectives, scope and thesis overview presented in this chapter, Chapter two refers to a comprehensive literature review about the development of the design of precast L-shaped slender beam. The literature review includes published studies and field observations that describe the main challenges L-shaped beams are facing.

Chapter 3 presents the calibration process of three-dimensional nonlinear finite element model using available experimental results. In this chapter, brief explanation of how the finite element program deals with nonlinearity along with mentioning specific factors believed to affect the predicted results.

Chapter 4 introduces the parametric study investigated in this thesis, where materials and loads used for all models are mentioned in the first pages of the chapter. A brief explanation of each chosen parameter and its influence on the behavior of beam is presented along with well-organized figures and results showing how the beam reacted under each condition.

Chapter 5 comes with the summary of the thesis, conclusions conducted from analyzing parametric study results and recommendations for future work.