



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
Structural Engineering

Analysis of Green House Systems Using Fiber Reinforced Polymer Cables

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements of the Master of Science
in Civil Engineering
(Structural Engineering)

by

Ahmad Mohammed Ashraf Mohammed AlaaElDin Eliwa ElGammal

Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering
(Structural Engineering)

Faculty of Engineering, Ain-shams University, 2010

Supervised By

A. Prof. Dr. Bahaa Sharaf Tork
Dr. Mohammed Saafan Abdel Gawad

Cairo - (2018)

Statement

This thesis is submitted as a partial fulfillment of Master of Science in Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain shams University.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis, and no part of it has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other scientific entity.

Student name

Signature

Ahmad Mohammed Ashraf Mohammed Alaa El-Din Eliwa ElGammal

Date:22 June 2018

Researcher Data

Name : Ahmad M.Ashraf Eliwa ElGammal
Date of birth : September-11-1988
Place of birth : Cairo, Egypt
Last academic degree : BSc in Civil Engineering
Field of specialization : Structural Department
University issued the degree : Ain-Shams University
Date of issued degree : July-2010
Current job : Senior Structural Engineer United for Consulting
Engineer

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	i
List of Tables	iv
List of Figures	v
Abstract	ix
Acknowledgments.....	xi
List of Abbreviations	xii
1. Introduction.....	1
1.1. Overview	1
1.2. Thesis Outline	2
2. Literature Review.....	3
2.1. Introduction	3
2.2. Historical Review of Steel Cable Structures	7
2.3. Development of FRP Cable Structures	11
2.4. Cable structures classifications and composition.....	18
2.4.1. Classifications of Cable roofs.....	18
2.4.2. Structural Cables	24
2.4.3. Cable characteristics	26
2.4.3.1. Cables Prestretching.....	26
2.4.3.2. Cable Elastic modulus.....	27
2.4.3.3. Cutting cables to length	28
2.4.4. Cable Connections.....	29
2.4.5. Cable end fittings.....	30
2.5. Summary	30
3. Methodology	33
3.1. Introduction	33
3.2. Assumptions	33
3.3. Verification.....	34
3.3.1. Example 1 “Two-way-net structure”	34
3.3.2. Example 2 “Single suspended cable”	35
3.3.3. Example 3 “Hyperbolic paraboloid cable net roof” ...	37
3.3.4. Example 4 “cable truss 80m span”	38

4. Applications to Cable Trusses using Steel and FRP cables	41
4.1. Introduction	41
4.2. Cable Truss	41
4.2.1. Geometry	41
4.2.2. Cable Materials	42
4.3. Computer Program Developed	44
4.4. Parameters and results	46
4.4.1. Varying the pretension as a function of yield strain ...	46
4.4.2. Varying distributed loads	49
4.4.3. Varying span-to-depth ratio with constant cable area	50
4.4.3.1. Span-to-depth (L/d) = 7.5	51
4.4.3.2. Span-to-depth (L/d) = 10	52
4.4.3.3. Span-to-depth (L/d) = 12.5	53
4.4.3.4. Span-to-depth (L/d) = 15	54
4.4.4. Varying span-to-sag ratio with the span-to-camber ratio	55
4.4.4.1. Varying L/c ratio from 20 to 25 for each L/s ratio	65
4.4.4.2. Varying L/s ratio from 10 to 15 for $L/c = 25$	66
4.4.5. The optimum spacing between hangers and number of elements	67
4.4.6. The effect of varying the tieback angle	68
4.4.6.1. Tieback angle (θ) 30°	69
4.4.6.2. Tieback angle (θ) 45°	70
4.4.6.3. Tieback angle (θ) 60°	71
4.4.7. The effect of adding columns with tiebacks	73
4.4.7.1. Tieback angle (θ) 30°	75
4.4.7.2. Tieback angle (θ) 45°	76
4.4.7.3. Tieback angle (θ) 60°	77
4.5 Summary	79
5. Comparative applications to greenhouse cable truss system ...	81
5.1. Introduction	81
5.2. Cable Truss 24m Span	81

