



## PROPOSED METHOD FOR COST ASSESSMENT OF SEISMIC MITIGATION DESIGNS FOR REINFORCED CONCRETE BUILDINGS

By

### **Yasser Mohamed Abdel-Hameed Fayed**

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

## PROPOSED METHOD FOR COST ASSESSMENT OF SEISMIC MITIGATION DESIGNS FOR REINFORCED CONCRETE BUILDINGS

By

### **Yasser Mohamed Abdel-Hameed Fayed**

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE

in STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ezzat Sobaih Prof. Dr. Yasser El-Hakem

Professor of Structures Structural Engineering Department Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University Professor, and Deputy Director Construction Research Institute National Water Research Center

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT

## PROPOSED METHOD FOR COST ASSESSMENT OF SEISMIC MITIGATION DESIGNS FOR REINFORCED CONCRETE BUILDINGS

### By Yasser Mohamed Abdel-Hameed Fayed

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

### STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ezzat Sobaih, Thesis Main Advisor

Prof. Dr. Yasser El-Hakem, Advisor
- Construction Research Institute, National Water Research Center

Prof. Dr. Magdy El-Sayed Kasem, Internal Examiner

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Shawky Hashad, External Examiner

- Construction Research Institute, National Water Research Center

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

**Engineer's Name:** Yasser Mohamed Abdel Hameed Fayed

**Date of Birth:** 15/7/1992 **Nationality:** Egyptian

**E-mail:** Yasser.fayed3011@gmail.com

Phone: 01064488695
Address: Egypt - Cairo
Registration date: 01/03/2015
Awarding date: .../.../2018

**Degree:** Master of Science **Department:** Structural Engineering

**Supervisors:** Prof. Dr. Mohamed Sobaih

Prof. Dr. Yasser El-Hakem

Construction Research Institute, National Water Research Center

**Examiners:** Prof. Dr. Ahmed Shawky Hashad (External Examiner)

Construction Research Institute, National Water Research Center
Prof. Dr. Magdy El- Sayed Kasem (Internal Examiner)
Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ezzat Sobaih (Thesis Main Advisor)
Prof. Dr. Yasser El-Hakem (Advisor)

Construction Research Institute, National Water Research Center

**Title of Thesis:** Proposed Method for Cost Assessment of Seismic Mitigation

Designs for Reinforced Concrete Building

#### **Key Words:**

Performance based analysis, Pushover analysis, Cost assessment, Crack width.

#### **Summary:**

The analysis methods used to design the structures to resist earthquake load ranges from equivalent linear static analysis to nonlinear dynamic analysis. Each seismic mitigation design for the structure influence its life cycle cost that includes the construction cost and repairing cost after earthquake damage. It is necessary to perform a cost assessment for each seismic mitigation design to know the effective design on the building life cycle cost.

The methodology followed in this study provides initial guidelines to assess the cost associated with using a variety of design methods for reinforced concrete structures, developing a performance based analysis to get the weakness points in the structure after earthquake, select the retrofitting strategies that would be indicated to repair the structure after the earthquake, and to assess the results founded from each design method or different design codes.



### Acknowledgments

I have a deeply grateful to both the supervisors of my thesis, **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Sobaih**, Professor of Structures, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University and **Prof. Dr. Yasser El-Hakem**, Professor, and Deputy Director, Construction Research Institute, National Water Research Center. In different ways, they gave a tremendous contribution by providing valuable guidance and advice. They have been always readily available for whatever was needed, even when they were clearly overloaded. From my experience as their student in regular Civil Engineering courses, and now in the scope of this dissertation, I consider them a reference and role model as Professors.

I am also grateful to **Dr. Ibrahim Gaafar** and **Eng. Amr Abdel baset** at the National Water Research Center, They spent a substantial amount of time on developing and training me into a better scholar and researcher. Their guidance and inspiration contributed to my academic success and completion of this dissertation. Their academic competence guaranteed timely progress of my research

### **Dedication**

I dedicate this thesis to the people who devoted their lives to make me a successful person, my **Father**, my **Mother**, my **Sister**.

Gratitude and appreciation cannot describe my feelings towards them.

