# Comparison between the role of contrast enhanced mammography and dynamic contrast enhanced MRI in assessment of breast cancer recurrence

#### Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of MD degree in Radiodiagnosis

#### Presented by

### **Nehal Abdel Shafy Abdel Aleem**

Master degree of Radiodiagnosis Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

## Under supervision of

## Prof. Dr.Sahar Mohamed El Fiky

Professor of Radiodiagnosis Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

#### Prof. Dr. Enas Ahmed Azeb

Professor of Radiodiagnosis Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

## Prof. Dr. Noha Abdelshafy El Said

Ass.Professor of Radiodiagnosis National Cancer Institute - Cairo University

## Dr.Marwa El Sayed Abdelrahman

Lecturer of Radiodiagnosis
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2018

# **Acknowledgement**

First of all, all gratitude is due to **Allah** almighty for blessing this work, until it has reached its end, as a part of his generous help, throughout my life.

I would like to express my deep sincere gratitude to my supervisors; Prof. Dr. Sahar Elfiky; Professor of Radiodiagnosis, Dr. Enas Azeb Professor of Radiodiagnosis, Dr. Noha Elsaid Abdelshafy assistant Professor of Radiodiagnosis, and; Dr Marwa Elsayed Elmor Lecturer of Radiodiagnosis, who gave me guidance, untiring help and assistance. Without their wide knowledge and encouragement, it would be impossible to finish this work.

Deepest thanks to my beloved parents, my brother my soul father **Dr George Ayad and my collage**; for their endless love, prayers and encouragement.

To those who indirectly contributed in this essay, your kindness means a lot to me. Thank you very much.

# **Contents**

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Aim of work	4
3.	Review of Literature	5
	Chapter 1: Normal breast anatomy	5
	• Chapter 2: Radiological breast anatomy	15
	Chapter 3: Pathology	27
	• Chapter 4: Physics Principles, Technique and	
	interpretation of Contrast Enhanced Spectral	
	Mammography (CESM)	39
	• Chapter 4: Technique and interpretation of	
	Dynamic Breast MRI	61
4.	Patients and methods.	87
5.	Illustrative cases	95
6.	Results	125
7.	Discussion	141
8.	Summary and conclusion.	151
9.	References.	154
10	.Arabic summary	

# **List of Abbreviations**

Acc	Overall accuracy
ACR	American Colleague Radiology.
AGD	Average glandular dose
BC	Breast cancer
BCT	Breast conservative therapy
BLBC	Basal like breast cancer
BIRADS	Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System.
BMRI	Breast magnetic resonance imaging
BPE	Background parenchymal enhancement
CBS	Conservative breast surgery
CC	Cranio- Caudal
CESM	Contrast Enhanced spectral Mammography
CK	Cytokeratins
CLBC	Claudin low breast cancer
DCE-MRI	Dynamic contrast enhanced magnetic resonance
	imaging
DCIS	Ductal carcinoma in situ.
DECE	Dual Energy Contrast Enhanced
eGFR	Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate
EGFR	Epidermal growth factor receptor
ER	Estrogen receptor
FFDM	Full-Field Digital Mammography
FGT	Fibro-glandular tissue
FM	Fibrocystic Mastopathy
FN	False negative
FOV	Field of vision.

FP	False positive.
Gd	Gadopentetate-dimeglumine
Gd-DTPA	Gadolinium diethylene triamine penta acetic acid
HE	High energy
HER 2	Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2
IDC	Invasive Ductal Carcinoma
IHC	Immune-histochemistry
ILC	Invasive Lobular Carcinoma
IV	Intra venous
LCIS	Lobular carcinoma in situ.
LE	Low energy
LIQ	Lower inner quadrant
LN	Lymph node.
LOQ	Lower outer quadrant
LR	Local recurrance
MABC	Molecular apocrine breast cancer
MBC	Metaplastic Breast Cancer
MIP	Maximum intensity projection
ML	Medio Lateral
MLO	Medio Lateral Oblique
MPR	Multi-planar reconstruction
MRI	Magnetic Resonance imaging
NCI	National Cancer Institute
NME	Non mass enhancement
NPV	negative predictive value
PPV	Positive Predictive Value
PR	Progesterone receptor