5.3. Cable Truss 48m Span.....	83
5.4. Cable Truss 80m Span.....	85
6. Conclusions and Future Work	88
6.1. Introduction	88
6.2. Conclusions	89
6.3. Suggestions for future work	91
7. Publications from Current Work.....	92
8. References.....	93
Appendix A. Program Developed Flowchart.....	98
A.1. Introduction	98
A.2. Flowcharts	98
A.2.1. Varying pretension as a function of yield strain.....	99
A.2.2. Varying distributed loads.....	100
A.2.3. Varying span-to-depth ratio with constant area.....	101
A.2.4. Varying span-to-sag ratio with span-to-camber ratio	102
A.2.5. Optimum spacing between hangers and number of elements	103
A.2.6. The effect of varying tieback angle	104
A.2.7. The effect of adding columns with tiebacks	105
A.3. Summary	106

List of Tables

Table 3-1: Displacements at Joint 1 (m).....	35
Table 3-2: Initial Properties of Cable.....	36
Table 3-3: Displacement at Joint 2	36
Table 3-4: Comparison of Displacements with Published Research (cm)	38
Table 3-5: Cable truss member properties	39
Table 3-6: Member prestress force horizontal component	39
Table 3-7: Maximum Vertical Displacements (cm)	39
Table 3-8: Member Forces (tons) due to Case 1 (D)	40
Table 3-9: Member Forces (tons) due to Case 2 (D + L left side)	40
Table 3-10: Member Forces (tons) due to Case 3 (D+L).....	40
Table 4-1: Mechanical properties of cable materials used in current study.....	42
Table 4-2: FS for different cable materials	44
Table 4-3: Optimum Span Divisions and Elements between hangers for cable truss	68
Table 5-1: Single span 24m cable truss member properties	82
Table 5-2: Forces from single span 24m HS Steel cable truss	83
Table 5-3: Forces from single span 48m HS CFRP cable truss...	83
Table 5-4: Single span 48m cable truss member properties	84
Table 5-5: Forces from single span 48m HS Steel cable truss	84
Table 5-6: Forces from single span 48m HS CFRP cable truss...	85
Table 5-7: Single span 80m cable truss member properties	86
Table 5-8: Forces from single span 80m HS Steel cable truss	86
Table 5-9: Forces from single span 80m HS CFRP cable truss...	87

List of Figures

Figure 2-1: Kew Palm House and Rose Garden	4
Figure 2-2: Crystal Palace in New York.....	5
Figure 2-3: The Climatron greenhouse at Missouri Botanical Gardens	5
Figure 2-4: Polyethylene sheets as roofing for Greenhouses.....	6
Figure 2-5: The North Carolina State Fair Arena at Raleigh, USA	8
Figure 2-6: Ingalls Rink at Yale University.....	9
Figure 2-7: The Sidney Myer Music Bowl in Kings Domain	9
Figure 2-8: Yoyogi National Gymnasium in Tokyo (Tokyo Olympic swimming pool)	10
Figure 2-9: Olympiapark in Munich, Germany	10
Figure 2-10: The Ulenbergstrasse Bridge in Dusseldorf, Germany	13
Figure 2-11: Shinmiya bridge in Ishikawa Prefecture, Japan	13
Figure 2-12: The Beddington Trail bridge in Calgary, Canada ...	13
Figure 2-13: Bridge Street Bridge in Michigan, USA	14
Figure 2-14: Buffalo Creel Bridge in West Virginia, U.S	15
Figure 2-15: Aberfeldy Footbridge in Scotland, UK.....	15
Figure 2-16: Herning footbridge, Denmark	16
Figure 2-17: GFRP Lleida Pedestrian Bridge, Spain.....	16
Figure 2-18: Rhyl Harbour Bridge in Wales, UK.....	16
Figure 2-19: Cable-supported roofs	19
Figure 2-20: Cable-suspended roofs	19
Figure 2-21: US Pavilion at the International Exposition in Osaka, Japan	20
Figure 2-22: Different shapes of cable truss roofs.....	21
Figure 2-23: The North Carolina State Fair Arena in Raleigh, Structural Schematic	21
Figure 2-24: Passenger Terminal at Dulles International Airport at Chantilly in Virginia, U.S	23
Figure 2-25: Forum sports arena in Inglewood, California	23