## **Table of Contents**

| AC   | CKNOWLEDGEMENTS  | I    |
|------|--|------|
| DE   | DICATION   | II   |
| TA   | BLE OF CONTENTS  | III  |
| LIS  | ST OF TABLES   | VI   |
| LIS  | ST OF FIGURES  | VIII |
| AB   | STRACT   | X    |
| CH   | APTER 1: INTRODUCTION  | 1    |
| 1.1. | GENERAL  | 1    |
| 1.2. | STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM   | 1    |
| 1.3. | OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY.  | 1    |
| 1.4. | OUTLINE OF THESIS.   | 2    |
| 1.5. | PROPOSED METHODOLOGY   | 2    |
| CH   | APTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW   | 4    |
| 2.1. | GENERAL  | 4    |
| 2.2. | EQUIVALENT STATIC METHOD ACCORDING TO ECP (2012)   | 4    |
| 2.3. | EQUIVALENT STATIC METHOD ACCORDING TO EGYPTIAN SOCIETY FOR EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING (ESEE) | 7    |
| 2.4. | PUSHOVER ANALYSIS  | 16   |
| 2.4  | 4.1. Types of Pushover Analysis  | 17   |
|      | 2.4.1.1. Capacity Spectrum Method  | 17   |
|      | 2.4.1.2. Load – Displacement Control Method  | 17   |
| 2.5. | ASSUMPTIONS IN MATERIAL CONSIDERED IN THE ANALYTICA MODEL                                |      |
| 2.6. | STRESS – STRAIN RELATION OF CONCRETE AND STEEL   |      |
| 2.7. | PERFORMANCE BASED ANALYSIS   | 20   |
| 2.8. | CRACKING LIMIT STATE ACCORDING TO EGYPTIAN CODE  |      |
| 2.9. | CRACK WIDTH ACCORDING TO SOME BUILDING CODES PROVISIONS.                                 | 28   |
| 2.9  | 9.1. Eurocode2 1992-1 (2001)   | 28   |
| 2    | 9.2 ACI 318  | 29   |

| 2.9.3. Briti        | sh Standards BS 8110-1997                                  | 30 |
|---------------------|--|----|
|                     | NIQUES OF REPAIRING OF REINFORCED CONCRETE CTURES          | 40 |
| 2.10.1. Re          | pairing of Cracks  | 40 |
| 2.10.2. Ty          | pes of Cracks  | 41 |
| 2.10.3. Te          | chniques of Repairing of Reinforced Concrete Structures    | 41 |
| 2.10.3.             | 1. Bonding with Epoxies                                    | 41 |
| 2.10.3.2            | 2. Routing and Sealing                                     | 42 |
| 2.10.3.3            | 3. Stitching   | 43 |
| 2.10.3.4            | 4. External Stressing                                      | 43 |
| 2.10.3.5            | 5. Grouting  | 44 |
| 2.10.3.6            | 6. Repairing Spalling and Disintegration                   | 44 |
| 2.10.3.7            | 7. Shotcrete   | 44 |
| 2.10.3.8            | 3. Jacketing   | 46 |
| CHAPTER :           | 3: SUGGESTED METHODOLOGY                                   | 53 |
| 3.1. GENERA         | AL   | 53 |
| 3.2. DESCRI         | PTION OF THE CASE STUDY BUILDING                           | 53 |
| 3.2.1. Case         | e Study (1)  | 54 |
| 3.2.1.1.            | Design Results   | 54 |
| 3.2.1.2.            | Construction Bill of Quantities for the Designed Structure | 56 |
| 3.2.1.3.            | Performance based analysis for the Designed Structure      | 57 |
| 3.2.1.4.            | Capacity Curve   | 58 |
| 3.2.1.5.            | Plastic Hinge Mechanism                                    | 59 |
| 3.2.1.6.            | Plastic moment at collapse                                 | 61 |
| 3.2.1.7.<br>EO Occi | Crack Width Value for Beams and Columns after urrence      | 63 |
|                     | Study (2)  |    |
| 3.2.2.1.            | Design Results   |    |
| 3.2.2.2.            | Construction Bill of Quantities for the Designed Structure |    |
| 3.2.2.3.            | Performance based analysis for the Designed Structure      |    |
| 3.2.2.4.            | Capacity Curve   |    |
| 3.2.2.5.            | Plastic Hinge Mechanism                                    |    |
| 3.2.2.6.            | Plastic moment at collapse                                 |    |
| 3.2.2.7.<br>EO Occu | Crack Width Value for Beams and Columns after              | 73 |

