



Cairo University

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

Department of Fish Diseases and Management

Studies on Parasitic Infestations in Some Cultured Marine Fishes

Thesis

Presented by

Soraya Abd Allah Abd El moniem Mohamed

(B.V.Sc., 2004, Cairo University)

(M.V.Sc., 2009, Cairo University)

For the degree of Ph.D. of Vet. Medical science

(Fish Diseases and Management)

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Sayed Mohamed Marzouk

Professor of Fish Diseases and Management,

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,

Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Mai Al Dessoki A. Ibrahim

**Professor of Fish Diseases and
Management, Faculty of Veterinary
Medicine, Cairo University**

Prof. Dr. Nahla Ramzy El-Khatib

**Chief Researcher of Fish Diseases,
Animal Health Research Institute,
El-Dokki**

2018



Cairo University

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

Department of Fish Diseases and Management

Supervision sheet

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Sayed Mohamed Marzouk

Professor of Fish Diseases and Management

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Mai Al Dessoki A. Ibrahim

Professor of Fish Diseases and Management

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Nahla Ramzy El-Khatib

Chief researcher of Fish Diseases.

Animal Health Research Institute

Dokki

Cairo University

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

Department of Fish Disease and Management

Name : Soraya Abd Allah Abd El moniem Mohamed

Date and place of birth : 26/7/1982- Cairo

Nationality : Egyptian

Degree : Ph.D. of Veterinary Medical Science

soecification : Fish diseases

Thesis Title : Studies on parasitic infestations in some cultured marine fishes.

supervision : Prof. Dr. Mohamed Sayed Mohamed Marzouk
Professor of Fish Diseases and Management, Faculty of Veterinary,
Medicine Cairo University
Prof. Dr. Mai Al Dessoki A. Ibrahim
Professor of Fish Diseases and Management, Faculty of Veterinary
Medicine, Cairo University
Prof. Dr. Nahla Ramzy El-Khatib
Chief Researcher of Fish Diseases, Animal Health Research
Institute, Dokki

Abstract

In the present study, 360 cultured marine fishes (*Dicentrarchus labrax*, *Sparus aurata*, *Mugil cephalus*, *Liza ramada*, and *Anguilla anguilla*) were collected from three farms in Diba triangle, over different year seasons. Fish and water samples were collected. Examined fish showed restlessness, air gasping, scales detachment, excessive mucous, emaciation and off food. Pale gills, friable liver, gastrointestinal tract congestion were revealed. Total prevalence rate of Parasitic infestation was 65.3%. Six protozoan genera namely *Trichodina* (*T. heterodontata* and *T. lepsii*), *Epistylis*, *Amyloodinium ocellatum*, *Microsporidia*, *Apiosoma* sp. and *Ambiphrya ameiuri*, three monogenean (*Diplectanum*, *Pseudohaliotrema* and *Furnestinia echeneis*), one crustacea (*Caligus minimus*), unidentified digenetic metacercaria, three trematodes (*Timoniella*, *Bucephalus minimus* and *Saccocoelium*) and one nematode (*Conteracecum* larvae) were illustrated. The highest protozoan prevalence was in autumn and least in summer, while *C. minimus* and helminthes were highest in summer and least in winter. Water temperature and salinity were the most effective parameters influencing parasitic infestation. Histopathologically, infested fishes showed different degrees of fusion in gill lamellae. Encysted metacercariae were revealed in intestine, muscle bundles, kidneys and spleen with inflammatory cells infiltrations. *In vitro*, Vaccium 210® was effective against *Trichodina lepsii* and *Furnestinia echeneis* at 2.5 ppt concentration after 45 minutes and 60 minutes, respectively, and with 15 ppt concentration after 5 and 15 minutes, respectively. *In vivo*, 2.5 ppt and 10 ppt concentration of Vaccium 210® required 24 and 10 hours, respectively, to eradicate both *T. lepsii* and *F. echeneis*.