Figure 2-26: Tokyo Olympic Swimming Pool Structural Schematic	24
Figure 2-27: Stranding of a structural cable	25
Figure 2-28: Some cross-sections of structural cables (a) spiral strand (b) fully locked (c) wire rope	26
Figure 2-29: Cyclic loading of cables to determine the elastic modulus (PFEIFER, 2015).....	28
Figure 2-30: Cable Stress-Strain Curve (ASCE/SEI 19-10, 2010)	28
Figure 2-31: Cable connections of the Mercedes Benz Arena in Stuttgart, Germany (PFEIFER, 2015).....	31
Figure 2-32: Cable end fittings (PFEIFER, 2015)	32
Figure 3-1: Two-way-net geometry	35
Figure 3-2: Single Cable Geometry	35
Figure 3-3: Cable Net Roof Geometry.....	37
Figure 3-4: Cable Truss Geometry	39
Figure 4-1: Biconcave Cable Truss.....	41
Figure 4-2: Generic Flowchart of the developed program.....	46
Figure 4-3: Biconcave cable truss geometric parameters	47
Figure 4-4: Forces in suspension cable.....	48
Figure 4-5: Forces in stabilizing cable.....	48
Figure 4-6: Cable truss mid-span deflection.....	48
Figure 4-7: Force in suspension cable for various distributed loads	49
Figure 4-8: Force in stabilizing cable for various distributed loads	50
Figure 4-9: Midspan deflection for various distributed loads.....	50
Figure 4-10: Force in suspension cable for $L/d = 7.5$	51
Figure 4-11: Force in stabilizing cable for $L/d = 7.5$	51
Figure 4-12: Midspan deflection for $L/d = 7.5$	52
Figure 4-13: Force in suspension cable for $L/d = 10$	52
Figure 4-14: Force in stabilizing cable for $L/d = 10$	52
Figure 4-15: Midspan deflection for $L/d = 10$	53
Figure 4-16: Force in suspension cable for $L/d = 12.5$	53

Figure 4-17: Force in stabilizing cable for $L/d = 12.5$	53
Figure 4-18: Midspan deflection for $L/d = 12.5$	54
Figure 4-19: Force in suspension cable for $L/d = 15$	54
Figure 4-20: Force in stabilizing cable for $L/d = 15$	54
Figure 4-21: Midspan deflection for $L/d = 15$	55
Figure 4-22: Suspension cable area for $L/s = 10$ and $L/c = 20$	56
Figure 4-23: Stabilizing cable area for $L/s = 10$ and $L/c = 20$	56
Figure 4-24: Midspan deflection for $L/s = 10$ and $L/c = 20$	57
Figure 4-25: Suspension cable area for $L/s = 10$ and $L/c = 22.5$	57
Figure 4-26: Stabilizing cable area for $L/s = 10$ and $L/c = 22.5$	57
Figure 4-27: Midspan deflection for $L/s = 10$ and $L/c = 22.5$	58
Figure 4-28: Suspension cable area for $L/s = 10$ and $L/c = 25$	58
Figure 4-29: Stabilizing cable area for $L/s = 10$ and $L/c = 25$	58
Figure 4-30: Midspan deflection for $L/s = 10$ and $L/c = 25$	59
Figure 4-31: Suspension cable area for $L/s = 12.5$ and $L/c = 20$	59
Figure 4-32: Stabilizing cable area for $L/s = 12.5$ and $L/c = 20$	59
Figure 4-33: Midspan deflection for $L/s = 12.5$ and $L/c = 20$	60
Figure 4-34: Suspension cable area for $L/s = 12.5$ and $L/c = 22.5$	60
Figure 4-35: Stabilizing cable area for $L/s = 12.5$ and $L/c = 22.5$	60
Figure 4-36: Midspan deflection for $L/s = 12.5$ and $L/c = 22.5$..	61
Figure 4-37: Suspension cable area for $L/s = 12.5$ and $L/c = 25$..	61
Figure 4-38: Stabilizing cable area for $L/s = 12.5$ and $L/c = 25$..	61
Figure 4-39: Midspan deflection for $L/s = 12.5$ and $L/c = 25$	62
Figure 4-40: Suspension cable area for $L/s = 15$ and $L/c = 20$	62
Figure 4-41: Stabilizing cable area for $L/s = 15$ and $L/c = 20$	62
Figure 4-42: Midspan deflection for $L/s = 15$ and $L/c = 20$	63
Figure 4-43: Suspension cable area for $L/s = 15$ and $L/c = 22.5$..	63
Figure 4-44: Stabilizing cable area for $L/s = 15$ and $L/c = 22.5$..	63
Figure 4-45: Midspan deflection for $L/s = 15$ and $L/c = 22.5$	64
Figure 4-46: Suspension cable area for $L/s = 15$ and $L/c = 25$	64
Figure 4-47: Stabilizing cable area for $L/s = 15$ and $L/c = 25$	64