| 3.2  | 2.3. Case           | e Study (3)  | 74  |
|------|---------------------|--|-----|
|      | 3.2.3.1.            | Design Results   | 75  |
|      | 3.2.3.2.            | Construction Bill of Quantities for the Designed Structure   | 77  |
|      | 3.2.3.3.            | Performance based analysis for the Designed Structure        | 78  |
|      | 3.2.3.4.            | Capacity Curve   | 78  |
|      | 3.2.3.5.            | Plastic Hinge Mechanism                                      | 79  |
|      | 3.2.3.6.            | Plastic moment at collapse                                   | 83  |
|      | 3.2.3.7.            | Crack Width Value for Beams and Columns after                | 0.5 |
| 2.2  |                     | arrence  |     |
| 3.2  |                     | Study (4)  |     |
|      | 3.2.4.1.            | Design Results   |     |
|      | 3.2.4.2.            | Construction Bill of Quantities for the Designed Structure   |     |
|      | 3.2.4.3.            | Performance based analysis for the Designed Structure        |     |
|      | 3.2.4.4.            | Capacity Curve   |     |
|      | 3.2.4.5.            | Plastic Hinge Mechanism                                      |     |
|      | 3.2.4.6.            | Plastic moment at collapse                                   | 93  |
|      | 3.2.4.7.<br>EQ Occi | Crack Width Value for Beams and Columns after urrence        | 95  |
| CH   |                     | 4: LEVELS OF DAMAGE, AND REPAIRING CHNIQUES OF THE STRUCTURE | 96  |
| 4.1. |                     | GE LEVEL OF THE STRUCTURE                                    |     |
| 4.2. | REPAII              | RING TECHNIQUES OF THE STRUCTURE                             | 96  |
| 4.3. |                     | JLATION OF CRACK WIDTH AND CRACK LENGTH IN                   | 98  |
| 4.4. |                     | JLATION OF CRACK WIDTH IN COLUMNS                            |     |
| 4.5. |                     | RING COST FOR EACH DESIGN CASE FOR THE                       | 100 |
|      |                     | COST FOR EACH DESIGN CASE FOR THE                            | 102 |
|      |                     | pairing Cost for Case (1) Design                             |     |
| 4.5  | 5.2. Rep            | pairing Cost for Case (2) Design                             | 102 |
| 4.5  | 5.3. Re             | pairing Cost for Case (3) Design                             | 102 |
| 4.5  | 5.4. Rep            | pairing Cost for Case (4) Design                             | 102 |
| 4.6. | ANALY               | YSIS OF RESULTS  | 103 |
| CH   | APTER               | 5: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION                                    | 105 |
| 5.1. | GENER               | RAL  | 105 |
| 5.2. | SUMM                | ARY  | 105 |

| REF    | ERENCES   | 112  |
|--------|---|------|
| 5.5.   | RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE STUDIES                  | 110  |
| 5.4.   | CONCLUSIONS   | 110  |
| EVAI   | LUATION AND COST ASSESSMENT MENTIONED IN THIS STUDY | .105 |
| 5.3. T | THE STEPS AND METHODOLOGY REQUIRED FOR STRUCTURAL   |      |

