Frequency, Clinical Profile, Bacteriologic Patterns and Outcome of Ascitic Fluid Infection in Patients with Chronic Liver Disease in Tropical Medicine Department at Ain Shams University Hospitals

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfilment of Master Degree in Eropical Medicine

By

Hazem Ahmed Kamal

M.B.B.CH

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of

Prof. Sanaa Moharam Kamal

Professor of Tropical Medicine Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Ass. Prof. Sara Mahmoud Abdelhakam

Assistant Professor of Tropical Medicine Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. Kareem Abd El Aziz Abd El Hafeez

Lecturer of Tropical Medicine Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2018



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgment

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **ALLAH**, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.

I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Sanaa Moharam Kamal**, Professor of Tropical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University for his keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.

I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to Ass. Prof. Sara Mahmoud Abdelhakam, Assistant Professor of Tropical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.

I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Yasmine**Mahmoud Massoud and **Dr. Kareem Abd**El Aziz Abd El Hafeez, Lecturers of Tropical
Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams
University, for their great help, active participation
and guidance.

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.

Last but not least my sincere thanks and appreciation to all patients participated in this study.

Hazem Ahmed Kamal

List of Contents

| Title | Page No. |
|-------------------------|----------|
| List of Tables | 5 |
| List of Figures | 6 |
| List of Abbreviations | |
| Introduction | 1 |
| Aim of the Work | 4 |
| Review of Literature | |
| Chronic Liver Disease | 5 |
| Ascites | 38 |
| Ascitic Fluid Infection | 60 |
| Patients and Methods | 78 |
| Results | 85 |
| Discussion | 109 |
| Summary | 119 |
| Conclusion | 124 |
| Recommendations | 125 |
| References | 126 |
| Arabic Summary | |

List of Tables

| Table No. | Title Page No. |
|--------------------|---|
| Table (1): | Simplified diagnostic criteria of the international autoimmune hepatitis group |
| Table (2): | Diagnostic criteria of PBC-AIH overlap syndrome |
| Table (3): | Routine tests for diagnosis of Wilson's disease |
| Table (4): | Scoring system developed at the 8 th international meeting on Wilson's disease |
| Table (5): | Criteria for diagnosis of hepatorenal syndrome in cirrhosis |
| Table (6): | Antibiotic therapy for ascitic fluid infection 74 |
| Table (7): | Child – Pugh classification 81 |
| Table (8): | Demographic data and etiology of chronic liver disease among the enrolled patients |
| Table (9): | History and clinical symptoms of the enrolled patients |
| Table (10): | Physical signs among the enrolled patients 90 |
| Table (11): | Complete blood picture in the studied groups 91 |
| Table (12): | Liver functions in the enrolled patients |
| Table (13): | Renal functions and electrolytes among the enrolled patients |
| Table (14): | INR and C-reactive protein in the studied groups |
| Table (15): | Ascitic Fluid analysis |
| Table (16): | Isolated microorganisms and their antibiotic sensitivity in patients of group A 107 |

List of Figures

| Fig. No. | Title | Page No. |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------|
| Figure (1): | Algorithm for diagnosis of autoim hepatitis using routine autoantibody to by indirect immunofluourescence enzyme linked immunosorbent assay to with a set of four autoantibodies | esting and esting |
| Figure (2): | Acute management of va | |
| Figure (3): | Modern variceal hemorrhage prophyla | axis 29 |
| Figure (4): | Pathogenesis of ascites | 45 |
| Figure (5): | Algorithm for the diagnosis ascites according to the serum-a albumin gradient (SAAG) | scites |
| Figure (6): | The flow of patients through the study | y87 |
| Figure (7): | Bar graph for comparison between grand group B regarding ascitic albumin, ascitic fluid protein, salbumin and serum total protein | fluid serum |
| Figure (8): | Bar graph for comparison between grand group B regarding portal vein, he vein and IVC diameters measure ultrasound. | epatic d by |
| Figure (9): | Box and whisker plot for correl between ascitic fluid cell count and leukocytic count | total |
| Figure (10): | Scatter plot for correlation bet polymorphnuclear leukocytes % and a fluid cell count. | ascitic |
| Figure (11): | Scatter plot for correlation between a fluid proteins and total serum protein | |

List of Figures (Cont...)