Figure 4-48: Midspan deflection for $L/s = 15$ and $L/c = 25$	65
Figure 4-49: Geometry of cable truss with tiebacks	68
Figure 4-50: Forces in suspension cable for tieback angle 30°	69
Figure 4-51: Forces in stabilizing cable for tieback angle 30°	69
Figure 4-52: Forces in upper tieback cable for tieback angle 30° ..	69
Figure 4-53: Forces in lower tieback cable for tieback angle 30° ..	70
Figure 4-54: Forces in suspension cable for tieback angle 45°	70
Figure 4-55: Forces in stabilizing cable for tieback angle 45°	70
Figure 4-56: Forces in upper tieback cable for tieback angle 45° ..	71
Figure 4-57: Forces in lower tieback cable for tieback angle 45° ..	71
Figure 4-58: Forces in suspension cable for tieback angle 60°	71
Figure 4-59: Forces in stabilizing cable for tieback angle 60°	72
Figure 4-60: Forces in upper tieback cable for tieback angle 60° ..	72
Figure 4-61: Forces in lower tieback cable for tieback angle 60° ..	72
Figure 4-62: Geometry of cable truss with columns and tiebacks	74
Figure 4-63: Forces in suspension cable for $\theta = 30^\circ$ with column	75
Figure 4-64: Forces in stabilizing cable for $\theta = 30^\circ$ with column	75
Figure 4-65: Forces in upper tieback cable $\theta = 30^\circ$ with column	75
Figure 4-66: Forces in lower tieback cable $\theta = 30^\circ$ with column	76
Figure 4-67: Forces in suspension cable for $\theta = 45^\circ$ with column	76
Figure 4-68: Forces in stabilizing cable for $\theta = 45^\circ$ with column	76
Figure 4-69: Forces in upper tieback cable $\theta = 45^\circ$ with column	77
Figure 4-70: Forces in lower tieback cable $\theta = 45^\circ$ with column	77
Figure 4-71: Forces in suspension cable for $\theta = 60^\circ$ with column	77
Figure 4-72: Forces in stabilizing cable for $\theta = 60^\circ$ with column	78
Figure 4-73: Forces in upper tieback cable $\theta = 60^\circ$ with column	78
Figure 4-74: Forces in lower tieback cable $\theta = 60^\circ$ with column	78
Figure 5-1: Single span cable truss cases of loading	82
Figure 5-2: Single span 48m cable truss cases of loading	84
Figure 5-3: Single Span 80m cable truss cases of loading	85

Abstract

Ahmad Mohammed Ashraf Mohammed Alaa El-Din Eliwa ElGammal “Analysis of Green House Using Fiber Reinforced Polymer Cables”, Master of Science dissertation, Ain Shams University, 2016.

This thesis presents a biconcave cable truss as a roofing system for green houses by using non-traditional cable materials. Not only traditional steel cables were used but also FRP cables namely: high-strength carbon FRP (CFRP), hybrid FRP cables such as basalt mixed with carbon with two different volume proportions 25% and 50% of carbon fiber called B/CFRP 25% and B/CFRP 50%, respectively. Also, another newly developed hybrid FRP cable formed by the hybridization of basalt and steel cables together with two volume proportions 20% and 30% called B/SFRP 20% and B/SFRP 30%, respectively. These hybrid cables have been tested for large scale cable stayed bridges and were deemed appropriate. Up to the author's knowledge using FRP cables in cable trusses as roofing structure has never been studied.

Several parameters have been tested in this study to get a better understanding of the cable truss behavior. The output of each parameter was added to the next as an input. An excel based program was developed that linked excel with SAP2000 FE model this helped reduce the time needed for analysis and thus simplifying creating large number of analysis models and storing the results.

The parameters studied here are the effect of varying: the cable pretension as a function of yield strain, distributed loads (w), span-to-depth ratio with constant cable area, span-to-sag (L/s) ratio with span-to-camber (L/c) ratio, the optimum spacing between hangers and the number of elements between hangers, tieback angle with roller supports used instead of columns and finally tieback angle with vertical columns provided.

The major conclusions drawn from this study are as follows: (1) Cable truss stiffness is directly proportional to the pretension. (2) Cable truss stiffness is inversely proportional to the increase of w and (L/s). (3) Cable truss stiffness is inversely proportional to the