Key words: Cultured marine fishes, Parasitic infestations, Seasonal variation, Histopathology, Vaccium 210®, *In vitro* and *In vivo*.

Dedicated to.....

Spirit of My Father and My Mother

My Dear Husband Mohamed

My Beautiful Daughters Salma and Habiba

My Dear Sisters Faten and Basma

Acknowledgement

First of all, my thanks to Allah who helped and supported me to finish this work and I'm indebted to him for everything in my life.

*I wish to express my high appreciation and sincere thanks to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Sayed Mohamed Marzouk** Professor of Fish Diseases and Management , Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, who gave me much of his experience, and support during the supervision of this work,*

*I would also like to express my sincere thanks and gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mai Al Dessoki Al Sayed Ibrahim** Professor of Fish Diseases and Management, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, for her kind guidance, valuable suggestions and assistance .*

*I wish to express my great respect, deep appreciation and my sincere thanks to **Prof. Dr. Nahla Ramzy El-Khatib** Chief Researcher of Fish diseases, Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki, for her sincere co-operation, outstanding support and unlimited help and effort throughout this work,*

*I would like to express my thanks and gratitude to **Dr. Naglaa El Kalamawey** senior Researcher, molecular path. Unit, Pathology Dept. Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki, Who helped me in the histopathological study of this work,*

*I would also like to express my thanks and gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Jihan Fathalla Abo-Esa** Chief Researcher of Fish diseases, Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki, for their help and support during this Work,*

Finally, I would like to express my deep thanks to all the staff members of Fish diseases Department, Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki for their help.

Contents

	Page
I. Introduction	1
II. Review of Literature	
II.1. Prevalence of parasitic infestations in cultured marine fishes	3
II.2. Clinical signs and post-mortem changes due to parasitic infestation in cultured marine fishes	11
II.3. Epizootiological Factors affecting parasitic infestation in cultured marine fishes	
II.3. A. Seasonal variation	14
II.3. B. Water quality	19
II.4. Histopathological changes due to parasitic infestation in marine cultured fishes:	20
II.5. Using of some essential oils as a control and treatment parasitic infestation in fish and other animals	27
III. Materials and Methods	
III.1. Materials	
III.1. A. Fish samples	
III.1.A.a. Fishes for survey	29
III. 1. A. b. Fish for LC ₅₀ test of Vaccium210®	29
III. 1. A. c. Fish for experimental treatment with Vaccium 210®	29
III. 1. B. Water samples	29
III.1.C. Aquaria	30
III.1.D. Chemicals	
III.1.D.a. Chemicals used in parasitological examination	33
III.1.D.b. Chemicals and buffers for water physio-chemical analysis	33
III. 1.D.c. Chemicals used in histopathological examination:	33
III.1.E. Stains:	34
III.1.F. Drug:	34
III. 2. Methods:	
III.2.A. Clinical examination of naturally infested fishes	34
III.2.B. Postmortem examination	35
III.2.C. Parasitological examination	35
III.2.C. a. Fixation and staining	36
III.2.C.b. Parasitological identification	37

III.2.D. Water samples examination	37
III.2.E. Histopathological examination	38
III.2.F. Experiment of treatment	
III.2.F. a. Determination of Lethal concentration-50 of Vaccium 210 [®] used in treatment experiments in <i>S. aurata</i>	38
III.2.F. b. Efficacy of Vaccium210 [®] on <i>Trichodina lepsii</i> in vitro	39
III.2.F. c. Efficacy of Vaccium210 [®] on <i>Furnestinia echeneis</i> in vitro	39
III.2.F. d. Bath treatment of naturally infested <i>S. aurata</i>	40
IV. Results	
IV.1. Prevalence of parasitic infestations in examined cultured marine fish species	41
IV.2. Clinical signs of the naturally infested fishes	60
IV.3. Post- mortem lesions	60
IV.4. Seasonal variation in parasitic infestation and water quality	66
IV. 5. The Histopathological findings	79
IV. 6. Treatment experiments	
IV. 6. A. Determination of Vaccium 210 [®] Lethal concentration-50 (LC ₅₀)	88
IV. 6. B. Efficacy of Vaccium 210 [®] on <i>Trichodina lepsii</i> in vitro	88
IV. 6. C. Efficacy of Vaccium 210 [®] on <i>Furnestinia echeneis</i> in vitro	88
IV. 8. D. Efficacy of Vaccium 210 [®] bath treatment on mixed <i>T. lepsii</i> and <i>F. echeneis</i> infestation in <i>Sparus aurata</i> in vivo	92
V. Discussion	93
VI. English Summary	105
VII. References	107
Arabic summary	٢-١