ROC	Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve
ROI	Region of interest
SENSE	Sensitivity
SNR	Signal to noise ratio
Spec	specificity
SI	Subtracted images
S-RT	Surgery- radiotherapy
T	Tesla
TDLU	Terminal ductal-lobular units.
TE	Time echo
TN	True negative.
TNBCs	Triple Negative Breast Cancers
TNM	Tumor, nodes, metastases
TP	true positive
TR	Time repetition
UIQ	Upper inner quadrant
UOQ	Upper outer quadrant
US	Ultrasound.
XXCL	Exaggerated Cranio Caudal Lateral
XXCM	Exaggerated Cranio Caudal Lateral

## **List of Tables**

Table	Description	Page
1	Summary of the breast tumor molecular subtypes.	30
2	tumor, nodal and metastatic staging of breast cancer.	37
3	anatomical staging of breast cancer.	38
4	Shows a complete list of changes in the MR imaging section of the BI-RADS fifth edition.	80
5	Typical morphological feature of benign and malignant lesion.	85
6	Concordance between BI-RADS Assessment Categories and Management Recommendations.	86
7	Diagnostic performance of CESM.	128
8	Diagnostic performance of BMRI.	129
9	Final diagnosis of CESM compared to BMRI.	130
10	Analysis of mass enhanced lesions parameters of CESM and BMRI.	133
11	Analysis of non mass enhanced lesions parameters.	136
12	Size estimation of recurrent lesions by CESM Compared to Pathology size.	139
13	Size estimation of recurrent lesions by BMRI compared to Pathology size.	139
14	Size estimation of recurrent lesions by CESM compared BMR.	140

# **List of Figures**

Fig.	Description	Page
1	Breast anatomy.	8
2	A) Arterial. B) Venous C) Lymphatic supply of the breast.	13
3	Mammograms of normal breast density.	16
4	Background enhancement.	17
5	Normal breast anatomy and correlative mammograms MLO.	20
6	Normal breast anatomy and correlative mammograms CC.	21
7	Examples of normal amounts of fibroglandular breast tissue (FGT) on (MRI).	25
8	Variable degrees of BPE on MRI.	26
9	Principle of contrast-enhanced mammography using the temporal subtraction technique.	40
10	Contrast-enhanced spectral mammography images in three different cases showing shape patterns of enhancing masses.	48
11	Mass margin assessment on contrast-enhanced spectral mammography images in three different cases.	48
12	Five different examples of rim enhancement pattern on contrast-enhanced spectral mammography, four of which were benign.	49
13	: Non-mass enhancement (NME) patterns in contrast enhanced spectral mammography images.	50
14	MLO views of six different cases showing variable distributions of non mass enhancement patterns on contrast-enhanced spectral mammography images.	50
15	Screening mammography for a 48-year-old woman with LT MRM for invasive lobular.	55
16	(A)showing edematous breast with central increased density. CESM MLO (B) showing central enhancing soft tissue mass with evident intraductal extension.	56
17	Annual follow-up of a 55-year-old patient with CBS in 2010. The clinical examination is normal. The standard mammogram is inconclusive, especially due to	57

