

**EFFECT OF NITROGEN, PHOSPHORUS AND
POTASSIUM NANO FERTILIZERS ON PRODUCTION
AND QUALITY OF EGYPTIAN COTTON**

By

HOUDA ABD EL-MONEM IBRAHIM MARZOK RABEH

B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Agronomy), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 2007

M.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Agronomy), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 2014

THESIS

**Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of**

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

In

**Agricultural Sciences
(Agronomy)**

**Department of Agronomy
Faculty of Agriculture
Cairo University
EGYPT**

2018

Format Reviewer

Vice Dean of Graduate Student

SUPERVISION SHEET

**EFFECT OF NITROGEN, PHOSPHORUS AND
POTASSIUM NANO FERTILIZERS ON PRODUCTION
AND QUALITY OF EGYPTIAN COTTON**

**Ph. D. Thesis
In
Agric. Sci. (Agronomy)**

By

**HOUDA ABD EL-MONEM IBRAHIM MARZOK RABEH
B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Agronomy), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 2007
B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Agronomy), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 2014**

SUPERVISION COMMITTEE

Dr. SOHEIR ELAYAN DESSOKY ELAYAN
Professor of Agronomy, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Dr. ABD ALLA ABD EL-GAFFAR ABO EL-DAHAB
Professor of Agronomy, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Dr. AMANY MOHAMED ABDALLAH
Professor of Agronomy, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Name of Candidate: Houda Abdel Menem Ibrahim Degree: Ph.D.
Title of Thesis: marzok Rabeh
Effect of Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium Nano Fertilizers on Production and Quality of Egyptian Cotton.
Supervisors: Dr. Sohair Elayan Dessoky Elayan
Dr. Abdalah Abd El-Gaffar Abodahab
Dr. Amany Mohamed Abdallah
Department: Agronomy

Approval: 10 / 10 / 2018

ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were carried out at the Agricultural Experimental and Research Station, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt in two seasons in years 2016 and 2017 to evaluate NPK nano-fertilizers application, times, methods and rates on vegetation growth analysis, yield and fiber properties of Egyptian cotton cultivar (Giza 90). The experiment was laid out in a split-split plot in a randomized complete block design arrangement with four replications. Treatments included two application times were applied in main plots, two application methods foliar and soil in sub-plots and four rates applications of control (100% soil application traditional recommended NPK fertilizer dose (RFD) and nano NPK fertilizers 12.5%, 25% and 50% RFD) were applied in sub-sub-plots.

Obtained results indicated that, the application of three times of NPK nano-fertilizers gave higher plants, total dry weight, crop growth rate, relative growth rate, leaf area and leaf area index than two times. Foliar NPK nano fertilizers application of all traits recorded higher values of most studied parameters than soil application. Nano-fertilizers at 50% RFD recorded values of studied parameter statistically at par with control traditional (100% RFD). Treatments of 12.5% were at par with 25% NPK nano fertilizers for the most studied growth parameters. Interactions between the studied three factors were significant in some growth stages.

Significant increases of total and open bolls per plant, boll weight and seed cotton yield as a result of the application of three times NPK nano-fertilizers than two times. Foliar nano-fertilizers application of these traits recorded higher values at the previous parameters than soil application. In the most of studied parameters nano-fertilizers at 50% RFD recorded values statistically at par with control traditional (100% RFD). Also, treatments of 12.5% at par with 25% NPK nano-fertilizers. Interactions between the studied three factors were insignificant in studied parameters except lint%. Whereas, the highest values of previous traits were obtained from plots treated with 50% RFD nano NPK with split 3 times and applied by foliar application in most cases.

The application rates of NPK nano fertilizers significantly affected on studied fiber properties. Also, times and methods of application on fiber length in second season and application methods on Length uniformity Index second seasons. All the interaction between study factors cleared no significant effect on fiber quality parameters except interaction between time of application and method of application and (between time of application and rates of application) had a significant effect on fiber strength in second season only.

Key words: cotton, *Gossypium barbadense* L., NPK nano-fertilizers, nano-phosphorus, nano-nitrogen, nano-potassium, growth parameters, seed cotton yield. fertilizes foliar and soil application, cotton fiber quality.

DEDICATION

I dedicate this work

**To whom my heartfelt thanks; my parents
for their all lovely offered and supports in all
my life**

**To my husband for his patience,
cooperation and encouragement during my
study and to my beautiful and intelligent kids,
Asmaa, Adam, Alaa and Esraa**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is indebted and would like to express her deepest gratitude and sincere appreciation to **Dr. Sohair Elayan Dessoky Elayan**, Professor of Agronomy, Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University for her supervision, valuable efforts, fruitful suggestion and constructive criticism during the progress of this study.