| Fig. No. | Title | Page No. |
|---------------------|--|-------------------|
| Figure (12): | Scatter plot for correlation between a fluid albumin and serum albumin | |
| Figure (13): | Scatter plot for correlation between a fluid cell count and ascitic fluid LDH. | |
| Figure (14): | Scatter plot for correlation between s blood glucose and ascitic fluid glucose | |
| Figure (15): | Scatter plot for correlation between Pugh score and ascitic fluid cell count | |
| Figure (16): | Scatter plot for correlation between I score and ascitic fluid cell count | |
| Figure (17): | Pie chart for results of ascitic fluid co and sensitivity among patients of grow | |
| Figure (18): | This box and whisker plot significant improvement in ascitic flucount among group A patients after up (48 hours after starting the antibio | id cell follow |

List of Abbreviations

| Abb. | Full term |
|------------|---------------------------------------|
| ADA | Ascitic Fluid Deaminase Activity |
| | AntiDiuretic Hormone |
| | Ascitic Fluid Infection |
| | AutoImmune Hepatitis |
| | Acute Kidney Injury |
| | AntiMitochondrial Antibody |
| | $AntiNuclear\ Antibody$ |
| | Alkaline Phosphatase |
| | Complete Blood Count |
| | Cirrhotic Cardiomyopathy |
| | |
| | Culture-Negative Neutrocytic Ascites |
| | C-Reactive Protein |
| | Danger Associated Molecular Patterns |
| | Demographic Health Survey |
| | Drug Induced Liver Injury |
| | DeoxyriboNucleic Acid |
| | Escherichia coli |
| ELISA | Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay |
| | Endoscopic Cholangiopancreatography |
| | Fatty Liver Index |
| FVLM | Factor V Leiden Mutation |
| <i>GGT</i> | $Gamma	ext{-}Glutamyltran speptidase$ |
| HBcAg | Hepatitis B Core Antigen |
| _ | Hepatitis B Virus Envelope |
| | Hepatitis B Virus |
| HCC | Hepatocellular Carcinoma |
| | Hepatitis C Virus |
| | Hepatic Steatosis Index |

List of Abbreviations (Cont...)

| Abb. | Full term |
|-----------------------|---|
| HPS | Hepato-Pulmonary Syndrome |
| | Hepatorenal Syndrome |
| | Hepatic Stellate Cells |
| | Hepatic Venous Pressure Gradient |
| | Indirect Immunofluourescence |
| | Immunoglobulin M |
| O | International Normalized Ratio |
| | Lactate Dehydrogenase |
| | Leukocyte Esterase Reagent Strips |
| | Liver Fat Score |
| | LiverKidney Microsome |
| | Large Volume Paracentesis |
| | Model for End-stage Liver Disease |
| | Monomicrobial Non-Neutrocytic Bacterascites |
| | Magnetic Resonance Cholangiopancreatography |
| | Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus |
| | Methylene Tetrahydrofolate Reductase |
| NAFLD | Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease |
| <i>NASH</i> | Non-Alcoholic Steatohepatitis |
| <i>NAT</i> | Nucleic Acid Tests |
| <i>NF-</i> к <i>B</i> | Nuclear Factor-Kappa B |
| | Nitric Oxide |
| <i>PAMPs</i> | Pathogen Associated Molecular Patterns |
| | Primary Biliary Cirrhosis |
| | Polymerase Chain Reaction |
| | Polymorphonuclear Leukocytes |
| | Patatin-Like Phospholipase Domain- |
| | Containing 3 |
| <i>PPHT</i> | Portopulmonary Hypertension |

List of Abbreviations (Cont...)

| Abb. | Full term |
|-------------|---|
| PSC | Primary Sclerosing Cholangitis |
| | Phosphatase and Tensin Homolog |
| | RiboNucleic Acid |
| | Serum-Ascites Albumin Gradient |
| | Spontanous Bacterial Peritonitis |
| | Spontaneous Bacterial Empyema |
| SLA/LP | SolubleLiver Antigen / Liver-Pancreas |
| | Smooth Muscle Antibody |
| T2DM | Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus |
| TIPS | Transjugular Intrahepatic Portosystemic |
| | Shunt |
| TLR-4 | Toll-Like Receptor 4 |
| <i>TyG</i> | Trigly ceride 	imes Glucose |
| <i>VAI</i> | Visceral Adiposity Index |
| <i>VEGF</i> | Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor |
| WHO | World Health Organization |

ABSTRACT

The ascitic culture and sensitivity taken from the studied patients showed that 74% of patients with infected ascites had culture negative neutrocytic ascites, 22% of patients with infected ascites had monomicrobial bacterascites and 4% of patients with infected ascites had polymicrobial bacterascites. *E.coli* was the most frequently isolated micro-organism (7%).