## **List of Tables**

| TABLE 2.1: SEISMIC ZONES AND RELATED DESIGN GROUND ACCELERATION [1]            | 6  |
|--|----|
| TABLE 2.2: IMPORTANCE FACTOR (I) [2]   | 8  |
| TABLE 2.3: STRUCTURAL SYSTEM TYPE FACTOR (S) [2]                               | 8  |
| TABLE 2.4: MATERIAL FACTOR (M) [2]   | 9  |
| TABLE 2.5: RISK FACTOR (R) [2]   | 9  |
| TABLE 2.6: CLASSIFICATION OF HAZARD MAPS [3]                                   |    |
| TABLE 2.7: PERFORMANCE LEVEL OF BUILDING [11]                                  | 21 |
| TABLE 2.8: DAMAGE CLASS DEFINITION OF R.C COLUMNS AND WALLS [13]               | 33 |
| TABLE 2.9: LIMIT STATES AND DAMAGES ACCORDING TO THE CRACK WIDTH AND I         | TS |
| REPAIRING TECHNIQUES [28]  | 36 |
| TABLE 2.10: DAMAGE STATES, DRIFT RATIO LIMITS AND CORRESPONDING COSTS          |    |
| (ATC-13 1985) [30]   | 37 |
| TABLE 2.11: REPAIR METHOD AND REPAIR COST BY DAMAGE CLASS [15]                 | 39 |
| TABLE 3.1: ASSUMPTIONS OF THE MODEL  | 54 |
| TABLE 3.2: BEAMS DIMENSIONS AND REINFORCEMENT FOR CASE (1)                     | 54 |
| TABLE 3.3: COLUMNS DIMENSIONS AND REINFORCEMENT FOR CASE (1)                   | 55 |
| TABLE 3.4: FOOTING DIMENSIONS AND REINFORCEMENT FOR                            |    |
| CASE (1)   | 56 |
| TABLE 3.5: TIE BEAMS DIMENSIONS AND REINFORCEMENT FOR CASE (1)                 | 56 |
| TABLE 3.6: THE FORCE ON EACH FLOOR USED FOR PUSH OVER ANALYSIS FOR             |    |
| CASE (1)   | 57 |
| TABLE 3.7: THE PUSHOVER RESULTS FOR BASE SHEAR AND ROOF DISPLACEMENT I         |    |
| X - DIRECTION FOR EACH STEP FOR CASE(1)  | 58 |
| TABLE 3.8: THE FORCE ON EACH FLOOR, SHEAR FORCE AND OVERTURNING                | c۳ |
| MOMENT FOR CASE (2) TABLE 3.9: BEAMS DIMENSIONS AND REINFORCEMENT FOR CASE (2) |    |
| TABLE 3.10: COLUMNS DIMENSIONS AND REINFORCEMENT FOR CASE (2)                  |    |
| TABLE 3.11: FOOTING DIMENSIONS AND REINFORCEMENT FOR CASE (2)                  |    |
| TABLE 3.12: TIE BEAMS DIMENSIONS AND REINFORCEMENT FOR CASE (2)                |    |
| TABLE 3.13: THE PUSHOVER RESULTS FOR BASE SHEAR AND ROOF DISPLACEMENT          |    |
| X - DIRECTION FOR EACH STEP FOR CASE (2)                                       |    |
|  | 03 |
| TABLE 3.14: THE FORCE ON EACH FLOOR, SHEAR FORCE AND OVERTURNING               |    |
| MOMENT FOR CASE (3)  |    |
| TABLE 3.15: BEAMS DIMENSIONS AND REINFORCEMENT FOR CASE (3)                    |    |
| TABLE 3.16: COLUMNS DIMENSIONS AND REINFORCEMENT FOR CASE (3)                  |    |
| TABLE 3.17: FOOTING DIMENSIONS AND REINFORCEMENT FOR CASE (3)                  |    |
| TABLE 3.18: TIE BEAMS DIMENSIONS AND REINFORCEMENT FOR CASE (3)                | 77 |