My sincere appreciation to **Dr. Abdalla A bd El-Gaffar AbulDahab**, Professor of Agronomy, Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University for his supervision, sincere advice and his support during the progress of this study.

I would like to express my very deep gratitude to **Dr. Amany Mohamed Abdallah**, Professor of Agronomy, Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University for her supervision, help, continuous encouragement, valuable discussion and unlimited guidance throughout this work.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to **Dr. Mohamed Abdelmaboud**, Professor of Statistics, Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, for his valuable guidance, support during statistical analysis.

In addition, I wish to thank all members in Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University for their encouragement.

All appreciation to **Dr. El-Sayed Hassan Badawy**, head of Soil Sciences Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University who has given me excellent support, continuous encouragement, a lot of his effort and time to pursue this work and for giving me all types of support in publishing this study.

CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	5
1. Effect of NPK traditional-fertilizers on productivity and fiber quality of cotton.....	5
a. Some cotton growth parameters.....	5
1. Effect of nitrogen.....	5
2. Effect of phosphorus.....	6
3. Effect of potassium.....	6
b. Cotton yield and its components.....	7
1. Effect of nitrogen.....	7
2. Effect of phosphorus.....	9
3. Effect of potassium.....	9
4. Effect of foliar application.....	10
c. Fiber quality of cotton.....	13
1. Effect of nitrogen.....	13
2. Effect of potassium.....	13
2. Effect of NPK nano-fertilizers on productivity and fiber quality of cotton	15
a. Some cotton growth parameters	15
b. Cotton yield and its components	19
c. Fiber quality of cotton	25
MAERIALS AND METHODS	27
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	35
1. Effect of NPK nano fertilizers on some growth parameters of cotton	35
2. Effect of NPK nano fertilizers on earliness characters of cotton	68
3. Effect NPK nano fertilizers on cotton yield and its component	71
4. Effect NPK nano fertilizers on Fiber properties of cotton.....	93
SUMMARY	97
REFERENCES	109
ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

No	Title	Page
1	Soil physical and chemical analysis of the experimental sites in 2016 and 2017 seasons.....	31
2	Plant height (cm) at different growth stages in cotton as influenced by NPK Nano fertilizers application times, methods and rates during 2016 season.....	39
3	Plant height (cm) at different growth stages in cotton as influenced by NPK Nano fertilizers application times, methods and rates during 2017 season.....	40
4	Leaf area index (LAI) at different growth stages in cotton as influenced by NPK Nano fertilizers application times, methods and rates during 2016 season... ..	46
5	Leaf area index (LAI) at different growth stages in cotton as influenced by NPK Nano fertilizers application times, methods and rates during 2017 season.....	47
6	Total dry weight (gm/plant) at different growth stages in cotton as influenced by NPK Nano fertilizers application times, methods and rates during 2016 season	54
7	Total dry weight (gm/plant) at different growth stages in cotton as influenced by NPK Nano fertilizers application times, methods and rates during 2017 season.....	55
8	Crop growth rate (gm/week) at different growth stages in cotton as influenced by NPK Nano fertilizers application times, methods and rates during 2016 season.. ..	60
9	Crop growth rate (gm/week) at different growth stages in cotton as influenced by NPK Nano fertilizers application times, methods and rates during 2017 season.....	61
10	Relative growth rate (gm/gm/week) at different growth stages in cotton as influenced by NPK Nano fertilizers application times, methods and rates during 2016 season..	65

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

No	Title	Page
11	Relative growth rate (gm/gm/week) at different growth stages in cotton as influenced by NPK Nano fertilizers application times, methods and rates during 2017 season...	66
12	Position of 1st sympodial node and earliness traits of cotton as influenced by NPK nano-fertilizers application times, methods and rates during 2016 and 2017 seasons.....	70
13	Yield components of cotton as influenced by NPK nano fertilizers application times, methods and rates during 2016 and 2017 seasons.....	77
14	Yield components of cotton as influenced by NPK nano fertilizers application times, methods and rates during 2016 and 2017 seasons.....	84
15	Seed cotton yields as influenced by NPK nano fertilizers application times, methods and rates during 2016 and 2017 seasons.....	90
16	Fiber length and uniformity index of cotton as influenced by NPK nano fertilizers application times, methods and rates during 2016 and 2017 seasons.....	95
17	Fiber strength and fiber fineness of cotton as influenced by NPK nano fertilizers application times, methods and rates during 2016 and 2017 seasons.....	96