

### ROLE OF AUTOMATED BREAST ULTRASOUND SYSTEM (ABUS) AS SCREENING TOOL IN COMPARISON TO MAMMOGRAM IN DETECT DIFFERENT BREAST LESIONS

#### Thesis

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Master Degree in Radiodiagnosis

# Presented by Marwan Ashraf Mohammed M.B.,B.Ch

### Under supervision of

### Prof. Dr. Hanan Mahmoud Arafa

Professor in Radiodiagnosis
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

### **Dr. Ahmed Mohammed Bassiouny**

Lecturer of Radiodiagnosis
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2018



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

# Acknowledgment

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to ALLAH, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.

I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Hanan Mahmoud Arafa**, Professor in Radiodiagnosis Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University for his keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.

I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **Dr. Ahmed Mohammed Bassiouny,**Lecturer of Radiodiagnosis Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University, for his kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.

Marwan Ashraf Mohammed

# List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	5
List of Figures	6
List of Abbreviations	8
Abstract	10
Introduction	1
Review of Literature	13
Anatomy of the Breast	29
Pathology	38
Patients and Methods	57
Results	60
Case Presentation	76
Discussion	103
Summary & Conclusion	107
References	109
Arabic Summary	<u></u>

# List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Age of the patients.	61
<b>Table (2):</b>	ACR Density of the breast	62
<b>Table (3):</b>	Detected lesions by mammogram correlated BIRADS.	
<b>Table (4):</b>	Detected lesions by ABUS and corr BIRADS.	
<b>Table (5):</b>	Comparison between detected lesion correlated BIRADS by mammogram ABUS.	n and
<b>Table (6):</b>	Comparison between detected lesion correlated BIRADS by mammogram ABUS.	n and
<b>Table (7):</b>	Ratio of sensitivity and specificity of Al	BUS70
<b>Table</b> (8):	ACR.	70
<b>Table (9):</b>	Comparison between detected lesion correlated BIRADS by mammogram patient's ages.	n with
<b>Table</b> (10):	Comparison between detected lesion correlated BIRADS by ABUS with pages.	atient's

# List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	Coronal, Axial, sagittal and 3D im our machine (invenia GE ABUS) our institute	used in
Figure (2):	The regular ultrasound appears human breast tissue in autobreast volume scanning, AP, med lateral views	omated ial and
Figure (3):	The shape and hardware composithe ABUS machine from our instit	ition of
Figure (4):	ABUS software design with planner views from our machin invenia) in our institue.	ne (GE
Figure (5):	ABUS software design with coron from our machine (GE invenia)	al view in our
Figure (6):	institue.  ABUS software design with coron from our machine (GE invenia) institue.	
Figure (7):	ABUS software design with facility from our machine (GE in our institue	report nvenia)
Figure (8):	Cross sectional anatomy of the bre	
Figure (9):	Schematic representation of the anatomy of the breast and TDLU.	e basic
<b>Figure (10):</b>	Cancers are thought to arise in the lobular portion of the terminal due	
<b>Figure</b> (11):	Lymphatic drainage of the breast.	36
<b>Figure (12):</b>	Age.	61
<b>Figure</b> (13):	ACR.	
Figure (14):	Mammogram.	
Figure (15):	Mammogram BIRADS.	
Figure (16): Figure (17):	ABUS BIRADS	

# List of Figures Cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (18):	Comparison between detected and correlated BIRADS by mammand ABUS	mogram
Figure (19):	Comparison between detected and correlated BIRADS by mammand ABUS	mogram
Figure (20):	Comparison between detected and correlated BIRADS by mammand ABUS	lesions mogram
Figure (21):	Comparison between detected and correlated BIRADS by mammand ABUS	lesions mogram
<b>Figure (22):</b>	ACR	71
<b>Figure (23):</b>	Mammogram BIRADS	72
<b>Figure (24):</b>	Comparison between detected and correlated BIRADS by mam	mogram
Figure (25):	with patient's ages.	
Figure (26):	Mammogram. ABUS Birads.	
Figure (27):	ABUS BIRADS	
Figure (28):	ABUS.	