As regards the upper gastrointestinal endoscopy, 30% of patients with infected ascites had no esophageal varices or fundal varices, 33% had small or medium sized esophageal varices and 26% had large risky esophageal varices banded. Also, 7% of patients with infected ascites had fundal varices injected.

Among the 27 studied patients with infected ascites, 12 patients responded to the first-line antibiotic therapy (third generation Cephalosporins), 10 patients responded to the second-line antibiotic therapy (9 patients responded to Meropenem where two of them were culture-based and one patient responded to Piperacillin/Tazobactam), three patients responded to culture-based Ciprofloxacin and one patient was asymptomatic Non-neutrocitic bacterascites who did not receive antibiotic treatment.

Keywords: Hepatitis B Virus Envelope - Hepatitis B Core Antigen - Factor V Leiden Mutation - Fatty Liver Index

Introduction

scites is a common problem in patients with chronic liver disease. Almost 60% of patients with cirrhosis will develop ascites (Ginés et al., 2010). The main pathophysiology of ascites is progressive increase in portal venous pressure as a result of increased intrahepatic resistance caused by cirrhosis (Fortune and Cardenas, 2017). Portal hypertension increases the hydrostatic pressure at the sinusoidal level and causes some hemodynamic changes including the splanchnic vasodilation, reduced systemic resistance, increased plasma volume and output. These alterations stimulate the angiotensin-aldosterone system leading to renal sodium and water retention that result in ascites (Gentilini and Laffi, 1992).

Patients with chronic liver disease and cirrhosis frequently develop infections of the ascitic fluid. Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP) is defined as an ascitic fluid infection without an evident intraabdominal surgically treatable source, it primarily occurs in patients with advanced cirrhosis (Sheer and **Runyon**, 2005). The diagnosis is established by positive ascitic fluid bacterial culture and elevated ascitic fluid absolute polymorphonuclear leukocyte (PMN) count (≥250 cells/mm³). SBP occurs in one third of patients with cirrhosis and is associated with hospital mortality of 20% to 40% (Ekser and *Mangus*, 2016). Patients who recover an attack of SBP have an increased risk of recurrence of 40% to 70% in one year and poorer survival on follow-up (Sheer and Runyon, 2005).



Other variants of ascitic fluid infections include culturenegative neutrocytic ascites, monomicrobial non-neutrocytic bacterascites and polymicrobial bacterascites (Runyon, 2009).

neutrocytic ascites Culture-negative (CNNA) is diagnosed when a patient had an elevated ascitic fluid absolute PMN count (≥250 cells/mm³) with a negative ascitic fluid culture and no evident intraabdominal surgically treatable source of infection (Pelletier et al., 1990). However, negative cultures maybe attributed to various factors such as inadequate culture techniques, inadequate ascitic fluid volume or unrecognized antibiotics intake (Kim et al., 2010).

Monomicrobial non-neutrocytic bacterascites (MNB) usually represents the colonization phase of ascitic fluid infection. The floras are similar to those of SBP (Runyon, 1990). MNB may progress to SBP in 62 to 86 % of cases. Progression from MNB to SBP can occur very rapidly with 50 to 170-fold rise in PMN count within 40 to 70 minutes (Runyon, 2009).

Polymicrobial bacterascites is caused by a traumatic paracentesis in which the bowel is injured by the paracentesis needle and bacteria leak, usually transiently, from the gut into the ascitic fluid. This complication is recognized when multiple bacteria are seen on Gram stain or grow on culture of nonneutrocytic ascites (ie, PMN count <250 cells/mm³) (Sagar et al., 2016).



The three variants of infected ascites are distinguished from classic SBP largely by ascitic fluid analysis. It is important to recognize these variants in at-risk patients who do not fulfil classical definitions of SBP.

The bacterial isolates in SBP may differ from the isolates detected in neutrocytic ascites, monomicrobial non-neutrocytic bacterascites and polymicrobial bacterascites. Gram-negative organisms are the most common organisms in SBP (Fiore et al., 2017).

Third generation cephalosporins are commonly used as emperical treatment of infected ascites with cirrhosis as they cover both enterobactericae and non-enterococcal streptococci (Fernández and Gustot, 2012). The development of multidrug resistant strains raise the need to investigate other antibiotic regimen based on the prevalence and the antimicrobial resistance pattern of the infection (Acevedo, 2015).

AIM OF THE WORK

The aim of this study is to assess the frequency, clinical profile, bacteriological patterns and outcome of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis and other variants of ascitic fluid infections in patients of liver cirrhosis admitted to Tropical Medicine department at Ain Shams University hospitals. The study also investigated the bacterial isolates and antibiotic sensitivity and resistance patterns in different variants of ascitic fluid infections.