Fig.	Description	Page
	asymmetric.	
18	Local recurrence after previous breast-conservative surgery.	58
19	The MG for LT breast with the CC (A) and MLO (C) shows a solitary LT UOQ speculated lesion. In CESM images on the CC view (B) and the MLO (D) numerous foci of avid contrast uptake	59
20	Expected post-BCT findings (A) Axial (FSPGR) and (B) sagittal contrast-enhanced fat-saturated T1-weighted show architectural distortion, skin thickening, and signal voids due to surgical clips (arrow) with no abnormal enhancement	62
21	in a 56-year-old woman who underwent left BCT 18 months (a) Axial FSPGR T1W (b) and sagittal contrast-enhanced T1W, show a focal area of clumped NMLE at the lumpectomy site (arrow).it has primarily persistent	63
22	A 50-year-old woman who underwent left BCT. Sagittal T2-WI shows a hyperintense mass at the lumpectomy site in the UOQ. Sagittal post contrast T1-WI shows that the mass is hypointense with smooth enhanced margins.	65
23	A)-Axial T1-weighted non fat saturation. B) T2-weighted non fat saturation. C) T1-weighted fat saturation after gadolinium D)- subtraction images demonstrate a mass in the left breast which follows fat signal on all sequences.	66
24	(A) Sagittal contrast-enhanced T1- weighted (b) axial contrast-enhanced FSPGR T1W (B) MR images show multiple rapidly enhancing irregular masses with spiculated margins (arrows) at the lumpectomy site. (C) Image obtained with a computer-aided detection system and enhancement curve (D) show that the masses have predominantly rapid early enhancement and delayed washout kinetics.	68

Fig.	Description	Page
25	A) T1 weighted, B) T2 weighted fat-sat post contrast MRI show a large enhancing mass (arrowhead) exerting mass effect upon the medial margin of the left silicone implant. The mass is believed to be the cause of left silicone implant collapse with intra-and extracapsular rupture.	69
26	Time intensity curve	76
27	Tree flowchart following the description by Marino. Terminal nodes are hierarchically ordered (1–11) and represent increasing probabilities of malignancy	83
28	Case 1 Digital mammography in A) MLO and B) CC views of left breast	96
29	Case 1CESM in A) MLO and B) CC views of left breast.	96
30	Case 1 BMRI in A) T2 and B) Post contrast subtraction image C) dynamic curve the left breast	97
31	Case 2 DMG in A) MLO and B) CC views of LT breast.	99
32	Case 2 CESM in A) MLO and B) CC views of LT breast.	99
33	Case 2 BMRI in A, B) T2 and C, D) Post contrast subtraction image E) dynamic curve	100
34	Case 3 Digital mammography in A) MLO and B) CC views of left breast.	102
35	Case 3 CESM in A) MLO and B) CC views of left breast.	102
36	Case 3 BMRI in A, B) T2 and C, D,E) Post contrast subtraction image F) dynamic curve show, the left breast	103
37	Case 4 Digital mammography in A) MLO and B) CC views of left breast.	105
38	Case 4 CESM in A) MLO and B) CC views of left breast	105
39	Case 4 BMRI in A) T2 and B) Post contrast subtraction image C) dynamic curve the left breast.	106

Fig.	Description	Page
40	Case 5 Digital mammography in A) MLO and B) CC views of left breast.	108
41	Case 5 CESM in A) MLO and B) CC views of left breast.	108
42	Case 5 BMRI in A, B) T2 and C, D) Post contrast subtraction image E) STIR, F) dynamic curve the left breast.	109
43	Case 6 Digital mammography in A) MLO and B) CC views of left breast	111
44	Case 6 CESM in A) MLO and B) CC views of left breast.	111
45	Case 6 BMRI in A, B, F) T2 and C, D) Post contrast subtraction image E) MIP image G, H) dynamic curve The left breast.	112
46	Case 7 Digital mammography in A) MLO and B) CC views of right breast.	114
47	Case 7 CESM in A) MLO and B) CC views of right breast.	114
48	Case 7 BMRI in A) T2 and B) Post contrast subtraction image C) dynamic curve the right breast.	115
49	Case 8 Digital mammography in A) MLO and B) CC views of left breast	117
50	Case 8 CESM in A) MLO and B) CC views of left breast.	117
51	Case 8 BMRI in A) T2 and B) Post contrast subtraction image C) dynamic curve the left breast.	118
52	Case 9 Digital mammography in A) MLO and B) CC views of left breast.	120
53	Case 9 CESM in A) MLO and B) CC views of left breast with no evidence of any mass or non-mass enhanced lesions	120
54	Case 9 BMRI in A) T2 and B) Post contrast subtraction image.	121
55	Case 10 Digital mammography in A) MLO and B) CC views of right breast.	123

