



Cairo University
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Department of Virology

Study the changes in pathogenicity of H9N2 avian influenza virus after the use of live attenuated vaccines in broiler chickens

A Thesis presented by

Zainab Mohamed Esmail

(B.V.Sc., Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, 2013)
For the degree of M.V.Sc.

Under supervision of

Prof. Dr. Hussein Ali Hussein

Professor and Head
Department of Virology
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Cairo University

Dr. Ayman H.M. El-Deeb

Assistant professor of Virology
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Cairo University

Dr. Mounir Mohamed El-Safty

Chief Researcher
Central Laboratory for Evaluation
of Veterinary Biologics
and Director of National Project
for Production of SPF eggs

(2018)



Approval Sheet

This is to approve that Thesis presented by

Zainab Mohamed Esmail

For the degree of MV.Sc. (Virology) has been approved by the
examining committee

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Saed Mohamed El-Shahidy

Professor and Chairman of Virology Dept.
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Seuz canal University

M. El-Shahidy

Prof. Dr- Ahmed Abd El-ghani El-Sanousi

Professor of Virology
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Cairo University

Ahmed El-Sanousi

Prof. Dr- Mounir Mohamed Elsafty

Head researcher Central Laboratory for
Evaluation of Veterinary Biologics
CLEVB, Abbasia, Cairo (Supervisor)

M. Elsafty

Prof. Dr- Ayman Hanea El-Deeb

Ass. Prof. of Virology
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Cairo University (Supervisor)

Ayman El-Deeb

Prof. Dr. Hussein Aly Hussein

Professor and Head of Department of Virology
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Cairo University (Supervisor)

Hussein Ahmed

2018



Cairo University
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Department of virology

Supervision sheet

Prof.Dr. Hussein Ali Hussein

Professor and Head of Virology

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

Cairo University

Dr. Ayman Hany El-Deeb

Assistant professor of Virology

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

Cairo University

Dr. Mounir Mohamed El-safty

Chief Researcher

Central laboratory for evaluation of Veterinary Biologics

Director of national project for production of SPF eggs

Dedication

To the spirit of my great father.

To my fantastically supportive mother.

To my lovely brother and my beautiful sister.

To my beloved husband and my sweetheart son.

Acknowledgment

First of all, my sincerest thanks and gratitude should be submitted to the merciful Allah.

*It is of my great pleasure to express my deepest thanks and gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Hussein Ali Hussein**, Professor and Head of Virology, Department of virology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, for his kind supervision, guidance, continuous support, encouragement and continuous monitoring during this work,*

*Special thanks to **Dr. Ayman Hany EL-Deeb**, Assistant professor of virology, Department of Virology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, who made me passionate about virology since the pre-graduation and for his assist especially in the writing material.*

*My deepest appreciation and thanks to **Dr. Mounir Mohamed EL-safty**, Chief researcher, Central Laboratory for evaluation of Veterinary Biologics and Director of national project for production of SPF eggs, for his kind advice, help, valuable guidance, continuous interest and supplying facilities for this work,*

*I want to give special thanks to the staff members in the central laboratory for evaluation of Veterinary Biologics for their continuous help and encouragement during my work especially **Dr. Reem Ahmed Soliman** for her continuous support and assistance.*

*Owe my deepest gratitude to **Dr. Nermeen Mostafa Ismail**, Researcher, Veterinary Serum and Vaccine Research Institute, for her kind support in publication of the thesis manuscript.*

List of contents

Item	Page
List of Tables	I
List of Figures	III
Introduction	1
Review of Literature	3
Avian influenza (AI) subtype H9N2.....	3
Historical aspect.....	3
History of H9N2 LPAI worldwide.....	
History of H9N2 LPAI in Egypt.....	9
Causative agent.....	10
Classification of AIV	10
Classification according to the antigenic differences.....	10
Classification according to surface glycoprotein.....	11
Classification according to pathogenicity.....	11
Morphology and structure of AIV.....	11
Genomic organization	13
Avian influenza pathogenicity.....	24
H9N2 LPAI and co-infection with other disease	27
agents.....	27
H9N2 LPAI and evolution.....	
H9N2 LPAIV and co-infecting pathogens in field.....	27
condition.....	29
H9N2 LPAI in experimental studies.....	29
H9N2 LPAIV and co-infecting pathogens in experimental..	30
studies.....	
Viral co-infection.....	30
NDV co-infection.....	31
IBV co-infection.....	31
Bacterial co-infection.....	31
Timing of co-infections.....	32
SPF versus commercial birds for experimental.....	33
Infection.....	