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page
Table 1:	Number of examined fish species from different farms	31
Table 2:	Number of examined water samples from different farms	32
Table 3:	Prevalence of parasitic infestations in examined cultured marine fish species	42
Table 4:	Prevalence of Protozoa recovered from examined cultured marine fishes	43
Table 5:	Prevalence of monogenic trematodes recovered from examined cultured marine fishes	44
Table 6:	Prevalence of crustacean parasites recovered from examined cultured marine fishes	45
Table 7:	Prevalence of infestation rates with unidentified encysted metacercariae in examined cultured marine fishes	46
Table 8:	Prevalence of Digenetic encysted metacercaria recovered from different organs of examined cultured marine fishes	47
Table 9:	Prevalence of internal helminths recovered from gastro-intestinal tract of examined cultured marine fishes	48
Table 10:	Seasonal prevalence of parasites recovered from examined cultured <i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i>	70
Table 11:	Water parameters of <i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i> ponds in farm I and farm II in different seasons	71
Table 12:	Seasonal prevalence of parasites recovered from examined cultured <i>Sparus aurata</i> in farm I and associated water parameters	72
Table 13:	Seasonal prevalence of parasites recovered from examined cultured <i>Mugil cephalus</i> and in farm I and associated water parameters	73
Table 14:	Seasonal prevalence of parasites recovered from cultured <i>Liza ramada</i> in farm II and associated water parameters	74
Table 15:	Seasonal prevalence of parasites recovered from cultured <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> in farm III and associated water parameters	75
Table 16:	The Lethal concentration-50 of Vaccium 210 ®to <i>Sparus aurata</i>	88
Table 17:	Cumulative number of dead <i>Trichodina lepsii</i> post treatment by Vaccium 210 ® in vitro	89
Table 18:	Cumulative number of dead <i>Furnestinia echeneis</i> post treatment by Vaccium 210 ® in vitro	90
Table 19:	Efficacy of Vaccium 210® bath treatment on <i>Trichodina lepsii</i> and <i>F. echeneis</i> mixed infesting <i>Sparus aurata</i> .	92

LIST OF PHOTOS

Photo NO.		Page
Photo 1:	Cultured <i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i> showing non specific clinical signs, haemorrhagic skin with detachment of scales (arrow).	62
Photo 2:	Cultured <i>Sparus aurata</i> showing emaciation at peduncle region	62
Photo 3:	Cultured <i>Mugil cephalus</i> showing non specific clinical signs of haemorrhagic skin (arrow).	63
Photo 4:	Cultured <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> showing hemorrhages and erosion in caudal and tail fins (arrows)	63
Photo 5:	Cultured <i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i> showing brownish gill with erosion in gill filaments (arrow).	64
Photo 6:	Cultured <i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i> showing paleness of gills and enlarged, degenerated and pale liver (arrows)	64
Photo 7:	Cultured <i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i> showing congestion in the gastro-intestinal tract and internal organs (arrows)	65
Photo 8:	Cultured <i>Sparus aurata</i> showing marbling gills (arrow)	65
Photo 9:	Cultured <i>Sparus aurata</i> showing paleness and degenerated liver (arrow)	66
Photo 10:	Cultured <i>Mugil cephalus</i> showing paleness in gills and haemorrhagic patches in abdominal viscera (arrows).	66