### List of Abbreviations

### Full term Abb. ABUS ...... Automated breast ultrasound system ACC ...... Acini Cell Carcinoma ACR ...... American College of Radiology ACS ...... American Cancer Society ALH..... Atypical Lobular Hyperplasia AMC ...... Atypical Medullary Carcinomas BCSC......Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium BIRADS ...... Breast Imaging And Reporting Data System CC.....Cranio-caudal CESM ...... Contrast Enhanced Spectral Mammography. CI.....Confidence interval CISNET ..... Cancer Intervention Surveillance Network DBT ...... Digital Breast Tomosynthesis. DCIS ...... Ductal Carcinoma Insitu DM......Digital Mammography DMIST...... Digital Mammography Imaging Screening Trials FFDM .....Full Field Digital Mammography GCDFP-15......15-kDa Glycoprotein Of Cystic Breast Disease IBC ..... Inflammatory Breast Cancer ICC ...... Invasive Cribriform Carcinoma IDC-NST ..... Invasive Ductal Cardnomas No Spedal Type IFLSpCC.....Fibromatosis-Like Spindle-Cell Metaplastic ILC.....Invasive Lobular Carcinoma IMPC ...... Invasive Micropapillary Carcinoma LCIS ..... Lobular Carcinoma Insitu LGAS.....Low-Grade Adeno-squamous Carcinoma LN.....Lobular Neoplasia MBC ..... Metaplastic Breast Carcinomas MC..... Medullary Carcinoma MD...... Mammographic Density MEC ...... Mucoepidermoid carcinoma

## List of Abbreviations Cont...

### Full term Abb. MLO ..... Medio-lateral Oblique MRI...... Magnetic Resonance Imaging NE......Neuroendocrine NST..... No Special Type OC.....Oncocytic Carcinoma OGCs ...... Osteoclast-like Stromal Giant Cells PLCIS ..... Pleomorphic Lobular Cardnoma Insitu RCTs.....Randomized Controlled Trials RRR ...... Relative risk ratio SC ...... Sebaceous Carcinoma SCBC......Spindle Cell Breast Carcinoma SCC......Squamous Cell Carcinoma SRCC.....Signet Ring Cell Carcinoma TC ...... Tubular Carcinoma TFDs ...... Thin Film Diodes TFTs ..... Thin Film Transistors TLDU ..... Terminal Ductal Lobular Unit TN.....Triple Negative US......Ultrasound



#### **Abstract**

Background: Mammography is an effective randomized controlled trialproven method for reducing mortality due to breast cancer. However, the sensitivity of mammography depends on breast density. The current supplemental screening options include breast ultrasonography (US) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Automated breast ultrasound system (ABUS) is an option proposed to overcome the time-consuming and costly nature of handheld, physician-performed whole-breast US (WBUS).

**Objectives:** To evaluate the utility of automated breast ultrasound system (ABUS) in detection of different breast lesions especially in dense breast in comparison to mammogram.

Patients and Method: It is prospective study included 25 women outreached for digital mammography or handheld ultrasound examination at the general Egyptian hospitals. Women have no specific age group.

Results: We found, statistically, the use of ABUS alone without the mammogram shows insignificant results difference while using ABUS with mammogram shows increased frequency of detection of positive benign lesions in ACR class C and D in comparison to class A and B.

Conclusion: ABUS is a computer-based system for performing and recording ultrasound of the entire breast. The use of ABUS with mammography improved the accuracy of breast lesions detection (especially benign lesions), callback rates, and confidence in callbacks for women with dense breast tissue. In conclusion, ABUS reflects a promising modality in breast imaging however appears to be on a par with hand-held ultrasound in terms of diagnostic quality.

### INTRODUCTION

Mammography is an effective randomized controlled trial-proven method for reducing mortality due to breast cancer. A recent study showed 43% reduction among women participating in a national screening program. However, the sensitivity of mammography depends on breast density. Studies on women with dense breasts have demonstrated a sensitivity of less than 50%. More recently, more than 50% of women younger than 50 years and at least one-third aged over 50 years have been found to have dense breast tissue. The current supplemental screening options include breast ultrasonography (US) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). US-based screening technologies may offer lower cost and wider availability than MRI. However, bilateral whole-breast screening using handheld US (HHUS) is time consuming, operator dependence, non-reproducibility, and time required by the radiologist to perform the exams. ABUS screening is an option proposed to overcome the time-consuming and costly nature of handheld, physician-performed whole-breast US (WBUS) (Shin et al., 2015).