Plan of work.....	35
Materials and Methods	37
Results	50
Discussion	85
Summary	93
References	95
List of Abbreviation.....	2
الملخص العربى.....	I

I. List of tables

Table	Title	Page
Table (1)	Influenza A virus genome RNA segments and coding assignments	13
Table (2)	Experimental protocol demonstrates different infection and vaccination time along the experimental trial	40
Table (3)	Experimental protocol demonstrates different infection and vaccination time along the experimental trial	40
Table (4)	Primers and probes utilized in Real Time RT-PCR to detect H9 viruses	48
Table (5)&(6)	RT- PCR Master Mix according to QuantiTect probe RT-PCR kit handbook and cycling protocol to amplify HA gene of H9 viruses	48-49
Table (7)	HA activity and infectivity titer for the harvested fluids	50
Table (8)	The mean HI antibody titer ($\log_2 \pm$ SD) of H9N2 AIV in different chicken groups:	51
Table (9)	The mean ELISA units of antibody in sera tested for IBV in different chicken groups	52
Table (10)	Histopathological lesion scores of kidney expressed as percentage (%) collected from different chicken groups	54
Table (11)	Histopathological lesions of trachea expressed as percentage (%) collected from different chicken groups	56
Table (12)	Histopathological lesions of spleen expressed as percentage (%) collected from different chicken groups	58
Table (13)	Summary of the severity of pathological lesions in different organs collected from different chicken groups	59
Table (14)&(15)	Results of real time RT- PCR for shedding of H9N2 AIV RNA copies of different chicken groups at 3 rd , 5 th , 7 th , 10 th , 12 th and 15 th days old	60-62
Table (16)	The mean $\log_{10} \pm$ SD of H9N2 AIV titration from tracheal swabs on SPF-ECEs in different chicken groups	63
Table (17)	The mean $\log_{10} \pm$ SD of H9N2 AIV titration from Cloacal swabs on SPF-ECEs in different chicken groups	65
Table (18)	The mean $\log_2 \pm$ SD of HI antibody titer of H9N2 AIV in sera collected from different chicken groups	66
Table (19)	The mean $\log_2 \pm$ SD of HI antibody titer of NDV in sera collected from different chicken groups	67

Table (20)	The mean ELISA units of antibody in sera tested for IBV in different chicken groups	68
Table (21)	The mean of EUs of serum ELISA antibody titer of IBV for SPF chicks in different groups in experiment 2	70
Table (22)	Histopathological lesions of trachea expressed as percentage (%) collected from different chicken groups	73
Table (23)	Histopathological lesions of spleen expressed as percentage (%) collected from different chicken groups	76
Table (24)	Summary of the severity of pathological lesions of different organs collected from different chicken groups	78
Table (25)&(26)	Results of real time RT- PCR for shedding of H9N2 AIV RNA copies of different chicken groups at 2 th , 25 th , 23 th and 28 th days old	79-81
Table (27)	The mean log10 ± SD of H9N2 AIV titration from tracheal swabs on SPF-ECEs in different chicken groups	82
Table (28)	The mean log10 ± SD of H9N2 AIV titration from Cloacal swabs on SPF-ECEs in different chicken groups	84

II. List of figures

Figure	Title	Page
Figure (1)	(Left) Diagram of influenza A virus virion in section. (Right) negative contrast electron micrograph of particles of influenza A virus	12
Figure (2)	Genome organization of AIV Type A	14
Figure (3)	Three dimensional structure of HA	18
Figure (4)	The mean HI antibody titer ($\log_2 \pm$ SD) of H9N2 AIV in different chicken groups:	51
Figure (5)	The mean ELISA units of antibody in sera tested for IBV in different chicken groups	52
Figure (6)	Histopathological lesion scores of kidney expressed as percentage (%) collected from different chicken groups	54
Figure (7)	Histopathological lesions of trachea expressed as percentage (%) collected from different chicken groups	56
Figure (8)	Histopathological lesions of spleen expressed as percentage (%) collected from different chicken groups	58
Figure (9)	Results of real time RT- PCR for shedding of H9N2 AIV RNA copies of different chicken groups at 3 rd , 5 th , 7 th , 10 th , 12 th and 15 th days old	62
Figure (10)	The mean $\log_{10} \pm$ SD of H9N2 AIV titration from tracheal swabs on SPF-ECEs in different chicken groups	64
Figure (11)	The mean $\log_{10} \pm$ SD of H9N2 AIV titration from Cloacal swabs on SPF-ECEs in different chicken groups	65
Figure (12)	The mean $\log_2 \pm$ SD of HI antibody titer of H9N2 AIV in sera collected from different chicken groups	67