LIST OF MICROGRAPHS

Micrograph No.		Page
Micrograph 1:	<i>Trichodina heterodontata</i> isolated from gills of <i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i> stained with Giemsa stain (X1000)	49
Micrograph 2:	<i>Trichodina lepsi</i> isolated from gills of <i>Sparus aurata</i> and stained with Giemsa stain (X1000)	49
Micrograph 3:	<i>Amyloodinium ocellatum</i> (arrows) wet preparation detected from <i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i> gills (X100)	50
Micrograph 4:	<i>Amyloodinium ocellatum</i> wet preparation detected from <i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i> gills (X1000).	50
Micrograph 5:	<i>Epistylis</i> sp. wet preparation isolated from skin of <i>Mugil cephalus</i> (X400).	51
Micrograph 6:	<i>Apiosoma</i> sp. isolated from <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> skin stained with Giemsa stain (X1000).	52
Micrograph 7:	<i>Ambiphraya ameiuri</i> isolated from <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> skin and stained with Giemsa stain (X1000)	52
Micrograph 8:	Wet preparation of monogenean attached to gill filament of <i>Sparus aurata</i> (X100).	55
Micrograph 9:	<i>Furnestinia echeneis</i> isolated from <i>Sparus aurata</i> gills, stained with acid carmine (X400). (H: Haptor; Hg: Head glands; Mco= Male copulatory organ; Oc: Oculi; V: Vitellaria)	55
Micrograph 10:	Unidentified encysted metacercaria detected from fish flesh of <i>Sparus aurata</i> (X100).	57

Micrograph 11:	Sagittal section in the gill filaments of <i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i> demonstrating parasitic infestation with criteria of <i>Trichodina heterodentata</i> (thin arrow). Gill lamellar tips were exhibiting complete fusion (thick black arrow). H&E X 100	81
Micrograph 12:	Sagittal section in the gill filaments' of <i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i> revealing attachment of monogenean to a groove within complete fused lamellae (thick arrow). H&E X 100.	81
Micrograph 13:	Gill lamellae of <i>L.ramada</i> showing cross section of encysted metacercariae inbetween secondary lamellae (thin white arrow). Central sinus and blood vessels of gill lamellae were highly congested (white asterisks). Secondary lamellae showed partial fusion (thick white arrow) with lifting of respiratory epithelia (Thick black arrows). H&E X400.	83
Micrograph 14:	Longitudinal section of <i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i> flesh revealing section of digenetic encysted metacercaria cyst (thin black arrow); the myogenic tissue showing interstitial edema (Black asterisks). Some muscle bundles were atrophied (thick black arrow). H&E X 400.	83
Micrograph 15:	Renal tissue of <i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i> showing cross sections of two digenetic encysted metacercaria cysts (thin black arrows); surrounding tissues revealing evidence of pressure atrophy (thick black arrows). H&E X 200	84
Micrograph 16:	Spleen of <i>M. cephalus</i> demonstrating cross sections of encysted metacercariae (arrows), surrounding splenic parenchyma revealing marked degeneration (asterisks). H&E X200.	84
Micrograph 17:	Spleen of <i>L.ramada</i> infested with encysted metacercaria showed marked fibrous connective tissue (arrows) . Splenic parenchyma showing focal aggregations of spleenocytes (asterisks). H&EX 200.	85
Micrograph 18:	Spleen of <i>L.ramada</i> infested with encysted metacercaria showed marked fibrous connective tissue (arrows). Splenic parenchyma showing focal aggregations of spleenocytes (asterisks). H&EX 200	85
Micrograph 19:	Intestinal section of <i>M. cephalus</i> containing cross section of digenetic trematodes (thin arrow) and illustrating marked desquamation of intestinal lining epithelia (thick arrows) and sub-mucosal inflammatory cells infiltration (asterisks). H&E X 400.	87
Micrograph 20:	Intestinal section of <i>L .ramada</i> illustrating section of digenetic trematodes (thin arrow) and accompanied with massive desquamation of intestinal lining epithelia (thick arrow). H&EX200	87