| TABLE 3.19: THE PUSHOVER RESULTS FOR BASE SHEAR AND ROOF DISPLACE  | :MENT IN |
|--|----------|
| X - DIRECTION FOR EACH STEP FOR CASE (3)                           | 79       |
| TABLE 3.20: THE FORCE ON EACH FLOOR, SHEAR FORCE AND OVERTURNING   | ì        |
| MOMENT FOR CASE (4)  | 87       |
| TABLE 3.21: BEAMS DIMENSIONS AND REINFORCEMENT FOR CASE (4)        | 87       |
| TABLE 3.22: COLUMNS DIMENSIONS AND REINFORCEMENT FOR CASE (4)      | 88       |
| TABLE 3.23: FOOTING DIMENSIONS AND REINFORCEMENT FOR CASE (4)      | 89       |
| TABLE 3.24: TIE BEAMS DIMENSIONS AND REINFORCEMENT FOR CASE (4)    | 89       |
| TABLE 3.25: THE PUSHOVER RESULTS FOR BASE SHEAR AND ROOF DISPLACE  | MENT IN  |
| X - DIRECTION FOR EACH STEP FOR CASE (4)                           | 91       |
| TABLE 4.1: DAMAGE LEVELS ACCORDING TO THE CRACK WIDTH AND IT'S REI | PAIRING  |
| TECHNIQUES   | 96       |
| TABLE 4.2: REPAIRING COST ACCORDING TO DAMAGE LEVEL                | 97       |
| TABLE 4.3: ASSESSMENT RESULTS FOR EACH DESIGN CASE                 | 103      |
| TABLE 5.1: CONSTRUCTION COST FOR THE BUILDING                      | 106      |
| TABLE 5.2: THE EQ FORCE ON EACH FLOOR                              | 107      |
| TABLE 5.3: DAMAGE LEVELS ACCORDING TO THE CRACK WIDTH AND IT'S REI | PAIRING  |
| TECHNIQUES   | 109      |
| TABLE 5.4: REPAIRING COST ACCORDING TO DAMAGE LEVEL                | 109      |

# **List of Figures**

| FIGURE | 2.1: SEISMIC ZONE REGIONS O EGYPT [1]  | 6 |
|--------|--|---|
|        | 2.2: COEFFICIENT OF THE STANDARDIZED RESPONSE SPECTRUM FOR AVERAGE DAMPING OF 5% [2]                           | 0 |
|        | 2.3: THE HAZARD MAP FOR EXPOSURE PERIOD OF 50 YEARS AND NON-<br>EXCEEDANCE PROBABILITY OF 80% [3]              |   |
| FIGURE | 2.4: THE HAZARD MAP FOR EXPOSURE PERIOD OF 100 YEARS AND NON-<br>EXCEEDANCE ROBABILITY OF 80% [3]1             | 2 |
| FIGURE | 2.5: THE HAZARD MAP FOR EXPOSURE PERIOD OF 100 YEARS AND NON-<br>EXCEEDANCE PROBABILITY OF 85% [3]             | 2 |
| FIGURE | 2.6: THE HAZARD MAP FOR EXPOSURE PERIOD OF 100 YEARS AND NON-<br>EXCEEDANCE PROBABILITY OF 90% [3]             | 3 |
| FIGURE | 2.7: THE HAZARD MAP FOR EXPOSURE PERIOD OF 200 YEARS AND NON-<br>EXCEEDANCE PROBABILITY OF 90% [3]             | 3 |
| FIGURE | E 2.8: THE HAZARD MAP FOR EXPOSURE PERIOD OF 500 YEARS AND NON-<br>EXCEEDANCE PROBABILITY OF 90% [3]14         | 4 |
| FIGURE | 2.9: ILLUSTRATION OF PUSHOVER ANALYSIS [7] 1   | 6 |
| FIGURE | 2.10: IDEALIZED STRESS – STRAIN RELATIONSHIP FOR CONCRETE [10] 1   | 8 |
| FIGURE | 2.11: IDEALIZED STRESS – STRAIN RELATIONSHIP FOR STEEL [10] 1  | 9 |
|        | 2.12: MOMENT-CURVATURE CURVE AS ONE OF THE KEY INPUTS IN PERFORMANCE BASED DESIGN USING PUSHOVER ANALYSIS [9]2 | 0 |
| FIGURE | 2.13: TYPICAL LOAD-DEFORMATION RELATION AND TARGET PERFORMANCE   | : |
|        | LEVEL (FEMA-356) [12]  |   |
|        | 2.14: DAMAGE CLASS VS. LOAD CARRYING CAPACITY [14]   |   |
| FIGURE | 2.15: CAPACITY SPECTRUM PROCEDURE TO DETERMINE   |   |
|        | PERFORMANCE [15]   | 2 |
| FIGURE | 2.16: PROCEDURE FOR DAMAGE EVALUATION OF R/C FRAME   |   |
|        | STRUCTURE [14]   | 2 |
| FIGURE | 2.17: DAMAGE CLASS III SHAPE ACCORDING TO THE CRACK WIDTH [13] 3   | 3 |
| FIGURE | 2.18: DAMAGE CLASS IV SHAPE ACCORDING TO THE CRACK WIDTH [13] 3  | 4 |
| FIGURE | 2.19: DAMAGE CLASS V SHAPE ACCORDING TO THE CRACK WIDTH [13] 3 $$  | 4 |
|        | 2.20: FLEXURAL AND SHEAR DEFORMATION SUMS TO THE DEFORMATION   |   |
|        | OF MEMBERS [28]  | 5 |
|        | 2.21: TELL TALES TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE CRACKS ARE ACTIVE OR DORMANT [33]4                                   | 0 |
|        | 2.22: INJECTING EPOXY AND SEALING [34] 4   |   |
|        | 2.23: ROUTING AND SEALING [33]   |   |
|        | 2.24: STITCHING TECHNIQUE [33] 4   |   |