Fig.	Description	Page
56	Case 10 CESM in A) MLO and B) CC views of right breast.	123
57	Case 10 BMRI in A) T2 and B) Post contrast subtraction image C) dynamic curve the right breast.	124
58	Age groups of the patients included in our study	125
59	shows the type of the previous surgery (A) and interval between surgery and recurrence of breast cancer (B) in our patient	125
60	Shows the different patient complains	126
61	Discus the site of suspected site of breast cancer recurrence	127
62	Shows the different histopathological types of the recurrent lesions	127
63	Diagnostic performance of CESM versus MRI	131
64	Different enhancement pattern of recurrent lesions in CESM and BMRI	131
65	Different shapes of enhanced mass lesions in CESM and BMRI	134
66	Different pattern of internal enhancement of mass lesion in CESM compared to BMRI	134
67	Types of the margins of mass lesions in CESM and BMRI	135
68	Distribution of non mass enhanced lesions in CESM and BMRI	137
69	Type of internal enhancement of NME lesions in CESM and BMRI	137
70	accuracy of a) CESM and b) MRI in detection of multifocal and multicenteric breast lesion	140

### Introduction

Breast cancer is most prevalent and is the leading cause of cancer related deaths among women worldwide (*Sharma et al.*, 2008).

After surgical resection, recurrence of breast cancer is a major clinical problem (*Drukteinis et al.*, 2012). Cancer stage for stage mortality is worse in recurrence in the conserved breast than for the same sized tumors when it is originally diagnosed, however as with the original cancer, the earlier the diagnosis of the recurrence, the better the outcome (*Li et al.*, 2010).

Mammography is a well established, cost effective imaging technique for breast cancer detection (*Dormain et al.*, 2011), but imaging the treated breast presents challenges because of its limited compressibility and the overlapping features of benign post-treatment alterations and tumor recurrence (*Chansakul et al.*, 2012). Mammography's ability to detect recurrence has been calculated as one third less than its ability to discover the original cancer (*Brennnan et al.*, 2010) and this sensitivity is reduced to 62.9% in dense breast (compared to 87% in fatty breast) which can lead to superimposition artifact and thereby obscure lesions.

Contrast agent has been used for many years by both CT and MR techniques to explore angiogenesis in breast carcinoma

by tracking the uptake and washout of contrast agent in tissue (*Dromain et al.*, 2010). Two promising techniques may overcome the digital mammography limitations and increase its sensitivity include contrast enhanced mammography with subtraction and tomosynthesis -cross sectional technique- (*Diekmann et al.*, 2007).

CEDM is a new advanced application of digital mammography using the intravenous injection of an iodinated contrast agent in conjunction with a mammography examination. Two basic techniques of contrast enhanced mammography are present: temporal subtraction and dual-energy techniques (*Dromain et al.*, 2010).

The potential clinical applications of the CEDM are determination of the extent of disease, assessment of recurrent disease, clarification of mammographically equivocal lesions, detection of occult lesions on standard mammography, particularly in dense breast, and monitoring the response to chemotherapy (*Dromain.*, et al 2010), so it is a functional imaging technique depending on histological characters of the tumor as well as contrast agent pharmacology, similar to MRI in function (*Jochelson et al.*, 2013)

Magnetic resonance (MR) imaging of the breast is increasingly used as an adjunct to mammography and

ultrasonography (US) improve the detection to and characterization of primary and recurrent breast cancers and for evaluation of the response to therapy. MR imaging is useful for detecting multifocality and multicentricity of breast cancer, differentiating between scar tissue and recurrent cancer after breast-conserving therapy, examining breasts that contain implants, In patients with a finding of cancer in one breast, screening the contralateral breast for occult cancer, as well as in examining the breasts of patients with histologically proved metastatic breast cancer with unknown primary origin (Macura et al., 2008).

However the advantage of MRI in staging and detection of recurrence needs to be balanced with its disadvantages: greater expense, lack of accessibility, and frequency of false positive examinations (*Jochelson et al.*, 2013).