Both ABUS and handheld ultrasound exhibited high sensitivity (both 100%) and average specificity (Jianwei et al., *2012*).

Dense breast tissue obscures tumors on mammograms, making it difficult for radiologists to read and interpret the exams. This inaccuracy has resulted in an increased number of false positives and biopsies, and has increased healthcare costs and patient anxiety. For this reason recently, research and development in ultrasound are demonstrating that it is a technology that can lend itself to breast diagnostic imaging. Automated Breast Ultrasound an alternative to traditional handheld ultrasounds for supplemental use. Like traditional ultrasound, ABUS uses high-frequency sound waves targeted at the breast, but the scans provide physicians with a 3-D volumetric image of the entire breast. These 3-D images are more beneficial to women within the dense breast population because they allow radiologists the ability to check the breast from a variety of angles and offer a better interpretation. ABUS exams are also much shorter than traditional ultrasound, with some systems taking as little as seven minutes to perform a bilateral exam — less than half the time of some traditional ultrasounds. Because the transducer used in **ABUS** automatically scans the breast, the operator dependency is greatly reduced (Jacob, 2012).

### **REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

#### Clinical breast examination

Clinical breast examination by a health care provider has been studied as a screening method used in conjunction with mammography and ultrasound. In the Canadian National Breast Screening Study of women age 50 to 59 years receiving either mammography and clinical breast examination or only clinical breast examination, the 25-year cumulative mortality from breast cancer diagnosed during the screening period was essentially equivalent between women who received mammography and clinical breast examination versus women who received only clinical breast examination (*Miller et al., 2014*).

Of note, the clinical breast examinations were performed by well-trained clinicians, the quality of the clinical breast examinations were evaluated periodically, and the clinicians spent 5 to 10 minutes examining each breast (*Mackenzie et al.*, 2015).

Community clinicians may not perform such high-quality clinical breast examinations, limiting the applicability of these results to general practice (*Mackenzie et al.*, 2015).

### **Mammography**

Mammography is specialized medical imaging that uses a low-dose x-ray system to see inside the breasts. A mammography exam, called a mammogram, aids in the early

detection and diagnosis of breast diseases in women. A mammography unit is a rectangular box that houses the tube in which x-rays are produced. The unit is used exclusively for xray exams of the breast, with special accessories that allow only the breast to be exposed to the x-rays. Attached to the unit is a device that holds and compresses the breast and positions it so images can be obtained at different angles (ACR practice guidelines, 2013).

Mammograms are used as a screening tool to detect early breast lesions specially breast cancer in women experiencing no symptoms (Screening mammogram). They can also be used to detect and diagnose breast disease in women experiencing symptoms such as a lump, pain, skin dimpling or nipple discharge (Diagnostic mammogram) (ACR practice guidelines 2013).

### **Image quality:** (ACR practice guidelines, 2013).

### Criteria (or image quality assessment):

- All breast glandular tissue imaged
- Image annotation:
  - o Date
  - o Patient ID
  - Side markers
  - Radiographer ID

- o Cassette lD
- Correct exposure- can "bright light" skin and nipple
- No movement artifact
- No skin folds
- Symmetrical images

#### Adequate cranio-caudal views:

- All glandular tissue identified
- Nipple in profile.
- Nipple in midline of image
- Images symmetric.

#### Adequate medio-lateral oblique views:

- Pectoral shadow seen down to level of nipple or lower
- Infra-mammary fold well seen
- Nipple in profile
- Images symmetric

Digital Mammography, also called full-field Digital Mammography (FFDM), is a mammography system in which the x-ray film is replaced by electronics that convert x-rays into mammographic pictures of the breast. These systems are similar to those found in digital cameras and their efficiency