| FIGURE  | 2.25: EXTERNAL STRESSING TO CLOSE CRACKS [33]   |  |
|---------|---|--|
| FIGURE  | 2.26: SHOTCRETE INSTALLMENT IN SITE [34]  |  |
| FIGURE  | 2.27: SHOTCRETE INSTALLMENT IN SITE [34]  |  |
| FIGURE  | 2.28: COLUMN JACKET [33]  |  |
| FIGURE  | 2.29: COLUMN JACKET IMPLEMENTING IN SITE [34]   |  |
| FIGURE  | 2.30: BEAM JACKET [33]  |  |
| FIGURE  | 2.31: BEAM JACKET IMPLEMENTING IN SITE [34]   |  |
| FIGURE  | 2.32: BEAM JACKET IMPLEMENTING IN SITE [34] 50  |  |
| FIGURE  | 2.33: BEAM JACKET IMPLEMENTING IN SITE [34]   |  |
| FIGURE  | 2.34: BEAM-COLUMN JACKET IMPLEMENTING IN SITE [34] 52   |  |
| FIGURE  | 3.1 A: SLAB LAYOUT  |  |
| FIGURE  | 3.1 B: SLAB REINFORCEMENT   |  |
| FIGURE  | 3.2: 3D MODEL SAP2000 STRUCTURE LAYOUT  |  |
| FIGURE  | 3.3: FOUNDATION LAYOUT FOR CASE (1) DESIGN  |  |
|         | 3.4: PUSHOVER CURVE FOR THE BUILDING IN X, Y DIRECTIONS FOR CASE (1) DESIGN                       |  |
|         | 3.5: KEY PLAN FOR BUILDING ELEVATIONS   |  |
| FIGURE  | 3.6 A TO E: PLASTIC HINGE PATTERN FORMATION FOR BUILDING AT STEP 1,2,3,4,5 FOR CASE (1) DESIGN    |  |
| FIGURE  | 3.7 A,B: MOMENT ON COLUMNS FOR CASE (1) DESIGN (T.M)  |  |
| FIGURE  | 3.8 A,B: MOMENT ON BEAMS FOR CASE (1) DESIGN (T.M)  |  |
| FIGURE  | 3.9 A,B: AXIAL FORCE FOR COLUMNS FOR CASE (1) DESIGN (T)  |  |
|         | 3.10 A,B: CRACK WIDTH FOR BEAMS AND COLUMNS FOR CASE (1) (MM)                                     |  |
| FIGURE  | 3.11: FOUNDATION LAYOUT FOR CASE (2) DESIGN   |  |
|         | 3.12: PUSHOVER CURVE FOR THE BUILDING IN X, Y DIRECTIONS FOR CASE (2) DESIGN                      |  |
|         | 3.13 A TO F: PLASTIC HINGE PATTERN FORMATION FOR BUILDING AT STEP 1,2,3,4,5,6 FOR CASE (2) DESIGN |  |
| FIGURE  | 3.14 A,B: MOMENT ON COLUMNS FOR CASE (2) DESIGN (T.M) 71  |  |
| FIGURE  | 3.15 A,B: MOMENT ON BEAMS FOR CASE (2) DESIGN (T.M) 72  |  |
| FIGURE  | 3.16 A,B: AXIAL FORCE FOR COLUMNS FOR CASE (2) DESIGN (T)   |  |
|         | 3.17 A,B: CRACK WIDTH FOR BEAMS AND COLUMNS FOR CASE (2) (MM)                                     |  |
|         | 3.18: FOUNDATION LAYOUT FOR CASE (3) DESIGN   |  |
| FIGLIRE | 3.19: PUSHOVER CURVE FOR THE BUILDING IN X. Y DIRECTIONS FOR                                      |  |

|         | CASE(3)DESIGN  | , |
|---------|--|---|
|         | 3.20 A TO O: PLASTIC HINGE PATTERN FORMATION FOR BUILDING AT STEP                                    |   |
|         | TO 12 FOR CASE (3) DESIGN 80   | ) |
| FIGURE  | 3.21 A,B: MOMENT ON COLUMNS FOR CASE (3) DESIGN (T.M)  |   |
| FIGURE  | 3.22 A,B: MOMENT ON BEAMS FOR CASE (3) DESIGN (T.M)  |   |
| FIGURE  | 3.23 A,B: AXIAL FORCE FOR COLUMNS FOR CASE (3) DESIGN (T)  |   |
| FIGURE  | 3.24 A,B: CRACK WIDTH FOR BEAMS AND COLUMNS FOR  |   |
|         | CASE(3)(MM)85  | 5 |
| FIGURE  | 3.25: FOUNDATION LAYOUT FOR CASE (4) DESIGN88  | 3 |
| FIGURE  | 3.26: PUSHOVER CURVE FOR THE BUILDING IN X, Y DIRECTIONS FOR   |   |
|         | CASE(4)DESIGN  | ) |
| FIGURE  | 3.27 A TO F: PLASTIC HINGE PATTERN FORMATION FOR BUILDING AT STEP                                    |   |
|         | 1 TO 12 FOR CASE (4) DESIGN 92   | 2 |
| FIGURE  | 3.28 A,B: MOMENT ON COLUMNS FOR CASE (4) DESIGN (T.M)93  | 3 |
| FIGURE  | 3.29 A,B: MOMENT ON BEAMS FOR CASE (4) DESIGN (T.M)94  | 1 |
| FIGURE  | 3.30 A,B: AXIAL FORCE FOR COLUMNS FOR CASE (4) DESIGN (T)94  | 1 |
|         | 3.31 A,B: CRACK WIDTH FOR BEAMS AND COLUMNS FOR  |   |
|         | CASE (4) (MM)95  |   |
| FIGURE  | 1.1: THE PLASTIC MOMENT IN BEAMS DUE TO EARTHQUAKE AND THE CRACK MECHANISM ACCORDING TO THE MOMENT98 |   |
| EIGLIRE | 4.2: THE PLASTIC MOMENT IN COLUMNS DUE TO EARTHQUAKE AND THE   |   |
| HOOKE   | CRACK MECHANISM ACCORDING TO THE MOMENT  | ) |
| FIGURE  | 1.3: REPAIRING COST AND CONSTRUCTION COST FOR EACH DESIGN  |   |
|         | CASE   | , |
| FIGURE  | 4.4: CAPACITY CURVES FOR EACH DESIGN CASE IN (T.M)104  | ŀ |
| FIGURE  | 5.1: 3D MODELS FOR THE FOUR DESIGN CASES BY SAP2000 SOFTWARE 106                                     | 5 |
| FIGURE  | 5.2: PUSH OVER CURVE OF THE BUILDING107  | 7 |
| FIGURE  | 5.3: PLASTIC HINGE PATTERN FOR AT DIFFERENT PERFORMANCE LEVEL BY                                     |   |
| SAP 200 | O SOFTWARE 107   | 7 |
| FIGURE  | 5.4: PLASTIC MOMENT FOR COLUMNS AND BEAMS108   | ļ |
| FIGURE  | 5.5: CRACK WIDTH VALUES (WK) FOR COLUMNS AND BEAMS 108   | 3 |